THESES CANADIENNES SUR MICROFICHE



National Library of Canada Collections Development Branch

Canadian Theses on Microfiche Service

Ottawa, Canada K1A 0N4 Bibliothèque nationale du Canada Direction du développement des collections

Service des thèses canadiennes sur microfiche

NOTICE 1

The quality of this microfiche is heavily dependent upon the quality of the original thesis submitted for microfilming. Every effort has been made to ensure the highest quality, of reproduction possible.

If pages are missing, contact the university which granted the degree.

Some pages may have indistinct print especially if the original pages were typed with a poor typewriter ribbon or if the university sent us a poor photocopy.

Previously copyrighted materials (journal articles, published tests, etc.) are not filmed.

Reproduction in full or in part of this film is governed by the Canadian Copyright Act, R.S.C. 1970, c. C-30. Please read the authorization forms which accompany this thesis.

THIS DISSERTATION
HAS BEEN MICROFILMED
EXACTLY AS RECEIVED

AVIS

La qualité de cette microfiche dépend grandement de la qualité de la thèse soumise au microfilmage. Nous avons tout fait pour assurer une qualité supérieure de reproduction.

S'il manque des pages, veuillez communiquer avec l'université qui a conféré le grade.

La qualité d'impression de certaines pages peut laisser à désirer, surtout si les pages originales ont été dactylographiées à l'aide d'un ruban usé ou si l'université nous a fait parvenir une photocopie de mauvaise qualité.

Les documents qui font déjà l'objet d'un droit d'auteur (articles de revue, examens publiés, etc.) ne sont pas microfilmés.

La reproduction, même partielle, de ce microfilm est soumise à la Loi canadienne sur le droit d'auteur, SRC 1970, c. C-30. Veuillez prendre connaissance des formules d'autorisation qui accompagnent cette thèse.

LA THÈSE A ÉTÉ MICROFILMÉE TELLE QUE NOUS L'AVONS RECUE



National Library of Canada

Bibliothèque nationale du Canada

Canadian Theses Division

Division des thèses canadiennes

Ottawa, Canada K1A 0N4

64011

PERMISSION TO MICROFILM — AUTORISATION DE MICROFILMER					
 Please print or type — Écrire en lettres moulées ou dactylograph 	nier				
Full Name of Author — Nom complet de l'auteur					
HEATHER BESTWICK					
Date of Birth — Date de naissance	Country of Birth — Lieu de naissance				
3-6-58,"	ENGLAND.				
Permanent Address — Résidence fixe 33, BROWN CRESCENT, SUTTON-IN-	ASHFIELD, NOTTINGHMUSHIRE, ENGWAND.				
Title of Thesis — Titre de la thèse					
PACIFISM AND POLITICS IN.	BRITAIN IN THE WICE-WAR YEARS				
University — Université ALBERTA					
Degree for which thesis was presented — Grade pour lequel cette	thèse fut présentée				
M.A.					
Year this degree conferred Année d'obtention de ce grade	Name of Supervisor — Nom du directeur de thèse				
1983	Dr. D.B. DEWITT				
	À				
Permission is hereby granted to the NATIONAL LIBRARY OF CANADA to microfilm this thesis and to lend or sell copies of the film.	L'autorisation est, par la présente, accordée à la BIBLIOTHÈ- QUE NATIONALE DU CANADA de microfilmer cette thèse et de prêter ou de vendre des exemplaires du film.				
The author reserves other publication rights, and neither the thesis nor extensive extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without the author's written permission.	L'auteur se réserve les autres droits de publication; ni la thèse ni de longs extraits de celle-ci ne doivent être imprimés ou autrement reproduits sans l'autorisation écrite de l'auteur.				
Date	Signature				
22rd December 1982	H. Bestingh				



THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

Pacifism and Politics in Britain in the Inter-War Years

by

Heather Bestwick

A THESIS

SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES AND RESEARCH .

IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE .

OF MASTER OF ARTS

Department of Political Science

- EDMONTON, ALBERTA SPRING 1983

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA RELEASE FORM

NAME OF AUTHOR

1

Heather Bestwick

TITLE OF THESIS

Pacifism and Politics in Britain in the

Inter-War Years

DEGREE FOR WHICH THESIS WAS PRESENTED MASTER OF ARTS
YEAR THIS DEGREE GRANTED SPRING 1983

Permission is hereby granted to THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA LIBRARY to reproduce single copies of this thesis and to lend or sell such copies for private, scholarly or scientific research purposes only.

The author reserves other publication rights, and neither the thesis nor extensive extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without the author's written permission.

(SIGNED)

PERMANENT ADDRESS:

32, Som Gescent

Sutton-in- Ashfield.

Allingtamshire. Gagla

DATED 22 December 1982

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES AND RESEARCH

The undersigned certify that they have read, and recommend to the Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research, for acceptance, a thesis entitled Pacifism and Politics in Britain in the Inter-War Years submitted by Heather Bestwick in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Arts.

Supervisor

Date 14 December 1982

For my mother

This thesis represents an attempt to assess the role of the peace movement in Britain during the inter-war years, and its impact on British foreign policy during this period. At a time when many are referring to the peace movement of this period, and its responsibility in hastening the onset of World War Two, to support arguments that the present peace movement will lead to the very thing it does not want, that is to say war, a close examination of what role the inter-war peace movement really did play in determining foreign policy, is required.

Chapter One is an examination of the beace movement during the 1920s, plotting its birth during World War One and its growth during the post-war period. Chapter Two is an examination of governmental foreign policy during the 1920s, with the emphasis on the Labour governments of the time, and an analysis of the socialist aspects of Labour's foreign policy. Chapter Three is a description of the growth of the peace movement in the 1930s, during which time public opinion was mobilised behind the many groups which constituted the peace movement. Chapter Four is an examination of foreign policy during the 1930s, with the emphasis on the Conservative dominated governments, and an analysis of the isolationist aspects of Conservative foreign policy, which was in contradiction to the aims of the peace

movement, and to a large extent the Labour Party. The conclusion attempts a vindication of the peace movement during the inter-war years, and will perhaps throw fresh light on the argument being revived today and used against the present peace movement, that war is made inevitable by those who protest most.

Acknowledgement

I would like to express my gratitude for the encouragement and help I received from my supervisor, David Dewitt throughout the writing of the thesis, and for being available at times when he himself was exceptionally busy. Thanks are also due to my friends and colleagues Don MacLeod and Ann Biscoe; many helpful suggestions arose from the lengthy discussions I inflicted upon them. This thesis could not have been produced without the technical instruction in the finer art of textform, for which I am grateful to Bob Ascah, Ashraf Hasan and Dan Zirker. For being great friends and helping me feel less a stranger in a strange country I thank Al, Gil and Mike.

On the home front I owe thanks to Steve for his patience and understanding. My largest debt of gratitude is owed to my mother, to whom I dedicate the thesis, and without whose constant support and belief this would not have been possible.

	Table of Contents	
Chapter		_
		Page
Ι.		
	THE PEACE MOVEMENT DURING THE 1920s	
	A. The Great War	14
â	The No-Conscription Fellowship	15
	The Fellowship of Reconciliation	17
, •	The Garsington Community	21
•	B. The Legacy of the War and the 1920s	23
III.	GOVERNMENT IN THE 1920s: THE LABOUR EFFORT	39
	A. The Election of the first Labour Government	39
	B. Baldwin s Second Cabinet, November 1924 to June 1929	44
	C. The Second Labour Cabinet, 1929. Return to Pacification	•
	D. Internationalism as a manifestation of socialist foreign policy	60
IV.	THE PEACE MOVEMENT IN THE 1930s	67
	A. Public Opinion and Disarmament	68
	B. The King & Country Debate, February 1933.	75
· '···	C. The East Fulham by-election, October, 1933.	
	D. The Peace Pledge Union	87
	E. The League of Nations sponsored Peace Ballot 1934-35	91
v′.	GOVERNMENT POLICY IN THE 1930s: THE CONSERVATIVE APPROACH	95
	A. The Manchurian Crisis	96
	B. The Abyssinian Crisis	.100
	C. Appeasement as a manifestation of traditional Tory policy	.110
	viii viii viii viii viii viii viii vii	•

777	COL	MOTHETON	4	
,	,	PHY		
		BOOKS	٠,	
	В.	PERIODICALS.		140
	c.	NEWSPAPERS	• • • •	140-
	D.	MANUSCRIPT COLLECTIONS	• • • •	142
•	E.	PUBLIC DOCUMENTS		. 142
		OTHER MATERIAL		

•

List of Tables

4.1. The results of the Peace Ballot, 1934.....p.

92

I. INTRODUCTION

When a considerable body of public opinion, the majority of which is well informed and articulate, such as the Campaign for Nuclear Disarmament movement in Britain today, is highly critical of the present defence policy of the British government, supporters of the Government stance and indeed Government officials find justification for their actions in appealing to history. They argue that those who think the cause of peace will be advanced by mobilising mass public support on such principles as disarmament are inadvertantly creating conditions in which the outbreak of war is more likely. After all, the argument goes, deterrence is the true guarantor of the preservation of peace, and the existence of a large peace movement in a country is suggestive to a potential aggressor that this country is not, in the final analysis, absolutely determined to defend its interests. This is where the recourse to history is made: it is argued that the pacifism of the inter-war years produced appeasement and thus hastened the onset of World War Two. Such a belief was held-sufficiently strong to propel the British government into the Suez debacle of 1956, and, more recently, the Falklands War, perceived as the

^{&#}x27;See for instance the article in the <u>Sunday Observer</u>, August 15, 1982 which refers to an article written by Lord George' Brown that nuclear protesters could spark off a war, as the Second World War was made inevitable by those who protested most.

Thatcher government's grim determination not to repeat Chamberlain's tragic mistake

That this argument still persists, and is taken as justification for present British foreign policy, necessitates a closer examination of just what exactly was the role of the peace movement in the inter-war years. must be questioned whether there really was a relationship between the peace movement and the policy of appeasement pursued by the Conservative dominated governments of the 1930s, and how far it is correct to conclude that, given the overwhelming peace mood of the country, there existed no other choices as regards policy. The validity of the argument that pacifism today will lead to war rests on the assumption that it did during the 1920s and 1930s. study represents an attempt to refute this argument; directly in the case of the pacifist movement during the inter-war period, and perhaps in clarifying the historical myths which have grown up around the subject, to help to lay to rest the supposition that war is made inevitable by those who protest most.

It would perhaps be pertinent at this stage to delineate the boundaries of the term <u>pacifism</u>, which will be used at length. No attempt will be made, for the purposes of this study, to differentiate and classify too rigourously the multifarious types drawn together under this banner. The term will encompass absolute pacifists, who conceived of no discernable difference between justifiable and

unjustifiable wars; Christian pacifists, who saw war as being a gross violation of the basic tenets of God's law; socialist pacifists who were prepared to fight in the class war but not national (and to them capitalist inspired) wars, and internationalist pacifists, for whom war was an offense against international law and punishable by international action. That these were only a few of the motivational impulses behind pacifist belief should sensitize the reader to the vastness of the task implicit in an in-depth classification of the term pacifism, and one which is outside the scope of this study.

The argument that the peace movement was to blame for the Conservative government's policy of appeasement and thus ultimately the outbreak of World War Two, was initially propounded by the then Prime Minister, Stanley Baldwin as. justification for his tardiness on the issue of rearmament. The loss of a safe Conservative seat at the East Fulham by-election in 1933 to a Labour candidate on the charge of 'war-mongering' purportedly made him tarry on the question of rearmament. This 'wave' of pacifism was also cited by his successor Chamberlain, who maintained that his policy of appeasement was merely in keeping with the public mood of pacifism, and that given this mood, there was no alternative policy which the government could follow. This argument raises two main questions which will be dealt with throughout the study; firstly it presupposes that the peace movement had a substantial input into the British political

process of the 1920s and 1930s, and secondly it negates the possibility of other alternative policies open to the Government which, if followed through, may have averted war. It also assumes that the peace movement of the 1930s was the same as during the 1920s; however, its metamorphosis will be clearly shown.

(i) The impact of the peace movement on the political process:

Before examining the impact of the peace movement in the political process it is necessary to consider the wider. question of the effectiveness of interest groups and public opinion on foreign policy.

Many previous studies have recognised the difficulties implicit in assessing the impact of public opinion and interest groups on foreign policy. The majority conclude that the general public, even in highly literate societies,

This dilemma does not seem to have improved with age -- for example: "Probably no aspect of the study of foreign policy is more difficult to generalise about than the relationship of public opinion to a government's external objectives and diplomatic behaviour."

K. J. Holsti. International Politics: A Framework for

K.J. Holsti, International Politics: A Framework for Analysis (London: Prentice-Hall Inc., 1974), pp. 381-387.
"In the study of politics and the political process there are few subjects more maddeningly elusive than the role played by public opinion in the making of public policy." Denis Stairs, "Public Opinion and External Affairs: Reflections on the Domestication of Canadian Foreign Policy", International Journal 33:1 (Winter 1977-78), 128.
"Few aspects of public affairs lend themselves more readily to impressionistic and faulty analysis than does the relationship between the foreign policy of a nation and the opinion of its citizenry."

James Rosenau, Public Opinion and Foreign Policy (New York: Random House, 1961), p. 3.

are disinterested and ill-informed about world affairs.3 Gabriel Almond made the classic differentiation between the general public and the attentive public, the general public forming the vast majority of people who were unknowledgeable and apathetic with regard to foreign affairs, and the attentive public constituting a small layer of those who were well informed, interested and articulate. Rosenau extended this stratification in his pyramid analysis: at the base of the pyramid, and thus comprising the bulk of its volume, is the mass public, above which is the attentive public and the apex of the pyramid comprising the opinion making public. Rosenau refers to a study which uses another analogy, of concentric circles to differentiate the layers of society, consisting of the "Great Thinkers", the "Great Disciples", the "Great Disseminators", the "Lesser Disseminators", the "Participating Citizens" and the "Politically Inert".

Such detailed stratification may well be useful for the clarification of the cause-effect relationship between what publics advocate and what governments do, however, for the purposes of this study the analysis will be concentrated on the two levels as postulated by Almond, of the general

[&]quot;See Gabriel Almond and Sydney Verba, The Civic Culture (Boston: Little, Brown & Co., 1963). Also Gabriel Almond, The American People and Foreign Policy (New York: Harcourt Brace Janovich, 1950).

*Rosenau, Public Opinion and Foreign Policy, pp. 33-34.

*Elmo Roper, "Who Tells the Story-tellers," Saturday Review July 31, 1954, p. 32, as cited in Rosenau, Public Opinion and Foreign Policy, p. 34.

public and the attentive public. Public opinion will be defined as those views held by the mass public, and the attentive public in this case include amongst others the various bodies contained within the peace movement. On the basis of this differentiation a distinction can be made as regards the flow of influence. The mass public can exercise a negative influence on the conduct of foreign policy through the climate of public opinion, and what Almond calls the public mood, by establishing certain parameters within which the policy makers must act. This has a limiting effect on the policy makers' practical freedom of manoeuvre in so far as such influence manifests itself in the policy making process as implicit 'rules of the game'. illustration in this study is the imposition of conscription two years after World War One broke out. The relatively late arrival of conscription and the clauses providing for conscientious objection owed much to the public mood which dictated that conscription should only be imposed when absolutely necessary and even then with provision for those who, for whatever reason, had objections to combatant service. Limits on policy making of this nature are a function of vague and amorphous varieties of opinion, which have been termed latent but significant. Influence is demonstrated in this case without any clearly defined

^{&#}x27;See Stairs, "Public Opinion and External Affairs," pp. 131-34.

^{&#}x27;Bernard C. Cohen, The Public's Impact on Foreign Policy (Boston: Little, Brown & Co., 1973), pp. 16-17.

responsibility and can prove effective "even in the absence of organised vehicles of articulation." Public opinion in this regard is influential in the negative sense of ruling out options as opposed to advocating them.

Positive influence is more ably exercised by organised groups, generally drawn from the attentive public. are the associational opinion makers that Rosenau talks of, who derive their access to communications from the fact that they speak as representatives of groups of opinion holders.' Almond terms such groups the foreign policy interest elites'°, and in this study include amongst others the various groups which formed the body of the peace movement. Positive influence in this regard has been considered in terms of policy setting, whereby public opinion can serve not only just to limit options, but actually determine choices.'' The main difference between such interest groups and the more general public is that these groups may have an elite access to the policy process, which the general public lack. This is particularly the case with the peace movement during the 1920s, when it had considerable access to the policy process through the Labour Party. The Labour Party supported the policies advocated by the peace movement and thus there existed a coterminous interest as regards policy making. Leaders of the peace groups and of the Labour Party

^{*}Stairs, "Public Opinion and External Affairs," p. 133.

Rosenau, Public Opinion and External Affairs, p. Rosenau, Public Opinion and Foreign Policy, p. 61.
'Almond, The American People, p. 138.
'Stairs, "Public Opinion," p. 134.

interchanged during this period, evidence of what Bottomore called the circulation of elites.' Whilst concrete evidence of the force of such positive influence is difficult to determine it is during this period that it can be found to have manifested itself, if anywhere.

It is on the basis of such definitions of influence and in consideration of the findings of previous studies on the effect of public opinion and interest groups on foreign, policy that the extent to which the peace movement did have any input into the political process of the 1920s and 1930s must be determined. The period in question is in itself of particular relevance since some studies purport that the end of World War One marked the demise of foreign policy as solely the premise of governments and diplomats, and date the incursion of public opinion into foreign policy from this time. 13 That public opinion was unusually informed about foreign affairs during this period will be illustrated later, but whether this interest had any effect on government policy is another matter. During the 1920s there did exist a considerably well organised peace movement in the form of Christian, political and humanist groups, but public opinion remained uninterested, perhaps due to the "invincible ignorance of the public" as one writer observed from his experiences in the Information Department of the

University Press, 1965), pp. 21-72

The season of th

¹²T.B. Bottomore, Elites and Society (Middlesex: Penguin Books, 1964), pp. 48-68.
13 Joseph Frankel The Making of Forein Policy (London: Oxford

British Foreign Office.' In the 1930s however, due to changing international circumstances which brought the prospects of another war to the forefront of the public mind, public opinion did assert itself strongly in favour of the policies advocated by the peace movement. Thus there was a relatively cohesive mass of opinion constituted of the mobilised general public and the attentive public.

This study will attempt to assess the impact of the peace movement, both on its own and in concert with public opinion, on British foreign policy during the inter-war years. Whilst it is recognised that there is a relationship between the higher civil servants and the decision makers in the Cabinet, and that there is no distinction so fine as that of the Cabinet members deciding policy which the civil servants merely implement, for the purposes of this study the decision makers themselves have been concentrated upon, and the relationship between these elected officials and interest groups — in this case the peace movement.'

Chapter One is a consideration of the birth of the peace movement and its activity in the 1920s. Chapter Two

^{&#}x27;Sir Ivone Kirkpatrick, The Inner Circle (London: MacMillan & Co. Ltd., 1959), p. 199.

study (for instance, more detail would be beneficial at pp. 94-108 but is obviously beyond the scope of the study), it could well be the most relevant, since foreign policy making during this period was most definitely the prerogative of the holder of the office of Foreign Secretary. As such, the foreign policy of the day bore the imprint of the foreign secretary, almost to the exclusion of the civil service. See A.L. Rowse, All Souls and Appeasement (London: MacMillan & Co. Ltd., 1961), p. 85.

is an examination of governmental policy during the 1920s and the input from the peace movement. It will be seen that the pacifist impulse which had grown from revulsion against the Great War coincided with the election in 1924 of the first Labour government. There was a commonality of interest between the peace movement and the Labour government in as far as the Government pursued policies advocated by, and in keeping with, the tenets and beliefs of the peace movement, that is to say the conciliation of Germany and the creation of an international system based on peace. This could suggest that the peace movement had a significant effect on the policy of the Labour government. However it is here argued that the coterminous policies were attributable to the basic ideology of the Labour Party with . regard to foreign policy, in the emphasis it placed on internationalism. On these grounds it is difficult to assess the real importance of the peace movement since they were advocating actions which the Labour government would have pursued in any case, as an integral part of its ideology.

Chapter Three examines the evidence of pacifist public opinion outside of the established peace groups, and Chapter Four considers the activities of the Conservative dominated governments during the same period. The 1930s have been considered the hey-day of British pacifism, and in so far as mobilisation of support and becoming an effective organisation this could be a valid belief. However, as

regards success in terms of impact into the political process, then a different picture emerges. In terms of policy, the Conservative governments followed paths contradictory to those advocated by the peace movement, i.e. appearement at the expense of the system of collective security which had been initiated by the Labour government and supported by the peace movement. The explanation for Conservative policy also lies in the realm of ideology — the Conservative tradition with all its implications was continued in the policy of appearement, enshrining no continental commitments.

Such findings suggest that the peace movement had little effect on foreign policy; the fact that the Conservative governments of the 1930s took little notice of it despite the overwhelming evidence of the pacifist mood raises the question as to whether it can be judged as being successful in the context of influence during the 1920s, or whether this can be attributed to the internationalist tenets of the Labour Party.

(ii) What were the choices open to the government?

The study will also address the contention that appeasement was the only policy acceptable to the electorate, by an explanation and consideration of the policies advocated by the peace movement, and also the Labour Party. Throughout Chapters Two, Three and Four it will be seen that the Labour governments, in keeping with

the philosophy of the peace movement, worked towards the establishment of a collective security system based on the League of Nations, which would ultimately banish war from the international system. Disputes would be settled by international arbitration under the Permanent Court of International Justice and transgressors of international law would be faced with the combined strength and disapprobation of the community of nations. Whilst it has to be admitted that this was somewhat of an idealistic conception, it was a realistic reaction to the international situation during the calm decade of the 1920s. E.H. Carr characterised the 1920s in terms of the "intellectualism of international politics". ' Utopian rationalism was re-born in the years following World War One, and the belief in such structures as the League and the Permanent Court was proof of this. A system of collective security demanded the confrontation of an aggressor with collective coercion, and as subsequent evidence has shown, had this system been mobilised against Hitler, then the international system might not have deteriorated to the extent that it did during the late 1930s. The Conservative governments merely paid lip service to the League and its principles of collective security, but pursued as an alternative a policy of appeasement, which really was not an effective and planned out policy, rather a reaction to individual events as they arose -- a

^{&#}x27;E.H. Carr, The Twenty Years Crisis (New York: Harper & Row, 1964), p. 27.

manifestation of the fundamental Conservative perception of the worth of non-intervention.

An attempt will be made to assess the impact of the peace movement on public opinion and governmental policy in the concluding chapter, along with a refutation of some of the classical arguments directed against the peace movement on the basis of these findings.

II. THE PEACE MOVEMENT DURING THE 1920s

A. The Great War

The outbreak of the First World War focused and crystallised the rather vague and idealised notions of pacifism and war resistance which had been current in Britain during the nineteenth century'' and channelled them into one of two organisations established for such a purpose, depending on whether the motivation behind the pacifist belief was religious or political. Stretching back to the Reformation, the only refuge for those who had objections to war had been the Kistoric peace churches, beginning with the Anabaptists and the Mennonites, and continuing with the Society of Friends (Quakers), the Plymouth Brethren and the Christadelphinians. The War prompted the foundation of two highly organised and efficient Fellowships, which were responsible to a great extent for publicising the conception of pacifism and gaining sympathy for their supporters. These organisations were the No-Conscription Fellowship (N-CF) and the Fellowship of Reconciliation (FoR).

[&]quot;For instance the idea of the Just War, as developed in medieval political thought, and carried down through the centuries; Bentham's philosophy of the community of interest between nations, coining the word 'international'; Cobden's idea that there is no rational motive for war.

The No-Conscription Fellowship

The N-CF was founded in November 1914 by Fenner Brockway; the editor of the <u>Labour Leader</u>, the journal of the Independent Labour Party (ILP), on the suggestion of his wife to find out the number of men who were not prepared to undertake combatant service. The ethos of the N-CF sprang essentially from the socialist inspiration of its supporters; it was a body campaigning against the imposition of conscription, and as such had specifically political aims. The National Committee was composed of socialist activists, for example, Clifford Allen, and the bulk of its support tended to come from young ILP socialists who objected to the negation of personal liberty which the introduction of conscription represented:

This country is faced with the most insidious danger that can confront a free people in the claim of the state to dispose of a man's life against his will, and what is worse, against his moral convictions, and of his service without consent.'

The primary object of the movement was to challenge the right of government to impose conscription, even in the event of war; as such it was concerned with the defence of libertarian principles rather than any platantly religious

Fenner Brockway, Inside The Left (London: New Leader, Ltd., 1947), pp. 66-67.

^{&#}x27;Clifford Allen, as quoted in Joyce Berkman, "Pacifism in England 1914-1915" (unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, Yale University, 1967), p. 42.

motives. Both Brockway and his wife were supporters of the Keir Hardie faction within the IEP, and Keir Hardie had vocalised his discontent at the prospect of conscription even before the War began:

All forms of militarism belong to the past. It comes down to us as a relic of the days when kings and nobles ruled as well as reigned, and when workers were voteless, voiceless serfs. Militarism and democracy cannot be blended ... compulsory military service is the negation of democracy. It compels the youth of the country, under penalty of fine and imprisonment, to learn the art of war. That is despotism, not democracy. No liberty loving people will tolerate having these forms of servitude forced upon them. Conscription is the badge of the slave.

The results of research by historian Denis Hayes would seem to indicate that the fears of Hardie, the ILP and also the trades unions were indeed founded in fact. In his study Hayes suggested that there was a connection between the drive for compulsory military training on the part of some Conservatives and their reaction against the tide of popular politics and trade union power which had arisen since the suffrage expansion and attendant social reform. The National Service League, formed in 1902, was a conscriptionist pressure group composed of staunch conservatives who believed compulsory military training could serve a social as well as military function. It is

^{2 *}As quoted in D.Boulton, Objection Overuled (London; MacGibbon & Kee, 1967), p. 99.

Publishing House, 1973), p. 9.

interesting to note the blossoming of youth groups around the start of the century, such as the Boy Scouts, Church Lads and the Boys Brigade, which, it has been suggested, "represented an attempt by political, military and religious elites to manipulate and socialise potentially rebellious youths."?

The foundation of the N-CF represented a divergence of Christianity's hear-monopoly of pacifism, and was to prove a more effective campaigning body than its Christian counterpart, the Fellowship of Reconciliation.

The Fellowship of Reconciliation

The FoR was formed at a conference of 130 pacifist Christians at Trinity College, Cambridge, during the Christmas period in 1914. Although largely Non-conformist and Quaker, it became an umbrella organisation under which the fragmented peace groups within each of the major religious denominations came together. Its basis was explicitly religious, revolving around the contradiction between the basic tenets of God's law and the way of

² ² <u>Ibid</u>., p. 10.

war. 2.3 Since their argument was more a moral assertion than one of political reasoning, the FoR tended to be a much more muted movement than the N-CF; those disagreeing with the militant stance of the N-CF would join the FoR (and this included a fair number of socialists e.g. George Lansbury, a future leader of the Labour Party), which stood for spiritual reassurance rather than the mobilisation of its members, taking the view that the individual conscience should dictate to man, and not mass propaganda. The organisation was described by a Quaker as one "which works by prayer and the propogation of a right spirit, which eschews political action and is not in any hurry to count up results."

The work of the No-Conscription Fellowship and the Fellowship of Reconciliation

Whilst the N-CF and the FoR began their campaigns in 1914, the pacifist stance remained relatively unknown until the Military Service Act of January 1916 brought their

Look! Christ in khaki, out in France, thrusting his bayonet into the body of a German Worker. See! The Son of God with a machine gun, ambushing a column of German infantry, catching them unawares in a lane and mowing them down in their helplessness. Hark! The Man of Sorrows in a cavalry charge, cutting, hacking, thrusting, crushing, theering. No! No! That picture is an impossible one, and we all know it. That settles the matter for me. I cannot uphold the war. Clifford Allen in the preface to J.W.

Graham, Conseniption and Conscience (London: Allen & Urwin, 1922), p. 22.

adherents into confrontation with the state. It was not until conscientious objectors to war began to vocalise their views as a direct result of the tribunals system provided under the Act that sufficient interest in the public mind was generated and the strong basis of a pacifist movement founded.

The Act, which instituted conscription for all unmarried men and widows without children and dependents, aged eighteen to forty-one, did in fact contain a conscience clause. This was largely a result of the long tradition of voluntary military forces which Britain had enjoyed, which was in itself a result of the geographical fact that Britain was an island, and the English channel existed as an effective natural barrier to territorial invasion. was also the belief that freedom from conscription was almost a natural right of the British people, which had grown as a consequence of the relative ease with which an empire was created and maintained by a volunteer army and navy, when continental powers had perceived the necessity of imposing conscription. Herbert Asquith, Prime Minister of the coalition government in 1916, faced with the necessity of imposing conscription, obviously saw it to be prudent to include a conscience clause, mindful of the libertarian principles of the Liberal members of the Cabinet. However, even the Conservative faction accepted the case for the inclusion of such, but not one so vague as that which was included in the Act. They were willing to accept objections to service on religious grounds only, and even then just to members of the historic peace churches like the Quakers and the Christadelphinians, and they certainly did not expect the clause to allow for total and unconditional exemption; they could only contemplate exemption from military/combatant service.

The N-CF did much to aid the conscientious objectors passing through the tribunal system. Every known conscientious objector had his own record card; daily lists of courts martial were compiled; a daily bulletin was issued giving information on the number of men arrested and where they were taken. This service was invaluable to the families and friends of the conscientious objectors, since it represented their only source of information. A network of prison visitors and camp and quardroom contacts was made, and an N-CF representative attended most courts-martial. published its own newspaper, The Tribunal, and this, combined with a press department which supplied other newspapers with information on the work of the Fellowship. and the treatment of its members in prison or in the army, increased public awareness of the conscientious objectors With its close links to the Labour Party (through its most prominent M.P. member, Philip Snowden), the N-CF could keep parliament informed as to the treatment of prisoners and express its views on the proposals put forward by the government for dealing with conscientious objectors. That the N-CF was effective as a pressure group cannot be

doubted; the government could not ignore it, and the "fiery advocacy and racy panache" of The Tribunal drew the attention of the government to the point of prosecuting members of the editorial staff under the Defence of the Realm Act for publishing literature liable to undermine the war, effort.

The work of the FoR was not as political as that of the N-CF. Much of the Fellowship's work concerned informing public opinion. They joined with the N-CF and the Quakers Friends Committee to form a Joint Advisory Council to deal with the basic problems connected with conscription. But on the whole theirs was a morally supportive role.

The Garsington Community

Garsington was the home of Philip Morrell, a pacifist Liberal M.P. and his wife, Lady Ottoline Morrell, patroness of the arts and "a very remarkable woman." They provided a haven, and a very congenial one, for literary and artistic figures who objected to war and had pacifist leanings.

Garsington was a manor house set in spacious grounds in the countryside which provided a calm and isolated retreat for such figures as Siegfried Sassoon, D.H. Lawrence, John Middleton Murray and his wife Katherine Mansfield, Henry

² J. Rae, Conscience and Politics (London: Oxford University Press, 1970), p. 202.
2 Robert Gathorne-Hardy, ed., Ottoline at Garsington.
Memoirs of Lady Ottoline Morret 1 1915-1918 (London: Faber & Faber, 1974), p. 25.

James and the whole of the Bloomsbury group (Leonard and Virginia Woolf, Roger Fry, Clive Bell, Duncan Grant, Lytton Strachey) at frequent intervals.²⁷

England from injuries received at the front, "heard that there was another side to the question of war guilt.".

Conscientious objectors were permitted to work on the manor farm as an alternative to serving in the army. Largely as a result of his time spent at Garsington, and in the company of pacifist artists, Siegfried Sassoon decided to make a dramatic protest against the war. After consultation with Bertrand Russell and John Middleton-Murray. he handed a statement, rejecting war, to his commanding officer. The turmoil he experienced in doing this is clearly described in his semi-autobiographical Memoirs of an Infantry Officer.

Garsington was unique in that it attracted both political and non-political pacifists and as such it represented a secular combination of the forces of both the N-CF and the FoR. The non-political pacifists, such as the Bloomsbury group, D.H. Lawrence and Siegfried Sassoon, favoured personal modes of protest as opposed to organised participation in the cause, whereas the more politically

²'<u>Ibid</u>.

^{2 *}Robert Graves, Goodbye To All That (London: Jonathan Cape, 1929), p. 307.

Gathorne-Hardy, Ottoline at Garsington, p.p. 181-182; see also Siegfried Sassoon, Siegfrieds Journey (London: Faber & Faber, 1921), p. 52

Faber & Faber, 1930), pp: 308-334.

motivated pacifists such as Bertrand Russell and Arnold Ponsonby, were not only active within the Garsington community but were also leading lights of organised peace groups such as the N-CF, and as will be described later, the Union of Democratic Control (UDC). The Garsington pacifists shared an intellectual humanist inspiration for their pacifism, compared with the religious and socialist basis for the belief of the members of the N-CF and the FoR.

B. The Legacy of the War and the 1920s

The nature of the war itself did much to aid the cause of pacifism. It was a generally held opinion at the outbreak of war that Britain need only get involved by utilising her navy, still the strongest in Europe, and also by acting as Europe's banker through her pre-eminent financial position. Thus an appeal was made for 100,000 men, and the initial response to this call for volunteers was overwhelming — over two million men volunteered in the first nine months. This inclined the government and military officials toward a far greater participation in the land war than had been at first anticipated, and the response began to trail off as the real nature of the war was revealed, both to the government and the public. The convictions of the military - Rae, Conscience and Politics, p. 4.

officials that the war would be short and easily won proved to be a grave mistake. The patriotic exuberence of the first year of the war gave way to horror at the loss of life, and to what end? Trench warfare had quickly produced a stalemate, and the war seemed to be making no progress. The war was proving neither short nor glorious, and thus soldiers at the front experiencing the decidedly unromantic reality of modern warfare, and their friends and relatives at home ever more despairing as to the purpose of the war, needed no encouragement to cultivate pacifist sentiments.

"War was not glamour or glory but abysmal grief and purposeful waste."

Not only was revulsion against the horrors of the Great War in itself a reason behind the blossoming of pacifist support it also had the effect of swaying the public mind with regard to future wars: "Peace was the only policy the British people seemed willing to endorse. Fear of wardominated and oppressed the public mind." There was a spirit of determination that such a thing should never happen again. This had manifested itself as early as August 1914 in the form of radical and internationalist organisations such as the Union of Democratic Control, and in September 1915 of the Womens International League for Peace and Freedom (WILPF). Founded by Ramsey MacDonald,

Weidenfield & Nicolson, 1966), p. 93.

³ Vera Brittain, Testament of Experience (London: Gollancz Ltd., 1957), p. 80. ³ Martin Gilbert, The Roots of Appeasement (London:

Norman Angell and Garsington pacifists Arnold Ponsonby and E.D. Morrell, the UDC was organised to remedy what its founders perceived as the situation which led up to the Great War i.e. that foreign affairs in all countries were in the control of professional diplomats. It was held that "the people" did not want war but were led into it by the vested interests of arms manufacturers and diplomats. Thus the UDC wanted to help secure public assent, if not control, over British foreign policy, which would in turn enhance international understanding.

The WILPF was the British section of the Womens
International League (WIL), and had at its core suffragists,
but attracted women from all walks of life anxious to work
for peace. It had five objectives written into its
constitution:

- 1. to work for that peace between nations, races, and classes which is based on justice and goodwill
- 2. to work for the acceptance of the belief that war is a crime
 - 3. to substitute Conference and Law for Coercive Force:
- . 4. to secure full rights of citizenship to women
 - 5. to cooperate with women in other countries who are working for the same ends.

During the 1920s they were very active, sending deputations to the Foreign Office; taking part in No-More-War demonstrations countrywide; attending international British Library of Political and Economic Science, WFLPF

papers, Annual Reports, 1915-1928.

"The Responsibility of the Women of the British Empire to work for World Peace." Their primary purpose was to educate, particularly women, and to this end they held petitions and organised meetings to "educate and arouse British women and men" as to the possibilities of peaceful resolution of disputes:

Our problem was to find some simple means of securing that support of public opinion which is necessary if the Government is to be influenced in the direction of accepting the principle of peaceful settlement of international disputes?

For this purpose they organised a "Peacemakers Pilgrimage" in 1926, ending with a demonstration in Hyde Park on 19

June, and a deputation to the Foreign Secretary on 6 July.

Support for the WILPF came from Time and Tide, edited by Lady Rhondda and Winifred Holtby, the contributors including some important women pacifists such as Vera Brittain and Ellen Wilkinson (who was later to become a pacifist MP).

A recognition of the need for some form of league of nations became widely realised. A League of Nations society had been founded in 1915, and a separate organisation, the League of Free Nations Association had been established in 1917. These two fused in 1918 to form the League of Nations Union, which aimed to support wholeheartedly the League of

^{&#}x27;' Ibid.

Brittain, Testament of Experience, pp. 42-44

Nations by nurturing the growth of its influence and prestige and gaining the support of British public opinion and thus the British government. It's membership far. surpassed that of all other existing peace groups and as a supra-party and non-religious body gained far more supporters than did the religious groups (such as the Society of Friends, the FoR) or the socialist groups (e.g. the No More War Movement, as the successor to the N-CF was: known). It developed a network of branches all over Britain and by 1929-there existed 2,760 branches and 650 junior branches. "Lord Robert Cecil was its first chairman, (who, incidentally, had done much to shape the Covenant as a British delegate at the Paris Peace Conference), from 1919 to 1923, and on his resignation, having accepted the post of Lord Privy Seal in the first Baldwin cabinet, he regarded "the steady advance of the Government towards a sounder view, as we think, of the League's policy has been due entirely to the growth of the Union and the effectiveness with which its force in the country has been brought before: Ministers and Members of Parliament. " 40

It cannot be doubted that the LNU amply fulfilled its self-proclaimed role as the educator of the public in terms of foreign policy and the importance of the League for future peaceful international relations; indeed it was

[&]quot;Prinest Bramsted, "Apostles of Collective Security. The LNU and its Functions", The Australian Journal of Politics and History, 13: (3) (1967), 35.

**Quoted in Bramsted, Ibid., pp. 347-364.

largely responsible for the wave of internationalism which characterised the peace movement during the 1920s. The LNU became the apostles of collective security in the public mind. Collective security in the form of the League, and the faith in its ability to use its moral authority to ensure peace, were the basis of the internationalism which manifested itself during the balmy international scene of the 1920s. This was particularly apparent after the initiation of the Geneva Protocol in 1924, and the Locarno Treaties of 1925. E.H. Carr, writing in 1936, had this view of public opinion and also internationalism in connection with the League:

For the intellectual, the League means the text of the Covenant with its complicated machinery for the enforcement of peace. For the layman, the League is different. Their faith is religious rather than political — it clings to the spirit of the thing and is reinforced by the ancient and instinctive British prejudice against written constitutions.

The LNU seemed to be motivating public opinion as it had never been motivated before, and the man to a large extent responsible for this was Lord Cecil. He endeavoured to inform and educate the public on the one hand (through the many meetings of the various branches of the LNU, and also through the official LNU publication, Headway) of the

^{&#}x27;'E.H. Carr, "Public Opinion as a Safeguard of Peace in International Affairs", Royal Institute of International Affairs, 15 (1936), 55.

necessity of supporting the League and collective security, while at the same time pressing for disarmament, and also to impress upon the government the imperative necessity of pursuing a pro-League foreign policy. The following is a telling comment from Cecil addressed to Stanley Baldwin, bemoaning the inability of the War Office and the Admiralty to take the question of disarmament seriously:

I am really disturbed, because I am quite convinced that public opinion demands that something should be done in this matter. The fighting services must recognise that in the end they must be governed like all of the rest of us by public opinion, and must move with it or find themselves sooner or later overwhelmed by it.

Collective security and disarmament were not perceived as incompatible aims. Since the League existed to solve disputes by arbitration, then the need to increase existing armaments was seen to be nonsensical. Gradual multilateral disarmament was seen to be a natural progression, with the League dealing with crises by moral authority and recourse to international law, rather than confronting aggression with aggression. Article XVI of the League Covenant did sanction the use of military force under the auspices of the League but this would take the form of a multi-national force.

[&]quot;British Library, Lord Cecil of Chelwood Papers, 51080, December 5, 1925.

In the late 1920s the Churches became aware of the importance of their role to support openly peace and condemn modern warfare. The motivation behind this move seems to have been the need to rectify the unseemly reputation acquired as a result of its initial enthusiasm for the Great War. This image had to be expunged if the Anglican Church was to become as active within the peace movement as were the Non-conformists. Thus a "Christ and Peace" campaign was initiated in October 1929, which was to last a little over a year, and included such actions as a National Day of Prayer on the Sunday preceding the Conference on Naval Armaments in London on 21 January 1930. The Archbishop of Canterbury

I wonder whether you; as Prime Minister, knowing as no-one else does what depends upon this Conference; could either write me a short letter or authorise me to say that you would very greatly welcome this association (1) " Christian people of Great Pritain

Although not obtobether satisfactory, due mainly to a lack of leadership and organisation, the campaign did represent the first time hurch of England clergy had taken a stoo to be as active as '' ir colleagues on the question of pocifism

Not only did parifist sentiment emerge within the context of organised groups, but also in the form of 'Public Record Office, Ramsey MacDonald Papers, FRO 30,69, 672 (pt. 3) for 171 ''', December 3, 1929.

individual protest. The most important and significant of these was the campaign launched by Lord Arthur Ponsonby in 1925. As a founder of the UDC, fervent supporter of the League and Under-Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs in the first babour cabinet, he was responsible for propelling pacifism to the forefront of public consciousness. In October 1925 he formed a campaign to collect signatures on a petition which read;

We, the undersigned, convinced that all disputes between nations are capable of settlement either by diplomatic negotiation or by some form of International Arbitration, hereby solemnly declare that we shall refuse to support or render war service to any government which resorts to arms.

By December 1927 he was able to present to Prime Minister Baldwin 128,770 signatures, an impressive forerunner of a letter sent to the press by Dick Sheppard in 1934 which prompted 136,000 signatures. 44

Ponsonby followed this Peace Letter Campaign by the publication of a statement of his views contained in Now is the Time: An Appeal for Peace (1925). He was against war not on religious grounds but because of "it's failure to achieve a single desirable object, whatever the gigantic, cost may be."' It was a utilitarian consideration that the benefits of war could never outweigh the destruction and

Ounted in Ceadel, Ibid., p. 81.

^{**}Imperial War Museum, Miscellaneous Papers, 380, Item 21.

**Martin Ceadel, Pacifism in Britain 1914-1945 (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1980), p. 80.

misery it would cost, and he railed against the Authority who encouraged a romantic image of warfare, and the nationalist bias of history books, the glorification of the soldier/nation/empire by the press, government, churches and schools, along with the compulsory Officer Training Corps. **

Ponsonby's aim was to alert the peacetime public mind to pacifism and thus be able to confront a government with a substantial body of opinion which would brook ho war. He had elucidated the point in a Cambridge Union debate on March 8, 1927, which passed the motion "that lasting peace can only be secured by the people of England adopting an uncompromising attitude of pacifism." It is interesting to note that this debate caused no murmurs in the press, as compared to a later Oxford Union debate.**

The revulsion against the War was shared by governmental figures. Despite Lloyd George's lofty sentiments expressed halfway through his term as Prime Minister, his earlier outbursts of his intention to squeeze. Germany until the pips squeaked, and the consternation over the Greek/Turkish fighting in what became known as the Chanak Crisis, forced his resignation. 50

I mean to go wherever the policy of European pacification leads me. There is nothing else worth fighting for at the present moment. Office is certainly not worth a struggle apart from what you

^{**}See Berkman, Pacifism in England, p. 96.

^{&#}x27;'The Listener, February 23, 1978.

Dack Watson, Twentieth Century World Affairs, (London: John Murray, 1977), p. 23.

can accomplish through it. It is the policy that matters and not the premiership. 51

The press reflected the mood of the country: Lord Beaverbrook, owner of the Daily Express decided to become "an agitator for peace. The people by this time were against war. Some Ministers might still wish to go to war. They could unfurl the banners and beat the drums. But the nation would not march. "52 Those politicians who were thought to favour war as a method of resolving disputes as opposed to negatiations were derided. A prime example of this is the fact that Winston Churchill, after twenty-two years as an M.P., was defeated in the 1922 election. Churchill appeared in H.G. Wells' acidic book, Men like Gods as Rupert Catskill, Secretary of State for War, whose "violent imaginations have caused the deaths of thousands of people"5' In his election address, the ILP candidate, Fenner Brockway, encouraged by Clifford Allen, described Churchill as "a public danger and a menace to the peace of the world."54

The 1919 Manifesto of the Peace Society included the following lines:

⁵ 'Quoted in Gilbert, Roots of Appeasement, p. 83.

⁵ Lord Beaverbrook, The Decline and Fall of Lloyd George (London: Collins, 1963), p. 169. See also Gilbert, Roots of Appeasement, p. 144 who relates how Geoffrey Dawson, the editor of The Times, in an effort to display to the Germans his keeness for reconciliation, edited the paper to contain only 'favourable' articles on Germany.

⁵ H.G. Wells, Men like Gods (London: Cassell and Company, 1923), p. 116.

⁵ Martin Gilbert, Plough My Own Furrow. The Story of Lord Allen of Hurtwood (London: Longmans, 1965), p. 176.

The avoidance of war became the first preoccupation of every member of Parliament whose seat depended on the man in the streets vote. The election addresses of Members of Parliament may have been written for them by the intellectuals. But speeches and votes in the House, whenever military sanctions are the issue, are dictated by the opinions of the man in the street.

the property with a state of the property of the second

If the mascant pacifism of a large portion of the . British public was merely awaiting impetus to materialise in more positive form, then the hear saturation of the literary field with fictional and non-fictional accounts of the war and the revival of interest in the works of the war poets which occurred at the end of the 1920s was an important factor. The list of such works is quite substantial, but the most popular included Siegfried Sassoon's Memoirs of a Fox Hunting Man, (1928) and Memoirs of an Intantry Officer (1930), R.C. Sherriff's book and play Journeys End (1929, (1930), R. Aldington's Death of a Hero (1929), Robert Graves Goodbye To All That (1930). Books from other countries reinforced the effect of the British ones, for instance, The Enormous Room (1928) by E.E. Cummings, All Quiet on the Western Front (1929) by Erich Marie Remarque and A Farewell To Arms (1929) by Ernest Hemingway. The popularity of such books prompted the republication of the works of the warpoets, such as Sassoon, Owen and Brooke. The significance of this literary trend in helping to nurture the spirit of anti-militarism lay in its very nature: the glorification of war evident in epic battle literature had no place in the **Carr, "Public Opinion", p. 856.

work of the war poets. Their aim was to communicate the reality of modern warfare, with its weapons not only responsible for death but mutilation and dismemberment, to the civilians at home. Not only had it been their self imposed mission to strip warfare of its romance, in many cases it was a catharsis for themselves, mentally necessary to come to terms with the horror of it all. This obsessive mental state is evidenced in Sassoon's poem, "Repression of War Experience":

You're guiet and peaceful, simmering safe at home;
You'd never think there was a bloody war on...
O'yes you would ... why, you can hear the guns.
Hark! Thud, thud, thud, -- quite soft ... they
never cease Those whispering guns -- O Christ, I want to go out
And screech at them to stop -- I'm going crazy;
I'm going stark, staring mad because of those
guns.;

Robert Graves describes in his autobiography a similar experience he had with Edmund Blunden: "Edmund had war shock as badly as myself, and we would talk each other into an almost hysterical state about the trenches. We agreed that we would not be right until we got all that talk on to

[&]quot;'See for instance the horrors of poison gas described in Wilfred Owen's "Dulce est Decorum Est".

^{*} Sassoon in John H. Johnstone, Emglish Poetry of the First World War (New Jersey: Princeton University Press, 1964), p. 97

Graves, Goodbye To All That, pp. 358-359.

The popularity of such works can be explained partly by the gradual dulling of any feelings of animosity which were present at the close of the war. The Weimar Government was seen to be guiding Germany along a stable and peaceful path, and a conciliatory attitude to these former enemies was being followed by British governments during the 1920s. The War could be examined on a reasonably rational basis at last, and the disinterest and disinclination of the public towards the literature of the war faded. Reading such literature provoked a general disillusionment with the War, and perhaps anger directed at the forces responsible for leading Britain into it. The cause of pacifism was to be greatly aided by this burgeoning anti-militarism.

Conclusion on the effect of pacifism in the 1920s

In the decade after the War, pacifism had not advanced too far in British public life beyond the ground it had laid during the War. As a movement it had not achieved much; the average opinion of the typical pacifist whose views drove him into a pacifist organisation could be summed up in this transposed remark by George Orwell: "to ... an ordinary man, a crank meant a pacifist and a pacifist meant a crank." **

The N-CF had lost much of its impetus at the end of the War,

^{5 &#}x27;See Berkman, Pacifism in England, p. 114.
6 George Orwell, The Road to Wigan Pier (New York, 1958), p. 206. In the original it reads socialist and not pacifist.

when conscription was no longer so important an issue as re-adjusting to a post-war world, and the change of its name to the No More War Movement connoting a politically biased organisation which saw pacifism as an extension of a socialist faith. In the Churches, despite the 1929 campaign, the Society of Friends remained the only religious body considered respectable; in the Protestant and Catholic circles, pacifism had little prominent support. It was only to gain charismatic leadership and socially influential support in the 1930s. The Garsington and Bloomsbury advocates of pacifism remained as they had begun, a "separate, elitist group.

However, as a concept and belief, vague though it must have been to the man in the street, pacifism did filter through British society. Mobilised by a personal sense of the horrors of the War, since a majority of people had experienced the grief of a lost relative or friend, and with external input from the stance of the Labour Party, pacifism manifested itself in the internationalism of widespread support of the League and of the disarmament campaign begun in the latter half of the decade. The public had an almost religious belief in the moral authority of the League as a means of preserving peace, and the LNU did its utmost to educate the public as to the importance of the League in future international relations.

But the groundwork had been done; as regards parliamentary support the No More War Movement was to claim

thirty supporters among the ranks of Labour M.P.s. after the 1929 election; and the combined effects of the Depression and the 1931 domestic political crisis served as a catalyst to the growing peace movement. In the 1930s it could call on the services of those who had been preparing for such a time during the 1920s, and had been radicalised over domestic and international events.

Ceadel, Pacifism in Britain, p. 85.

III. GOVERNMENT IN THE 1920s: THE LABOUR EFFORT

The 1920s can be considered the decade in which the high hopes of the Labour Party as regards international peace seemed possible; in spite of the fact they were only in office for two years of the ten, with Conservatives in power for eight; they achieved a great deal as regards pacification and laid the ground for a stable peace system. It was a circumstantial misfortune that this system was never supported or continued in its implementation by the Conservatives.

A. The Election of the first Labour Government

During the general election of 1923, Labour had run on a platform of international cooperation through the League of Nations, and the settlement of disputes by conciliation and arbitration. "Only such a policy, it was believed, would pave the way for disarmament and any chance for future lasting peace. The response of the electorate to Labour's appeal showed that the British people, not just the parliamentary Labour Party and its supporters, were more than willing to endorse a policy of conciliation,

[&]quot;For a detailed account of Labour's foreign policy see Elaine Windrich, British Labour's Foreign Policy (California: Stanford University, 1952).

faith of the socialist, or member of the UDC that world opinion should and could regulate the international community. Already the ambivalence of Baldwin's policy towards the League and disarmament had proved unsatisfactory to the electorate, and was to prove so again at a later stage to a larger body of followers of international affairs.

Pacifists held key posts within the Labour Party: the former leaders of the N-CF, Clifford Allen and Fenner Brockway; became respectively chairman and secretary of the ILP, and the former leaders of the ILP, Ramsey Macdonald, Philip Snowden and Arthur Henderson became the heads of the Labour-government when it was elected into office in January Thus led by pacifists who had been severe critics of the War, and supported by the overwhelmingly pacifist body of the ILP, the Labour government had a mandate to attempt to reform the conduct of international affairs, much as the UDC had earlier advocated, and to nurture the instruments of peace -- that is to say the League. However the pursuit of such objectives was contingent upon the approval of the Liberal M.P.s on whom Labour were dependent for support, owing to the fact the Labour government, was a minority one. Labour were aware that this would have more import for their domestic affairs than their international; the Liberals

That is to say at the 1929 election, and mainly due to the work of the LNU.

would not be so keen to support the application of socialist reforms, but where international affairs were concerned Labour realised it could have an ally in implementing its foreign policy. The Liberals had tended towards agreement with the Labour Party against the post-war foreign policy pursued by the Conservatives, and Labour saw no reason why this trend could not continue while they were in power.

Ramsey Macdonald made an admirable beginning in initiating and effecting the majority of the international policies to which the party was pledged. He attempted the genuine and wholehearted conciliation of Germany whilst at the same time allaying French anxieties. Hence the acceptance at the London Conference in July 1924 of the Dawes Plan to ease the reparations problem. After this conference, and in the light of the constant French search? for security, MacDonald hoped that a more general solution to the problem of collective security could be put before the foreign ministers at the Assembly of the League. would necessarily entail a strengthening of the security provisions of the League, since the Covenant conceded the right to engage in war to nations failing to settle their disputes by pacific means (Article XV). The Council of the League would have to become more powerful in order to identify the aggressor in conflict situations and act thereupon to impose economic, and if necessary, military sanctions. Labour believed that the threat of sanctions would prove such a deterrent against potential aggressors

that states would be less likely to overstep the moral boundaries imposed by the League; thus arbitration, and not force would become accepted as the only method of resolving international disputes. This would destroy the balance of power system, seen as the major cause of World War One, and create security for all nations. Elements of such proposals were contained in the Draft Treaty of Mutual Assurance presented to the League's Temporary Mixed Gommission, composed of individual delegates rather than official government, delegations. Lord Cecil had been one such .delegate, but his ideas had been modified due to Conservative pressure, and due to such the treaty laid much emphasis on military security pacts with disarmament a minor part of the overall plan. MacDonald made an alternative proposal, in conjunction with the French Prime Minister, Herriot, which led to MacDonald's personal appearance at the Fifth Assembly of the League (an indication of the Labour government's enthusiasm) and the introduction of a resolution which led to the adoption in early October of the Geneva Protocol, clearly defining the aggressor in future conflicts as a state which refused to submit to pacific settlement, and making provision for collective coercive measures against them. The Protocol laid down a system of arbitration whereby all disputes would be settled by pacific means. The coercion clauses were largely seen by Labour as a sop to "unreal" French anxieties, and it was not expected that a situation could arise which would demand the extremes

of military force. Rather the aggressor would think twice before committing an act which would incur the moral condemnation of the League, or if he continued, back down under the force of the combined material resistance of the community of nations.

The Protocol had as its natural successor disarmament, since by its own terms no state would be in need of more armaments than those sufficient to maintain the international policing force. Since this was so integral to the scheme of things, it was provided that a disarmament conference would be convened in 1925 on the condition that the Protocol had been ratified by at least a majority of the permanent members of the Council and ten other members of the League Assembly. Once this plan had been adopted by the international conference, the Protocol would come into force.

However, the Labour government was voted out of office before they could ratify the Protocol (in November 1924), and it was never ratified under the Conservative leadership of Baldwin and Austen Chamberlain, who disapproved of world de commitments and did not trust overmuch in compulsory tration as a means of preserving peace. This appeared to be the first of a series of misperceptions on the part of the Conservatives, since the Frotocol did not enlarge the commitments of the signatories except with regard to the submission of disputes to pacific settlement. It created no obligations as regards the use of armed force which were not

already enshrined in the Covenant, and commitments to the use of force were actually restricted, and not enlarged, with less probability of the use thereof. Yet the Conservatives chose to reject the Profocol.

The general election effectively dashed the hopes of Tabour to complete the foreign policy programme it had initiated. But it was important that they had achieved a great deal: a spirit of compromise and cooperation had been introduced onto the scene of international affairs, and a policy of conciliation with Germany had been embarked upon with the approval, albeit cautious, of France. Steps had been made to enhance the power of the League whilst building a system of peaceful arbitration and security, and proposals a disarmament confirmed had been made at Geneva.

Paldwin - "neor" Cabinot November 1934 to June 1929

The Conservative preference for a limited, regional security arrangement rather than what they perceived as blanket, worldwide commitments, led to their ultimate rejection of the Protocol. This was a profound disappointment for Labour, who viewed with alarm the potential implications of the rejection: since the French would be left with no quarantee of security, and the process of disarmament could not be begun, then the French would be

driven to seek security in alliances outside of the League and the proposed disarmament conference would be doomed. The conciliation of Germany could not be confinued since the Allies would have failed to fulfil their previous commitment to disarm as laid down in the Covenant and before that the Versailles Treaty, thus leaving Germany with the conviction that only her arms were to be restricted. The Conservative government's initial prevarication over the issue had already led to a crisis over Germany's fulfilment of its disarmament obligations: the Allies reneged on the agreement to evacuate the Rhineland after five years, instead recommending its prolongation since they believed Germany had defaulted in carrying out the disarmament conditions of the treaties. Labour condemned this move as illegal and hardly conducive to the consolidation of peace.

However, conciliation was too attractive a proposition to abandon completely, and in view of domestic and European expectancy that the Conservatives <u>ought</u> to continue, albeit in their own fashion, the good work Labour had begun. Their position was made evident in a letter received by Austen Chamberlain on his appointment as Foreign Secretary:

Great Britain and the United States are the only two nations that have the power, and a public opinion back of that power, necessary to lead mankind through the paths of peace toward a material reduction of armaments. The League of Nations is the only practical instrument by which this can be done, and, unhappily, the U.S., at the moment, refuses to use it as effectively as might be done to accomplish this object, therefore the hope of the

world lies largely in your hands and those of the Prime Minister. "

The Conservatives devised an alternative plan based on the guarantee of the current territorial status on the Rhine; that is to say the Franco/German frontier and the demilitarised zone of the Rhineland were declared permanent and inviolable, to be quaranteed by Great Britain and Italy, Rejecting the Protocol on the grounds that it entailed excessive obligations, the Conservatives had substituted a treaty which made the British government the effective quarantor of that treaty, and not the League, which, with the Italian government had the responsibility of enforcing the principle of compulsory arbitration on the other parties. The Labour Opposition argued that the treaty was partial and liable to return the international scene to the post-war system of sectional and thus potentially dangerous groupings. However, the Conservatives perceived the Locarno Pacts as a reasonably close continuation of the conciliatory policy begun by Labour.

Thus did Europe move into the "pale sunlight of Locarno" as Churchill phrased it. The divergence in attitude and policy between MacDonald and Chamberlain was a basic but important one: Chamberlain wanted conciliation with Germany not on the merits of her case, as MacDonald tended, but because of the desire shared by the

[&]quot;Public Record Office, Austen Chamberlain papers, Letter from William House of New York, PRO, FO, 800, 256.

Conservatives to bring her back into the European scene as a balance against France, and to re-establish the old balance of power. The Conservative perception of the implications of the Locarno treaties likewise differed from the Labour intentions for the Protocol: a good majority of the Conservative cabinet saw it as a means of freeing Great Britain from the necessity of involvement in continental affairs, whereas it had in fact made Britain a dominating factor in European affairs -- but not in the way MacDonald would have liked. Labour saw the Protocol as being an additional facet of the system of collective security they were attempting to build in international relations, and a complement to the League. The Conservatives perceived the a pacts as a re-establishment of isolationism, and the opportunity to stem the tide of internationalism the Labour government had initiated.

Despite the fact that the Geneva Protocol was effectively dead, Labour continued to urge the government to take advantage of the potential of the League to establish an international security system along the lines Labour itself advocated, as opposed to the "sectional alliances which lead to a growing tension between the nations of Europe, contribute to the sense of insecurity prevalent amongst the Governments, and indefinitely postpone any

proposals of a substantial advance towards disarmament." 65 Thus on the receipt of the proposal for the Kellogg-Briand Pact, a move made by the French to the United States to enhance her security (a move anticipated by Labour), which outlined a treaty renouncing war; and which the U.S. desired to make multi-lateral, the Labour Party encouraged the government to seize this opportunity to support a pact which could be made an effective instrument for the maintenance of world peace. MacDonald's opinion that it should be accepted "without attaching reservations" was not shared by the Conservatives, who were only prepared to accept the pact under certain conditions. "These conditions were tantamount to a British Monroe Doctrine, in that Britain would agree to it in principle only where it would not impinge on areas vital to the safety of the Empire. The government also sought to emphasise that it should have no restrictions where the rights of self-defence were concerned. Needless to say, Labours reaction to this reserved response was highly critical. These Conservative demands had largely altered the original intent of the Pact, and the stipulations as regards self-defence, although recognised in the Covenant as a legitimate excuse for war, seemed to be so elastic as to give the British government provision to object to any interference in any area which could be loosely construed as vital to the interests of the British Empire.

²⁰⁸ H.C. Deb., July 11, 1927. Cols 1761-62. 217 H.C. Deb. May 10, 1928. Col 446.

The real test of the effectiveness of the Pact would bewhether or not it had, as a logical progression, the
adoption of a policy of disarmament, since "the substantial
justification for our colossal armaments, and our swollen
expenditure, no longer exist if this Pact is a real
renunciation of war on our part." The result, in the
negative, did not take long to materialise. It appeared
that the government's attitude towards disarmament had not
changed with its guarded agreement to outlaw war, as
Henderson noted during a resolution for world peace and
disarmament at the annual conference of the Labour Party
held at Birmingham in 1926:

The government is pursuing, in a pre-war spirit, a policy which is preventing any progress being made towards Disarmament, and which assumes that the Covenant of the League of Nations, the Pact for the Outlawry of War, and the Locarno Treaties, have contributed nothing to the security of the world. **

The failure of the Geneva Protocol had delayed the organisation of a disarmament conference, or, as Henderson phrased it: "This government destroyed a comprehensive plan for the organisation of World Peace known as the Geneva Protocol, and thus made it impossible to hold a Disarmament Conference in 1925." Labour had been pressing constantly for some move to be made in this sphere, but to no avail,

^{67 220} H.C. Deb. July 30, 1928. Cols.1818-1860.

^{&#}x27;' Public Record Office, Arthur Henderson Papers, PRO, FO, 800. 282, fs. 72.
''Ibid.

and even when the League Assembly attempted to implement Articles VIII and IX of the Covenant by requesting the Council to make preparatory arrangements for a conference on the reduction of armaments, the British delegation insisted on the preparatory nature of the arrangements, since it felt that the time was not right for an actual conference, and opposed the actual fixing of a date for one. But their reactionary attitude to the whole question with the Preparatory Disarmament Commission was a major factor which led to the resignation of Viscount Cecil, their representative on the Commission, chiefly because they opposed the limit of expenditure on armaments as outlined in the 1927 Naval Conference in Geneva. ' Cecil had continually come up against the opposition of the Cabinet over an agreement to reduce and limit armaments, and had earlier complained bitterly to Baldwin over the indifference of the War Office and the Admiralty on the question of

disarmament.''

⁷ºIbid. "British British Library, Lord Cecil of Chelwood Papers, 51080. December 12, 1925. Cecil had first become aware of the difficulty of his position as a man holding internationalist views within the Conservative Party on his return from the Peace Conference. In his autobiography he records the growing rift between himself and the Party, and becoming "more and more out of touch with official Conservatism." Viscount Cecil of Chelwood, All The Way, (London: Hodder & Stoughton), 1949, p. 218. In personal communication with the author, historians have argued that Cecil's resignation was inevitable given the rift between himself and the Party which stretched back some years. Whilst he was definitely on the left of the Party, the evidence available would seem to suggest that the disagreement over disarmament was the deciding factor in his resignation.

The Commission was to labour on for five years without The failure of the Geneva Conference set the seal on all future attempts as regards disarmament. In Labour's opinion, it was necessary to have all three legs of the tripod of security, arbitration and disarmament working to secure agreement, and since the Conservative government did not agree compromise could not be reached. Indeed MacDonald regarded the breakdown at Geneva to be a natural failure since disarmament could not be achieved in the absence of a security agreement. "It cannot be done unless we give a full security ... The value of a full security is that when you have got it you immediately begin to practise those habits of arbitration and disarmament that enable you to get into the habit of a peace-loving people." 72 Other League members did realise the importance of the relationship between the three, and attempted to revive some semblance of the Geneva Protocol as the most effective means of establishing the security necessary for the achievement of disarmament.'3

Baldwin's administration had all but wrecked the process Labour had begun in 1924; despite professing a belief in international arbitration at Locarno, the Conservative government had refused to embody this belief by committing itself to ratify the Protocol or by accepting the jurisdiction of the Permanent Court of International Justice. It had done nothing to further the cause of

¹² 210 H.C. Deb., November 24, 1927. Col. 2097, also Cols. 2089-97

vi Windrich, British Labour's Foreign Policy , p. 62.

disarmament. One can only speculate as to the import of such actions for the Conservative government in the 1929 election, in which it was resoundingly defeated, but perhaps their record in foreign policy had an effect on the electorate, and lost them support to the Labour Party.

C. The Second Labour Cabinet, 1929. Lurn to Pacification

At the last election there is no doubt that the question of peace was one which operated very largely and I think with the new voters, it was the love of women for peace which induced the electors to return you to power.

It is not too extreme a speculation to consider that Viscountess Gladstone was partially correct in assuming that the women's vote helped determine the outcome of the election. The Representation of the People (Equal Franchise) Act of 1928 removed the discrimination imposed by the 1918 Act by giving the vote to all women of the age of twenty-one. If the following sentiments were common, then the women's vote was important:

In his recent letter arguing the old case that women should not have a further extension of the franchise because they are not fighters, Mr P.E. Roberts of Worcester College, alludes to women as the sex they (men) were "shielding and protecting" in the war.

⁷ Public Record Office, Henderson papers, Letter from Viscountess Gladstone to Arthur Henderson, FO 800, 280, fs 76.

An old, but bad argument. For who are they who fight? Men. If men did not fight, women would not need "shielding and protecting" from war. It is a queer kind of security which fighting men have given to women down all the ages. I would give my vote every time to men and women who would "shield and protect" me by accepting the compulsory jurisdiction of the Permanent Court of International Justice; by making all-in arbitration treaties with all the world; by disarmament. We shall be protected by the abolition of war and in no other way." 75

Certainly the question of peace was an important factor, indeed the Labour Party had run on this platform , emphasizing its belief in "the renunciation by international treaty, without resevation or qualification, of the use of war as an instrument of national policy, and the negotiation through the League of Nations of international agreements."' Labour's foreign policy would be based on six pillars of peace: the renunciation of war, disarmament, arbitration, open diplomacy, economic and political cooperation. Their election reflected popular sympathies for the pacifist stance of the Labour Party and the war resistance of the ILP, along with disatisfaction at Baldwin's half-hearted pursuit of peace. Lord Cecil wrote to MacDonald congratulating him on achieving office: "I cannot tell you what a relief it is to think that a genuine and wholehearted Peace Ministry is installed in office at

⁷⁵British Library of Political and Economic Science, WILPF papers, Letter from Mrs A.M. Swanwick, Section 4, 5/3.
75Platform of the Labour Party, 1928, in W.C. Langsam, Documents and Readings in the History of Europe since 1918 (Chicago: J.B. Lippincott & Co., 1951).

this critical time."'

Thus the Labour Party was given the opportunity to take up its work in the international field where it had left off in 1924. One of the initial resumptions of policy concerned the Soviet Union. MacDonald had attempted in 1924 to promote economic and diplomatic relations with the Soviet Union, and had succeeded in concluding two Anglo-Soviet Treaties. However, these were defeated in parliament due to a combination of Conservative and Liberal opposition, and this marked the end of diplomatic relations between the two countries until Labour resumed office in 1929. whilst in opposition, had continuously pressed the government to resume economic agreements with the Soviet Union, arguing that this would increase trade and help establish international peace. 74 But not until 1929 were diplomatic relations restored between the two governments. Shortly afterwards a trade agreement was reached, notwithstanding the constant opposition of the Conservatives, who, between the de jure signature of the agreement and the de facto application of such, raised about 700 parliamentary questions regarding the negotiation with the Soviet Union. 7.

Labours plan for international cooperation entailed the reconciliation of Garmany, and to this end participated in

^{&#}x27;'Public Record Office, Ramsey MacDonald papers, PRO 30/69, 672 (pt.1), fs.128-9, June 21, 1929.

^{7 203} H.C. Deb., March 3, 1927. Cols. 624-5.

[&]quot;'Windrich, British Labour's Foreign Policy, p. 73.

the Young Plan, the financial agreement for the final settlement of German reparations. Agreement was also reached for the complete evacuation of the Rhineland by Allied troops.

The primary aim of the Labour government was disarmament, and there were 140 members of the ILP in parliament demanding this. * A Parliamentary Peace Group had been formed, composed mainly of Labour M.P.s within parliament who were leading advocates of disarmament. * The government had the solid backing of the LNU, representing the public who had voted in Labour to oversee the process of disarmament. A press statement made by them after a deputation to the Foreign Office in July 1929 announced:

Mr Norman Angell, ... thought that public opinion would support the government up to any lengths. It was his impression that in international affairs the general public were always in advance of declared policy. ... Mr Henderson in reply to the deputation said that the Government had no shadow of a doubt as to the value of the work of the Union in keeping international affairs out of party politics and in educating public opinion: "I think that this Government would have made a profound mistake if it had not interpreted that public opinion to the extent that it already has done by its references in the First Gracious Speech from the Throne." "

Since the Labour government viewed the acceptance of the

^{*°} C.L.Mowat, Britain Between the Wars, 1918-1940 (London: Methuen & Son, 1956), p. 363.

Berkman, Pacifism in England, p. 128.

^{*2} Henderson papers, FO 800, 280, fs.76. July 12, 1929. For the speech from the Throne see F.W.S.Craig, ed., The Most Gracious Speeches to Parliament 1900-1974 (London: MacMillan Press, 1975), p. 74.

principle of arbitration as an important fore-runner of a treaty of disarmament, it declared its intention of signing the Optional Clause of the statute of the Permanent Court of International Justice, which would further the commitment to settle all international disputes by pacific means. Signatories to the Optional Clause obligated themselves to accept the jurisdiction of the Court. Labour's move was criticised by the Conservatives, who would have preferred more reservations to be included in the acceptance. impetus to the cause of arbitration was continued by the attempt of the Labour government to amend the Covenant by eliminating the right to resort to war recognised as legitimate in Article XII, "three months after the award by the arbitrators or the judicial decision or the report of the Council", and in Article XV if the Council failed to reach, a report unanimously agreed to by the members not party to the dispute. " This provoked fresh protest from the Conservative Opposition, who perceived the proposed amendments as entailing more obligations than they were willing to assume, as was made plain in the correspondence columns of The Times during February 1930. ' Labour's argument, along with that of the League Committee which was considering the proposals, was that the amendments to Articles XII, XIII, and XV would not change the obligations

9.

^{**} See the Treaty of Peace between the Allied and Associated Powers and Germany.

^{**} The Times, February 20; 25; 27; correspondence between Lord Lothian, Lord Cecil and Austen Chamberlain.

already contracted by the League members under Article XVI of the Covenant. Rather the reverse; since they were aimed at ensuring the pacific settlement of all disputes, then the possibility of war would be reduced and with it the burden of sanctions. The Labour government also submitted to the League as a model treaty a convention to strengthen the means of preventing war, which was made the basis for a General Convention to Improve the Means of Preventing War. However, this was to fall prey to the electoral defeat of Labour in 1931, since the incoming Conservatives would not consent to its ratification.

MacDonald's aim of convening a general disarmament conference was initiated with the Five Powet Naval Conference which, as a result of the ironing out of ... Anglo-American differences, convened in London in January. 1930. This represented the first concrete step towards disarmament although the Conservative Opposition were concerned that the parity that had been conceded to the United States was tantamount to inferiority for Great Britain. On the basis of the success of the London Conference the Labour government brought the issue of land armaments before the Tenth Assembly of the League, expressing the wish to see the conclusion of the work of the Preparatory Commission. To this end, a date was set for a World Disarmament Conference in February 1932, and in recognition of the prominent role played by Labour in ** Windrich, British Labour's Foreign Policy, p. 83.

advancing preparations for the summoning of it, Arthur Henderson, the Foreign Secretary, was elected to preside over the proceedings. However, the Labour government could not complete this, the aim for which it had strived since 1924, as it found itself out of office after the 1931 peneral election.

Tronically, for a government with such enthusiasm for work in the international aphere, it was to be events on the international front which paved the way for its downfall. It was the great misfortune of the Labour government to be elected into office just as the precarious structure of the post-war international economy began to disintegrate. The British economy, never particularly stable since the War, took a desperate turn precipitated by the deepening "orl" depression With the Wall Street Stockmarket Crash investment and trade the world over was immediately affected. In Great Britain, the/fall off of trade, raising of tariffs and abandonment of the gold standard caused a massing rise in unemployment. Thetead of tackling the problem with the fortbright measures it demanded, the Labour government continued in the half measures pursued by its predecessors. Owing to the fact that it was a minority government and had to rely to a great extent on support from the liberals, it was from the outset restricted to policies less radical than it would have liked. On this front it attracted great criticism from the left of the Government and the reliation placed great hope in Labour's grand plans

for welfare programmes and redistribution. * ' By the same token, the Conservatives attacked what limited steps Labour was taking, in particular what they saw as the government's wasteful expenditure and borrowing. " With its hands tied, the Dabour government proved incapable of coping with the economic and financial crisis, and MacDonald decided to subordinate the aims on which the Party based the majority of its support, that is to say the protection of working class interests, to seeming national considerations. Hence the foundation of the first National Government in August, 1931. MacDonald remained as Prime Minister, but only three other Labour men were in the Cabinet. It was a harsh blow for those who had perceived the Labour government to be the agency of national and international regeneration. Nationally, it seemed to be a betrayal of the working man, and internationably it was to prove a betrayal of the pacifist It is events from this point on which emphasise the divergence, set in the 1920s, of the pacifist stance of the Impour Party, and the increasingly isolationist pathtaken by the Connervatives,

[&]quot;'The main bone of contention was the Unemployment Insurance Bill of November 1929, which, whilst altering previous grievances of the working man, did not, in the opinion of the leader of the ILP, Maxton, and others, go far enough. See Mowat, Britain Between the Wars, p. 362.
"'In connection with the Unemployment Insurance Bill.

D. Internationalism as a manifestation of socialist foreign policy

From a consideration of the pacifist movement during the decade following the war, and an analysis of Labour's foreign policy during the same period, a similarity in aim and intent can be detected. The prospects of the Labour Party, whilst in government, for realising the objectives on which its foreign policy was based, and for which it had the solid backing of the pacifist sections of the public, were optimistic. The revulsion against war and the methods of policy which were perceived to have hastened the onset of war produced in a large number of people the readiness to agree with the aims of conciliation, arbitration and disarmament. A brief analysis of socialist foreign policy is integral to an understanding of the meshing together of the beliefs of the Labour Party and of the pacifist movement during the 1920s, and will aid in the understanding of the wide divergence between this common faith and the reactions of the Conservative Party to the pacifist movement and their foreign policy during the 1930s.

At the root of Labour's foreign policy lay the desire and determination to bring to an end the traditional method of foreign policy, that of power politics, which had determined British foreign policy until they came to power. This method had elevated British interests above all others; Palmerston summed, up the spirit by saying Britain had

neither eternal allies to help nor perennial enemies to fight but rather only permanent interests to promote. ° Whilst to the continentals this mode of behaviour gave rise to the nick-name of 'perfidious Albion', national interests and the welfare of the Empire depended upon a Europe dominated by no one, single power, but a balance of power. It was this balance of power and the behaviour of statesmen who had personal as well as national interests to protect, which the Labour Party repudiated. Such a system, entailing secret diplomacy and deals with other states, was seen by many, and not only socialists, as being one of the causes of the First World War. This was reflected in the formation of the UDC, which was created to help the British people secure control over British foreign policy. Ramsey MacDonald was a founder of the UDC, and his verdict on traditional diplomacy was shared by the rank and file of the Labour Party: The whole corrupting system should be swept away. It stands like a dirty old slum area, full of vermin and disease. " The Labour Party intended to carry out its foreign policy as an extension of its domestic policy, that is to say in keeping with such socialist principles as justice and cooperation. In furtherence of this aim, Labour accepted as its responsibility the reform of the international system, just as it would have to transform the domestic system to accomodate its socialist ideals. The foreign policy of any ** Michael R. Gordon, Conflict and Consensus in Labour's Foreign Policy 1914-1965 (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 1969), p. 5.

Labour government would be a reflection of its internal policy, and both would be socialist oriented.

That this policy would not be traditionalist then, was the first characteristic. Several more distinguishing features can be detected in the development of a concrete foreign policy of the Labour Party, both in government and out, during the 1920s. Although Labour never had a sharply defined doctrine, such as had developed in the Russian brand of socialism, as R.H.Tawney wrote: "Socialism presents itself not as the application of a clearly conceived and sharply defined theory, but as a tendency." '' , these principles did come to have some theoretical status in Labour's foreign policy.'"

(i)Internationalism

Labour disagreed with the nationalist-centred policy:
"So long as the nation-state refused to acknowledge a higher moral authority than its own arbitrary will, the international system would remain fragmented into multiple autonomous units and so be conducive to endemic conflict, turmoil and war."' Thus Labour's aim was an international community, and the creation of the League of Nations was seen as the first step in achieving this aim. Labour

^{**} R.H. Tawney, *The British Labour Movement* (Newhaven: Yale University Press, 1925), p. 162.

^{&#}x27;° I have used Gordon's classification here.

^{&#}x27;' Gordon, Conflict and Consensus, p. 14.

attempted to make the League the centre of British policy, as opposed to purely national interests. "You have to put loyalty to the League of Nations above loyalty to your country." '2 Labour's foreign policy, whilst in office, demonstrated this attitude quite clearly.

(ii) International working-class solidarity

82

It was a basic tenet of socialism that since wars were caused by capitalist anarchy, and only capitalists such as munitions makers or professional diplomats could gain by war, then the working classes of all countries should join together and present a common front against the real enemy, capitalism, and refuse to fight each other. The necessity for international cooperation to prevent war was one of the reasons Labour sought membership in the Second International, and the basis for numerous resolutions at party conferences to refuse to bear arms. The correspondence of the first International Secretary of the Labour Party, William Gillies, includes letters from such figures as Sydney Webb on the possibility of organising a general strike should war be declared.'

^{&#}x27;2 281 H.C. Deb., November 7, 1933. Col.148.
'3 Headquarters of the British Labour Party, Transport House, London, William Gillies papers, 133-136, December 27, 1937 and January 7, 1938. See also 62-64, July 12, 1922, correspondence with the secretary of Oxford City Labour Party.

This principle of working-class solidarity also found expression in pro-Soviet sentiment, as was evidenced in Labour's attempts during the 1920s to resume diplomatic relations, and gain economic agreements with, the Soviet Union.

(iii)Anti-capitalism

Labour determined to dispose of the vestiges of traditional foreign policy, which it saw as a mere vehicle serving the interests of the capitalist ruling classes, by democratising foreign policy, as the UDC advocated.

Imperialism was viewed as capitalism in its international aspect, and thus the problem had to be tackled at the roots, i.e. domestically. To transform a capitalist nation into a socialist one would be to transform the international system, since capitalist nations by nature sought international expansion, which in turn would increase the likelihood of war. Removing the domestic economic reasons for imperialist behaviour would reduce the possibility of war.

(iv)Anti-militarism

Belief in a community of nations, protected by collective security, would mean that states no longer had to rely on force as a major policy instrument. The use of

force in the traditionalist mode was seen as both immoral and likely to bring about a general war. Reliance on a higher structure, such as the League, to regulate international affairs, conformed to Labour's anti-militarism. As previously outlined, Labour fervently supported disarmament throughout the 1920s.

An interesting analysis which can be applied to Labour's foreign policy, and which can explain the essential difference between it and the policy pursued by the Conservatives during the same period, was devised by political historian, Ernest R. May.' He wrote of policy derived from deep seated axiomatic beliefs in comparison to that derived from a precise weighing of the profit and loss likely to occur. Axiomatic beliefs are the result of historic experience, lessons drawn from previous actions and events. Labour's foreign policy was essentially of this nature with, however, an important adaptation: the Labour Party approached the conduct of foreign policy with no heritage, or lessons from the past. Since it had never before achieved office, and had only a short history itself, it had no guiding principles as to adherence to certain policies or precepts based on experience. Thus Labour had only ideological conceptions to work with, and this explains Labour's faith that it was possible to adopt habits of

^{&#}x27; Ernest R. May, "The Nature of Foreign Policy: The Calculated Versus The Axiomatic", *Daedalus*, 91:4 (1962) 651-667.

peaceable action in foreign policy affairs and so build a peaceful international system devoid of war. Their lack of a past was considered an asset; they denounced the balance of power system which had led to the outbreak of World War One, and since they had no part in the secret diplomacy preceding the war, no accusing fingers could be pointed in their direction when they unfolded plans for so un-traditional a policy as conciliation, arbitration and disarmament. All that was necessary was policy which would veer the European mind away from the thought that war was an accepted instrument of policy, and replace it with arbitration and the pacific settlement of disputes. Ideology could become social reality; as MacDonald announced in 1925 to parliament, "Give us ten years of the working of the (Geneva) Protocol, and we will have Europe with a new habit of mind. " " 5

In contrast, Conservative foreign policy was very much based on formulations derived from means-ends calculations. The balance of power system, and Great Britain's position within that system depended on such calculations. The national interest was put before all others, and no treaty was entered into without some profit to this interest. Therein lies the explanation for the Conservative opposition to the eagerness with which Labour governments committed themselves to obligations perceived as contrary to the national interest.

^{&#}x27;5 Gordon, Conflict and Consensus, p. 53.

IV. THE PEACE MOVEMENT IN THE 1930s

Pacifist sentiment increased considerably during the 1930s, and pacifist activity was intense and widespread. primary reason for this continuing trend and marked upsurge was, during the early 1930s, the belief that disarmament could be achieved, and that the League, although empowered to impose military sanctions, would in reality achieve. success in resolving international disputes by only using moral sanctions and the force of condemnation of world public opinion on any errant state. This belief was held strong and fast throughout the 1930s, but in the latter half agitation for disarmament gained an added impetus from the government's apparant inability to achieve it. This change in attitude coincided with the change in government in 1935 from the Conservative dominated governments led by Ramsey MacDonald to the purely Conservative government led by Baldwin was later to decry the pacifist mood of the country during the early 1930s, and insisted that this was to blame for his tardiness in rearming and his policy of appeasement. It is here argued that he misread the mood of the country, and based his policies of appeasement on critical misperceptions of events both domestic and international.

A. Public Opinion and Disarmament

The National Peace Council (NPC), which had been set up. in 1908 to organise National Peace Congresses, was an umbrella organisation which coordinated the peace movement. As it announced on a poster advertising the Congress at Oxford in July 1933, "The National Cong ss brings together representatives of the important religious, political, industrial and social organisations in Great Britain for consideration of international problems and the consolidation of the British movement for peace. " ! Under the leadership of Gerald Bailey, the NPC increased its activities, and its collaboration with the Labour Party, which it saw as a useful ally in the fight for peace. Study of the papers of William Gillies, the first International Secretary of the Labour Party (1920-1944) demonstrate Bailey's constant attempts to inform Gillies of what was happening within the peace movement. The Labour Party in its turn distributed NPC postcards along with its circulars; '' gave cooperation and speakers for the NPC Congress in

^{&#}x27;'Transport House, William Gillies papers, WG/PC 197. Among the members of the Honorary National Committee of the NPC were Lord Allen of Hurtwood (Clifford Allen, honoured in 1932), Norman Angell, Archbishop of Birmingham, Vera Brittain, Lord Cecil of Chelwood, Arthur Henderson, George Lansbury, H.G. Wells.
''Ibid. WG/PC 158, 160. June 15, 1932.

May, 1932' and Labour Party publications appeared in the annually published Peace Year Books.' The Council did much to inform and stir public opinion about the resumption of the Disarmament Conference in February, 1932; a circular was sent to its constituent organisations and societies urging action: "It is imperative that there should be a widespread expression of public opinion directed to the Conference in the course of the next few weeks", and asking members to send an enclosed resolution to Sir John Simon, Ramsey MacDonald and Arthur Henderson in attendance at the Conference, and to local M.P.s and newspapers. In the same circular, mention was made of published material available for "widespread propagation and enlightenment".' * A pamphtet was published marking the reconvening of the Disarmament Conference, and stressing the might of public feeling behind it:

Following several years of preparation by the League of Nations, the first World Disarmament Conference opened at Geneva on February 2, 1932. On that day nearly 250 delegates representing some 60 nations and 1,700,000,000 people, listened to an inaugural address delivered by Mr Arthur Henderson, President of the Conference, in which he claimed that the making of a treaty effecting a substantial reduction and-limitation of armaments involved "the whole issue of peace and war". He refused to contemplate even the possibility of failure in this task -- "for if we fail no one can foretell the evil consequences that might ensue. The world wants disarmament. The world needs disarmament. We have it in our power to help to fashion the pattern of future history.

[&]quot;'Ibid., WG/PC 152, May 5, 1932.

^{&#}x27;'Ibid., WG/PC 180-185.
''' Ibid. WG/PC 148, April 13, 1932.

Mankind is looking to this Conference with its unrivalled experience and knowledge, its unchallengeable representative authority and power, its massed wisdom and capacity, to bestow the gift of freedom from the menace to peace and security that the maintenance of huge national armaments must ever be. Four days later, on February 6, a special session of the Conference was handed over to the unofficial organisations to voice the support and enthusiasm of the peoples of the world. The President read telegrams from all parts of the world before the presentation of petitions organised by the great national and international societies. There were petitions signed in total by over 10 million people.

The signing of such petitions had been encouraged by both the NPC and the Labour Party for some months before the Conference. An article published in the Daily Herald in November 1931 by the Secretary of the Trades Union Cong (TUC), William Citrine, likewise shows that the TUC was using its members to corporate along the same lines.

Against mar the stongest safeguard is public opinion. The people themselves have at their disposal a force which, if intelligently applied, can make war impossible. Here, therefore, is a practical service that the rank and file of our organised movement throughout the country shall he to take in the interests of peace and disarmament It is our task to organise public opinion solidly and millions of signatures are writing to he collected and every signature in a disarmament and World Disarmament.

The NPC organised a demonstration in July 1931, in support of the Conference, the speakers including Ramsey MacDonald Baldwin and Lloyd George; this was followed by a Unite' '''Ibid., WG/PC 194. Emphasis in original.

Churches Disarmament demonstration in November at the Albert Hall, with speakers Dick Sheppard and George Lansbury. The disarmament demonstration was sponsored by the TUC, the Labour Farty, the London Trades Council and the London Labour Farty. The February 1932 issue of The Labour Woman, Labour's journal for within a women, was devoted disarmament. "Disarmament in the exponentially of the World."

It is easy to speculate, with him height, that had the Disarmament Conference convened during the 1020s is wanta have met with more success. As it was, the world was suffering a severe e on minorisis and the inalequipy of Teague member states to enforce its principles and he i clearly demonstrated over the Manchuria affair, it s League's distursions on distingument fallered over conflicting interpretations of mational security Examination of letters to the limes during the close of to: show the gradual change in pullic attitudes from cuthurines and premature ougratulations as to the proceedings of the Conference 's disarpointment at the last of sures it we achievings a lotter in the edition deted October 12, 1035 signed by 'ady Astor, Nor' Cecil and thoud George, arming . Brade un aud determined British olicy over the armamon's crimise on October 15 was a letter containing likewise sentiments signed by the Mayore (ork, to Cheffich) ID/DIS/5/10, November 7. °'Ibid Ibid ID DIS 6/10.

and Bradford; and yet another on the 17 signed by Oxford College Fellows. An article appeared in the November 4 issue, declaring that there was "no subject with which British opinion is so deeply concerned" as disarmament. 104 Other signs of the importance attached to the Conference ingluded a national memorial addressed to the Prime Minister on October 19 1932, signed by 340 "distinguished people"; a deputation on October 20 from all Protestant churches expressing disappointment at the lack of success of the Disarmament Conference, but pledging support for the P.M. in leading the disarmament initiative, signed by the Archbishops of Canterbury and York, and the Bishops of London, Guildford, Lichfield, Liverpool, Salisbury and Southwark amount others. On the day after, the Prime Minister received a demotation of thirty people representing the T'' General Gum is a declaration supported by the heads of 620 sec nimy achools including Phon, Westminster . Charterhouse and Dug't one cent to the Prime Minister on the earther 1% test from the the overwhelming force of public or "Top in factor of a deartic reduction of arms". ' "

The qual hopes for a collective peace system were very much clive during the early 1000s. Gillian' correspondence show that their was an action interest in the legislation of a topic Act, which could make it legally binding in

The Times, October 12 and 17, and November 4, 1932.
''Included in the NPC mamphlet The Peopler Voice", listing manifestations in plent opinion. Transcribert House, Ibid.,

British law to abide by the Covenant in the first instance, and also the Locarno and Kellogg Pacts. Figures involved in this plan included the eminent international lawyer, Professor Hersh Lauterpacht, ex-solicitor general Sir Stafford Cripps and Philip Noel-Baker, with Sir John Fischer Williams who saw the intent of the Act "to compel all future British governments to observe their treaty obligations."

The idea was devised probably in response to the Conservative government's renegation of the responsibilities and commitments enshrined in such pacts as Locarno, and from the alarm and disappointment that they could achieve nothing at the Disarmament Conference. The real object of the Act, according to Henderson, is displayed in this passage from his pamphlet on Labour's foreign policy:

Like other countries we are signatories of the Treaties renouncing war and organising peace ... but, like other countries we have not yet brought our national law into harmony with our new international obligations. Public opinion at home does not realise that we are bound to settle our disputes by arbitration, to cooperate with other nations in common measures to keep the peace and restain aggression ... Since the real restraining power of any law lies in the general belief that it will be observed, and since Great, Britain can do more than any other country to create that belief throughout the world,, it is obvious that this element of doubt about our national attitudes towards the Covenant, the Locarno Treaties and the Briand/Kellogg Pact is a matter of the highest practical importance. For these reasons the next Labour government should ... pass a new Peace Act through Parliament.' "

^{&#}x27;'Ibid., WG/PC 261-264, October, 1933.

Thus it can be seen as a propaganda exercise, and one which would incorporate into the statute book the principles of the collective peace system to which Labour ascribed; as \$1r John Fischer Williams wrote:

What it is concerned with is public opinion, how to make our existing treaty obligations a living reality in the minds of all good citizens ... A campaign in favour of a Peace Act and the debates accompanying its passage would be a splendid education in the elements of what our membership of League implies ... The Act would put an end to the irresponsibility of governments vis-a-vis their citizen in the field of foreign affairs.'"

That a "real will to peace existed throughout Britain" as

Vera Brittain was later to record, "" also gave rise to

several events later to be castigated as leading the

government into a policy of appeasement, and thus war.

However, the question of which contemporary analysis of the

international situation has best stood the test of time
that of the peace movement (and to a large extent the Labour

Party), or that of the Conservative dominated governments of

the 1930s - it is here argued that the peace movement

supported a course of action more in tune with the idealism

which had found expression in the internationalist and

anti-war treaties and conventions of the 1920s.

^{&#}x27;'''<u>Ibid.</u>
'''Brittain, *Testament*, p. 197.

B. The King & Country Debate, February 1933.

On Thursday, February 9 1933, during the fourth debate of the term, the Oxford Union debated and passed by 275 votes to 153 the motion "That this House will under no circumstances fight for its King and Country".''' This event was reported and discussed in both the local press and the national newspapers, with little comment and attracting little attention. Not until a group of old Oxonians wrote to the <u>Daily Telegraph</u> expressing their disgust as to this evidence of communist tendencies was the debate catapulted to the forefront of the press and attracted national and international comment.

feelings of exasperation at the seeming inability of British statesmen to stem the general worsening of the international situation. The visiting proposer of the motion, Cyril Joad, was well known for his pacifist views, and an extremely good speaker. His oratorial skills were far superior to those of K.R.F. Steel-Maitland, and the Hon. Quinton Hogg, who opposed the motion. By all accounts Joad gave an entertaining and convincing speech, and this was essentially

To information about the the Oxford debate I am indebted to Mr W. Perry, present warden of the Oxford Union for his assistance, and also to the librarian who made available the minute book of the period and a press cuttings book compiled by Mr Michael barsley, a participant in the debate.

what won the day. 112 The debate may well have passed virtually unnoticed, as had all previous ones which could have been construed as important statements of youth, had it not been for a letter which appeared in the Saturday edition of the Daily Telegraph, headlined "Disloyalty at Oxford. Gesture towards the Reds", and signed by "Sixty-Four". letter stated that the motion must have evoked "feelings of shame and disgust by thousands of Oxford men", and it was, "an outrage upon the memory of those who gave their lives in the Great War. "113 "Sixty-Four" urged old Oxonions to expunge the motion, and this suggestion was taken up by Randolph Churchill. In conjunction with other former Union members he proposed such a motion at the Union on March 2. This was overwhelmingly defeated by 750 votes to 138, largely because by this time the question had turned into disgruntlement on the part of the present Union that the "old boys a should presume to interfere.

On the Monday following the debate, an article appeared in the <u>Daily Express</u> attacking the "woozy-minded Communists, the practical jokers, and the sexual indeterminates of Oxford" for "such a contemptible and indecent action as the passing of that resolution."'' Two days later the <u>Express</u> carried a report from Cambridge demanding the cancellation

James Martin Ceadel, "The King and Country Debate", Historical Journal, 22, (1979), pt. 1, 404 and Ceadel, Pacifism in Britain, p. 127.

The Daily Telegraph, February 11, 1933.
The Daily Express, February 13, 1933.

of the annual boat race in protest at the motion. The Evening Standard declared on Monday 13: "No-one but a sand-blind partisan can ignore the fact that there is a confederacy of internationalists which at this moment is remarkably successful in muddying the wells of truth; under the patronage of the polyglot League of Nations. The Times was less hysterical, merely patronising: under the heading "The Childrens Hour" it stated "the critics who take an episode of this kind tragically can have no real understanding of Oxford, or of the very limited part which the Union plays in its life, or (for the matter of that) of the kind of paradoxical theses which it is the age long habit of youth to propound in its debating societies."

The more liberal <u>Manchester Guardian</u> did not share such views, and indeed denigrated those newspapers which did, bringing up some important points which were to be echoed in the Oxford magazine:

A worse exhibition of newspaper hysteria than that which has followed the so called 'pacifist' resolution of the Oxford Union Society would be hard to find. "Foul Jokers", "sexual indeterminates", "posturers", "yellow cowards" — these are epithets taken at random from those that have been applied to a body which, as its president says in a letter to us today, "are the views of those of us who are interested in politics" ... Not a word, in the columns of abuse which even mentions (let alone sympathises with) the obvious meaning of this resolution — youth's deep disgust for the way in which past wars 'for King and Country' have been

^{&#}x27;'*Ibid., February 14, 1933.

Evening Standard, February 13, 1933.

made and in which, they suspect, future wars may be made ... But others of us beside the young no longer think/this abstraction is worthy of the undiscriminating respect the world once gave it. It has been used as a Moloch to devour life too often.''!

The appelation "for King and Country" was a particularly important one since it was basically this which caused the uproar and delineated so clearly the views of the students and such ex-students as "Sixty-Four". Many of the students had grown up in the 1920s, and had thus been exposed to the anti-war literature as described earlier. They formulated vague feelings of pacifism based on the 'never again' attitude to the Great War. The Manchester Guardian summed up such sentiments, the disgust at the patriotic propaganda based on Kitchener's recruiting theme of "Your King and Country Needs You", by which millions had been lured to the front to die in distinctly unglorious surroundings. The phrase had, for the students, jingoistic connotations and as such was unpalatable. However, the same phrase was capable of evoking deep feelings amongst the generation which had selflessly responded to its call, and the old Oxonions included in this number were thus shocked that a younger generation could so discard such a responsibility. Hence in the words of one learned writer, "The real intention of the supporters of the Oxford resolution seems thus to have been to protest at jingoism and the cynical exploitation of patriotic idealism at a time of international jitteriness *Manchester Guardian, February 13, 1933.

when the British government's half-heartedness over disarmament was causing it to be branded as itself a major threat to peace."'' This view is exemplified by an article in the Oxford magazine following the debate, and is worth quoting at length:

War has been portrayed to the younger generation not with the fire of the poet or the enthusiasm of the romantic chronicler, but as a terrible experience endured, or as a terrible phenomenon observed from the point of view of a patient or a doctor. Nor is this all; every leading statesman has denounced war as an unmitigated evil. By every solemn instument which governments can frame, war has been discredited as a means of international policy ... Are we, therefore to be surprised when the younger generation take these views seriously and find it difficult to reconcile them with the call to fight for King and Country? ... We particularly regret that the The Times should have seen fit to refer to the vote in an article entitled "The Childrens Hour". If those who conduct that journal imagine that such opinions are limited only to children they must be seriously ignorant of a large section of public opinion. Moreover, although in the opinion of The Times those who voted are too immature to judge on questions of peace and war, in the opinion of the War Office they are all of military age. Once again let us repeat, it is useless to dismiss the views of University students on this question as flippant and light. About war and peace above all things they are serious. 120

That the debate was symptomatic of a general feeling of disillusionment with the way in which the government was dealing with the international situation; and the abiding faith in the League of Nations, is evidenced by considering later debates during the 1930s; at the following debate on

^{&#}x27;''Ceadel, The King and Country Debate, p. 148.
'''Isis, February 16, 1933.

16 February the motion "That this House proclaims its undying faith in politicians" was defeated; during the second debate of Trinity term on May 4, the motion that "The League of Nations should be abandoned" was lost by 112 votes. If the opinions of the Oxford students can be considered indicative of the opinions of the general intellectual elite, and thus an important constituent of public opinion, then "the public" was indeed ahead of the government in its thinking. The motion "That this House would prefer fascism to socialism" debated on May 11 was defeated by 82 votes; and during the seventh meeting of Michaelmas term on June 6 the motion : "That the acceptance of the German claims to arms equality is essential to the presevation of European peace" was carried by 86 votes. Perhaps more significantly, in view of later statements by Baldwin that appeasement was only the policy he dared pursue owing to the outright pacifist and anti-military mood of the country, was the eighth debate of Michaelmas term on the 6 December, 1934, "That in the opinion of this House the League of Nations should be able to enforce its decisions, when necessary with full military measures", which was carried by 91 votes. 121

The King and Country resolution brought together all those who agreed with its sentiments, and thus its supporters included absolute pacifists, socialists, and internationalists. Oxford's influence reached other

universities such as Glasgow, Manchester, Bristol, the L.S.E., University College, London, Cardiff, Bangor, Aberystwyth, all of which passed similar resolutions. 122 On his re-election as president of the LNU (Bebington and Bromborough branch) Lord Leverhulme referred to the resolutions at Oxford and elsewhere as an indication from the youth of the country that the sovereign state could not again expect the sacrifices offered in 1919. 123 Perhaps the most damning allegation made of the resolution, apart from that of it being indicative of the public mood and prevented . Baldwin embarking on a serious policy of rearmament, was that it influenced the dictators. 124 From a perusal of the German newspares which actually reported it, this would not seem to be the case. It caused no great stir; indeed the right-wing Hamburger Nachrichten of the 19 February advocated not taking "the pacifist resolution of the Union too seriously," implying that the Oxford students were merely the undergraduate gentry playing at politics; the left-ish Hamburger Echo reported on the 17 February that: "A few people are pleased at the spirit shown by the students -- in any case something is happening among the youth. Supposing something like that were to happen in

^{&#}x27;'2'Berkman. Pacifism in England, p. 201.

¹²³ Oxford Union Society. King and Country Controversy 1933; compiled by Michael Barsley.

^{&#}x27;' This view was supported by Winston Churchill in his war memoirs.

Nazi-Deutschland."'25 The dictators by this time had more concrete manifestations of Britain's unwillingness to challenge their expansionist moves.

To conclude, one must assume that the King and Country resolution was merely one amongst many which those politically aware students were debating from disillusionment with the government's foreign policy and its inability to make full use of the potential of the League, particularly where the issue of disarmament was concerned. That this particular one had been seized upon by the press and subsequently used as a scapegoat by politicians can be explained by the reaction of the old Oxonions in their letter to the Daily Telegraph. Mention could also be made of the peculiar position held by the University both in the press and in terms of its place within the British The debate, and the publicity surrounding establishment. it, represented an impressive manifestation of the opinion of educated youth, and was the first in a series of signals which the Conservative government chose to ignore.

C. The East Fulham by-election, October, 1933

The loss of a safe Conservative seat in the East Fulham

by-election was one of a series of by-elections won by the

125 Hamburger Nachrichten, February 19, 1933 and Hamburger
Echo, February 17, 1933. Articles in Barsley, King and
Country Controversy.

Labour Party in a trend of swings against the National government during 1933. In so far as any by-election can be said to have been won or lost on the question of foreign policy, then the results of these elections were to a large extent a reaction against the foreign policy of the National government during the early 1930s. This is not to suggest that domestic matters were of little importance in determining the outcome of the by-election; rather they rounded off the general feelings of dissatisfaction with the National Government.

Only two candidates ran; the Labour man was one John Wilmot, and the Conservative the local Alderman, W.J. Waldron. The Liberals did not field a candidate. Liberals decided instead to send each candidate a questionnaire concerning the issues of disarmament, unemployment, housing, tariffs and India. After consideration of the replies to these questionnaires, the Liberal Association announced: "The replies of the two candidates being considered, the Association, regarding the question of disarmament as of paramount importance, recommends all Liberal voters to give Mr Wilmot their support." The replies which had determined the great emphasis placed on disarmament by the Liberals were to the question "Will you press the Government to make further and more strenuous efforts to achieve disarmament?" replied: "Certainly. I regard the necessity for disarmament and increasing work for peace by international cooperation

through the League of Nations as of urgent and paramount importance." Waldron replied:

I support fully every effort the Government has made and may in future make to bring about universal disarmament. I cannot agree however that the British Empire should make any further sacrifices of armaments, particularly in the defensive forces of the air and sea, without substantial cooperation from other powers. Further to lay ourselves open to attack by reducing our defenses below the line of safety would in my opinion create a situation likely to tempt aggressive nations to attack us and precipitate war; the non-aggressive strength of the British Empire is the greatest bulwark of world peace. '2'

Thus for the Liberals, attitudes on disarmament were the deciding factor. Other analyses of the by-election have shown that there was a movement of opinion against the National government's conduct of foreign policy. '' The press was likening the current tense international situation to that which existed before 1914, and laid the blame at the feet of the Government. There existed a heightened awareness of foreign policy issues, just because the international situation was deteriorating and the Gover¶ seemed unable to do anything to prevent it. The womens vote was also a significant factor; they outnumbered men by 4,500 on the electoral role. '2' They were probably most receptive to Wilmot's talk of high rents resulting from Government ''Richard Heller, "East Fulham Revisited" Contemporary History, 6: 3, (1971), p. 179. 12 See C.T. Stannage, "The East Fulham By election," The Historical Journal, 14, (1971), .179. 12*Ibid., p. 178.

legislation, high food prices as a result of tariffs and the dangers of war should the Disarmament Conference fail.'2' Waldron recognised the importance of the women's vote, telling a reporter on the Morning Post that the election would be won or lost on the women's vote. '" Wilmot's wife appealed to women on the same questions of housing food prices and disarmament:

We must insist that WAR SHALL NOT BE! Remember that it is our husbands and sons that will be killed and maimed. Remember that it is on our defenceless homes that the gas bombs will fall. Do not vote for the increase in armaments! Note for the candidate who stands for PEACE.'''

The controversy over whether Wilmot won on the platform of disarmament and peace as opposed to domestic issues such as conclusively. However, it would seem that an upsurge in interest in what was happening on the international scene gave the issues of peace and disarmament an unsuracteristically vital role in the by election at East Fulham. Certainly for the liberal voters this was the key issue, and perhaps also for the women. But it would seem to indicate an unusually high awareness of preign policy, since it was ostensibly dissatisfaction with the National government's conduct of foreign policy which determined the

¹² See The Times editorial comment, October 24, 1933.

^{&#}x27;3°Stannage, "The East Fulham By-election," p. 178.
'3'Heller, "East Fulham Revisited," p. 185.

the second of th The second of th The state of the second of the The second of th The magnetic transfer to the part of the contraction of the state of the s to be the form of the first of en la company de the second of th the control of the state of the The Contract of $(1-\epsilon) = \alpha \qquad \qquad (1-\epsilon) \cdot (1-\epsilon)$ $\Gamma(x) = \{x \in \mathcal{X} \mid x \in \mathcal{X}\}$ •

[.]

the sector to the engineer contract of the engineer of the ing the interpretable state of the contract of the other was the first to be a second of the second The first in the constitution of the state of the second The bottom of the second of the product of the second of the product of the experience of the experience leaves one are to go the first of the control of the cont and the control of th entropies to the control of the cont A District of the Control of the Con and the contract of the contract of the contract of for a set $(1, \dots, 1, \dots, 1,$ the second secon 1 1 $(\mathbf{r}_{i}, \mathbf{r}_{i}, \mathbf{r$ the second of th - 1 1 4 1 . . . $(\mathbf{r}_{i}, \mathbf{r}_{i}, \mathbf{r$ the second of the second of the second of 3 . 1 the state of the s $(\mathbf{r}_{i},\mathbf{r}_{i}) = (\mathbf{r}_{i},\mathbf{r}_{i}) + (\mathbf{r}_{i},\mathbf{r}_{i}) = (\mathbf{r}_{i},\mathbf{r}_{i}) + (\mathbf{r}_{i},\mathbf{r}_{i}) = (\mathbf{r}_{i},\mathbf{r}_{i})$

There was a state of the second of the secon



became of "hopperal colors triang an colorague, the martine time and in the transfer the provide the business the orthing and a control or a second to the demonstration ments and in the later to the press this demonstrate to be place to the new 1915 of the Rolar Mall, and on from the the tree tree to indeed control entry the best of a month of the same of Film not Princial and the first contest their hoperprof the pulling of and a solution of the grown theory governor to much to be not to the not god by the peach? and the in our gift, of a monde the wife the feet of the first of the first Contract to the second and the state of t the contract to prove the two courts of other court before the recovering the control of the contro the first of the second of the second of the The transfer of the state of th 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 the fire of the contract with the section of the contract of t the same of the same of the production of the same of and the second section of the second section of the $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, \dots, x_{n-1}$

11.

aroup "'' The cross section of the differing types of inter war parifism as represented by the Sponsors reflected a membership which was varied in its interpretation of pacifism. The overall principle guiding the PPU was the renunciation of war, thus it attracted Christian pacificts absolutions, so islists and internationalists. "Lack of a definite roling pu bably incouraged this Referrgenous nature of the ffit but it also hore witness to the remarkable ability of Sherrard to welcome into the fold so many different haracters. Sheppard was a charismatic and dy amic figurer Tera Britain described him thus: "This man of genius preacher, broadcaster, peace crusader, and one of the most popular human beings ever "to tread London's crowded remments the New Statesman attributed the astonishing forth of the PPU to its success in appealing "not only to the conviceed absolute parifiet but to the large number of prople with only slight political knowledge but with a recent realisation of the (carful imminerce of use, who are for income to the time to figure this, or the

The inhant of a mass new fings and demond attended to the other with sections for the other with sections.

In the other terms of this case of the other than the end of the other than th

٠.

Thid.

groups.'' Also in 1937, the No More War Movement merged with the PPU, making it an extremely large and effective pressure group. However the year was also marked by the death of Sheppard. This was a serious blow to the pacifist movement generally, for the loss of such a charismatic and with figure was one which it could ill afford.

The PPU represented a widespread manifestation of pacifism the like of which Britain had never before experienced. It could be considered the apotheosis of the peace movement during the 1930s, and it was certainly its most effective organisational form. But the international system had, by 1938, deteriorated to the extent that the pacifists within the PPU who were not absolute in the r beliefs, but followed Sherrard's distum "Not perce the contraction of ware no longer fa ed with "he issue provention of war by radified impulsed. With the early the length, and 'hamberlain's filly of appragment reprosenting the total relevant (oprincial villaction mentally members resigned. "The read to Munic' was or a true which pacifists had tried to proceed Britain travelling; on reacting this dection allegiances

[&]quot; "Berkman, "acifism in Fuoland in 180

Prittain, lestament, p. 280.

^{&#}x27;''Interview with Professor Tom Poccak at Mass Obsa vation Research Archive Professor Poccal's father, a many control of the whole the control of the contro

E. The League of Nations sponsored Peace Ballot 1934-35

The Peace Ballot was the high watermark of postwar pacifism and 'Leagueomania'.'43

The Peace Ballot, launched in 1934, was sponsored by the LNU and supported by the National Council of Labour. A coalition of thirty-nine peace organisations had conducted a house-to-house canvass of the populace to obtain the answers to six questions:

- 1. Should Britain remain a member of the League?
- 2 Are you in favour of an allout reduction of simplicity by introductional agreements
- 2. Are true for our of the abolition of national military
- chould the manufacture and sale of arms for private profit be prohibited by international agreement?

 Do you consider that, if a nation insists on attacking an there the their nations should combine to commend it to down by a) economic and non-military manufacture that

Over eleven and a half millions voted in this rlebiscite, nearly 40° of the electorate; 50% of all those can assess world that the hallot would confirm the conviction of its originator. Lord (e.i., that the nair telescond to the conviction of its originator.

reregeary military measures?

'''Mowat, Britain Between the Wars, p. 547.
'''Diversity Defence Croup in Defence Policy in the Action Policy in t

people were strongly in favour of the League and sanctionist collective security. '' The results are presented in Table 4.1.144 Whilst the answers to questions one to four undoubtedly show overwhelming support for the League and its principles of disarmament, questions five (a) and (b) are the most important for the purposes of this study. votes were almost unanimous (nine out of ten) in asserting that if a nation insisted on attacking another. The other nations should combine to compel it to stop by economic and non-military measures, and there was a considerable majority hacking collective security with military for es (six ten) if this was necessary, the teace Ballet was a the ending affirmation of all its originators hoped it which to and it as blatant "orpeol for militant registrace t aggression, ear nonification of appearanont " to the tal Inity has led the Batlot are a ciquifical texperiment. domentic on trol of fireign roll to " " ough a great response in factor of the Tengue and sanctions against ould not para invoticed will be the elements and as will he e amined were elopely i did have an offe t 11016 4 mer to the transfer of the section

Conclusion:

^{&#}x27;''Berkman, Pacifism in England, p. 23.
'''University Defence Group, Who was for Munich, Ibid
'''University Defence Group, Who was for Munich, Ibid

[&]quot;Windrich, British Lalynn & Louding Policy p. 100

TABLE 4.1

The Results of the Peace Ballot, 1934

•						
ANSWERS	1	. 2	3	4	5a	5b
For Against Uncertain Abstain	76.0 3.0 9.1	91.0 ·7.0 0.1 2.0	82.0 15.0 0.1	90.0 7.0 0.1 3.0	87.0 6.0 0.2	59.0 20.0 0.4
Total %	100 0	100.0	100.0	100.0	7.0	100.0
Total No. (in 1000s) Source: Uni	11,558	11,559	11,569	11,558	11,545	11,541

The carly 1930s were indeed the beyday of British pacifism. The continuing work of the vorious peace movements under the umbrella of the NIC did much to beight public avaieness of the interpotional situation, and the imperation nature of the issue of disarmament, and tous increase racifist continent. The growing imposer of this soutieent maniferred itself a such instances e to Union recolution the East I than by election the establishes of the frii and the read Pallet . The mase of the proceed account along till rubling erini none proin the nall of we colidly in from the teagre at disarram of one to this ext of use in tone with the religion of the taken tanks of throng out the election year the instructive led que simmente were totally out of the e with the elector of spd 'ndee' purened religion continues the public mood. By the time the prace we employed a authorized important to the more enquest for the respectify the

policies in response, that is to say after the Peace Ballot, the international situation had deteriorated to the extent that the League, as an effective organ for collective security, was dead, and the Conservative policy of appearament was no substitute. The Conservative response came too late, and by the late 1930s many pacifists were supporting rearmament and proparing for was, not received accept the doctrine of the most art of the doctrine of the same too.

The second secon

V: GOVERNMENT POLICY IN THE 1930s: THE CONSERVATIVE

The failure of British policy in the inter-war years resulted from two fundamental errors of the attempt to pursue traditional policies when British power war no longer sufficient and world conditions were no longer wholly suitable, and the pursuit of conditions and heranconto he point of the point of t

The 'oreign policy pursued by the Conservative dominated governments during the 1930s's on the whole conformed to the Conservative tradition of foreign policy making, that is, reluctance to be on itted in ontinents entanglements as evidenced in lack of ephhusiaem for the league. The Conservatives to attinued to overate on the minotoenth century assumption that Britain was, or should be, a great imperial rose. By marginally concerned with events on the Continent. Since by the early insoe it was becoming increasingly of an that Britain was declining as a world power, appears only was viewed as an ideal defined strategy to present their rose of the course strategy to present the point of the course of the course strategy to present the course of the course of the course of the course strategy to present the course of th

Years (London: Longmans Green & Co., 1954), p. 167.

'Although MacDonald led the first two National governor of the 1930s. the 'abinets consisted of a majority of Conservative men. Indeed in his second administration Conservatives outnumbered Labour by over two 'o one.

MacDonald was considered to have betrayed the Labour to on the basis of this. When Baldwin succeeded to the leadership in 1935, his Cabinet was for all intents and purposes a Conservative one. See Neville Thompson Town Anti-Appeasers (xford: Clarendon Fiess 1271) on the labour to the leadership in 1935.

5.

by the Conservatives as an alternative to disruptive force which could endanger Britain even move. The Conservatives embarked on policy of appeasement, if it can be termed a phlicy since it consisted for the most part of dealing with each foreign problem as it came up, and judging it on its own merits. This is not to suggest that there were not opponents of appeasement within the Conservative ranks, such figures as Churchill and Auster Chamberlain were against the drift to appeasement but since they did not hold executive office they were in no position to influence policy. was a totally different approach than that of the Labour rart), or indeed that advocated by the peace movement. It will to seen that approximent was not a reaction to the read movement, to be an alternative to organishet which the speciment of the office to the second section of mark to the comment of the

The state of the s

The first and indication of weeks processing the state of the feature and the first converte process of the feature of the feature and for the process of the process of the feature and for the process of the process of the feature and the feature of the feature

incident, it is appared launched the conquest of Manchuria, in a blatant act of aggression against China.

The Chinese government appealed to the learne under ortion.

XI of the Covenant (concerning a threat of word), and is doing so presented the league with its limit to I test. The response of the Council would a tablish whether collections with the result of principle.

Creat Pritain had reital role to plan at Congration several factors: she was the only great power with important interests in the Far East, and as the stringer' named power in the League and greatest world trader no eanchiopary measures (by they even mic or military) would have any Chande of such sections but full participation to was unfortunate that the crisis of orthology exemple to a inopportune means the first participation of position.

Adomestic of only the first participation of participation menths and the color of section has bright a month of a sed of the resisting energy to be an ing the hubbers of the last the color of balanting the hubbers the last the color of was action of the last tipe.

of the Pelling Treaty, and the attitude of the Trice section in a spring British tollow in

Britain Between The Wars, pp. 419 172, also Perholds, Pritich Coreign Policy, pp. 84 98.

The explosion on a small section of the South Manchuri nearlway in budden, still detated as to whether the hor planted by the form or it judged the Chinese tresponding

spite of the fact that the United States was not a member of the League. But League action in the economic field was to a large rart dependent on this, because one third of Japanes: trade was with the United States. A further month agent in the situation was that 1932 was a Presidential alection volt, and them the President, Berhert Hoover, was unlikely to arrione of a strong policy. Some degree of comparation was achieved in their joint participation in the Titter for Section, sent by the League to assess the with them. However the to delays in its nomination, and the time taken to arrive on the ocene. Jaran had already ing quinted their independent state of Manchuker . Thile the considerion was preparing its second, a slack took place hels can the United States and Grad Pritains U.S. Police So refer t Stimeen had tublished a netenderlaring a deof everyone goil on we ld apply to lapace conquest in Manchin Foris for surport from the members of the Tead of Dritis to ion Coretary d'e John Chem replied this by elating that cince Jaron had pro jess to main the open door in Manchuria, then, reat Britain out is making any like declaration of a noitaration of innotiate interests such as trade won the day for the is in this accounterst, and is his hear as used than

the aggreent of a main triver of the gree

The form of the first of the opening of the opening

Sano

or the second continue to the second continue

the production of productions and a second

The Leag e is what its member status make it is a creek it is breath the rembers have it is a creek it is breath the rembers have a labely to the will a little probability to the principle of the principle of the creek. It is a creek in the creek in th

The Mount, ritain to read the party of the p

to fulfill their oblices of a first problem of a first contract of

the transfer of the first the problem of the contract the first of the contract the the second of th $(\mathbf{t}_{i}, \mathbf{t}_{i}, \mathbf{t$ The section of the property of the section of the s the first of the first of the second second second to a second seco $(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t$ A transfer of the second of the second of the second 1

 $(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r$ the contract of the contract o

the first of the control of the cont the first of the property of the first of the second of th

the second of the second of the first of the second of the respect terming point for the more of the contract ant se ar, the protest ven. An end of

The second of the Ferre Tables

the state of the state of the state of Altricated and a contract of the track of the extention of the contract of the principal to the control of the cont Construction of tennes of the English to the first tennes of Transfer to the second The state of the s the state of the s the second of the most construction of the second of the s the state of the s $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}} = \{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}} : \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}} : \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}} : \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}} : \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}} : \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}} : \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}} :$ the first of the second second second . $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ 1

First the line induction of the response of the Friedrich continuous model to the μ -continuous μ

nother of the The Control of the or the control of extension of the control of the cont feating of the contract of an experience of the first of bearing to the story of the end of each time turner. the of I will the fit before the teer indicate have e the second of the second survival en that the the sea the second of and the state of the standard name of the contract of the standard of the stan error control of the figure of the area of the control of the cont service to the first employed to be tree employed for the contract of the terms of there is a first of the constructed to the control of the control of the control of the many transfer of the form of the second production of the second produc $\label{eq:total_state} f(A) = -f(A) A + f(A) = -f(A) + f(A) + f$ A Company of the Comp The state of the s $m{r}_{ij} = m{r}_{ij} + m{$ the state of the s

and the state of t

Manager related and community of the Manager related and community of the community of the

of tracing a model of colorial commanders to a fundamental co one Alve into acre of the inflator and thus sain thing to unlawful to tunechi i mempe and one as to the Covernment is sin exity increased when the communities envolve ad their overter all a general lection in A compar the new retend to better the action tening their support for come line to be the fact that they were out to win the election of motor of the appropriate property of the world were This upon the reason but the far form the ruth of Concertible condition to a control the profession of faith in the tenancy of and the contract of the contract of the contract of the property of the boundaries of the complete the complete the company of the com with a fit to the real feel had been to be taken as a second produced by the second of the boundary of the product of the second of t the contract of the property of the contract o produced the control of The transfer of the second of restricting to the second of the second of the second construction to the contraction of the contraction for the first of the first the form of the first one of the first of the first of the first one of the first the transfer of the specific temporal properties of the specific participations and the specific participations and the specific participations are specifically as the specific participation of the specific participa $(\mathcal{A}^{-1}) = \{ \{ \mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{A}^{-1}, \mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{A}^{-1} \mid \mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{A}^{-1} \mid \mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{A}^{-1} \} \mid \mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{A}^{-1} \}$

this, as did I. S. Amery ''' Howe en the offic al

'onservative prof scions won than the lest on as the

aboved 'efendage of mollective process of a least of the control o

and betray the much jet did. Within a mith of the election, disclosures of the Hoard Laval Dark resealed the double policy of the inservative government. This are upsensed betreen the British Foreign Serretts and French Drice Minister properties the ratio conclusion. If the Abresioian Was by ading to M \$501ini to thirds of Alyssinian territory. In set in Abresioian would be allocated to the secretion of the policy of the resolution of the policy of the policy

1

[&]quot;** Anthony Eden, frig T e Dictators (London Tashell, 1962), pp. 279-280; L.T. Amery, My Political Life Management Putchinson, 1955), III p. 174.
""ttchinson, 1955), III p. 174.

[&]quot;"The Permanent Under Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, Sir R bert Vansittart, played a major role in the situation. As a Francophile, and in this typical of the Foreign Office at the time, he idvocated a hard line against Hitler. Mindful of the need to maintain Italy's friend bir as prompted by the Strena front (which was seen by both Britain and France as a guarantee against rotential aggressive acts by Mitler) since Mussolini at that time conon bad terms with Hitler and with the virw that it was essential for Pritain to have a friendly Italy on the Mediterranean. Vansittart worked closely with Hoare to gain a solution to the Abyssinian crisis which was favourable to Italy. One author has brought attention to the belief that the Proce Laval Part wis in effect the Grandi Vannithart Fla. (Gradi was the I' lier ar assador o Lond n). See land lvin. 'Artiffict' in the Control of the Control Ian Clvin, and thirt

44

indication of the low extern in which the League was really held in Conservative eyes. Articles in, and letters to, The Times illustrate even the Conservative disquet at such plans. "I Wears resigned to be replaced by Eden, who did in fact apply pressure at Geneva for oil sanctions but French intransisence prevented this. Italian aggression continued unabated and in Mar 1930. Alyssinia was annexed to the Italian crown. In June the intentions of the Conservatives were no longer either in the words of the Chancello. Chamberlain, to continue can tions would be the very midsymmer of madness. In July the League lifted.

The inthi ations of the Italio/Alyssinian wir were far reaching. The Lague was effectively wronked by the intransique of loth the French and the British of ernment, when however them allowed the best tractical instrument litter's created for maintaining place to locate the part of the lague and finally us been the Genericalize foreign relies for what it mass a continuation of the Conservative foreign relies tradition of determination to make no commitments on the continent. The sudden switch to support of the League and collective security with a policy of sanctions had been sufficient to convince the electorate to generate the

"The Times, 16 December, 1935. Also the Manchester Guardian, 13 December, 1935.
"Mowat, Britain Between the Wars, p. 562

Brittein, Testament, p. 118.

£

Conservatives, but the insincerity of this changed image provoked vehement accusations from the Labour Party that the Government's double policy had lowered the presting of Britain, weakened the League and imperiled peace.

British public opinion can be here judged to have been at its most effective, but only in terms of effect government pronouncements, not of dovernment policy. results of the Feace Ballot had appeared just as the Abyssinian crisis erupted, and can be seen to have been instrumental in resevading Baldwin to make official professions that the League was the keystone of British foreign reliev. Poldwin also used Heare as a scapegoat to the sake of publicarinion; he stake of "the ground of conscience and of homour" and of the "deeper feeling" that had been manified dar a result of what woo exceptially double dealing on the part of the government, " It was to his own advantage that he should strens the effect of public opinion in H are's lownfall, since to do otherwise would have been to accept responsibility for Hoare's actions. He was as one aithor has written. "the sacrificial viotim for the Congervative Cabinet. " To this case however, 'vox respull spoke in unicon with the politicians", and it was the prime motivator, ''' This is reinforced in consideration that public opinion was against the ultimate anding of easy it as

^{&#}x27;''313 H.C. Deb., June 23, 1936 col. 1605.

^{&#}x27;''307 H.C. Deb., December 19, 1935, cols 2030 to '''George, Hollow Men. p. 88.

Walny, British fublic Opinion, p. 120.

kun it 📹 hieved no effect

The havid differences in foreign policy between that followed by the Conservative governments and that ad ocated by both the tobour farty and the peace movement were by now obvious. The Feace Ballot had exhibited the willingness of the public to countenance sanctions, and military sauctions if necessary. That such action would necessitate an incressed defence programme was acknowledged by the Labour Party at its 1935 anonal conference. "The general election of 1935 had been won by the Conservatives escentially or their professed telief in the league and the system of collective security. These events are indicative that public orinion, by now unusually informed about foreign affairs, was ever more etrongly in favour of the termore a the principles of coercionist collective se mily Appeasement of the distators, as pursued by the committee of dovernments, was a trially different arriors by than colle live Security quinet aggression, as advocate the the Labour Party and the peace movement The hardening (public opinion after the Abyssinian affair had been sufficiently strong to impose upos Baldwin the need to be seen to be in favour of the League and collective security whilet in reality he disregarded this strength of facility and yet could offer no alternative to replace the

^{&#}x27;''Thir decision resulted from a showdown between Ernest Bevin, who represented the majority in supporting League action even at the risk of wor, and George Lausbury, the Darte Lausbury absolute partities.

sauctionist policy. For appeasement of the dictators which he bogon with his reaction to Mussolini's aggression did not form a converte policy. Pather it turned into a situation of impossible a through subsequent crises, which in Hitler's case, whie emb rived upon on the obvious evidence that Britain really had no effective policy for dealing with rolls crises. There is ample or den e for this ries in the examination of reaction to the encuing crisis, the militarisation of the Phineland. In doing this, Wither by) broken the treaties of Cersailles and Locarno yet the Conservatives failed to apply either economic a militrate canctions. Pather they preferred the approach of appeasement, dealing with this case on its own merito, and distregating the viter implications within the into control of orhere. A majority of the Constitution le der hip eventathised with Courty, who efter all was my ig to into her book dardon That duing our non-gains when the public existion to inchify him we also come to ethod fire in a munitiments which might mean warr it there is one hance in a hundred that war would follow ... I have not the right commit England' ''' Vet it was realised at The time that rublic (pinion, an represented by the Ferra Rollo' and in support of taken were fully prepared to choulder the risks and conferent things of a collective of considing of peace. and here now prepared to a template not against faction of

[&]quot;''Quote' in W.S. (birchill, The Gally inc Storm in many thoughton miffling of 1040), p. 188

Nazi tyronny."'' This off tule was afrengthened by the outbreak of the Spanish Ci il Dar in 1936. The toprito, the democratically elected perchlican government by reactionary force's widened the existing division between Government and orposition and reaffirmed the quitching 'c sides over pear and war . The opposition and the peace movement to ame for entry was minded while the covernment intropted still further from the progress of war and seem a prepared to pay any trice for peace. There were mass defections from the established reace or ups (which lost such figures as Perner Procksay, Stephen Spender and Dilin Minal too Muse the tasticularly from the againliet indire then, you who, having natched he demise of the league and the Go agreent a determination to appear the aquessor mathem then a infrared big, which no longer of the to equals democracy and socialism with non riglence. The Labour Fa densited interpolition and rearmomential and the ha to liften passed to the Go comment. Neutrality and non intercents a wore the order of the day for the improved itser, who did not want to become dutabuled in the everythmeal in cumetances of the conflict " . That there discussionage were exceptional in terms of a per eised of between fascism and communism, with Conservative sympaths

behind the former, will be examined below.

Foreign Policy, pp. 150-51.

11 A. Billock, Freet Bevin (to long Meinemann, 1960), c.

121 A. Billock, Freet Bevin (to long Meinemann, 1960), c.

121 A. Billock, Freet Bevin (to long Meinemann, 1960), c.

The rath to Munich was signposted by the interpations crises of the 1970s, and by the consistency of the Conservative attitude towards them. The argument that it was the radified moved of the country as described in A previous chapter which had lad Holdrin to initiate ' to become the long term policy of appealement je involidated on examining the consequation attitude to foreign off the dirt of the 1930e of this copint notation figure well large in the Conservative of home of things. That they were aware of the rising tide of pacifier as and 'amond in current for the League and collection security can made of visus by the relate along the arpaism, when the rules and faith in the tenne and a lete minution to her their fornight relies in the cine with the rei cirles enchrined the twen to Put profesence to very not tionelated into policy and in Marchuria, Street ia, the Thireland of a Spain the tree or offices recent all byogates the transfer telling the section and an age of the got utial the first of the first of the tripped and the tripped and

for the second of the second o

It is import at the recommendate to the fact and thousand extent to the second restaurance of th

interests of the perce movement, ac Corse satisfactor forejon policy was been called as interests of the percent of place belief in the Empfite of isolated and the empfite of international and the emport of the corresponding to the percent of the

"'slike of continenta' entanglments.

trolitional creat compatitional males to a the

Excert during ("apoleon I's reion) we have never been in danger, and therefore, it is impossible for us to judge whether the "isolation" under which we are surposed to suffer, these or does not on ain in it and element of revil. It would hardly be wise in unit element of revil. It would hardly be wise for unit element of and the object of the object

The parties of a large habited on the specimen to the interior province of the consequentive respection of the consequent province of the second of the seco

Control in Lord Strong, Briain in "on" (Affairs. A Surve of the luctuation: A Surve of the luctuation: A Survey of the luctuation of

government could not secret the cores in thigations enchione; the Kellog Friend term was only ratified in light of regereations which ethical test that it vist exree first the first east cold of a colore the interests of the Empire con concerned with the ten cryatic concerne had repositions pleases take our in the treaty of is exist, and the content of same the openinties of endiliving in the Engelof the Elpin Conther the cold of a This hat is coming distance into Fig. 1 Sat of a dealing with each finding prints the contract of exemple of the toole eye to the little of de edence of resistes on ejeto , e pisto o o esta all then we remove the property of the property of and ighterior control or obtain one explain only of Committee the first of comments of the first of the second sections. the third of the last the leaders will be entirely the $(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_1, \dots, x_n) + (x_1, \dots, x_n$ The first of the control of the cont confit of the pure of the contract of the second second Talle a liver of exploration for the contribut minutesta en est hamber en la face en la familia en la face en la face de la The transfer of the property of the second section is the transfer of the 1000 - . the way of a constitution of a second street

TATE RESERVITE TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY

THE COURT OF THE WAY

The figure of the other management of the conthe second control of the second process of the second process of the second process of the second the contract of the contract o the state of the control of the cont Commence of the second of the the gradient of the contraction of the contraction ϵ , ϵ and the second of the second of the second of the transfer of the contract o and the second of the second o the state of the s \mathbf{r}_{i} (), () The state of the $\mathcal{L}^{(k)}(x) = \{ x \in \mathcal{L}^{(k)}(x) \mid x \in \mathcal{L}^{(k)}(x) : x \in \mathcal{L}^{(k)}(x) : x \in \mathcal{L}^{(k)}(x) \}$ the second of th . . Agriculture of the second of the second $(A_{i,j}, \dots, A_{i,j}, \dots, A_{i,j-1}, \dots, A_{$ 7 mental the transfer of the entry of The second of the second of the second of the second of the state of the s

Submission of the first country of the month of the first of the first

Consert tive symmathy the Security:

the filling of the second seco

the term of the Crest town of the term of

The first term of the first of

The second of the second of the second

the gradient of there is a the training fragity of as expended to be profit to be extending to the section of the section of like the transfer of the continue of the there is a all filling in time of the one the governal there will be may be determed in most office is then in they in their general a or to any extrement of also passed the same in els then completel to the commence of the new commence of the second is a transfer of the first of the same tighters of the first of the I to plu a product a production of the best a profe for honger hander the born of his to be forested by colo Chica de Colonia Planta de la Regendo Colonia de Regendo de la Colonia de Colonia d the properties of the contract the second points of the and training parties of there is no to teach on him trooping in The of the of the office of the proof of a material through the one the production of the contract of the production et dies ja velt promoter to the programme tring, with the state of the s rr 8 19 (New York: The Tree Trees: 1967 and Public Opinion that foreign Policy Control of the Present Trees and Public Opinion that reliev. ""If it has been dealt with at all: a perusal of periodicals reveals a eritable learth of articles of th topic; during the last ton years no relevant article to a appeared in either World Ichiti s or Public Crimica Quarterly, and only four in the America Political Sole ce Review and two in Internetical Studies quarter of property locals countries and an applicable of the countries of the countri rolicy some william it is well the a common for the same

for the construction of predictive theories concerning the rublic s influence (folitical Scientist Harry Fokstein is restainly of this opinion . However, Sead autages a or to this moth dialog in this particular care study, it is ension to be ten ription rather than to be presented as However trit it may a und, the first rical peri A in que tim van unique in or far an the influence of events and the esterietisc is conserved, and, as Sydney Serba reinter to the en with nexplanation tailored to each case in the if a less we could be less med from this epoch then it is unlikely that a char as cold ever one is in the fitting to orrive to the term to the peaked by thee to the a metal to an a craph of or then a deserment f is form no net to be no ne no in the fellows in or was gent in the recent form to of the follower was Property of the the transfer of the transfer of the

The control of the co

•

[&]quot;"See Harry Enkstein, "Case Study and Theory in Political Science" in Fred Greenstein and Melern Polsby, eds. Handbook of Political Science Vol. 7 (Massachusetts: Addison-Wasley Tublishing, 1975), pp. 79-137.
"Sylney Verba "Same Dilemmen in Comparation Research" in World Latitical 2001 (Oct. No. 1967). 112

1. Was the peace movement effective?' '?

The peace movement comprised many interest groups -- the Nor-Conscription Fellowship (later the No More War Movement), the Fellowship of Conciliation, the League of Nations Union the Union of Democratic Control and the Peace Pleage Union

to name but the most prominent. These groups achieved a certain amount of cohesiveness under the umbrella of the National Peace Council, and made the movement a considerable force. Did it amount to a mere exprediture of intellectual strange, or did it have some effort.

'''' terms of public opinion:

The acceptance of Almon's classic differentiation between the attentive public and the mass public would lead to the assumption that there would be little possibility of extending the interest of the attentive public to the disinterested masses; choicously on the grounds for the differentiation would no longer exist. However, the findings of this study would imply that the peace movement was effective in m bilising mass public support for its simp, this losing the map between the attentive public and the body of the masses. Such an effect occurred gradually over the two decades of the 1920s and 1930s; in the immediate post war years there existed the hard core of movements which had been born prior to and during the War;

and the second s

^{&#}x27;'To prevent semantic confusion, the word 'effect' will be taken as indicating influence, both positive and negative, as outlined in the introduction. Thus the question could be rephrased "was the peace movement effective in achieving influence?"

such as the N-CF and the FoR. These were reactions against war by what could be considered 'extreme' pacifists; those who held beliefs with sufficient strength and devotion which made them elevate the obligations of their faiths above all other obligations, in this case civil. The civil obligation was fighting for King and Country, and the faiths in point were, for the members of the N-CF, of a socialist nature, and for the FoR of a Christian kind. Such 'extreme' movements tended to lose impetus at the end of the War, since conscription was no longer so important an issue as readjusting to a post war world. The 1920s saw sporadic evidence of pacifist thought, such as Lord Arthur Ponsonby's campaign in 1925, and of course the continuation since the War of the UPC, but public awareness was not really activated until the late 1920s and early 1930s. In the so called hey day of British pacifism, as detailed in Charter Three, is overwhelming evidence that the expanded peace movement managed to mobilize a large portion of the Pritish population. Front of this exists on three levels: in termswof the intellectual elite the evidence can be found in the Oxford Union resolution of October 1933 and the subsequent resolutions along the same lines held at other universities countrywide. As regards the voting public, then there are the series of by-elections in 1933 which demonstrated a massive swing against the National government, and disatisfaction at their prevarication on issues of peace and disarmament. Even for the mass,

uninterested public there is evidence that they were unusually aware of foreign policy issues with the results of the Peace Ballot.

Thus there was a process of escalatory influence during the inter war years whereby the peace movement did achieve some influence outside of the realm of the attentive public.

(ii) In terms of government policy:

As previously recognised in Chapter One, owing to the difficulties implicit in assessing the impact of interest groups and public opinion on foreign policy, this question is one which is difficult to determine conclusively. It will be useful to consider in two parts: firstly as required the Labour governments and secondly the Conservation governments.

(a) The Labour Covernmen's: It could be initially assumed that the peace movement did have an effective input and thus positive influence into the political system whilst Labour were in office; those policies followed by the Labour government, based on the principles of international cooperation through the League, peaceful settlement of disputes and disarmament, were exactly those advocated by the peace movement. But it is difficult to assess how far the peace movement was responsible for this policy theme since such aspects could be explained as facets of socialist foreign policy, which Labour would have enacted in the absence of pressure from the peace movement; as will be exemplified below.

(b) The Conservative Governments: The question of input into Conservative policy is perhaps easier to answer, in that the peace movement achieved little effect. The success of any influence would have been reflected in policy making, and as has been shown, there was little similarity between those policies advocated by the peace movement and those pursued by the Conservative governments. The Conservatives did not ascribe to the principles of collective security, or have very much faith in the League, pusuing instead the incremental policy of appeasement: There is one exception to this however, in the case of the 1935 election campaign. That the importance of the peace movement as influencers of the electoral mond was recognised an be illustrated by the Conservative volte face during the said campaign, when, suddenly the Conservative Party ran on the platform of faith in the League. This can be perceived as negative influence, in so far as the Conservatives were constrained in what they could offer as potential polities should they be re elected; however since their conversion to the tearns has in incore and not carried through into policy matter the cold of the transformed into positive inflyence

Why was it effective as regards public opinion?
To assume that the peace movement extended its influence to the general mass public is to acknowledge the fact that the mass public were unusually (according to the majority of studies on interest groups and public opinion) well informed

as regards foreign affairs and the potential for disaster if the principles of collective security and disarmament as enshrined in the League, failed. This high degree of interest and knowledge can be attributed to two factors the educating impact of the LNU and the changing international situation.

(i) The effect of the LNU:

The LNU established itself as the educator of public opinion in terms of the importance of the League for future peaceful international relations, and indeed fulfilled this mission well. Claiming half a million members, it was clearly the largest single grouring in the peace movement, ''? The int rnational scene during the 1900s was relatively calm. and thus hopes were high that the birth of the Leaque heralded the end of international anarchy, and international reace and cooperation could become realistic communants of the international system. Certainly influential in quinty the support of British public opinion through the many meetings of its various branches and through the official magazine. Headway, it had a charismatic leader in the firm of Lord Cecil of Chelwood, on enthusiastic supporter of pacifism. Ramsey MacDonald acknowledged the important role Cecil played:

You have ploughed a long and very successful furrous and those who think that peace is one of the major issues of the world today, and that it can only be

[&]quot;Berkman, Pacifism in England, p. 81.

secured through an influential League of Nations, will never be able to thank you too much for your services.

In so far as the LNU was the official offshoot of the League this enabled it to obtain more publicity and exposure than the other groups within the peace movement, and thus it had a greater potential for furthering the cause of pacifism (ii) The changing international situation: The awakening of public consciousness to events in the international sphere was to engender another reason for the growing pacifist impulse. The groundwork for the mobilisation of the mans public, due mainly to the LNU took rlace within the 1920s - a relatively male period of readingthout after the Wor, tradual ensing of bostile feelings towards Germany and the high hopes for a peadeful Future. Thus when crises tegan to occur, as they aid during the early 1000s, public opinion was aware of the implications of such, and could respond in a positive form as outlined in Chapter Three . A previous study, in an attempt to elucidate the haracteristics of pacifism during the 1930s, has drawn on a sociological study of the peace movement, the Campaign for Nuclear Disarmament, in Britain during the 1960s, and applied this thesis to the inter-war years.'' The sociological study notes the necessity of '''Letter, J. R. Mar Donald to Lord Cecil, Dec. 19, 1931,

Public Record Office, Ramsey MacDonald Papers, PRO 30/69, 677, fs. 143-47.

^{&#}x27;'See Ceadel, Pacifism in Britain, p. 225. He makes use of the study done by Dr. Frank Parkin, Middle Class Radicalism (Manchester: Manchester University Press, 1968).

making a distinction in the structure of the bases of support of the movement between activists and sympathisers Activists, as the name implies, are those who are involved on a positive level within the movement, and are not only card carrying members but are actively involved attending demonstrations and participating in other forms of prote-Sympathisors are those who may not be members of the movement, but who agree with the basic aims of it. This group does not involve itself in the activities of the movement. The social and psychological pressures implicit in activism may deter some from going beyond the boundaries of reing sympathicers. How far sympathisors will be attracted to the cours will depend on the extent to which the incur to concern is at the tobefront of the public mind this is teminiacent of the conditions of the endy by Rennell Boulding, that protest is effective only in a society wi is in four sense "Supersaturated" with respect to that issue, it Since the atomic tomb was never a matter of pressing public concern during the heyday of the ch supporters were by implication a tipique tibewine the 1920s, the pacifish movement had a hard corn of activists but few sympathisons. The international situation was looking heighter in the with the row in treaties than it had since the Mary then people are no and

4.

[&]quot;''Kenneth Boulding, "Reflections on protest.". Bulletin of Atomic Scientists, October 1965; 18 20. Cited in H. & A. Newcombe, Peace Research Around the World Contains Canadian Peace Research Institute, 1972), r. 178.

to be expressive of a fear is him their minds, was unfounded. However, in the 1930 with the decries its the international cituation was become a real fear, and a suitable which could not be ignored, altrocted exemplation. Thus there is almost a bus bonneffect in that these educative and information rough within the party overcompletely a distormation of the him the party overcompletely to public mind to be eight affected, which in the their the third the public mind to be eight affected, which is the first of the him the party of the course o

Who was it effective with the Labour governments? Pontio h & alreads been made of the Basic difficulty in nances ing the real importance of the proce po ement in ingnia to tabour governmental politics; there agrees use policies were essentiable a function of the depot of the Tahour tribe in thing of a ciption their notice; Internation lies with the explorer of the open exceptably untion and the end of power politice, with a new tasks a hitratice and li nimene top the three learning a manife tation of each then for type political these me amount up and a string policy of plat the labor go emitente mila torramentale apparentale attention of the peace meaning of good in the political contractions as while the contrary of the contrary to the first of the contrary to the contrar of cold intertwining flools half by actions and large ten of the interchange of terrible within the peace recomment of ttt and the takens taken to the metric of the sheet as a con-

•

ik en de franzisk fan de franz Ginne franzisk fan de franzisk Stockholm fan de franzisk fan

* * *

go²e omente?

The theorem is a subject to the control of the cont

The second has a first or the couple like in the three power of the couple have a second of the couple of the coup

There is a second manifered that the set up tank when it is a first in a first in a property of the second is to read the second in the second

1

The second of th

 $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r})$ and $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{r})$ and $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{r})$ and $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{r})$ and $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{r})$

ាក់ ក្រុម ក្មានក្រុម ក្រុម ក្

The transfer of the second of

Germany the street of the stre

i Constant de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la companya de la companya de la companya del companya de la companya dela companya de la companya dela companya dela companya de la

f e

by this time the elimination and ticked papers of up and a large principle of the many ribble received the Great inheries of an italian government of the other officer The page of the first of the contract of the second of the contract of the contract of when he will be the the contract and the heart here. conigno de la marca de la compania del compania del compania de la compania del compania del compania del compania de la compania del compania de corning to be the engine of the management by power en excite a describe a square to excite a hely again an and there is a fet in a court on a contract of equipment one the second of th the contract of the contract of the contract of ingo the state of the same 0.00 $(x,y) = (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ r e and the control of th the control of the co Exercise 12 Control 1.1 100 1,0

the state of the s

restrict tres or the professing plant was energy ter lead to the control of the graduation of the prothe term of the second of the second the second of the sec the first transfer to the The first of the second the second of th

I' the English plynique has declined this is a doubt parily our to the fact that the comments of thilly tell that the time bett of its on lar ត្រូវបានក្រុម

the first production of the section Commence of the Commence of th the Charles of the Company of the Co and the second transfer of the second and the commence of the commen and the second of the second o the first that the second of

Collect the research secondaraged the apprecions

return the second of the contract of the property of the contract of the enter the company of the second of the company of t the state of the s and the contract of the transport of the first of the contract Sign in contract from the field of the first of the contract o in the second of the second

Oxf rd Pledme (int ind) ethijmenta () () hoad to ()

- () to more post of the continuents

It is obviously alsord that a midiculous resolution passed some years ago by a few Exford boys should have had my real effect. We, inforest pritain, car applaise that its value, but I am onfident that i has had a far greater effect of the foot centure than times times couple to under and the continuous sections.

The compact tell of the person of the end of the person of

detail to next profit a property

described to the many of the contract of the property of the p

...

New York Times, September 26, 1939

""Rt. Hom. Lord Lloyd of Polobran, "The New Yor the Rearmament of Great Britain: its justification of Treat Britain: its justification of

That the peace movement prevented rearmament:

Unlike the Labour governments of the 1920s, the Conservative governments enjoyed a substantial majority in the Commons. From 1931 35 the figure was 500, and during 1935-39 it never fell below 250. " Parliamentary opposition was thus indiquificant, and extra parliamentary opposition in the form of the peace movement, was mirtually ignored Hence there was really nothing to prevent the National go aromant from rearmin if it so wished. Admittedly, printo 1036 disarmament was still being demanded by the peace movement, but after the cuthreak of the Granich Civil War. and in conjunction with the tahour Party, it was actually and ling for rearmoment. The tordiness in rearmament was ϵ on their manifestation of the dithering absence of police which characterized the Concernative governments of the in ne . The ago that Greek elemakia was east fine he a s of Diffein a untrota education the arms field dress and a in with the opalisis of arreasiment, a an empirical me are live, he oby soft criain was dealt with in it is nority of it in this instance meant that the declaracy reserve to defend a country so far away and of which car known ex little . grencement uge net keen of altitud ; intersection with execution of the contract of " asch viris

The constraint to resumman on the fort of the place which is seen that the considerations which is seen that the constant of the place which is seen that the constant of the place which is seen that the constant of the place when the constant of the constant of the constant of the place which is the constant of the c

profounder analysis of the problems of peace and war than was to be found among right-wing appeasers. "" * Firstly, it stemmed from the opinion that lasting international peace could only be secured by systematic attempts to control armed force, through a gradual process of disarmament through the League. The greatest mistake of the Conservatives was that they undermined and effectively destroyed the structure created for future prevention of war, and in doing so unquestionably increased the dangers of war. Secondly, it was considered that basic rearmament was no substitute for a sound foreign policy. In retrospect it is simple to judge that the reace movement's policy of sanctionist collective security against fancist aggression was the most prodout to the international situation at the

Because the supporters of the peace movements hoted war, they haved Fascism which they knew to mean war Because they rejected the simple orthodoxies of power politics, 'imperial interests, and uncontrolled competitive armaments, they pioneered the policy of collective resistance to aggression and of the indivisitility of peace. If we ask which contemporary analysis of the international mituation has his still the his international minuation

1

^{&#}x27;'' <u>'Ibid</u>., p. 12.

Can a "lesson" be generalised from this case study which bears on foreign policy in a wider sense? It would seem to suggest that elite interest groups are capable of extending their patterns of belief to the mass public, if only when an issue is, for whatever reason, brought to the forefront of the public mind.'"' Thus the potential does exist for the mobilisation of the mass public in terms of participation, but it would seem to be outside of the control of the interest groups themselves -- that is to say if takes a crisis to stir the usually lethargic public. ? ? ? However, the findings of the study seem to conform to the classical idea that there is very little input from interest groups into the political process, and such can only be achieved when the leaders of the intreest groups have some connection with the political elite. The study may also indicate that governments have a responsibility to be more aware of and responsive to a public mond, since failure to do this could result in the fall of the government, or more seriously, a disastrous series of policy decisions. this is to suggest a structural change within government

This would negate the view of Philip Converse, who wrote that, "It cannot ... be claimed that the mass public shares idealogical patterns of belief with relevant elites at a specific level any more than it shares the abstract conceptual frames of reference." Philip E. Converse, "The Nature of Belief Systems in Mass Publics", in Idealogy and Discontent, ed. by David E. Apter (New York: The Free Fress, 1964), p. 231.

""The concept of "crisis as catalyst" is well portrayed throughout a recent study by Alexander L. George and Richard Smoke, Deterrence in American Foreign Policy. (New York: Columbia University Fress, 1974).

itself, since foreign policy making still remains confined to the highly executive form of government. This is hardly a feasible proposition for the short term, and one wonders about the prospects for the long term.

BIRLIOGRAPHY

And the second s

A. BOOKS

- Almond, Gabriel and Verba, Sydney. The Civic Culture.
 Boston: Little Brown & Co., 1963.
- Almond, Gabriel. The American People and Foreign Policy
 New York: Harmourt Brace Janovich, 1950.
- Amery, L.S. My Political Life. London: Hutchinson, 1955.
- Apter, David E. Ideology and Discontent. New York: The Free Press, 1964.
- Beaverbrook, Lord. The Decline and Fall of Lloyd George.
 London: Colling, 1963.
- Portman J vce. "Pacifism in England 1914-39." Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, Vale University, 1967.
- Rettomore, T.B. Elites and Sexials, Middlesons Fenguin Books, 1961
- Roulton, D. Objection Overulad London, Usacibbon & Period
- Brock, Feler. Twentieth Century Parillion Was York. Van Nostrand Peinhold, 1970
- Browlever Fenner. Inside the Left, tender New tender tite , 1907.
- Britisis Tera. Testament & Fragiliance Tendent a Times
- Aullack, A. Friest Bovin. Tondon: Beinemann, 1960.

Carr, E.H. International Relations Between the Two World Wars 1919-1939. London: MacMillan & Co. Ltd., 1952.

- Carr. F. H. The Twenty Years Crisis. New York: Harper & Row, 1964.
- Ceadel. Martin. Pacifism in Britain 1914 1945. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1980.
- Chelwood, Viscount Cecil of. All The Way: Tondon: Hodder & Stoughton, 1949.
- Cohon. " C The Public's Impact on Foldigo Policy , Boston Little Brown & Co., 1973.
- (a) in tan The Chamberlain Cabinet from no theret
- Fiden, Anthony. Facing the Djotatore London Carsell
- Franks) Joseph. The Making of Foreign Policy of name Oxford University trees 1965.
- Crime No maret. The Hollow Men. An Examination of British Consider Police 103 100, months of the land of the land
- rais loop, 1960
- Aller of History London tengon, The Story of Lord

- Gordon, Michael. Conflict and Consensus in Labour's Foreign Policy 1914-65. California: Stanford University Fress, 1969.
- Graham 1 T Conscription and conscience, tendon allen conscience, 1922
- Graves, Potert. Goodbye To All That tendons Jonathan Cape, 1920.
- Political Science, Vol. 7. Massachuserts: Addison Vesley Fublishing, 1975.
- Hordy Pobert Gathorne. Ottoline at Garsington: Memoirs of Lady Citoline Morrell 101 10 10 1000 or take taken 1014.
- Tabliching Prose, 1979
- The term of conditional Folities: A Francouchk Condition to Bondon: Provide the Unit of the Condition of the
- There is to be the first world was the first world was the terms to the first world was 1961
- The part of μ . It were the μ and μ in the dense to the μ and μ
- in British Foreign Policy hills the Standard or Motive in British Foreign Policy hills the Standard or Motive in British Foreign Policy hills the Standard or Motive in British Foreign Policy hills the Standard or Motive in British Foreign Policy hills the Standard or Motive in British Foreign Policy hills the Standard or Motive in British Foreign Policy hills the Standard or Motive in British Foreign Policy hills the Standard or Motive in British Foreign Policy hills the Standard or Motive in British Foreign Policy hills the Standard or Motive in British Foreign Policy hills the Standard or Motive in British Foreign Policy hills the Standard or Motive in British Foreign Policy hills the Standard or Motive in British Foreign Policy hills the Standard or Motive in British Foreign Policy hills the Standard or Motive in British Foreign Policy hills the Standard or Motive in British Foreign Policy hills the Standard or Motive in British Foreign Policy hills the Standard or Motive in British Foreign Policy hills the British Foreign Policy hills the British Policy hill the British Policy hills the British Policy hills the British Policy hill the British Policy hills hill the British hill the British Policy hills hill the British hill the British
- Europe Since 1010 What was a light to the story of
- Market Committee Britain Petron The Wars 1018 to 1000 to
- Mewcombe, H &) Peace Research Account The World

- Ontario: Canadian Peace Regerroh Inetitute 1976
- Proce & Co. 1958
- Manchester University Cost 1160
- transplace to the second of th
- nevertile 1.A. Enitish Foreign Policy in the 1 tention
- Port of the Committee and Total and the Committee of the
- now tes, sd. Omertic Courses in the second
- The state of the s
- ingfried. Memoir of a Infant of the
- Today Memories of the company of the
- Faher 1990.
- fluctuations or British Pour Control of the
- The British Labour Men of the state of the s
- The transfer of the time Protection of the Conserved War Lit War

ITTALL OF THE STATE DOLLARS

them ville. The this has record or other and

The second of the second of the second secon

Thentiet's Century Wenter Common and

Ferschalities and folities. Studies in the Formulation of their objection of the live of t

 $\frac{\partial^2 \log g}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial^2 g}$

the state of the s

र मारा १ । १ । १ ।

.

.

 $\Psi = 0$. The $\mu = 0$

, 1 cê.

The state of the s

n de la companya de Esta de la companya de la companya

> n. Pritial library of Falitical and Port mic So ros been a during of leave for a port.

((1, 2) + (1, 2) +

n. Let up T : Herefore fore, In report to this is a Ci constant of the consta

9

e e

.