University of Alberta

Insights into Selenium-77 NMR Interaction Tensors: Multinuclear Magnetic Resonance Investigations of Iminobis(dialkylphosphine chalcogenide) Systems in the Solid State

by



A thesis submitted to the Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Chemistry

Edmonton, Alberta Fall 2008



Library and Archives Canada

Published Heritage Branch

395 Wellington Street Ottawa ON K1A 0N4 Canada

Bibliothèque et Archives Canada

Direction du Patrimoine de l'édition

395, rue Wellington Ottawa ON K1A 0N4 Canada

> Your file Votre référence ISBN: 978-0-494-46303-1 Our file Notre référence ISBN: 978-0-494-46303-1

NOTICE:

The author has granted a nonexclusive license allowing Library and Archives Canada to reproduce, publish, archive, preserve, conserve, communicate to the public by telecommunication or on the Internet, loan, distribute and sell theses worldwide, for commercial or noncommercial purposes, in microform, paper, electronic and/or any other formats.

The author retains copyright ownership and moral rights in this thesis. Neither the thesis nor substantial extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without the author's permission.

AVIS:

L'auteur a accordé une licence non exclusive permettant à la Bibliothèque et Archives Canada de reproduire, publier, archiver, sauvegarder, conserver, transmettre au public par télécommunication ou par l'Internet, prêter, distribuer et vendre des thèses partout dans le monde, à des fins commerciales ou autres, sur support microforme, papier, électronique et/ou autres formats.

L'auteur conserve la propriété du droit d'auteur et des droits moraux qui protège cette thèse. Ni la thèse ni des extraits substantiels de celle-ci ne doivent être imprimés ou autrement reproduits sans son autorisation.

In compliance with the Canadian Privacy Act some supporting forms may have been removed from this thesis.

While these forms may be included in the document page count, their removal does not represent any loss of content from the thesis.

Canada

Conformément à la loi canadienne sur la protection de la vie privée, quelques formulaires secondaires ont été enlevés de cette thèse.

Bien que ces formulaires aient inclus dans la pagination, il n'y aura aucun contenu manquant. To my family and friends

Abstract

Solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance permits access to the orientation-dependent NMR interaction tensors. A review of the solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR literature introduces areas of active research and places the presented experimental work into context. A comprehensive investigation of selenium chemical shift tensors provides a theoretical foundation using density functional theory for the level of relativistic theory, via the zeroth order regular approximation, required to reproduce experimentally determined values and trends. The selenium chemical shift tensors for a number of organoselenium, organophosphine selenide, and inorganic selenium systems are reported for the first time. Multinuclear magnetic resonance investigations of iminobis(dialkylphosphine chalcogenide) systems, $HN(R_2PE)_2$ (E = O, S, Se; R = Ph, ⁱPr), together with their respective calculated values demonstrate the sensitivity of the selenium chemical shift tensor to hydrogen bonding and disorder within their solid-state structures. Indirect onebond selenium-phosphorus coupling constants, ${}^{1}J({}^{77}Se, {}^{31}P)_{iso}$, are diagnostic with respect to the presence of an acidic N-H proton. The magnitudes of ${}^{1}J({}^{77}\text{Se},{}^{31}\text{P})_{iso}$ for the $HN(R_2PSe)_2$ (R = Ph, ⁱPr) species, > 700 Hz, are on the order of 200 Hz larger than those found for $[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]^{-}$ systems. The study of metal(II) tetraisopropyldiselenoimidodiphosphinato complexes, M[N(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂]₂, by solid-state NMR presents a novel nonsymmetric inorganic X-Se-Y moiety for solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR investigation. The characterized M-Se-P linkages are members of six-membered, MSe₂P₂N, rings. The pseudo-boat or distorted chair conformations of the heterocyclic rings, commonly encountered in $M^{n+}[N(R_2PSe)_2]_n$ systems, have been differentiated by solid-state ⁷⁷Se

NMR. The selenium chemical shift tensors for the pseudo-boat MSe₂P₂N rings possess spans, $\Omega = \delta_{11} - \delta_{33}$, that are on the order of 100-200 ppm larger than those from rings with a distorted chair conformation. Calculated orientations for the selenium chemical shift tensors are also found to differ depending on the conformation of the heterocyclic ring. The selenium chemical shift tensor for the central selenium in the square-planar complex Se[N(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂]₂ was described by qualitative molecular orbital theory, and its computation was found to require spin-orbit relativistic corrections in order to accurately reproduce the principal components, δ_{ii} . The largest magnitudes reported for ${}^{1}J({}^{77}Se, {}^{77}Se)_{iso}$ and ${}^{1}J({}^{125}Te, {}^{77}Se)_{iso}$ were found for the Se[N(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂]₂ and Te[N(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂]₂ complexes, respectively.

Acknowledgments

I would like to begin by thanking my supervisor, Roderick Wasylishen, for accepting me into his research group in the Fall of 2002. From synthetic chemistry, to experimental spectroscopy, to theoretical computational chemistry, the array of research pursuits available as a member of Rod's group is exceptional in scope and quality.

The research presented in this thesis could not have been accomplished without the valued contributions of some of my coworkers. Mathew Willans and Kris Harris also joined Rod's group in the Fall of 2002 and quickly became the fundamental support system for many of my research struggles. Devin Sears joined the group as a postdoctoral fellow in the Summer of 2004 and subsequently taught me much of research preparation, planning and execution. The knowledge shared to me by these three chance colleagues, and their willingness to serve as soundboards for my thoughts and concerns, has been invaluable to me both personally and professionally. Today, the bonds that I have formed with Mat, Kris and Devin transcend the working environment and I am glad to count them among my closest of friends.

Some more specific acknowledgements in regards to the research conducted for the preparation of this thesis are also required. The work presented in Chapter 4 was accomplished in no small part due to the efforts of Klaus Eichele. I thank Klaus for performing the majority of the experimental work, some simulations and a number of helpful discussions. Additionally, the contributions of Prof. Glenn H. Penner for preparing the trimethylphosphine selenide and tris-(*tert*-butyl)phosphine selenide samples, Prof. Gang Wu for some preliminary experiments on ammonium selenotungstate, and Prof. P.-N. Roy for generous use of some computing resources, are humbly acknowledged. As for the research presented in Chapters 5 through 7, I would like to thank Prof. Martin Cowie and his research group for access to their solvent stills, and Dr. Devin Sears for use of his computational resources.

Before acknowledging the non-research related support that I have received, I would like to thank several funding agencies that have financially supported me through the pursuit of this degree. These include the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, the Alberta Ingenuity Fund, and the University of Alberta for various research grants and scholarships.

The existence of things in my life that are outside the laboratory, outside chemistry, and outside science are what allows me to keep returning to the laboratory. The day-in day-out love and support of my wife, Sarah, has been the hearthstone of my faith in my self and my work. With Sarah, there is no accomplishment that is achieved alone which makes every future success all the more worth pursuing.

The support system upon which Sarah and I rely most heavily, I feel fortunate to say, is our immediate families. My parents, Victor and Marlene, are what every child could desire in their parents; an endless fount of love and support. The desire in me to emulate them is only matched by my efforts to make them proud. My brother and sister, Nick and Kate, are more than just my siblings; they are my oldest, dearest, and most personal of friends. Douglas and Flora Ord have welcomed me into their equally wonderful family with open arms and open hearts. Their care and concern is always appreciated. From my sister in-law, Megan, Sarah and I receive nothing but optimism and best wishes. I am truly blessed with a family unlike any other. While I have already listed a number of those that I count among my close friends, those that have yet to be mentioned are equally valued for their friendship and support. Mike Ius has brought more fun times to my life than can be listed; however, his visit in the Spring of 2003 will forever be remembered as a response to a friend in need. Chris Kanakos knows me like few people do and our nearly daily conversations almost always leave me smiling. Mike Kanakos has served admirably throughout our friendship as my substitute big brother. Tina Grant is a constant inspiration at her command of positive energy. Andrew Grosvenor has been a welcome friendly face during the past couple years, especially during our often biweekly conversations over beer. And the treasured support of Dr. Anna Jordan has often gone above and beyond for me.

The social activities that I have participated in during my graduate degree have served me well and deserve their own credit. From my first month at the University of Alberta I have belonged to the softball team of many names and count our victories and losses among some of my fondest memories of my time here. With the arrival of Devin Sears in 2004, I found someone to share my enjoyment of football with, and nearly every Monday night in the Fall found us at Scholars/The Library/Hudsons. The Monday Night Football gang slowly grew to include Andrew Grosvenor and Glen Elliot, and served as an often much needed weekly escape. The various ski trips that I have been able to join in on were valued weekend vacations to the mountains.

Finally, I would like to recognize the tutelage of my former supervisors; Professors Yining Huang, David Shoesmith and Derek Leaist. Their instruction during my early experiences with scientific research laid a strong foundation for my current and future research endeavors.

Table of Contents

Chapter 1 – Introduction and Overview	1
 1.1 Introduction 1.1.1 Selenium 1.1.2 Nuclear Magnetic Resonance 1.1.3 Focus System 1.2 Overview 	1 1 3 5 7
1.3 References	10
Chapter 2 – Solid-State Selenium-77 NMR	14
2.1 Introduction	14
2.1.1 Selenium-77 NMR	14
2.1.2 Solid-State ⁷⁷ Se NMR	15
2.1.3 Structure	
2.2 Solid-State ⁷⁷ Se NMR	
2.2.1 Selenium	19
2.2.2 Selones	
2.2.2.1 Seleno-Carbonyls	
2.2.2.7 Phosphine Selones	
2 2 3 Bridging Selenium Systems	26
2.2.3 Draging Soleman Systems	27
2 2 3 1 1 Selenoethers	27
2 2 3 1 2 Organic Conductors	29
2 2 3 2 Bridging Organic and Inorganic Centers	40
2.2.5.2 Druging Organic and morganic Centers	10 44
2.2.5.5 Drugning morganic Comers	+ + 44
2 2 3 3 2 Non-symmetric X-Se-Y Linkages	47
2 2 4 Inorganic Systems	17
2.2.4 1 Selenium Oxides	49
2.2.4.7 Inorganic Mono- Di- and Poly-selenides	54
2.2.1.2 Inorganic Monoselenides	5 1
2 2 4 2 2 Inorganic Diselenides	57
2.2.4.2.3 Inorganic Polyselenides	
2.2.4.3 Vitreous Systems	63
2.2.5 Miscellaneous Selenium Systems	65
2.2.5.1 Miscellaneous Organoselenium Systems	65
2.2.5.2 Miscellaneous Inorganic Systems	69
2.3 Summary	70
2.4 References	72

Chapter 3 – Theory and Methods

3.1 NMR Interactions	
3.1.1 The Zeeman Interaction	
3.1.2 The Nuclear Magnetic Shielding Interaction	
3.1.3 The Direct Dipolar Interaction	
3.1.4 The Indirect Nuclear Spin-Spin Interaction	
3.1.5 The Quadrupolar Interaction	
3.2 Experimental Techniques in Solid-State NMR	
3.2.1 Magic Angle Spinning	
3.2.2 High-Power Heteronuclear Decoupling	
3.2.3 Cross Polarization	
3.3 Quantum Chemical Calculation of NMR Parameters	
3.3.1 Density Functional Theory	
3.3.2 The Zeroth Order Regular Approximation	
3.4 References	111

Chapter 4 – A Combined Experimental and Quantum Chemistry Study of Selenium Chemical Shift Tensors114

4.1 Introduction	114
4.2 Background Theory	116
4.3 Experimental	118
4.4 Results and Discussion	122
4.4.1 Comparison of Observed and Calculated Selenium Chemical Shift Tensors	122
4.4.2 Organoselenium Compounds	127
4.4.3 Organophosphine Selenides	138
4.4.4 Inorganic Selenium Compounds	150
4.5 Summary	156
4.6 References	158

5.1 Introduction	167
5.2 Experimental	169
5.2.1 Sample Preparation	169
5.2.2 NMR Experiments	
5.2.3 DFT Computations	
5.3 Results & Discussion	
5.3.1 Solid-State ³¹ P NMR	
5.3.1.1 $HN(R_2PO)_2$ ($R = Ph, {}^{i}Pr$)	

5.3.1.2 $HN(R_2PS)_2$ ($R = Ph, {}^iPr$)	
5.3.1.3 $HN(R_2PSe)_2 (R = Ph, {}^{i}Pr)$	
5.3.2 Solid-State ⁷⁷ Se NMR	
5.3.3 The Effect of the E-P-P-E 'Torsion' Angle	
5.4 Summary	
5.5 References	

Chapter 6 – A Solid-State NMR Investigation of Single-Source
Precursors for Group 12 Metal Selenides; $M[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = Zn, Cd,
Hg)

221
223
225

Chapter 7 – Comparing Main Group and Transition Metal Square-Planar Complexes of the Diselenoimidodiphosphinate Anion: A Solid-State NMR Investigation of $M[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = Se, Te; Pd, Pt) 235

7.1 Introduction	235
7.2 Experimental	236
7.2.1 Sample Preparation	236
7.2.2 NMR Experiments	238
7.2.3 DFT Computations	240
7.3 Results & Discussion	242
7.3.1 Solid-State ³¹ P NMR	243
7.3.2 Solid-State ⁷⁷ Se NMR Results of the Diselenoimidodiphosphinato Seleniun	n
Environments	251
7.3.3 Solid-State NMR of the Central Atom of $M[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = ^{195}Pt ; ^{77}Se ,	
¹²⁵ Te)	259
7.4 Summary	268
7.5 References	270

Chapter 8 – Co	onclusions and	Outlook2	278
----------------	----------------	----------	-----

8.1 Conclusions	
8.1.1 Experimental Solid-State ⁷⁷ Se NMR Parameters	
8.1.2 Theoretical Insight into ⁷⁷ Se Nuclear Magnetic Shielding	
8.1.3 Specific Chemical Information	
8.2 Outlook	
8.2.1 Lone Electron Pair Stereochemical Activity	
8.2.2 Paramagnetic Systems	
8.2.3 Tellurium Analogues	
8.3 References	
Appendix A	
Appendix B	
Appendix C	
Appendix D	
Appendix E	

List of Tables

Chapter 2

Table 2.1 Isotropic selenium chemical shifts of various ⁷⁷ Se NMR reference compounds.
Table 2.2 Solid-state ⁷⁷ Se NMR chemical shifts and chemical shift tensors for elemental selenium. 20
Table 2.3 Solid-state ⁷⁷ Se chemical shifts and chemical shift tensors for selono-carbonyl systems. 22
Table 2.4 Solid-state ⁷⁷ Se chemical shifts and chemical shift tensors for phosphine selone systems. 23
Table 2.5 Indirect spin-spin coupling constants, ${}^{n}J({}^{77}Se, {}^{m}X)_{iso}$ (m < 77)25
Table 2.6 Solid-state ⁷⁷ Se chemical shifts and chemical shift tensors for selenium bridging organic centers
Table 2.7 Solid-state ⁷⁷ Se NMR monitored phase transitions in the organic conductors, A2X
Table 2.8 Solid-state ⁷⁷ Se chemical shifts and chemical shift tensors for selenium bridging organic and inorganic centers. 42
Table 2.9 Indirect spin-spin coupling constants, ${}^{n}J({}^{77}\text{Se},{}^{m}X)_{iso}$ (m > 77) 44
Table 2.10 Solid-state ⁷⁷ Se chemical shifts and chemical shift tensors for inorganic X-Se-X bridging moieties. 46
Table 2.11 Solid-state ⁷⁷ Se chemical shifts and chemical shift tensors for inorganic X-Se-Y bridging moieties. 48
Table 2.12 Solid-state ⁷⁷ Se chemical shifts and chemical shift tensors for selenium oxides. 50
Table 2.13 Solid-state ⁷⁷ Se chemical shifts and chemical shift tensors for inorganic selenium systems
Table 2.14 Solid-state ⁷⁷ Se chemical shifts found in vitreous selenium systems
Table 2.15 Solid-state ⁷⁷ Se chemical shifts and chemical shift tensors for miscellaneous organoselenium systems
Chapter 4
Table 4.1 Experimental and Theoretical Chemical Shift Tensors for Organoselenium Compounds. 129
Table 4.2 Experimental and Theoretical Chemical Shift Tensors for Tris- Organophosphine Selenides. 139
Table 4.3 Experimental and Theoretical Chemical Shift Tensors for Inorganic Selenium Compounds. 151

Chapter 5

Table 5.1 Solid-state ${}^{31}P$ NMR parameters for HN(R ₂ PE) ₂ (E = O, S, Se; R = Ph, ${}^{i}Pr$). 175
Table 5.2 Solid-state 77 Se NMR parameters for HN(R ₂ PSe) ₂ (R = Ph, ⁱ Pr) 186
Chapter 6
Table 6.1 Solid-state ³¹ P NMR parameters for $M[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = Zn, Cd, Hg) 209
Table 6.2 Solid-state ⁷⁷ Se NMR parameters for $M[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = Zn, Cd, Hg) 216
Table 6.3 Solid-state ¹¹³ Cd and ¹⁹⁹ Hg NMR parameters for $M[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = Cd, Hg)
Chapter 7
Table 7.1 Experimental and theoretical solid-state ³¹ P NMR parameters for $M[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = Pd, Pt; Se, Te)
Table 7.2 Experimental and theoretical solid-state ⁷⁷ Se NMR parameters for the anion of M[N(ⁱ Pr ₂ PSe) ₂] ₂ (M =Pd, Pt; Se, Te). 253
Table 7.3 Experimental and theoretical solid-state NMR parameters for $M[N(^{i}Pr_2PSe)_2]_2$ (M = ^{105}Pd , ^{195}Pt ; ^{77}Se , ^{125}Te)
Appendix A
Table A1 Linear Fit Parameters for Experimental vs. Calculated Selenium Chemical Shift Tensors. 292
Appendix B
Table B1 Theoretical phosphorus chemical shift tensors for $HN(R_2PE)_2$ (E = O, S, Se; R = Ph, ⁱ Pr)
Table B2 Theoretical selenium chemical shift tensors for $HN(R_2PSe)_2$ (R = Ph, ⁱ Pr) 294
Appendix C
Table C1 Theoretical phosphorus chemical shift tensors for M[N(ⁱ Pr ₂ PSe) ₂] ₂ (M = Zn, Cd, Hg)
Table C2 Theoretical selenium chemical shift tensors for M[N(ⁱ Pr ₂ PSe) ₂] ₂ (M = Zn, Cd, Hg)
Table C3 Theoretical cadmium and mercury magnetic shielding tensors for $M[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = Cd, Hg)
Table C4 Traceless phosphorus chemical shift tensors for M[N(ⁱ Pr ₂ PSe) ₂] ₂ (M = Zn, Cd, Hg). 298
Table C5 Table C5 Traceless selenium chemical shift tensors for M[N(ⁱ Pr ₂ PSe) ₂] ₂ (M = Zn, Cd, Hg). 299
Table C6 Traceless cadmium and mercury chemical shift tensors for $M[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = Cd, Hg)

Appendix D

Table	D1 Theoretical phosphorus shielding tensors for $M[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = Pd, Pt; S	e,
	Te)	301
Table	D2 Theoretical selenium shielding tensors for the anion of $M[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M	=
	Pd, Pt; Se, Te)	302
Table	D3 Theoretical shielding tensors for nucleus M of $M[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = ^{105}Pd ,	
	¹⁹⁵ Pt; ¹⁷ Se, ¹²⁵ Te)	303

List of Figures

Chapter 2

Figure 2.1 Representative examples of the seleno-carbonyls (a-c) and phosphine selones (d-g) that have been studied by solid-state ⁷⁷ Se NMR
Figure 2.2 Selenium coronands that have been studied by solid-state ⁷⁷ Se NMR 30
Figure 2.3 Structurally rigid selenoethers that have been studied by solid-state ⁷⁷ Se NMR.
Figure 2.4 Examples of the bridging selenium environments that have been studied by solid-state ⁷⁷ Se NMR
Figure 2.5 Miscellaneous structures that have been studied by solid-state ⁷⁷ Se NMR 68
Chapter 3
Figure 3.1 Diagram illustrating the orientation of the external applied magnetic field, as defined by the polar angles θ and ϕ , with respect to the nuclear magnetic shielding tensor
Figure 3.2 Relative angles describing the orientation of the external applied magnetic field, B_0 , internuclear vector, r_{IS} , and the sample rotation, rotor, axes
Figure 3.3 The effect of MAS on the 77 Se NMR spectrum of (NH ₄) ₂ SeO ₄ 101
Figure 3.4 Typical cross polarization pulse sequence
Chapter 4
Figure 4.1 Compounds investigated
Figure 4.2 Experimental vs. calculated isotropic chemical shifts, δ_{iso} , for the selenium- containing compounds investigated
Figure 4.3 Experimental vs. calculated values for (a) δ_{11} , (b) δ_{22} , and (c) δ_{33} for the selenium-containing compounds investigated
Figure 4.4 Experimental vs. calculated spans, $\Omega = \delta_{11} - \delta_{33}$, for the selenium-containing compounds investigated
Figure 4.5 CP MAS ⁷⁷ Se NMR spectrum of <i>N</i> , <i>N</i> -dimethylselenourea128
Figure 4.6 RACP MAS ⁷⁷ Se NMR spectrum of <i>N</i> -methylbenzothiazole-2-selone 132
Figure 4.7 Selenium-77 RACP MAS NMR center band spectrum of Ph ₂ SeCl ₂ 135
Figure 4.8 (a) CP MAS ⁷⁷ Se NMR spectrum of ^t Bu ₃ PSe. (b) CP ⁷⁷ Se NMR spectrum of stationary ^t Bu ₃ PSe
Figure 4.9 Selenium-77 RACP MAS NMR spectrum for Cyc ₃ PSe
Figure 4.10 5-phenyldibenzophosphine 5-selenide RACP MAS ⁷⁷ Se spectrum
Figure 4.11 CP MAS ⁷⁷ Se NMR spectrum for <i>p</i> -Tol ₃ PSe148
Figure 4.12 ⁷⁷ Se CP MAS NMR spectrum for TTMPSe

Figure	4.13 CP static ⁷⁷ Se NMR spectrum for (NH ₄) ₂ SeO ₄
Figure	4.14 ⁷⁷ Se CP MAS spectrum for (NH ₄) ₂ WSe ₄
Chap	ter 5
Figure	5.1 Common conformations encountered in iminobis(dialkylphosphine chalcogenide), $HN(R_2PE)_2$ (E = O, S, Se), systems
Figure	5.2 RACP MAS ³¹ P NMR spectra for (a) $Ph_2P(OH)NP(O)Ph_2$ and (b) $HN(^{i}Pr_2PO)_2$
Figure	5.3 RACP MAS ³¹ P NMR spectra for (a) $HN(Ph_2PS)_2$ and (b) $HN(^{i}Pr_2PS)_2$ 179
Figure	5.4 RACP MAS ³¹ P NMR spectra for (a) $HN(Ph_2PSe)_2$ and (b) $HN(^{i}Pr_2PSe)_2$. 182
Figure	5.5 RACP MAS ⁷⁷ Se NMR spectra for (a) $HN(Ph_2PSe)_2$ and (b) $HN(^{i}Pr_2PSe)_2$. 185
Figure	5.6 Isotropic region of the ⁷⁷ Se NMR spectra for (a) HN(Ph ₂ PSe) ₂ and (b) HN(ⁱ Pr ₂ PSe) ₂
Figure	5.7 Effect of rotation about the E-P•••P-E 'torsion' angle for HN(Me ₂ PSe) ₂ on (a) $\delta_{iso}(^{31}P)$ and (b) $\delta_{iso}(^{77}Se)$
Chap	ter 6
Figure	6.1 Representation of the solid-state structure of $M[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = Zn, Cd, Hg)
Figure	6.2 VACP MAS ³¹ P NMR spectrum of $Hg[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$
Figure	6.3 Isotropic ³¹ P NMR regions for $M[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = Zn, Cd, Hg) at 4.7 T, 7.0 T, and 11.7 T
Figure	6.4 (a) VACP MAS ⁷⁷ Se NMR spectra for $M[N(^{i}Pr_2PSe)_2]_2$ (M = Zn, Cd, Hg). (b) Expansion of the isotropic region of the ⁷⁷ Se NMR spectra
Figure	6.5 VACP ¹¹³ Cd NMR spectrum of stationary Cd[N(ⁱ Pr ₂ PSe) ₂] ₂
Figure	6.6 (a) VACP MAS ¹⁹⁹ Hg NMR spectrum of Hg[N($^{i}Pr_{2}PSe$) ₂] ₂ . (b) Expansion of the isotropic region of the ¹⁹⁹ Hg NMR spectrum
Chap	ter 7
Figure	7.1 Representation of the solid-state structures of $M[N(R_2PSe)_2]_2$ (R = ⁱ Pr, Ph) (a) M = Se, Te; (b) M = Pd, Pt
Figure	7.2 VACP MAS ³¹ P NMR spectra for (a) $Pd[N(^{i}Pr_2PSe)_2]_2$ and (b) $Pt[N(^{i}Pr_2PSe)_2]_2$
Figure	7.3 VACP MAS ³¹ P NMR spectra for (a) $Se[N(^{i}Pr_2PSe)_2]_2$ and (b) $Te[N(^{i}Pr_2PSe)_2]_2$
Figure	7.4 Isotropic ³¹ P NMR regions for $M[N(^{i}Pr_2PSe)_2]_2$ (M = Pd, Pt; Se, Te) at 4.7 T, 7.0 T, and 11.7 T. 248

Figure 7.5 VACP MAS ⁷⁷ Se NMR spectra for (a) Pd[N(ⁱ Pr ₂ PSe) ₂] ₂ and (b) Pt[N(ⁱ Pr ₂ PSe) ₂] ₂	. 252
 Figure 7.6 (a) Experimental VACP MAS ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum for Se[N(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂]₂. d) Simulations of the selenium environments for Se[N(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂]₂. (e) VACP MAS ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum for Te[N(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂]₂, and (f) its simulation 	(b- . 255
Figure 7.7 Isotropic regions of the ⁷⁷ Se NMR spectra at 7.0 T for the diselenoimidodiphosphinate anion of the square-planar complexes $M[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$, $M = (a) Pd$, (b) Pt, (c) Se, (d) Te	. 258
Figure 7.8 VACP MAS ¹⁹⁵ Pt NMR spectrum of Pt[N(ⁱ Pr ₂ PSe) ₂] ₂	. 261
Figure 7.9 Molecular orbital diagram for an idealized $Pt^{II}L_4$ anion	. 263
Figure 7.10 Molecular orbital diagram for an idealized $M^{II}L_4$ (M = Se, Te) anion	. 265
Figure 7.11 VACP MAS ¹²⁵ Te NMR spectrum of $Te[N(^{i}Pr_2PSe)_2]_2$. 267

.

List of Symbols

A	hyperfine coupling constant
α, β, γ	Euler angles
B_0	external applied magnetic field
B_0	external magnetic field strength
B_1	radiofrequency field strength
$B_{0, c}$	superconducting magnetic field strength
$B_{0, \mathrm{MI}}$	metal-insulator magnetic field strength
C_{Q}	nuclear quadrupolar coupling constant
C_3	three-fold rotation axis
c	speed of light
D	direct dipolar coupling tensor
δ_{ii}	principal component of the chemical shift tensor
δ_{iso}	isotropic chemical shift
$\Delta \sigma$	anisotropy of the chemical shift tensor
ΔJ	anisotropy of the indirect spin-spin coupling tensor
е	elementary charge
$ heta, \phi$	polar angles
γ_I	magnetogyric ratio for spin I
$\hat{\mathcal{H}}$	Hamiltonian operator
h	Planck's constant
ħ	reduced Planck's constant
η_{σ}	asymmetry of the chemical shift tensor

η_J	asymmetry of the indirect spin-spin coupling tensor
η_Q	asymmetry of the electric field gradient tensor
I	nuclear spin angular momentum operator
Ι	nuclear spin quantum number
J	indirect spin-spin coupling tensor
$J_{ m iso}$	indirect spin-spin coupling constant
$J_{ m ii}$	principal component of the indirect spin-spin coupling tensor
K	reduced indirect spin-spin coupling tensor
K	reduced indirect spin-spin coupling constant
κ	skew of the nuclear magnetic shielding or chemical shift tensor
m _e	electron mass
m_I	magnetic quantum number for spin I
μ_I	nuclear magnetic moment for spin I
μ_0	vacuum permeability
$\mu_{ m B}$	Bohr magneton
υ_L	Larmor frequency
υ_{rot}	rotor spinning frequency
Q	nuclear quadrupole moment
Ω	span of the nuclear magnetic shielding or chemical shift tensor
P _{MI}	metal-insulator transition pressure
Pc	superconducting transition pressure
$R_{\rm DD}$	direct dipolar coupling constant

R _{eff}	effective direct dipolar coupling constant
ρ(r)	electron density
S_4	four-fold improper rotation axis
σ	nuclear magnetic shielding tensor
σ _{ii}	principal component of the nuclear magnetic shielding tensor
σ_{iso}	isotropic nuclear magnetic shielding constant
Т	temperature
T _{AO}	anion ordering temperature
T _{MI}	metal-insulator transition temperature
T _c	superconducting transition temperature
Tg	glass transition temperature
T_1	nuclear spin-lattice relaxation time
T_1^{-1}	nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rate
T_2	nuclear spin-spin relaxation time
T_2^{-1}	nuclear spin-spin relaxation rate
V	electric field gradient tensor
V _{ii}	principal component of the electric field gradient tensor
Ξ	frequency ratio

List of Abbreviations

ADF Amsterdam Density Functional	
acac	acetylacetonate
aq	aqueous
BETD-TSF	bis(ethylenedithio)tetraselenafulvalene
BETD-TTF	bis(ethylenedithio)tetrathiafulvalene
bpy	2,2'-bipyridine
Bu	butyl
CDW	charge density wave
СР	cross polarization
CW	continuous wave (decoupling)
Cyc	Cyclohexyl
DFT	density functional theory
DFPT	density functional perturbation theory
DZ	double-ζ
EFG	electric field gradient
Et	ethyl
FID	free induction decay
FISC	field induced superconducting
FISDW	field induced spin density wave
GIAO	gauge including atomic orbital
HF	Hartree-Fock

HETCOR	heteronuclear correlation
НОМО	highest occupied molecular orbital
IGLO	individualized gauge for localized orbitals
ⁱ Bu	isobutyl
ⁱ Pr	isopropyl
LUMO	lowest unoccupied molecular orbital
l	liquid
MAS	magic angle spinning
MAE	magic angle effect
Me	methyl
N.A.	natural abundance
NMR	nuclear magnetic resonance
NQR	nuclear quadrupole resonance
NR	non-relativistic
o.d.	outside diameter
PAS	principal axis system
Ph	phenyl
ppm	parts per million
<i>p</i> -Tol	para-tolyl
RACP	ramped-amplitude cross polarization
RH	relative humidity
rf	radiofrequency

SC	superconducting
SDW	spin density wave
SEDOR	spin echo double resonance
SO	scalar with spin-orbit relativistic
SOS	sum over states
SR	scalar relativistic
TMDTDSF	tetramethyldithiadiselenafulvalene
TMTSF	tetramethyltetraselenafulvalene
TMTTF	tetramethyltetrathiafulvalene
TPPM	two-pulse phase-modulated (decoupling)
TTMPSe	tris-2,4,6-trimethoxyphenylphosphine selenide
TZ2P	triple-ζ doubly polarized
^t Bu	<i>tert</i> -butyl
VACP	variable amplitude cross polarization
XRD	X-ray diffraction
X ₂ TCNQ	2,5-dihalo-tetracyanoquinodimethane
ZORA	zeroth order regular approximation

Chapter 1

Introduction and Overview

1.1 Introduction

1.1.1 Selenium

Since its initial discovery by Berzelius in 1818, selenium has steadily been incorporated in nearly all areas of chemistry. While the early chemistry involving selenium was predominately inorganic in nature,¹ the development of organoselenium chemistry in the 1970s²⁻⁴ paved the way for many of the studies probing the suspected importance of selenium in biological systems over the next thirty years.⁵ With the function of selenium as an essential nutrient in mammalian systems firmly solidified,⁶⁻⁸ attention has returned to selenium within inorganic systems particularly with regard to materials chemistry.

The protective function of selenium in biology has been associated with numerous diseases including thyroid and reproductive function,⁷ heart and cardiovascular diseases,^{5,7} as well as cancer.^{9,10} Although selenium compounds generally show structural properties similar to those of their sulfur analogues,¹¹ organoselenium compounds frequently possess unique chemical reactivities and biological activities.¹² Glutathione peroxidase, the first selenoprotein identified in mammals, blocks the formation of peroxides and free radicals both of which are believed to trigger various forms of cancer.^{5,8} The discovery that the TGA codon directs the incorporation of selenium has ultimately led to the acceptance of selenocysteine as the 21st amino acid.^{13,14} Selenocysteine is generally located at the active site of selenoproteins,⁷ and a recently

identified selenoprotein family exhibiting a diselenide bond between selenocysteine residues¹⁵ has been highlighted as a potential source of cellular redox regulation.¹⁶

Selenium is also found in a wide range of materials that have found applications in many industrial fields such as photoelements, in solar technology, in metal coatings, as well as lubricants, and pharmaceuticals.¹⁷ The discovery of electrical conduction within organic quasi one- or two-dimensional charge transfer complexes containing tetraselenafulvalene species led to the first organic superconducting material tetramethyltetraselenafulvalene hexafluorophosphate, (TMTSF)₂PF₆.^{18,19} Subsequent studies of these materials have ventured to investigate the nature of the conducting phases as well as any transitions into the various ground states expected for these materials including charge and spin density wave, as well as singlet and triplet superconductivity states.²⁰

Recently, selenium has witnessed an increasingly important role within nanoscale systems. Selenium has been utilized in the structure of various nanoparticles, nanowires, and nanotubules.²¹⁻²⁹Applications of selenium in nano systems are known in the preparation of indium and gallium selenide materials,³⁰⁻³² selenium incorporation within nanochannels of porous materials,^{33,34} in thermoelectric materials,^{35,36} as memory devices,³⁷ and for selenium nanowires themselves,^{38,39} as well as their use as templating agents for the formation of nanotubes of other materials.^{40,41}

Vitreous systems containing selenium are classified as a member of the chalcogenide glasses and comprise one of two families of non-oxide glasses.⁴² These glasses are typically formed with neighboring elements of the periodic table, i.e., phosphorus, germanium, arsenic, tellurium, etc. Modern developments and applications

of chalcogenide glasses have recently been reviewed.⁴³ These materials are sought after primarily as a result of their unique optical applications in the mid-infrared.⁴² For example, P-Se-Al glasses are optical and electronic materials with prospective applications as infrared optical fibers, reversible conductivity switching devices, semiconductors, photoconductors, photo-resists, and solid electrolytes.⁴⁴

1.1.2 Nuclear Magnetic Resonance

For physicists, chemists, material scientists, biochemists, and medical researchers, nuclear magnetic resonance, NMR, is one of the most important characterization techniques at their disposal.^{45,46} The discovery that the chemical shift and indirect spin-spin coupling interactions were resultant from the local environment of the nucleus being investigated demonstrated the potential of NMR in characterizing a variety of chemical species. As virtually every element in the periodic table possesses an NMR-active isotope, NMR is accessible to essentially any chemical system of interest.

Nuclear magnetic resonance of neat liquids or dilute solutions may be considered the conventional application of NMR due to its widespread use. Rapid molecular tumbling of the chemical species in the liquid phase typically results in averaging of the NMR parameters of interest to their isotropic values. The resulting NMR spectra of liquids are generally composed of narrow and well-defined peaks, which can be readily described by the isotropic chemical shift, δ_{iso} , of each non-equivalent nucleus present in the sample and where applicable the isotropic indirect spin-spin coupling constant, J_{iso} , between NMR-active nuclei. In addition, nuclear magnetic relaxation data can yield information on the overall motion of the molecule in solution, as well as modes of motion

within the molecule itself. For all of this available information, NMR of liquids has been utilized in the routine characterization of synthetic products, in the structure determination of complex biomolecules, and in the pursuit of reaction mechanisms and other dynamics in solution. However, nuclear magnetic resonance studies in solution provide only a portion of the information available from NMR in general. The anisotropic NMR interactions hold valuable orientation-dependent information. In addition to the anisotropies of those already mentioned, the chemical shift and indirect spin-spin coupling interactions that are averaged to their scalar isotropic values, the dipolar and quadrupolar interactions are averaged to zero in solution.

As a result of these additional interactions as well as their anisotropic contributions, solid-state NMR is more complicated than that of solution phases and potentially more informative. The strong anisotropic chemical shift, dipolar, and possibly quadrupolar interactions typically found in NMR of polycrystalline solids generally result in broad, featureless lineshapes. Additionally, nuclear magnetic relaxation times are known to be significantly longer in the solid state, typically an order of magnitude larger or more. The lack of definition in these obtained spectra is of little use for characterization purposes; however, recent developments in methodology provide the experimentalist with the power of discretion over many NMR interactions including their anisotropies. One such approach in modern solid-state NMR that provides highresolution 'solution-like' spectra involves the combination of magic angle spinning, highpower decoupling, and cross polarization, which will be discussed in more detail in Chapter 3.

With the continual improvement of computational power and the development of scientific computing software, the theoretical calculation of NMR parameters has developed into an entirely complementary approach to modern solid-state NMR spectroscopy.⁴⁷ The accurate reproduction of experimental NMR values is a good test of the level of theory employed in calculating such second-order properties as the nuclear magnetic shielding and indirect spin-spin coupling interactions. As calculations are performed on predefined structures, either from computational geometry optimizations or via crystal structure determinations, calculated parameters correspond to explicit structural sites and can often be assigned to specific resonances in the experimental spectrum. Additionally, the orientation-dependent interactions are calculated within the frame of reference of the predefined structure, and the relative orientation of the principal axis system of the calculated interaction tensor within the molecular frame is an important piece of information that is not readily extracted from solid-state NMR spectra of polycrystalline solids. These calculated orientations, in the case of nuclear magnetic shielding tensors, have been found to be in good agreement with the corresponding experimentally determined orientations of chemical shift tensors from single-crystal NMR studies.48

1.1.3 Focus System

In the process of pursuing an increase to the literature available on 77 Se NMR, the majority of the experimental chapters of this thesis are each focused on the solid-state NMR results of a specific compound; iminobis(dialkylphosphine selenide), HN(R₂PSe)₂, and the complexes of its mono-anionic bidentate ligand form; tetraalkyldiselenoimido-

diphosphinate, $[N(R_2PSe)_2]^{-}$, Scheme 1.1. In addition to characterizing the NMR parameters from these complexes, by studying this class of compounds, system specific questions, information, and answers are sought.



Scheme 1.1 Iminobis(dialkylphosphine chalcogenide) and tetraalkyl-diselenoimidodiphosphinato systems.

Tetraalkyldichalcogenoimidodiphosphinato complexes, $M^{n+}[N(R_2PE)_2]_n$ (E = O, S, Se, Te), display variable binding geometries about the metal center that have been shown to depend on the choice of E, R, and M.⁴⁹⁻⁵³ This flexibility in the dichalcogenoimidodiphosphinate system is one of its greatest advantages, where the EPNPE framework can be tailored to various coordination geometries desired by the central metal.^{51,54} The large chalcogen-chalcogen separation or 'bite' facilitates regular coordination spheres with large central atoms.⁵⁵ Applications of dichalcogenoimido-diphosphinate complexes include single-source precursors for solid-state metal chalcogenide materials, as probes for stereochemically active lone pairs, lanthanide shift reagents, luminescent materials, and enzyme mimetics, as well as catalysts, and selective metal chelating agents.⁴⁹⁻⁵³

From an NMR standpoint, the iminobis(dialkylphosphine selenide) systems provide a wealth of interactions for investigation. The presence of phosphorus-31, $I = \frac{1}{2}$, N.A. 100%, directly bonded to every selenium-77, $I = \frac{1}{2}$, N.A. 7.63%, is expressed in

every ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum via indirect spin-spin coupling, ${}^{1}J({}^{77}Se, {}^{31}P)_{iso}$, for each selenium environment. This indirect spin-spin coupling interaction is also available through the satellite peaks in the corresponding ${}^{31}P$ NMR spectrum. As the two selenium atoms in the tetraalkyldiselenoimidodiphosphinate anion are the coordinating atoms within the metal complexes, the selenium chemical shift tensor should provide a sensitive probe to the nature of the complexing metal, as well as the conformation of the sixmembered MSe₂P₂N ring(s) formed. Indirect spin-spin coupling constants between selenium-77 and any NMR-active nuclides of the complexed metal center, ${}^{1}J(M, {}^{77}Se)_{iso}$, are accessible from either the ${}^{77}Se$ or metal NMR spectrum. The ability to obtain *J*-couplings from either of the NMR-active nuclei makes multinuclear NMR investigations a valuable approach in obtaining, verifying and identifying these ${}^{77}Se$ NMR parameters.

1.2 Overview

The principal objective of the research presented in this thesis is to provide an expanded foundation for an improved interpretation of the nuclear magnetic resonance properties of selenium containing chemical systems, particularly chemical shifts and indirect nuclear spin-spin couplings. The goal of this thesis is achieved via experimental and theoretical means through solid-state NMR spectroscopy and density functional theory, DFT, computations. These parameters provide insight into the ⁷⁷Se NMR interactions through the comparison of experimental and calculated values with each other and, where possible, in the context of chemical concepts such as molecular geometries and electronic structures.

In Chapter 2 the current literature on solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR spectroscopy is reviewed, placing the research presented in this thesis into context. Chapter 3 details the basic principles, theory and techniques utilized in the conducted research contained in subsequent chapters. The pertinent interactions contained within the selenium-77 NMR Hamiltonian are covered. The experimental procedures permitting the acquisition of high-resolution solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR spectra are presented along with the methods of extracting the desired NMR parameters. Additionally, the theory of nuclear magnetic shielding will be discussed in the context of its computation within a DFT framework. DFT calculations including scalar and spin-orbit relativistic effects via the zeroth order regular approximation, ZORA, are addressed briefly.

Chapter 4 presents a comprehensive investigation of selenium chemical shift tensors. Experimentally determined chemical shift tensors from solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR spectra for several organic, organometallic, and inorganic selenium containing compounds. Selenium magnetic shielding tensors were calculated for all of the molecules investigated using ZORA DFT. The computations provide the orientations of the chemical shift tensors, as well as a test of the theory for calculating the magnetic shielding interaction for heavier elements. The ZORA DFT calculations were performed with non-relativistic, scalar relativistic, and scalar with spin-orbit relativistic levels of theory, and provide a gauge of the level of relativistic theory required to accurately reproduce the experimental trends and values.

A ³¹P and ⁷⁷Se solid-state NMR investigation of the iminobis(dialkylphosphine chalcogenide) $HN(R_2PE)_2$ (R = ⁱPr, Ph; E = O, S, Se) systems is presented in Chapter 5. The results are related to the known $HN(R_2PE)_2$ structures. Scalar relativistic ZORA

DFT nuclear magnetic shielding tensor calculations were performed yielding the orientations of the corresponding chemical shift tensors.

Chapters 6 and 7 report the results of multinuclear magnetic resonance investigations of the metal(II) complexes of the tetraisopropyldiselenoimidodiphosphinate anion, $M[N({}^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$. In Chapter 6, a solid-state ${}^{31}P$, ${}^{77}Se$, ${}^{113}Cd$, and ${}^{199}Hg$ NMR study on the single-source precursors for metal-selenide materials, $M[N({}^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = Zn, Cd, Hg) is presented. In Chapter 7, a comparison of the square-planar complexes of Group 10 (Pd^{II}, Pt^{II}) and 16 (Se^{II}, Te^{II}) centers with $[N({}^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]^{-}$ is made based on the results of solid-state ${}^{31}P$, ${}^{77}Se$, ${}^{125}Te$, and ${}^{195}Pt$ NMR spectroscopy. In both chapters, the experimental results are supported and assisted by discussions of corresponding ZORA DFT computations.

Chapter 8 summarizes the contributions of the research presented in this thesis made to solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR spectroscopy. Experimentally determined results are placed in context of the known literature of solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR covered in Chapter 2. Important theoretical considerations for the calculation of ⁷⁷Se NMR parameters are revisited. Finally, system specific information from the multinuclear NMR investigations of the iminobis(dialkylphosphine selenide) systems studied is summarized.

1.3 References

(1) Odom, J. D.; Dawson, W. H.; Ellis, P. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 5815-5822.

- (2) Clive, D. L. J. *Tetrahedron* **1978**, *34*, 1049-1132.
- (3) Reich, H. J. Acc. Chem. Res. 1979, 12, 22-30.
- (4) Krief, A. *Tetrahedron* **1980**, *36*, 2531-2640.
- (5) Chem. Eng. News 1976, 24.
- (6) Oldfield, J. E. J. Nutr. **1987**, 117, 2002-2008.
- (7) Rayman, M. P. Lancet 2000, 356, 233-241.
- (8) Johansson, L.; Gafvelin, G.; Arnér, E. S. J. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 2005, *1726*, 1-13.
- (9) Chem. Eng. News 1977, 35.

(10) Clark, L. C.; Combs Jr, G. F.; Turnbull, B. W.; Slate, E. H.; Chalker, D. K.;
Chow, J.; Davis, L. S.; Glover, R. A.; Graham, G. F.; Gross, E. G.; Krongrad, A.; Lesher Jr, J. L.; Park, H. K.; Sanders Jr, B. B.; Smith, C. L.; Taylor, J. R. *J. Am. Med. Assoc.* 1996, 276, 1957-1963.

(11) Pan, W. H.; Fackler, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 5783-5789.

(12) Iwaoka, M.; Komatsu, H.; Katsuda, T.; Tomoda, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 5309-5317.

(13) Böck, A.; Forchhammer, K.; Heider, J.; Leinfelder, W.; Sawers, G.; Veprek, B.; Zinoni, F. *Mol. Microbiol.* **1991**, *5*, 515-520.

(14) Salzmann, M.; Stocking, E. M.; Silks III, L. A.; Senn, H. *Magn. Reson. Chem.* **1999**, *37*, 672-675.

(15) Shchedrina, V. A.; Novoselov, S. V.; Malinouski, M. Y.; Gladyshev, V. N. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2007**, *104*, 13919-13924.

(16) Drahl, C. Chem. Eng. News 2007, 85, 14.

(17) Duddeck, H. Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc. 1995, 27, 1-323.

(18) Bechgaard, K.; Jacobsen, C. S.; Mortensen, K.; Pedersen, H. J.; Thorup, N. Solid State Commun. **1980**, *33*, 1119-1125.

(19) Jerome, D.; Mazaud, A.; Ribault, M.; Bechgaard, K. J. Physique Lett. **1980**, 41, L95-L98.

(20) Ishiguro, T.; Yamaji, K. Organic Superconductors; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 1990; Vol. 88.

(21) Krivovichev, S. V.; Kahlenber, V.; Tananaev, I. G.; Kaindl, R.; Mersdorf, E.; Myasoedov, B. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 1072-1073.

(22) Nath, M.; Choudhary, A.; Rao, C. N. R. Chem. Commun. 2004, 2698-2699.

(23) Li, L.; Wu, Q.-S.; Ding, Y.-P. Nanotechnology 2004, 15, 1877-1881.

(24) Cho, K. S.; Talapin, D. V.; Gaschler, W.; Murray, C. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 7140-7147.

(25) Charvet, N.; Reiss, P.; Roget, A.; Dupuis, A.; Grunwald, D.; Carayon, S.; Chandezon, F.; Livache, T. J. Mater. Chem. **2004**, *14*, 2638-2642.

(26) Sekar, P.; Greyson, E. C.; Barton, J. E.; Odom, T. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 2054-2055.

(27) Berrettini, M. G.; Braun, G.; Hu, J. G.; Strouse, G. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 7063-7070.

(28) Yochelis, S.; Hodes, G. Chem. Mat. 2004, 16, 2740-2744.

(29) Han, L.; Qin, D.; Jiang, X.; Liu, Y.; Wang, L.; J., C.; Cao, Y. *Nanotechnology* **2006**, *17*, 4736-4742.

(30) Park, K. H.; Jang, K.; Kim, S.; Kim, H. J.; Son, S. U. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 14780-14781.

(31) Tu, H.; Mogyorosi, K.; Kelley, D. F. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter* **2005**, *72*, 205306:205301-205313.

(32) Mogyorosi, K.; Kelley, D. F. J. Phys. Chem. C 2007, 111, 579-585.

(33) Poborchii, V. V.; Kolobov, A. V.; Oyanagi, H.; Romanov, S. G.; Tanaka, K. Chem. Phys. Lett. **1997**, 280, 10-16.

(34) Goldbach, A.; Saboungi, M.-L. Acc. Chem. Res. 2005, 38, 705-712.

(35) Qiu, X.; Austin, L. N.; Muscarella, P. A.; Dyck, J. S.; Burda, C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 2006, 45, 5656-5659.

(36) Ota, J. R.; Roy, P.; Srivastava, S. K.; Popovitz-Biro, R.; Tenne, R. *Nanotechnology* **2006**, *17*, 1700-1705.

(37) Milliron, D. J.; Raoux, S.; Shelby, R. M.; Jordan-Sweet, J. Nat. Mater. 2007, 6, 352-356.

(38) Li, X.; Li, Y.; Li, S.; Zhou, W.; Chu, H.; Chen, W.; Li, I. L.; Tang, Z. Cryst. Growth Des. 2005, 5, 911-916.

(39) Zhang, B.; Ye, X.; Dai, W.; Hou, W.; Zuo, F.; Xie, Y. *Nanotechnology* **2006**, *17*, 385-390.

(40) Zhang, S.-Y.; Fang, C.-X.; Wei, W.; Jin, B.-K.; Tian, Y.-P.; Shen, Y.-H.; Yang, J.-X.; Gao, H.-W. J. Phys. Chem. C 2007, 111, 4168-4174.

(41) Mayers, B.; Jiang, X.; Sunderland, D.; Cattle, B.; Xia, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 13364-13365.

(42) Zhang, X.-H.; Bureau, B.; Adam, J.-L.; Lucas, J. Verre (Paris, Fr.) **2004**, *10*, 22-27.

(43) Bureau, B.; Zhang, X.-H.; Smektala, F.; Adam, J.-L.; Troles, J.; Ma, H.-L.; Boussard-Plédel, C.; Lucas, J.; Lucas, P.; Le Coq, D.; Riley, M. R.; Simmons, J. H. J. *Non-Cryst. Solids* **2004**, *345 & 346*, 276-283.

(44) Hudalla, C.; Weber, B.; Eckert, H. J. Non-Cryst. Solids 1998, 224, 69-79.

(45) Duer, M. J. *Solid-State NMR Spectroscopy: Principles and Applications*; Blackwell Science: Oxford, 2002.

(46) Levitt, M. H. *Spin Dynamics: Basics of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance*; John Wiley & Sons Ltd.: Chichester, 2001.

(47) Kaupp, M.; Bühl, M.; Malkin, V. G. *Calculation of NMR and EPR Parameters*; Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA: Weinheim, 2004.

(48) Tossell, J. A., Ed. *Nuclear Magnetic Shieldings and Molecular Structure*; Kluwer Academic Publishers: Dordrecht, 1993.

(49) Haiduc, I.; Silaghi-Dumitrescu, I. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1986, 74, 127-270.

(50) Woollins, J. D. Dalton Trans. 1996, 2893-2901.
- (51) Silvestru, C.; Drake, J. E. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2001, 223, 117-216.
- (52) Ly, T. Q.; Woollins, J. D. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1998, 176, 451-481.
- (53) Bhattacharyya, P.; Woollins, J. D. Polyhedron 1995, 14, 3367-3388.
- (54) Balazs, L.; Stanga, O.; Breunig, H. J.; Silvestru, C. Dalton Trans. 2003, 2237-2242.
- (55) Darwin, K.; Gilby, L. M.; Hodge, P. R.; Piggott, B. *Polyhedron* **1999**, *18*, 3729-3733.

Chapter 2

Solid-State Selenium-77 NMR

2.1 Introduction

2.1.1 Selenium-77 NMR

Selenium-77 is one of the six natural isotopes of selenium and, with a natural abundance of 7.63%, it is the only NMR-active isotope of selenium possessing a nuclear spin quantum number, *I*, greater than zero. Early hyperfine measurements suggested that ⁷⁷Se possessed a nuclear quadrupole moment, $I > \frac{1}{2}$;¹ however, in 1951 earlier reports^{2,3} were confirmed supporting that $I = \frac{1}{2}$ for ⁷⁷Se.⁴ The resonant frequency of ⁷⁷Se relative to that of protons is 19.07%, and has a relative receptivity of 5.37 × 10⁻⁴ and 3.15 with respect to hydrogen-1 and carbon-13, respectively.⁵ Practical considerations such as longer spin-lattice relaxation times, T_1 , and small observed nuclear Overhauser effects find that ⁷⁷Se NMR is typically of comparable sensitivity to ¹³C NMR.⁶

Nuclear magnetic resonance has been utilized to investigate essentially every area of selenium chemistry. Selenium-77 NMR is an ideal technique for investigating selenium-containing materials as the ⁷⁷Se chemical shift ranges over 3000 ppm^{6,7} and is extremely sensitive to changes in molecular structure. Selenium-77 NMR in the solution phase has been thoroughly reviewed,⁶⁻¹² where it has been used in studies of molecular dynamics and chemical reactions in addition to molecular characterization. In 1986, Luthra and Odom originally compiled the growing ⁷⁷Se NMR data on organoselenium compounds.⁹ In 1995, Duddeck's comprehensive review expanded the values of ⁷⁷Se NMR parameters for organic selenium systems to include inorganic species and metal complexes.⁶ In 2004, Duddeck provided an update of his 1995 review of liquid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR spectroscopy.⁷

2.1.2 Solid-State ⁷⁷Se NMR

Solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR spectroscopy provides isotropic chemical shift, δ_{iso} , indirect spin-spin coupling constants, J_{iso} , as well as spin-lattice, T_1 , and spin-spin, T_2 , relaxation times. Particularly important is that solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR provides access to anisotropic interactions that are generally inaccessible from solution NMR studies. The most commonly encountered anisotropic parameter in NMR is the chemical shift. There are a number of conventions for describing this anisotropy, all of which utilize the isotropic average of the principal components, δ_{ii} , of the chemical shift tensor:

$$\delta_{iso} = \frac{1}{3} (\delta_{11} + \delta_{22} + \delta_{33})$$
 [2.1]

which is often used in comparison with chemical shifts obtained in solution. Haeberlen's notation defines the anisotropy, $\Delta \sigma$, and asymmetry, η_{σ} , as:

$$\Delta \sigma = \delta_{ZZ} - \frac{1}{2} (\delta_{XX} + \delta_{YY})$$
[2.2]

$$\eta_{\sigma} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{(\delta_{\gamma\gamma} - \delta_{ZZ})}{\Delta \sigma}$$
[2.3]

where $|\delta_{ZZ} - \delta_{iso}| \ge |\delta_{XX} - \delta_{iso}| \ge |\delta_{YY} - \delta_{iso}|$.¹³ The so-called Maryland notation replaces the anisotropy and asymmetry with the span, Ω , and skew, κ :

$$\Omega = \delta_{11} - \delta_{33} \tag{2.4}$$

$$\kappa = 3 \frac{(\delta_{22} - \delta_{iso})}{\Omega}$$
[2.5]

where $\delta_{11} \ge \delta_{22} \ge \delta_{33}$.¹⁴ Orientation dependencies also exist for direct dipolar and indirect spin-spin coupling interactions, which can be utilized to orient the principal axes of the various interaction tensors (δ_{ii} , J_{ii} , etc.) with the dipolar Se-X vector and potentially within the crystal axis frame.

In contrast to the well reviewed literature on ⁷⁷Se NMR in solution phases, a similarly comprehensive solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR review is lacking. General ⁷⁷Se NMR reviews have covered solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR reasonably well; however, the data is typically compiled as a lump sum of the results of the technique rather than organized by functionality as with the results of the solution ⁷⁷Se NMR data.^{6,7,10} The specifically solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR reviews that have appeared focus almost entirely on diamagnetic systems,¹⁵ and some on only selected diamagnetic organoselenium compounds.¹⁶⁻¹⁸

2.1.3 Structure

A compilation of the literature on solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR investigations to the end of 2007 is presented, with select publications from 2008. Every effort has been made to provide as complete a review as possible; however, some accounts have no doubt been missed despite these efforts. The review has been structured as follows, beginning with the solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR data for elemental selenium, followed by sections on selones (X=Se double bonded systems), bridging selenium environments, inorganic selenium systems, and finally miscellaneous molecular structures containing selenium.

Following recent IUPAC recommendations¹⁹ the three principal components of the available selenium chemical shift tensors have been tabulated, where necessary deriving them from the reported values of $\Delta \sigma$ and η_{σ} , or Ω and κ . However, as many authors have utilized the anisotropy and asymmetry convention of Haeberlen or the span and skew of the Maryland convention in discussions of the respective chemical shift tensors reported, in some cases their use has been retained in the body of this review. All chemical shifts have been reported with respect to dimethyl selenide. As numerous reference compounds have been utilized for reporting selenium chemical shifts, the values of δ_{iso} used to convert the reported chemical shifts to those with respect to a neat liquid of dimethyl selenide are given in Table 2.1. Given the noted medium dependence of the selenium chemical shift,⁶ all principal components, δ_{ii} , as well as isotropic chemical shifts, δ_{iso} , are reported to the nearest whole number in parts per million, ppm. This level of accuracy seems appropriate given that the selenium chemical shift range is approximately ten times that of ¹³C, whose chemical shifts are typically reported to one decimal place (± 0.1 ppm),⁶ and at least three times that of the lighter chalcogens ¹⁷O and ³³S ²⁰

Indirect spin-spin coupling constants, ${}^{1}J({}^{77}Se,X)_{iso}$, have been reported only in the cases where they have been obtained directly from solid-state ${}^{77}Se$ NMR spectroscopy. The signs of ${}^{1}J({}^{77}Se,{}^{31}P)_{iso}{}^{21,22}$ and ${}^{1}J({}^{199}Hg,{}^{77}Se)_{iso}{}^{23}$ are known to be negative, and have been reported as such herein, even if they were not in the source from which they were taken.

Compound	Condition	δίso
CdSe	solid	-492
Ph ₃ PSe	chloroform	-275
Me ₂ Se	neat	0
H ₂ SeO ₄	aqueous	1001
Na ₂ SeO ₃	aqueous (inf. dilute)	1253
(NH ₄) ₂ SeO ₄	solid	1040
H_2 SeO ₃	aqueous	1282
H_2 SeO ₃	saturated	1300

Table 2.1 Isotropic selenium chemical shifts of various ⁷⁷Se NMR reference compounds.

Theoretical calculation of magnetic shielding tensors, σ , are one of the most complementary techniques to solid-state NMR because of their connection with the experimental chemical shift tensors through the relationship

$$\delta_{ii}(sample) = \frac{\sigma_{iso}(ref) - \sigma_{ii}(sample)}{1 - \sigma_{iso}(ref)}$$
[2.6]

where $\sigma_{iso}(ref)$ is the isotropic shielding of a standard reference, here Me₂Se (ℓ). Numerous investigations of calculated selenium magnetic shielding and/or chemical shift tensors have been reported.²⁴⁻³¹ Additionally, recent theoretical computations of indirect spin-spin coupling constants involving selenium have appeared in the literature.³²⁻³⁴ The interested reader is encouraged to pursue these references for a more detailed description of the intricacies of calculating ⁷⁷Se NMR parameters. For the purposes of this review, only the theoretical parameters obtained in conjunction with experimental solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR values will be discussed in relation to the performance of the computations.

2.2 Solid-State ⁷⁷Se NMR

2.2.1 Selenium

The structure of crystalline selenium is known to possesses helical chains of selenium that are oriented in a hexagonal arrangement.³⁵ Solid-state NMR investigations of elemental selenium have demonstrated the sensitivity of ⁷⁷Se chemical shifts to minor changes in the local environment since the initial investigation of a single crystal of trigonal selenium at 77 K. This study indicated that the most shielded principal component lies nearly parallel to the direction of the helices.³⁵⁻³⁷ Subsequent variable temperature experiments up to 480 K have demonstrated a general decrease in selenium shielding with increasing temperature for crystalline selenium, see Table 2.2.³⁸⁴⁰ Self-diffusion within crystalline selenium has been monitored by spin-lattice relaxation rates between 350 K and 492 K.⁴¹ Additional studies of elemental selenium by solid-state NMR have been noted in conjunction with investigations of various inorganic selenides and are in agreement with the values from the above single-crystal measurements.⁴²⁻⁴⁴

Selenium is also known to exist in a vitreous form which consists primarily of selenium chains and Se₆ rings.⁴⁵ The solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR spin-lattice relaxation of glassy selenium has been investigated in the temperature range 200 K < T < 275 K, and reported that the relaxation found was dominated by to paramagnetic impurities.⁴⁶ The ⁷⁷Se NMR spectra of crystalline and glassy selenium have been contrasted.^{45,47,48} The results of Bureau and coworkers,^{45,48} along with those of Gopal and Milne,⁴⁹ show that the vitreous structure of glassy selenium is demonstrated with a substantially broader isotropic line width, 17 kHz at $B_0 = 7.0$ T.

Compound	T / K	δ _{iso}	δ ₁₁	δ ₂₂	δ33	Reference
Se	77	666	896	694	408	35
	290	772	1006	797	513	38
	RT	792	1017	817	542	39
	RT	800	1025	825	550	40
	RT	793				50
	480	812	1033	844	558	38
Vitreous Se		862				45
		865				48,49
Se in orthorhombic I ₂ (51 atom% Se)		808	1055	834	535	49
15 wt% Se in AlPO ₄ -5		849				50
34 wt% Se in zeolite Y		712, 812,				50
		1139				
$Rb_2[Pd(Se_4)_2] \cdot \underline{Se_8}$		627	958	686	237	51
		652	1023	731	202	

Table 2.2 Solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR chemical shifts and chemical shift tensors for elemental selenium.

Elemental selenium has also been characterized within various structures by solidstate ⁷⁷Se NMR. Parise et al. have loaded selenium into the porous frameworks of the aluminophosphate AlPO₄-5 and within zeolite Y.⁵⁰ When AlPO₄-5 was loaded with 15% selenium by weight, a ⁷⁷Se MAS NMR signal at 849 ppm showed significantly less chemical shift anisotropy than found in trigonal selenium.⁵⁰ Three ⁷⁷Se NMR peaks were detected for 34 wt % selenium in zeolite Y; however, only two of the signals one at 712 ppm and the other at 824 ppm are attributed to elemental selenium. The peak at 1139 ppm was assigned to an oxidized selenium species.⁵⁰ Iodine is known to assist in the crystallization of selenium,⁵² and Gopal and Milne have detected crystalline Se within orthorhombic iodine at the eutectic composition of 51 atom % Se:I₂ by solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR.⁴⁹ The Se₈ rings within Rb₂[Pd(Se₄)₂]·Se₈ form infinite [Rb(Se₈)]_x^{x+} columns perpendicular to the $[Pd(Se_4)_2]_x^{2x}$ planes.⁵¹ The selenium nuclei within the Se₈ rings are more shielded than the above selenium species likely due to their interaction with Rb⁺.⁵¹

2.2.2 Selones

2.2.2.1 Seleno-Carbonyls

Of the seleno-carbonyl moieties, C=Se, one of the simplest are the ambidentate selenocyanate ligands, SeCN⁻. In the solid state, the SeCN⁻ anion yields ⁷⁷Se NMR powder patterns that are axially symmetric or near axially symmetric, consistent with the known linear structure of this anion. Selenium chemical shift parameters found for ASeCN ($A = K^+$, NH_4^+ , NMe_4^+), whose spans range from 804 - 874 ppm, which are reproduced in Table 2.3.⁵³ Ab initio calculations of the selenium chemical shift tensor for SeCN⁻, at the Hartree-Fock and Møller-Plesset second-order perturbation levels, on an optimized structure yield qualitative agreement with the experimentally observed values.⁵³

Solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR studies of additional seleno-carbonyl systems have, to date, focused on various derivatives functionally similar to selenourea, Se=C(NH₂)₂. Selenourea itself possesses nine non-equivalent molecules within the unit cell and the weighted average of the obtained selenium chemical shift tensors, given in Table 2.3, are reduced to the single peak at 177 ppm within the camphor inclusion compound of selenourea.⁵⁴ The *N*,*N*-dimethyl derivative, Se=C(NH₂)(NMe₂), possesses only a single *N*,*N*-dimethyl selenourea molecule within the crystal structure asymmetric unit as evident by the single selenium chemical shift tensor reported.⁵⁵ Wazeer and coworkers have studied a variety of additional derivatives of selenourea by solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR.⁵⁶

Compound		δ _{iso}	δ ₁₁	δ ₂₂	δ33	Reference
KSeCN		-283	25	-23	-849	53
NH₄SeCN		-256	26	-15	-778	53
[NMe ₄]SeCN		-299	-40	-40	-814	53
$(NH_2)_2CSe_{avg}^{b)}$		180	480	410	-349	54
(NMe ₂)(NH ₂)CSe		213	501	260	-122	55
	R = H	60	725	57	-604	56
/ ^R	R = Me	69	471	-52	-213	56
	$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{E}\mathbf{t}$	72	445	-19	-210	56
se	$R = {}^{n}Pr$	31	427	-50	-284	56
H H		56	442	-40	-233	
	$\mathbf{R} = {}^{i}\mathbf{P}\mathbf{r}$	57	451	-55	-225	56
н						ĺ
N∖	R = H	173	541	390	-412	56
R Se	R = OH	169	496	430	-424	56
\ м′ н					- - - -	
~	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	43	601	465	-938	56
				105	,,,,,	50
Se						
NH						

Table 2.3 Solid-state ⁷⁷Se chemical shifts and chemical shift tensors for selono-carbonyl systems.^{a)}

^{a)} Experimental temperature either room temperature or was not specified. ^{b)} Weighted average of values from nine non-equivalent selenourea molecules.

The selenium chemical shift tensors of the functionalized imidazolidine-2-selones and 1,3-diazinane-2-selones as well as 1,3-diazepine-2-selone, shown in Figures 2.1a-c, serve as a good example of the sensitivity of the magnetic shielding interaction to peripheral modifications of a structural framework.⁵⁶

2.2.2.2 Phosphine Selones

Phosphine selones are another functional group that have been used to investigate the local environment of selenium by solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR. As shown in Tables 2.4 and

Compound	δ _{iso}	δ ₁₁	δ22	δ_{33}	Reference
Me ₃ PSe	-200	-118	-133	-349	57
Ph ₃ PSe	-258	-122	-288	-362	57
	-243	-86	-264	-377	
	-144				58
R = Me	312				59
$\frac{Se}{R}$ R = Et	266				59
R = Bu	128				59
$\underline{\mathbf{R}} = 4 \cdot \mathbf{M} \mathbf{e}_2 \mathbf{N} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{h}$	196				59
H ₃ C P Se Se CH ₃	161, 131				59

Table 2.4 Solid-state ⁷⁷Se chemical shifts and chemical shift tensors for phosphine selone systems.^{a)}

^{a)} Experimental temperature either room temperature or was not specified.

2.5, the isotropic selenium chemical shifts and indirect one-bond selenium-phosphorus coupling constants, ${}^{1}J({}^{77}\text{Se},{}^{31}\text{P})_{iso}$, in phosphine selone systems vary from -258 ppm to 312 ppm, and -656 to -1160 Hz, respectively. Grossman and coworkers have investigated the anisotropic chemical shift and indirect spin-spin coupling interactions in 70% ${}^{77}\text{Se}$ enriched trimethyl- and triphenyl-phosphine selone. 57 For, Me₃PSe, experiments provide a mean value of the anisotropy of the phosphorus-selenium *J* tensor of Me₃PSe, $\Delta J \sim +650 \pm 200$ Hz. The experimental value is in good agreement with the value calculated, +705 Hz, using DFT. 57

Solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR has also been utilized in the characterization of several other P=Se systems, yielding both isotropic chemical shifts and values of ${}^{1}J({}^{77}Se, {}^{31}P)_{iso}$.



Figure 2.1 a) imidazolidine-2-selones, b) 1,3-diazinane-2-selones, c) 1,3-diazepine-2-selone, d) tetraphosphorus heptaoxide monoselenide, e) 1,3-diselena-2,4-diphosphetane-2,4-diselones, f) 3,5-dimethyl-1,2-4-triselena-3,5-diphospholane-3,5-diselone, and g) -N,N-diisopropylamino-1,3,2 λ ⁵-oxaselenaphospholane-2-selone are representative of the seleno-carbonyls (a-c) and phosphine selones (d-g) that have been studied by solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR.

The inorganic adamantane-like structure of tetraphosphorus heptaoxide monoselenide, P_4O_7Se , Figure 2.1d, with $\delta_{iso} = -144$ ppm, has the largest value of ${}^{1}J({}^{77}Se, {}^{31}P)_{iso}$ recorded by solid-state ${}^{77}Se$ NMR, at -1160 Hz.⁵⁸ The magnitudes of the selenium-phosphorus coupling constants were utilized in the structural characterizations of the 1,3-diselena-

Compound		^m X(n)	ⁿ J(⁷⁷ Se,X) _{iso} / Hz	References
Ag ₇ PS	e ₆	³¹ P(1)	-430, -524	60,61
Me ₃ PS	e	³¹ P(1)	-656	57
Ph ₃ PS	e	³¹ P(1)	-733, -736	57
[(^t Bu)(Ph)P($(S)Se]_2$	³¹ P(1)	-355	62
[(ⁱ PrO) ₂ P(S	$S)Se]_2$	³¹ P(1)	-532	63
[(Me ₃ CCH ₂ O)	$_2P(S)Se]_2$	³¹ P(1)	-469, -486	64
		³¹ P(1)	-418, -429	65
	· C ₆ H ₆ solvate	³¹ P(1)	-458, -406	65
	R = Me	³¹ P(1)	-730	59
Se Se R	$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{E}\mathbf{t}$	³¹ P(1)	-730	59
RPSe	$R = {}^{t}Bu$	³¹ P(1)	-780	59
Se ==	$R = 4-Me_2NPh$	³¹ P(1)	-700	59
o. Se	R = Me	³¹ P(1)	-260	59
	$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{E}\mathbf{t}$	³¹ P(1)	-260	59
<u>Se</u> Se	$R = {}^{t}Bu$	³¹ P(1)	-260	59
SeSe	P=Se		-730, -750	:
H ₃ C p Se	P-Se-P	$^{31}P(1)$	-420	59
Se CH ₃	P- <u>Se</u> -Se	4	-340, -350	
Se Se CH ₃	P=Se P-Se-C	³¹ P(1)	-870, -892 -393, -465	66
H ₆ C		³¹ P(1)	-1160 Hz	58

Table 2.5 Indirect spin-spin coupling constants, ${}^{n}J({}^{77}Se, {}^{m}X)_{iso} (m < 77).^{a)}$

^{a)} Experimental temperature either room temperature or was not specified.

2,4-diphosphetane-2,4-diselones and in 3,5-dimethyl-1,2,4-triselena-3,5-diphospholane-3,5-diselone, Figures 2.1e and 2.1f, which were able to easily differentiate the P=Se moieties, ${}^{1}J({}^{77}\text{Se},{}^{31}\text{P})_{iso} < -700$ Hz, from the bridging Se environments, ${}^{1}J({}^{77}\text{Se},{}^{31}\text{P})_{iso} >$ -350 Hz, see Table 2.5.⁵⁹ Similarly for 2-*N*,*N*-diisopropylamino-1,3,2 λ^{5} - oxaselenaphospholane-2-selone, Figure 2.1g, Potrzebowski et al. have differentiated the bridging C-Se-P moiety from the P=Se selenium environment using ⁷⁷Se CP MAS spectra of based upon the magnitudes of the selenium-phosphorus coupling constants.⁶⁶

2.2.3 Bridging Selenium Systems

Bridging selenium environments are one of the most common moieties found in selenium containing systems. Hydrogen selenide, the simplest bridging selenium system, undergoes two solid-solid phase transitions where the two highest temperature phases, solid I and II, are cubic and the low temperature phase, solid III, is non-cubic. A solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR study of H₂Se has yielded spin-lattice relaxation data over the entire solid I phase temperature range, the temperature dependence of the ⁷⁷Se chemical shift for the solid I and II phases, and the traceless selenium shielding tensor in the solid III phase.⁶⁷ A large deuterium isotope shift in δ_{iso} (Se) of 7 ppm per deuterium from H₂Se \rightarrow HDSe \rightarrow D₂Se was also observed in the solid I phase.⁶⁷ Solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR investigations of H₂Se and D₂Se inclusion compounds found that hydrogen selenide is deshielded by approximately 30 ppm in the small cage, with respect to the large cage, of the structure I clathrate hydrate.^{68,69}

The subsection that follows has been organized in order to review the contributions to solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR made on systems where selenium bridges two organic centers; i.e., those containing C-Se-C linkages. Discussion of the solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR investigations of selenium bridging organic and inorganic or two inorganic atoms follow.

2.2.3.1 Bridging Organic Centers

2.2.3.1.1 Selenoethers

Dimethyl selenide, as the primary reference for selenium chemical shifts, holds a position of interest in solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR. The selenium chemical shift tensor for Me₂Se, the simplest of selenoethers, has been characterized at 77 K by Collins, Ratcliffe and Ripmeester, and is reproduced in Table 2.6.⁵⁴

Selenoethers of biological relevance, such as the seleno-amino acid selenomethionine, have become an important class of selenium containing biomolecules since the recognition of selenium as an essential nutrient in mammalian systems.⁷⁰ Selenomethionine with selenocysteine, amino acids where sulfur has been replaced with selenium, are the primary sources of selenium in the human body.⁷¹ Potrzebowski and coworkers have characterized the selenium chemical shift tensors in the L- and DLforms of selenomethionine.^{72,73} The slowing of the rotation of the methyl group in L-selenomethionine was shown to have a large influence on the selenium chemical shift tensor at low temperature.⁷² Gajda et al. have also calculated the selenium chemical shift tensor in L-selenomethionine using DFT, demonstrating rough agreement with the experimental principal components and that the orientation of δ_{22} lies perpendicular to the local C^{\delta}-Se-C^{γ} plane, and that δ_{11} and δ_{33} are coincident within this local plane.⁷²

Selenium analogues of the crown ethers, the polyselenoethers or selenium coronands, show intriguing natural and host-guest conformations. Isotropic ⁷⁷Se chemical shifts measured by solid-state NMR in coronands ranging from 1,5,9-triselenacyclododecane, 12Se3, to 1,5,9,13,17,21-hexaselenacyclotetracosane, 24Se6, see Figure 2.2, cover between 113 ppm and 327 ppm.⁷⁴⁻⁷⁷ Through electrochemical

Compound	T / K	δ _{iso}	δ11	δ22	δ ₃₃	Reference
Me ₂ Se	77	6.7	226	141	-347	54
L-Selenomethionine	183	123	388	178	-197	72
		73	339	154	-276	
	297	123	384	181	-196	72,73
		84	311	179	-237	
	313	108	252	204	131	73
DL-Selenomethionine	297	112	369	202	-236	73
	333	114	360	205	-223	73
$[8Se2][SO_2CF_3]_2$		810	1066	1066	300	77
		768	1005	1005	292	
12Se3 ^{a)}		206, 300				77
12Se4 ^{a)}		130, 171, 186, 196, 203, 209		1		74,76
14Se4 ^{a)}		220, 225				76
16Se2S2 ^{a)}		136, 179, 199				77
16Se4 ^{a)}		115, 118, 157, 165, 177				76
16Se4(OH) ^{a)}		167	299	132	70	77
		131	264	83	47	
$16Se4(OH)_2^{a}$		113, 134, 145, 155, 192				77
[16Se4][SO ₃ CF ₃] ₂ ^{a)}		173, 420, 505, 737				78,79
18Se6 ^{a)}		136, 188, 206, 264, 327				75,76
24Se6 ^{a)}		158, 174, 194				76
le la						
		408	749	410	64	80
R = H		536	917	454	236	80
		529	915	413	259	
Î errel						
		591	942	443	388	80
		624	999	451	423	
		586	956	463	340	80
0, CF ₃						
Ph		600	1007	472	321	80
н _я ссн.	рт	650	070	Q19	186	54
Se Se Se		670	982	830	198	
H ₃ C Se Se CH ₃		0/0	502	0.50		
	I	1	1	1	1	I

Table 2.6 Solid-state ⁷⁷Se chemical shifts and chemical shift tensors for selenium bridging organic centers.

^{a)} See Figure 2.2.

oxidation of 1,5,9,13-tetraselenahexadecane, 16Se4, the complex [16Se4][SO₃CF₃]₂ is afforded yielding four very different peaks at 173, 420, 505, and 737 ppm in the ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum,^{78,79} which have been assigned to the four unique selenium atoms in the asymmetric unit based on estimated contributions to the ⁷⁷Se line width from Se-F dipolar couplings.⁷⁹ To date, only two selenium chemical shift tensors involving selenium coronands, those for 1,5,9,13-tetraselenacyclohexadecan-3-ol, 16Se4(OH), have been completely characterized.⁷⁷

Carbon-selenium-carbon functionalities also occur within more rigid, including aromatic, structures. The selenium chemical shift tensors from solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR for some selenazole and selenazoline derivatives coupled with chiral moieties, Figures 2.3a-e, have been compared with their corresponding solution NMR values, where observed differences are discussed with respect to conformational variations.⁸⁰ Another selenoether within a rigid framework that has been studied by solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR is tetramethyltetraselenafulvalene, TMTSF Figure 2.3f, which is a precursor for some of the organic conducting and superconducting materials that will be discussed in the following section. The two similar selenium chemical shift tensors for the neutral TMTSF molecule in the solid state have been characterized at room temperature and are reproduced in Table 2.6.⁵⁴

2.2.3.1.2 Organic Conductors

Electrical conductivity in organic systems has illuminated the influence of molecular structure on organic conductivity and superconductivity.⁸¹ Since their initial description,⁸² these so-called Bechgaard salts; (TMTSF)₂X, where X is a mono-valent



Figure 2.2 The selenium coronands that have been studied by solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR: a) 1,5-diselenacyclooctane (8Se2), b) 1,5,9triselenacyclododecane (12Se3) and 1,3,7,9-tetraselenacyclododecane (12Se4), c) 1,4,8,11-tetraselenacyclootetradecane (14Se4), d) 1,5diselena-9,13-dithiacyclohexadecane (16Se2S2), 1,5,9,13tetraselenacyclohexadecane (16Se4), 1,5,9,13tetraselenacyclohexadecane-3,-ol (16Se4(OH)), 1,5,9,13tetraselenacyclohexadecane-3,11-diol (16Se4(OH)₂), e) 1,3,7,9,13,15hexaselenacyclotetracosane (24Se6).



Figure 2.3 Structurally rigid selenoethers that have been studied by solidstate ⁷⁷Se NMR: selenazoles (a,b), selenazolines (c-e), and tetraselenafulvalenes (f,g). Also given, in h), is the structure of the 2,5dihalo-tetracyanoquinodimethane, X_2 TCNQ (X = Cl, Br), anion.

anion, continue to be investigated due to the wide variety of available physical properties that depend on the choice of anion as well as environmental variables such as pressure, temperature, and applied magnetic field.^{83,84} All members of these charge transfer salts are essentially isostructural, and thus it is the nature of the inorganic anion that largely governs the various low temperature properties observed.⁸⁵ At room temperature and ambient pressure, the Bechgaard salts are described as quasi one-dimensional conductors; however, at low temperature there exists a competition between two types of critical behavior.⁸⁶⁻⁸⁸ Should the anion, X, possess a center of symmetry then the metal-insulator transition in the 10-20 K region is one that results in a magnetic spin density wave (SDW) state. Under pressure the metal-insulator transition is gradually depressed and above a critical pressure, typically > 6 kbar, a superconducting (SC) state is established at temperatures less than 1 K.⁸⁹ If X does not contain a center of symmetry, the phase diagram for these materials is complicated by an additional phase transition that occurs above the SDW/SC transition.⁸⁷ This new phase boundary is a structural phase transition associated with ordering the non-centrosymmetric anions.

Nuclear magnetic resonance investigations have been instrumental in both describing the nature of, and detailing the transitions between, the low temperature phases of these materials.^{90,91} Selenium has become the preferred nucleus of interest in these solid-state NMR studies, as the selenium nuclei exhibit no rotational behavior within the rigid TMTSF framework which simplifies the analysis of the electronic origin of the ⁷⁷Se relaxation rates in comparison to those over the entire temperature range via ¹H NMR.^{92,93} Selenium-77 nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rates, T_1^{-1} , are the most common form of NMR information utilized in the characterization of the phase

transitions in the (TMTSF)₂X salts, as they reveal low frequency electronic spin dynamics occurring at or near the onset of these transitions.⁹⁴ The number and range of phase transitions that have been studied by solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR are summarized in Table 2.7.

 $(TMTSF)_2PF_6$ is a Bechgaard salt containing the centrosymmetric anion PF₆, which possesses a metal-insulator transition at ~ 12 K at ambient pressure. Solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR evidence for this transition comes from T_1^{-1} data that exhibit a local maximum at 12.2 K under 1 bar of pressure, and at 8.7 K under 5.5 kbar (below the critical pressure of 6 kbar).^{89,92,95-98} Applications of strong magnetic fields, > 15 T, have induced an additional SDW state, a so-called field induced SDW, observed as a discontinuity in the T_1^{-1} vs T plot around 4 K and suggest that additional FISDW states could be found in the presence of even higher applied magnetic field strengths.^{92,99} The transition to the initial insulating SDW state at ambient pressure can be suppressed with the application of pressure and metallic character is retained down to 1.2 K at pressures greater than 8 kbar.^{89,96,98,100} (TMTSF)₂PF₆ is well known as the first organic molecular superconductor,^{101,102} but the nature of the superconducting phase has only recently been investigated by solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR. Knight shifts of samples under 7 kbar of pressure and low magnetic field strengths, < 2.4 T, were studied as a function of temperature down to 0.09 K.¹⁰³⁻¹⁰⁵ The results were inconsistent with those predicted to arise from conventional superconductivity (spin-singlet electron pairing), and strongly support superconductivity from spin-triplet pairing. Under pressures sufficient to induce a superconductive phase transition, the SC state can be suppressed by moderate magnetic

		Anion ordering	1	Metal-insulato	r	S	uperconductin	g
Α	Х	T _{AO}	Т _{мі}	$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{MI}}$	$B_{0, \mathrm{MI}}$	T _c	Pc	$B_{0,\mathrm{c}}$
		К	K	kbar	T	K	kbar	Т
TMTSF	PF ₆ -	NA	12.2	.001	-	1	10	
			8.7	5.5	-			
			4	-	> 15			
	ClO ₄ (R)	24	> 0	-	> 4	1	.001	< 4
	ClO4 ⁻ (Q)	-	3.5	.001	-			
	NO ₃ -		9	-	-			
	ReO4 ⁻	180	180	-	-			
	FSO3 ⁻	89	89	-	-			
TMDTDS F	PF6	NA	7	-	-			
1	ReO ₄ -	163	163	-	-			
BETD-	Cl₂TCNQ ⁻		20	7	-			
1.51	Br ₂ TCNQ ⁻		12	.001	-			
	λ -FeCl ₄ -		3.5	-	9	> 0	-	> 18
			1.6	-	11.7			

Table 2.7 Solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR monitored phase transitions in the organic conductors, A_2X .

fields that recover a conducting phase. This intermediate region of applied magnetic field strengths between the suppression of the SC and onset of the FISDW states exhibit a magic angle effect, MAE, where sharp reductions in magnetoresistance can occur for certain angles of the (TMTSF)₂PF₆ single crystal with respect to the applied magnetic field direction.¹⁰⁶ These reductions in magnetoresistance are predicted to enhance the

FISDW phase transition temperature;¹⁰⁷ however, attempts to observe this behavior via solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR have been unsuccessful to date.^{108,109}

Modifications to the (TMTSF)₂PF₆ system have been prepared and investigated in order to elucidate further information on the nature of the various phases of this complex. Solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR studies under high pressure, 6 and 7 kbar, on (TMTSF)₂PF₆ doped with 10% (TMTSF)₂AsF₆ have ventured to investigate the result of introducing disorder into this system near the SC boundary.⁹⁴ The donor molecule tetramethyldithiadiselena-fulvalene, TMDTDSF, presents a mixed sulfur-selenium system whose bis-cationic complexes with PF_6^- show intermediate properties between those of (TMTSF)₂PF₆ and the tetramethyltetrathiafulvalene salt, (TMTTF)₂PF₆.¹¹⁰ Selenium-77 spin-lattice relaxation studies have identified the onset of a SDW state at 7 K in (TMDTDSF)₂PF₆.^{85,93}

 $(TMTSF)_2ClO_4$ has received considerable interest as the conducting state in this salt can be maintained down to liquid helium temperatures, and it is the only Bechgaard salt that exhibits a SC state, with $T_c \sim 1.2$ K, at ambient pressure.¹¹¹ As mentioned above, non-centrosymmetric anions complicate the behavior of their salts due to the presence of anion disorder. For the perchlorate salt, an anion ordering transition occurs at 24 K; however, the disorder can be frozen into the sample by rapidly cooling the sample below 40 K resulting in the so-called quenched, or Q, state. The result of retaining the disordered ClO_4^- anions is a magnetic SDW phase is induced and stabilized below 3.5 K as evidenced by a peak in the ⁷⁷Se spin-lattice relaxation rate around this temperature.^{86,88,112}

Only by slowly cooling (TMTSF)₂ClO₄ through its anion ordering transition does the SC state appear at low temperature and ambient pressure. While the ⁷⁷Se T_1^{-1} does not show any anomalous behavior at or near the anion ordering temperature, $T_{AO} = 24$ K, a broad peak in the ⁷⁷Se nuclear spin echo decay rate, T_2^{-1} , does provide evidence for this transition.¹¹³⁻¹¹⁵ The initial explanation for this behavior in T_2^{-1} was slow molecular motions;^{113,114} however, a recent investigation charges that carrier density fluctuations rather than molecular orientational fluctuations are responsible.¹¹⁵ After slow cooling of (TMTSF)₂ClO₄, within the relaxed (R) state of the perchlorate salt, a non-magnetic conducting state persists down to the SC transition at ambient pressure. Numerous solidstate ⁷⁷Se NMR studies have shown an enhancement in spin-lattice relaxation rates as the sample is cooled towards the SC transition producing a plateau of T_1^{-1} below 25 K.^{86,88,112,116,117} Recent solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR investigations of (TMTSF)₂ClO₄ in the superconducting state appeared consistent with spin-triplet pairing;¹⁰⁹ however, a low applied magnetic field, 1 T, and very low temperature, 100 mK, investigation suggests that the nature of the SC results from the salt in the spin-singlet state.¹¹⁸ Applications of magnetic fields in excess of 4 T at low temperatures can suppress SC and induce a SDW state. The ability to stabilize SDW states via two methods, from retaining anion disorder by rapid cooling to the quenched state or by applying large magnetic fields on a slowly cooled relaxed state sample, demonstrates the degrees of choice and control one can exert on these systems. Solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR linewidth and T_1^{-1} investigations have also been utilized in diagnosing these and subsequent FISDW states at low temperatures under field strengths of up to 15 T.^{83,84,86,88,112,119-121}

Similar to $(TMTSF)_2ClO_4$, $(TMTSF)_2NO_3$ is a charge transfer salt with a noncentrosymmetric anion. While the anion ordering transition is known, $T_{AO} = 41$ K, ⁷⁷Se T_1^{-1} experiments around this temperature failed to observe any anomalies; however, the SDW transition at 9 K was observed by the characteristic peak in T_1^{-1} as well as line broadening at this temperature.⁸⁷

 $(TMTSF)_2ReO_4$ is another Bechgaard salt containing a non-centrosymmetric anion and a structural phase transition associated with the ordering of the anion; however, unlike the perchlorate and nitrate salts, the X = ReO₄⁻ salt is accompanied by a metalinsulator transition at its anion ordering transition, $T_{AO} = 180$ K.¹²² The temperature dependence of the solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR spectra between 285 K > T > 135 K are compared with those of the related mixed S-Se system (TMDTDSF)₂ReO₄ ($T_{AO} = 163$ K) from 230 K > T > 120 K.⁸⁵ Selenium-77 spin-lattice relaxation rates for both of these perrhenate salts show characteristic exponential drops in T_1^{-1} with decreasing temperature at T_{AO} .^{85,93}

Recently, a Bechgaard salt containing anions with a permanent electric dipole moment has been investigated. Similar to the perrhenate salt, (TMTSF)₂FSO₃ possesses an anion ordering transition accompanied by a metal-insulator transition at 89 K. Solidstate ⁷⁷Se NMR investigations have shown abrupt lineshape changes and that the Knight shift and T_1^{-1} decrease exponentially with temperature at T_{AO} .^{87,123} Under a pressure of ~ 6 kbar, (TMTSF)₂FSO₃ becomes superconducting around 3 K.¹²⁴ A solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR investigation of (TMTSF)₂FSO₃ under a pressure of 6.5 kbar between 200 K > T > 5 K has revealed lineshape broadening and a peak in the spin-spin relaxation rate at T_{AO} .^{123,125,126}

Bis(ethylenedithio)tetraselenafulvalene, BETD-TSF Figure 2.3g, has also been utilized within 2:1 charge transfer salts similar in nature to the Bechgaard salts; however, the (BETD-TSF)₂X salts are able to form quasi two-dimensional organic conductors. The first (BETD-TSF)₂X systems to be investigated by solid-state 77 Se NMR were the 2,5-dihalo-tetracyanoquinodimethane salts, $X = Cl_2TCNQ$ and Br_2TCNQ depicted in Figure 2.3h. The two similar salts exhibit distinct phase behaviors, where at ambient pressure the Cl₂TCNQ salt is metallic to low temperatures, the Br₂TCNQ complex possesses a metal-insulator transition at $T_{MI} = 12$ K. Solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR linewidth and T_1^{-1} data are able to detect the T_{MI} in the Br₂TCNQ salt,¹²⁷⁻¹²⁹ and the lack of any anomalies in T_1^{-1} down to 5 K for the Cl₂TCNQ complex support that the salt is metallic at least down to this temperature.^{128,129} With increasing pressure T_{MI} decreases for the Br₂TCNQ salt, at 3.5 kbar (BETD-TSF)₂(Cl₂TCNQ) becomes a superconductor at 1 K, and above 6 kbar a metal-insulator transition occurs at $T_{MI} > 10$ K. A maximum in the ⁷⁷Se spin-lattice relaxation rate at 20 K has been observed for (BETD-TSF)₂(Cl₂TCNQ) under a pressure of 7 kbar establishing T_{MI} for the complex under this pressure.¹³⁰

Unlike the TMTSF analogues, the (BETD-TSF)₂X salts are not isostructural with respect to variation of X and several polymorphs are known to exist. The (BETD-TSF)₂I₃ salts form numerous polymorphs that are particularly interesting as they possess linear I_3^- anions, and the tetrathiafulvalene derivative β -(BETD-TTF)₂I₃ was the second ambient pressure superconductor to be found.¹³¹ Preliminary solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR spectra for α -(BETD-TSF)₂I₃ between 50 K and 150 K have appeared in a recent report on charge disproportionation in organic conductors.¹²⁶

In solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR investigations of (BETD-TSF)₂GaCl₄, κ-(BETD-

TSF)₂GaCl₄ has been used as a reference system as it remains metallic with no evidence for superconductivity down to 0.5 K.¹³² Conversely λ -(BETD-TSF)₂GaCl₄, which differs from the κ -polymorph in the arrangement of the BETD-TSF molecules,¹³³ shows superconductivity below 6 K at ambient pressure.¹³⁴ Solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR Knight shift, linewidth and spin-lattice relaxation rate investigations on λ -(BETD-TSF)₂GaCl₄ in the range 100 K > T > 7 K have revealed the development of antiferromagnetic spin fluctuations below 30 K.^{135,136}

The $(BETD-TSF)_2FeX_4$ (X = Cl, Br) salts are an interesting case as the FeX₄ anion possesses high spin Fe³⁺. Under ambient pressure, λ -(BETD-TSF)₂FeCl₄ shows a metal-insulator transition at $T_{MI} = 8$ K. External applied magnetic fields reduce T_{MI} and solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR evidence for this transition has been found at 3.5 K when $B_0 = 9.0$ T,¹³⁷ and 1.6 K when $B_0 = 11.7$ T.¹³⁸ At fields greater than 18 T, λ -(BETD-TSF)₂FeCl₄ undergoes field induced superconductivity, FISC, reaching a maximum $T_c = 4.2$ K at $B_0 =$ 33 T, and with even greater magnetic fields T_c returns to 0 K around $B_0 = 45$ T. Solidstate ⁷⁷Se NMR measurements were able to provide the first direct evidence of strong coupling between the conduction π electrons and the Fe d electron spins in λ -(BETD-TSF)₂FeCl₄,^{126,137,139,140} and another FISC κ -(BETD-TSF)₂FeBr₄.^{141,142} This evidence has been used to elucidate the nature of the FISC state in these materials. In 1962, Jaccarino and Peter predicted FISC when the external field is able to cancel the exchange field from the Fe moments.¹⁴³ The high field solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR studies of the (BETD-TSF)₂FeX₄ FISCs have been interpreted as evidence for this Jaccarino-Peter compensation mechanism.^{126,137,139,140,142}

2.2.3.2 Bridging Organic and Inorganic Centers

Organic diselenides, the selenium equivalent of organic peroxides, are an intriguing functional group as they possess glutathione peroxidase-like activity.¹⁴⁴⁻¹⁴⁸ The C-<u>Se</u>-Se environments within diphenyl diselenide have been probed by solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR, noting a large difference in the most shielded principal component, δ_{33} , between the two selenium sites in this diselenide.¹⁴⁹ IGLO calculations of the selenium chemical shift tensors of Ph₂Se₂ were used to identify that the differences in δ_{33} were primarily due to differences in Se-Se-C^{ipso}-C^{ortho} torsion angles.¹⁴⁹ Potrzebowski and coworkers have investigated the effects of polymorphism on the selenium chemical shift tensor(s) in bis(2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-acetyl- β -D-glucopyranosyl) diselenide shown in Figure 2.4a.¹⁵⁰ The polymorphs were identified based on the arrangements of the C-C-Se-Se-C-C backbone, where the selenium chemical shift tensors were related to the crystal structures of the *anti-syn* and *anti-anti* conformations.¹⁵⁰ The structure of a third polymorph, unable to be characterized by X-ray crystallography, was deduced to possess the *syn-syn* conformation with the assistance of solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR.¹⁵⁰

Selenium bridging organic and inorganic centers other than selenium have been characterized by solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR. Of these, a large percentage includes complexes of seleno-carbonyls with group 11 or 12 late transition metal centers. Selenourea, *N*,*N*-dimethyl selenourea, as well as various imidazolidine-, diazinane-, and diazipine-selones have been coordinated with zinc,¹⁵¹ silver,⁵⁵ cadmium,^{151,152} gold,^{153,154} and mercury,^{151,155,156} see Figures 2.4b-f. For complexes with first and second row transition metals, the isotropic chemical shifts were consistently more shielded than those found for the uncoordinated seleno-carbonyl, see Tables 2.8 and 2.3, respectively.^{55,151,152}



Figure 2.4 Examples of the bridging selenium environments that have been studied by solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR: a) bis(2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-acetyl- β -Dglucopyranosyl) diselenide; imidazolidine-selone coordination complexes with b) cadmium, c) gold and d) mercury; diazinane-selone complexes with e) mercury and f) gold; as well as the ferrocenyl complexes g) tetrakis(ferrocenylselenolato)stannane and h) 1,3-dibora-2-selena-[3]ferrocenophane.

Compound		δ_{iso}	διι	δ_{22}	δ_{33}	Reference
Ph ₂ Se ₂		350	537	510	2	149
<u></u>		425	565	527	183	
	anti-syn	484	718	504	231	150
- UAC		367	639	484	-22	
Aco	anti-anti	461	779	434	169	150
Se-	syn-syn	514	844	473	225	150
ÓAc ÓAc /2		416	731	400	118	
$Zn(Se=C(NH_2)_2)_2Cl_2$		124	970	-215	-383	151
		152	1101	22	420	
Ag[Se=C(NMe ₂)(NH ₂)][N	O ₃]	180	382	304	-146	55
		170	398	322	-210	
$Ag[Se=C(NMe_2)(NH_2)]_2[NH_2$	O ₃]	192	417	305	-146	55
		129	382	329	-325	
$Cd(Se=C(NH_2)_2)_2Cl_2$		140	801	-96	-283	151
Cd(SeC ₆ H ₂ (ⁱ Pr) ₃)(bpy)		-155	90	0	-559	157
		-156	107	-29	-546	
	R = H	12	804	94	-861	152
_	R = Me	8	670	121	-768	152
R ,		60	881	42	-741	
	$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{E}\mathbf{t}$	2	463	11	-468	152
Se	_	13	471	15	-446	
	$R = {}^{n}Pr$	-37	898	-28	-983	152
-	n in	-22	903	-7	-962	1.50
	R = Pr	-27	947	-60	-968	152
		-20	1076	8	-1144	
Se						
	n	49, 107,				158
Fe 4		113, 120				
$\langle \bigcirc \rangle$						
$[Me_3P-Au-Se=C(NH_3)_3]_3[Cl]_3$		205	578	394	-361	153
	1-					
н –	+					
Ň				1.50		
Se —Au —PEt ₃	Br	69	455	159	-405	154
ĭ H						

Table 2.8 Solid-state ⁷⁷Se chemical shifts and chemical shift tensors for selenium bridging organic and inorganic centers.^{a)}

^{a)} Experimental temperature either room temperature or was not specified.





^{a)} Experimental temperature either room temperature or was not specified.

Relatively fewer inorganic complexes of selenolates, RSe⁻, have been characterized by solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR. The single-crystal NMR of the cadmium complex, $Cd(SeC_6H_2(^iPr)_3)_2(bpy)$, was investigated as an analogue to biologically occurring metalloproteins that coordinate by cysteine and histidine residues.¹⁵⁷ The ⁷⁷Se CP MAS spectrum of a microcrystalline powder sample of tetrakis(ferrocenylselenolato)stannane, Figure 2.4g, yields four isotropic chemical shift values at 49, 107, 113, and 120 ppm for the C-Se-Sn selenium environments.¹⁵⁸

Compound	<u>X(n)</u>	ⁿ J(⁷⁷ Se,X) _{iso} / Hz	X(n)	ⁿ J(⁷⁷ Se,X) _{iso} / Hz	References
$[NMe_4]_2[Zn(Se_4)_2]$	⁷⁷ Se(1)	150-180			159
12Se4 ^{b)}	⁷⁷ Se(2)	31-36			76
18Se6 ^{b)}	⁷⁷ Se(2)	128, 129			75,76
$[NMe_4]_2[Cd(Se_4)_2]$	¹¹³ Cd(1)	250-330			159
$[Me_2SnSe]_3$	¹¹⁹ Sn(1)	1191, 1264			160
		1178, 1246, 1133			161
$[NMe_4]_2[Hg(Se_4)_2]$	¹⁹⁹ Hg(1)	-12201480	¹⁹⁹ Hg(2)	330	159
$(Hg(CN)_2)(16Se4)^{b}$	¹⁹⁹ Hg(1)	-110, -123	⁷⁷ Se(2)	43	162

Table 2.9 Indirect spin-spin coupling constants, ${}^{n}J({}^{77}\text{Se}, {}^{m}X)_{iso} (m \ge 77).^{a)}$

^{a)} Experimental temperature either room temperature or was not specified. ^{b)} See Figure 2.2.

2.2.3.3 Bridging Inorganic Centers

2.2.3.3.1 Symmetric X-Se-X Linkages

In this section, only selenium bridging two inorganic centers of the same element are considered. The B-Se-B linkage in 1,3-dibora-2-selena-[3]ferrocenophane, Figure 2.4h, gives a ⁷⁷Se CP MAS NMR peak at 41 ppm, quite different than that found at -40 °C in solution, 4.3 ppm.¹⁶³

The N-Se-N moiety produces a broad peak at 1113 ppm in the ⁷⁷Se CP MAS NMR spectrum of Se[N(SiMe₃)₂]₂ due to indirect spin-spin and residual dipolar coupling from ¹⁴N.¹⁶⁴ The magnitude of ¹J(⁷⁷Se,¹⁴N)_{iso} was estimated to be approximately 53 Hz in Se[N(SiMe₃)₂]₂.¹⁶⁴ The eight-membered ring system 1,5-Se₂S₂N₄ possesses an alternating nitrogen-chalcogen pattern and the two ⁷⁷Se chemical shifts, 1409 and 1455 ppm, for the two N-Se-N linkages within the ring are more deshielded than found in Se[N(SiMe₃)₂]₂, and one of the most deshielded selenium environments found by solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR.¹⁶⁵

Grossman and coworkers have synthesized a family of 1,3-diselena-2,4-

diphosphetane-2,4-diselones, (RPSe₂)₂ (R = Me, Et, ^tBu, 4-Me₂NC₆H₄), Figure 2.1e, and have employed solid-state NMR in order to elucidate their structures. The isotropic ⁷⁷Se chemical shifts and indirect spin-spin coupling constants for the two equivalent P-Se-P components, from CP MAS spectra, range from 621 ppm to 890 ppm, and all possess ¹J(⁷⁷Se,³¹P)_{iso} ~ -260 Hz.⁵⁹ Ab initio HF and SOS-DFPT calculations of the selenium magnetic shielding tensor provide the orientation of the selenium chemical shift tensor for (^tBuPSe₂)₂, where δ_{11} lies within the P₂Se₂ ring plane, bisecting the P-Se-P angle, and that this plane bisects the δ_{22} -Se- δ_{33} angle.⁵⁹ A similar system, 3,5-dimethyl-1,2,4triselena-3,5-diphospholane-3,5-diselone possessing only a single P-Se-P unit, Figure 2.1f, yields δ_{iso} (Se) = 632 ppm and ¹J(⁷⁷Se,³¹P)_{iso} ~ -420 Hz.⁵⁹

Inorganic polyselenides, Se-Se-Se, found in late transition metal complexes have been investigated by solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR. There appears to be a significant difference in the entire selenium chemical shift tensor depending on whether the Se-Se-Se moiety is found within a heterocyclic ring or as a chain between inorganic centers. The group 12 complexes [NMe₄]₂[M(Se₄)₂] (M = Zn, Cd, Hg) form five-membered MSe₄ rings and the ⁷⁷Se peaks arising from Se-Se-Se linkages were differentiated from the M-Se-Se selenium environments due to the magnitudes of the one- and two-bond mercuryselenium couplings in [NMe₄]₂[Hg(Se₄)₂], Table 2.9.¹⁵⁹ The Se-Se-Se δ_{iso} 's range from 30 ppm to 142 ppm with an average span, Ω , of 1139 ppm, Table 2.10.¹⁵⁹ The layered polyselenide, Rb₂[Pd(Se₄)₂]·Se₈, possesses Se₄ chains that link two Pd centers together, and the ⁷⁷Se peak from the Se-Se-Se selenium is significantly more deshielded at 648 ppm and in a more symmetric environment, $\Omega = 388$ ppm, than found in the MSe₄ rings

Compound	δ _{iso}	δ11	δ22	δ33	Reference
					163
$Se[N(SiMe_3)_2]_2$	1113				164
$1,5-Se_2S_2N_4$	1455				165
	1409				
R = Me	835				59
s_{e} $R = Et$	678				59
$R \rightarrow Se = Bu$	621				59
$\mathbf{R} = 4 - \mathbf{M} \mathbf{e}_2 \mathbf{N} \mathbf{C}_6 \mathbf{H}_4$	890				59
H ₃ C P Se Se Se CH ₃	632				59
$[NMe_4]_2[Zn(Se_4)_2]$	142	593	184	-350	159
	137	773	16	-379	
	122	657	135	-428	
	71	600	93	-480	
$Rb_2[Pd(\underline{Se_4})_2]$ ·Se ₈	648	826	680	438	51
$[NMe_4]_2[Cd(Se_4)_2]$	128	827	57	-501	159
	92	759	37	-519	
	71	794	-11	-571	
	63	739	-60	-490	
$[NMe_4]_2[Hg(Se_4)_2]$	101	591	111	-398	159
	90	612	77	-419	
	48	581	76	-514	
	30	552	82	-543	
(Me ₂ SnSe) ₃	-288	-267	-288	-309	161
	-374	-300	-361	-460	
	-266			-	160
	-352		1		

Table 2.10 Solid-state ⁷⁷Se chemical shifts and chemical shift tensors for inorganic X-Se-X bridging moieties.^{a)}

^{a)} Experimental temperature either room temperature or was not specified.

of $[NMe_4]_2[M(Se_4)_2]$ (M = Zn, Cd, Hg).⁵¹

Dimethyl tin selenide, $(Me_2SnSe)_3$, has a six-membered inorganic ring structure of alternating tin and selenium atoms. The crystal structure indicates that two of the three Sn-Se-Sn components are equivalent, which the solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum of $(Me_2SnSe)_3$ supports in displaying only two isotropic peaks with a 2:1 intensity ratio.^{160,161} One-bond indirect spin-spin coupling constants, ¹J(¹¹⁹Sn,⁷⁷Se)_{iso}, are found to range in magnitude from 1133 to 1264 Hz.^{160,161} By combining the results of solid-state ⁷⁷Se and ¹¹⁹Sn NMR; Gay, Jones and Sharma were able to assign the selenium chemical shift tensors to specific crystallographic sites, and the values of ¹J(¹¹⁹Sn,⁷⁷Se)_{iso} to specific tin-selenium pairs.¹⁶¹

2.2.3.3.2 Non-Symmetric X-Se-Y Linkages

The P-Se-Se-P linkage is one of the more common inorganic bridging moieties. A number of investigations on a series of bis(organothiaphosphoryl) diselenides have been summarized.¹⁸ Potrzebowski and coworkers have noted^{18,62} a linear correlation between the span of the selenium chemical shift tensors and the Se-Se bond distance in these diselenides.⁶²⁻⁶⁵ In another phosphorus diselenide, the two P-<u>Se</u>-Se selenium environments in 3,5-dimethyl-1,2,4-triselena-3,5-diphospholane-3,5-diselone, Figure 2.1f, possess deshielded isotropic ⁷⁷Se shifts, 636 and 649 ppm,⁵⁹ compared to the bis(organothiaphosphoryl) diselenides, 274 - 457 ppm, Table 2.11.⁶² The one-bond phosphorus-selenium coupling constants are similar, where -355 to -532 Hz couplings are obtained for the bis(organothiaphosphoryl) diselenides,⁶²⁻⁶⁵ and the values of ¹*J*(⁷⁷Se,³¹P)_{iso}, -340 and -350 Hz, are found in 3,5-dimethyl-1,2,4-triselena-3,5-diphospholane-3,5-diselone,⁵⁹ see Table 2.5.

Compound	δ _{iso}	δ_{11}	δ_{22}	δ33	Reference			
[('Bu)(Ph)P(S)Se] ₂	457	722	544	106	62			
$[(^{i}PrO)_{2}P(S)Se]_{2}$	288	660	350	-160	63			
$[(Me_3CCH_2O)_2P(S)Se]_2$	276	613	394	-178	64			
	274	602	410	-189				
	421	746	422	92	65			
	377	694	444	-22				
$H_{g}c$ $C_{6}H_{6}$ solvate	400	688	340	173	65			
н₃¢ ′2	348	622	412	16				
		-						
Se-Se	649							
H ₃ C Se	636				50			
See See					59			
Se CH ₃								
$[NMe_4]_2[Zn(Se_4)_2]$	670	1068	914	29	159			
	657	1044	899	27				
	637	1048	809	55				
	595	1046	704	36				
$Rb_2[Pd(Se_4)_2]$ ·Se ₈	551	765	596	291	51			
$[NMe_4]_2[Cd(Se_4)_2]$	662	1052	922	13	159			
	642	1063	856	6				
	611	973	874	-14				
	600	952	799	49				
$[NMe_4]_2[Hg(Se_4)_2]$	693	1246	849	-15	159			
	634	1086	828	-12				
	619	1089	740	29				
	527	1050	666	-135				
⁹ Experimental temperature either room temperature or was not specified.								

Table 2.11 Solid-state ⁷⁷Se chemical shifts and chemical shift tensors for inorganic X-Se-Y bridging moieties.^{a)}

The M-<u>Se</u>-Se linkages in the late transition metal polyselenide complexes appear significantly more similar than the Se-<u>Se</u>-Se moieties within the same complexes. The isotropic ⁷⁷Se chemical shifts of the M-<u>Se</u>-Se selenium in Rb₂[Pd(Se₄)₂]·Se₈, 551 ppm,⁵¹ is within the range of δ_{iso} 's found for [NMe₄]₂[M(Se₄)₂] (M = Zn, Cd, Hg), 527 – 670 ppm.¹⁵⁹ The approximate order of magnitude difference between ¹*J*(¹¹³Cd,⁷⁷Se)_{iso} and

.
${}^{1}J({}^{199}\text{Hg}, {}^{77}\text{Se})_{iso}$ in the polyselenide complexes are consistent with trends found for Group 14 couplings. ${}^{166-172}$

2.2.4 Inorganic Systems

2.2.4.1 Selenium Oxides

Solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR investigations of the oxides of selenium have, not surprisingly, focused on the two most commonly observed; selenites, SeO₃²⁻, and selenates, SeO_4^{2-} . The selenium chemical shift tensor for a single crystal of selenous acid was the earliest solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR investigation of a selenium oxide system and is given in Table 2.12.¹⁷³ Since then, various mixed alkali hydrogen selenite systems have been investigated. Potassium hydrogen selenite and RbHSeO3 are isomorphous systems whose structures contain centrosymmetric (HSeO₃)₂ dimers. Selenium-77 NMR studies of single crystals of MHSeO₃ (M = K, Rb) found that the single HSeO₃ selenium environment had a significantly different asymmetry parameter, $\eta_{\sigma},$ than that found in H₂SeO₃.¹⁷⁴ The structures of the MH₃(SeO₃)₂ salts are not as symmetric as the MHSeO₃ systems and solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR investigations have identified two signals corresponding to HSeO₃⁻ and H₂SeO₃ environments within the crystal structure.¹⁷⁴⁻¹⁷⁶ While a large difference in η_{σ} was only found between the HSeO₃⁻ and H₂SeO₃ selenium chemical shift tensors for $M = NH_4$, it was noted that the shielding anisotropy, $\Delta \sigma$, was smaller for the biselenite than in the selenous acid site for all $MH_3(SeO_3)_2$ (M = NH₄, K, Cs).¹⁷⁴⁻¹⁷⁶ Solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR has also monitored the paraelectric-antiferroelectric transition in CsH₃(SeO₃)₂ as evidenced by the doubling of the unit cell resulting in two

Compound	T/K	δ _{iso}	δ_{11}	δ_{22}	δ_{33}	Reference
H ₂ SeO ₃		1262	1451	1334	1002	173,174
	RT	1288	1477	1364	1017	54
KHSeO ₃	RT	1294	1416	1383	1082	174
	175	1262	1416	1360	1014	174
		1274	1384	1352	1082	
RbHSeO ₃	RT	1293	1412	1376	1091	174
$NH_4H_3(SeO_3)_2$	233	1269	1472	1312	1023	174,175
		1252	1370	1316	1069	
KH ₃ (SeO ₃) ₂	175	1262	1416	1360	1014	174
		1274	1384	1352	1082	
CsH ₃ (SeO ₃) ₂	293	1272	1410	1301	1106	176
		1268	1352	1323	1129	
	123	1243	1431	1344	954	174,176
		1253	1508	1355	897	
		1245	1408	1308	1020	
		1256	1372	1341	1055	
Hydrotalcite-SeO ₃ (0-51% RH)	RT	1276	1306	1306	1215	177
(75% RH)	RT	1279	1288	1288	1261	177
	RT	1276	1306	1306	1215	
(84% RH)	RT	1279	1288	1288	1261	177
	RT	1276	1306	1306	1215	
$Au_2(\underline{Se}O_3)_2(\underline{Se}O_4)$		1370	1568	1568	974	178
$Au_2(SeO_3)_2(\underline{Se}O_4)$		1046	1227	1227	685	178
Li_2SeO_4		1024				179
K_2 SeO ₄	300	62	80	72	34	180
(NH ₂ CH ₂ COOH) ₃ H ₂ SeO ₄	303	1018	1055	1014	984	181
	261	1017	1053	1016	982	181
	261	1016	1052	1016	981	
NH4HSeO4	293	1007	1144	1009	870	182
	293	1009	1226	948	854	
	203	1006	1197	951	870	182
	203	1005	1223	952	841	
	203	1006	1223	952	843	
RbHSeO ₄	388	1015	1163	1020	863	183
	388	1013	1232	952	854	
	293	1016	1230	961	855	183
	293	1015	1230	959	855	
	293	1014	1227	961	855	

 Table 2.12 Solid-state ⁷⁷Se chemical shifts and chemical shift tensors for selenium oxides.

Table 2.12 Continued.

Compound	T / K	δ_{iso}	δ_{11}	δ_{22}	δ ₃₃	Reference
(NH ₄) ₂ SeO ₄	RT	1040.2	1075	1038	1008	54
Hydrotalcite-SeO4 (0% Rel. Humid.)	RT	1053				177
(11% Rel. Humid.)	RT	1053	1057	1057	1051	177
(33% Rel. Humid.)	RT	1053	1057	1057	1051	177
(51% Rel. Humid.)	RT	1049	1057	1057	1045	177
Hydrotalcite-SeO ₄ (paste)	233	1053	1061	1061	1038	177
Hydrotalcite-SeO ₄ (paste)	371	1050	1056	1048	1048	1 7 7
$Na_{12}(SeO_6)(\underline{Se}O_4)_3$		1045, 1044				184
		1033				
$Na_{12}(\underline{SeO}_6)(SeO_4)_3$		667				184
Li ₄ SeO ₅		876	1046	971	611	179

HSeO₃⁻ and two H₂SeO₃ selenium chemical shift tensors, as given in Table 2.12.¹⁷⁶ Hou and Kirkpatrick have investigated SeO₃²⁻ located within the layers of hydrotalcite-like compounds as a function of relative humidity, RH, by solid-state ⁷⁷Se stationary and MAS NMR.¹⁷⁷ Their findings show a RH-independent ⁷⁷Se NMR signal due to interlayer located selenite, and a RH-dependent signal that arises at high RH due to SeO₃²⁻ on the exterior surfaces of the material.¹⁷⁷

Recently, a mixed selenite-selenate gold complex, $Au_2(SeO_3)_2(SeO_4)$ was synthesized and characterized by solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR.¹⁷⁸ The ⁷⁷Se MAS NMR spectrum of $Au_2(SeO_3)_2(SeO_4)$ displays two peaks in approximate 2:1 intensity ratio, and determination of the two selenium chemical shift tensors indicates that the SeO_4^{2-} anion is a highly distorted tetrahedron.¹⁷⁸

Ammonium hydrogen selenate, NH₄HSeO₄, has been the most reported on selenium oxide system studied by solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR, which is primarily due to its complex phase behavior.¹⁸² Above 98 K the sample is found in a ferroelectric phase,

which persists until 250 K where the salt possesses an incommensurate antiferroelectric phase. At 262 K, NH₄HSeO₄ becomes paraelectric until at 417 K a superionic phase transition occurs before the sample decomposes at 427 K. Solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR has been a useful tool in the investigation of nearly all of these phases and their transitions. The first ferroelectric phase transition followed by ⁷⁷Se NMR was performed on a related system triglycine selenate, (NH₂CH₂COOH)₃H₂SeO₄, about the 295 K transition temperature.¹⁸¹ Initial investigations of the selenium chemical shift tensors around the ferroelectric phase transitions in MHSeO₄ ($M = NH_4$, ^{185,186} Rb^{183,186}) were consistent with the known structures and provided evidence that proton ordering of specific hydrogen bonds occur at this transition; however, an additional study was required to follow the triplication of the unit cell that occurs at low temperatures within the ferroelectric phase, which was observed by the triplication in the number of ⁷⁷Se NMR signals between 213 K and 248 K.¹⁸⁷ Aleksandrova and coworkers studies of the ⁷⁷Se NMR spectra of NH₄HSeO₄ within the incommensurate region revealed that the phase is metastable.¹⁸⁸⁻¹⁹⁰ Replacement of the hydrogen atoms in NH₄HSeO₄ with deuterium via D₂O exchange introduces a metastable structural phase transition within the paraelectric phase. Solidstate ⁷⁷Se NMR has revealed that the stable monoclinic structure of NH_4HSeO_4 can be returned to the metastable orthorhombic ND₄DSeO₄ by slow cooling the sample from above 329 K.^{191,192} An investigation of the ⁷⁷Se NMR properties of the high temperature phase transition within ammonium and rubidium hydrogen selenate demonstrated the ability to characterize the superionic phase transition in these two similar salts.¹⁹³ Variable temperature ⁷⁷Se spin-lattice relaxation measurements on CsHSeO₄ have noted an anomaly in the T_1^{-1} , associated with SeO₄ tetrahedron fluctuations, below the

superionic phase transition as well as a discontinuity in the T_1^{-1} vs T at the transition temperature.¹⁹⁴

Ammonium selenate, $(NH_4)_2SeO_4$, has been recommended as a convenient setup sample for cross polarization solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR.¹⁹⁵ The selenium chemical shift tensor for $(NH_4)_2SeO_4$ has been determined and is reproduced in Table 2.12.⁵⁴ A solidstate ⁷⁷Se NMR investigation of K₂SeO₄ provides the tabulated chemical shift tensor for the paraelectric phase and evidence for the ferroelectric phase transition; however, the study was unable to detect the incommensurate phase transition in this sample.¹⁸⁰

Static and MAS ⁷⁷Se NMR spectra of SeO_4^{2-} within hydrotalcite-like compounds as a function of RH suggest significant changes in hydrogen bonding occur within the interlayer SeO_4^{2-} between 33% and 51% RH.¹⁷⁷ Variable temperature ⁷⁷Se NMR spectra of stationary pastes between 193 K and 371 K indicate increasing motional averaging of the selenium chemical shift tensor.¹⁷⁷

Haas and Jansen have been utilizing solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR in the characterization of some novel selenium oxide systems. The ⁷⁷Se MAS NMR spectrum of Na₁₂(SeO₆)(SeO₄)₃ was able to clearly resolve the three peaks, 1045, 1044, and 1033 ppm, due to the three crystallographically non-equivalent SeO₄²⁻ anions from the single ⁷⁷Se resonance at 667 ppm arising from SeO₆⁶⁻.¹⁸⁴ The single selenium chemical shift tensor for Li₄SeO₅, given in Table 2.12, was characterized and is consistent with the reported crystal structure.¹⁷⁹

53

2.2.4.2 Inorganic Mono-, Di-, and Poly-selenides

2.2.4.2.1 Inorganic Monoselenides

The earliest inorganic selenide studied by solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR spectroscopy was the paramagnetic insulator α -MnSe. The investigations were undertaken to probe the thermal behavior of the material, which was suggested to undergo transformations from α -MnSe's rock salt structure to the zinc blende structure of β -MnSe and/or the wurtzite structure of the γ -polymorph.¹⁹⁶ Variable temperature solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR experiments between 150 and 300 K were undertaken; however, they were unable to prove or disprove the emergence of β - or γ -MnSe phases in α -MnSe. Temperature dependent NMR frequency shifts, with respect to the ²⁷Al NMR signal of a saturated solution of Al₂(SO₄)₃, were found with a room temperature value of 8.07%.^{197,198} By assuming Curie-Weiss behavior, Jones was able to determine the value of the hyperfine coupling constant, A = 21.6 MHz, in α -MnSe.^{197,198}

The class of II-VI semiconductors are one of the best known groups of semiconducting materials. Selenium-77 Knight shifts of CdSe, as well as CdSe doped with $\sim 10^{20}$ indium atoms per cubic centimeter of CdSe were initially reported by Setty.⁴³ Solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR investigations of the Group 12 metal selenide materials MSe (M = Zn, Cd, Hg), along with PbSe, were undertaken in 1978,¹⁹⁹ and the results are detailed in Table 2.13. Only CdSe possessed an anisotropic chemical shift tensor due to its hexagonal structure; whereas, the site symmetry within ZnSe and HgSe (zinc blende structures) as well as PbSe (rock salt structure) produced only isotropic ⁷⁷Se NMR peaks.¹⁹⁹ Selenium-77 NMR linewidths have been reported for ZnSe in a multinuclear NMR study of zinc chalcogenides.^{200,201} Moran et al. have investigated ZnSe and CdSe

Compound	<u>T/K</u>	δ_{iso}	δ ₁₁	δ22	δ33	Reference
[NMe ₄]SeO ₂ Cl	RT	1371	1783	1652	678	54
Li ₇ PSe ₆		-736				202
		-843				
β-Ag ₇ PSe ₆	293	619	935	789	132	203
	293	610	918	795	118	
	293	-817	-945	-902	-604	
	293	-1274				
		612			1	60
		-818				
		-1271				
		612				61
		624				
γ-Ag ₇ PSe ₆	500	751	1033	903	318	203
	500	-882				
	500	-1170				
$[(C_5H_5)CrSe]_4$	303	1079				204
		1018				
ZnSe	RT	-369	-369	-369	-369	199
$\begin{array}{c} Ga_{x}Zn_{8-x}P_{x}Se_{2-x}[BO_{2}]_{12}\\ (x=0,0.5,1) \end{array}$		-824				205
$[NMe_4]_2Se_5$		389	629	629	91	206
		366	661	661	224	
		564	997	882	183	i.
		566	999	884	185	
		864	1218	920	455	
$[NMe_4]_2Se_6$		463	904	654	169	206
		662	1190	917	121	
		890				
As_2Se_3		210				48
		309				
		327				
Mo_6Se_8		3691	3871	3851	3351	207
		4531	4056	4492	5044	
CdSe	RT	-490	-475	-475	-519	199
		-476	-461	-461	-505	208
CdS _{0.4} Se _{0.6}	-	-481				208
HgSe	RT	-112	-112	-112	-112	199
PbSe	RT	-631	-631	-631	-631	199

Table 2.13 Solid-state ⁷⁷Se chemical shifts and chemical shift tensors for inorganic selenium systems.

inclusion complexes within sodalite frameworks.^{205,209} The ⁷⁷Se MAS NMR signal of $Zn_8Se_2(BO_2)_{12}$ is approximately 400 ppm more shielded than bulk ZnSe, and that of $Cd_8Se_2(BeSiO_4)_6 \sim 500$ ppm more shielded than bulk CdSe.²⁰⁹ The effect of doping GaP to produce the mixed ZnSe/GaP semiconductor in Ga_xZn_{8-x}P_xSe_{2-x}[BO₂]₁₂ was found to have no detectable influence on the ⁷⁷Se MAS spectrum for values of $x = 0, 0.5, 1.^{205}$ Molecular clusters of CdSe, between 12-35 Å, were readily discerned from bulk CdSe by their ⁷⁷Se NMR spectra.²¹⁰ Recently, solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR has again been called upon to characterize CdSe nanoparticles. Ratcliffe et al. have observed an increase by nearly an order of magnitude in the linewidth in the solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR spectra of sulfur doped CdS_{0.4}Se_{0.6} relative to CdSe.²⁰⁸ Strouse and coworkers have utilized solid-state NMR to investigate the surface of hexadecylamine-capped 2 nm CdSe nanocrystals by taking advantage of ¹H-⁷⁷Se CP MAS.²¹¹ Their results were able to identify surface selenium environments at edges, vertices and within facets, as well as tetrahedral selenium sites one layer below the surface.²¹¹ Additionally, ⁷⁷Se HETCOR measurements indicate that the selenium sites are in close proximity with the methylene groups from hexydecylamine and not the NH₂ indicating that this head group is most likely attached at Cd sites.²¹¹

Recently, Babu et al. utilized solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR to characterize the interaction between selenium and ruthenium in Ru-Se catalysts at Ru:Se concentrations of 3.3:1 and 2:1.⁴⁴ A large Knight shift and an apparent Korringa relationship of the spin-lattice relaxation rate, indicate that the selenium environment(s) attain metallic character when bound to ruthenium.⁴⁴

The remaining inorganic monoselenide materials investigated by solid-state 77 Se NMR contain *f*-block elements. Cerium selenide, an antiferromagnet, has been studied as

a function of temperature above its Néel temperature. The ⁷⁷Se Knight shift as a function of temperature possesses Curie-Weiss behavior and suggests a localized behavior of the Ce 4*f* moments.²¹² Variable temperature solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR investigations were also performed on sulfur doped samarium selenide, SmS_xSe_{1-x} (x = 0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5), due to similarities in magnetic and electronic properties with EuSe.²¹³ The ⁷⁷Se NMR shift was found to be linear with respect to sulfur concentration at both 77 and 300 K.²¹³ Europium selenide is ferrimagnetic below 2.8 K, and a number of solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR investigations have been undertaken on this ferrimagnetic phase. Suzuki and coworkers reported the disappearance of the ⁷⁷Se NMR signal above 2.9 K, at the boundary between the ferrimagnetic and antiferromagnetic phases.²¹⁴ Budnick et al. have investigated the effect of 1 % and 10 % doping of gadolinium into the rock salt structure of EuSe at 1.3 K, noting a significant ⁷⁷Se NMR shift to higher frequencies in $Eu_{0.99}Gd_{0.01}Se$ and Eu_{0.90}Gd_{0.10}Se.²¹⁵ Kawakami noted the onset of ferromagnetic phases within EuSe at 1.3 K with magnetic fields in excess of 1 T.²¹⁶ Small changes in the composition of UAsSe have been observed within solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR spectra. As the arsenic:selenium ratio is decreased from UAs_{1.0}Se towards UAs_{0.9}Se, the 77 Se NMR linewidths are found to increase indicating increasing disorder within this metallic system.²¹⁷

2.2.4.2.2 Inorganic Diselenides

The structures of the early transition metal diselenides possess numerous electronic properties of interest that are dominated by the two dimensional geometry of these layered systems. For example, the Group 5 diselenides are found as metals (VSe₂), semiconductors (TaSe₂), and superconductors (NbSe₂).⁴² The varying polytypes within

these layered structures are labeled according to the type of coordination found for the transition metal. Octahedral coordination is designated by 1*T*; whereas, trigonal prismatic coordinated transition metal systems of different stacking structures are indicated by the symbols 2*H*, 3*R*, and 4*H*.⁴² Selenium-77 Knight shifts of the layered Group 4, 5 and 6 diselenides were presented by Silbernagel and Gamble.⁴² Their results show a general decrease in the ⁷⁷Se Knight shift with increasing period for all compounds of the same polytype within a given group, and similarities in the Knight shifts of the 1*T* structures of Group 4 and 5 transition metal diselenides of the same row (i.e., TiSe₂ and VSe₂, HfSe₂ and TaSe₂).⁴²

Variable temperature solid-state.⁷⁷Se NMR studies on 1*T*-TiSe₂ have shown a large change in the Knight shift at the second-order structural transition temperature, 202 K.²¹⁸ The majority of solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR investigations have primarily focused on the Group 5 diselenides. Vanadium diselenide, 1*T*-VSe₂, possesses a structural phase transition accompanied by charge density waves (CDWs) at 110 K, and ⁷⁷Se NMR has shown both a local maximum in the Knight shift,^{219,220} as well as an increase in linewidth with decreasing temperature below this transition temperature.²²⁰ Like 1*T*-VSe₂, 2*H*-NbSe₂ has a structural phase transition accompanied by CDWs that can be detected by ⁷⁷Se NMR line broadening below the transition temperature; however, the transition occurs at a temperature of 31 K.^{220,221} Unlike 1*T*-VSe₂, 2*H*-NbSe₂ becomes superconducting below 7 K, and evidence for this transition from ⁷⁷Se NMR comes from a sharp decrease in the Knight shift and an exponential increase in *T*₁ as T decreases below T_c = 7 K.²²² The 2*H* polytype of tantalum diselenide is an ordinary metal above 122 K; however, 2*H*-TaSe₂ undergoes transitions to an incommensurate CDW state below 120 K, and to a commensurate CDW state below 90 K. An initial solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR investigation was unsuccessful in observing any anomalies in the Knight shift or linewidth in the temperature range 20 K < T < 300 K.²²³ Subsequent ⁷⁷Se NMR studies have observed significant changes in linewidth, lineshape, and number of resonances occurring at or around the two CDW transitions.^{221,224-226} Suits, Couturié and Slichter have also shown that their ⁷⁷Se NMR results^{225,226} in the incommensurate CDW state are consistent with McMillans description of discommensurations (separate commensurate regions within the structure that produce an incommensurate material on average).^{227,228}

Intercalation of lithium within the layered structures of the early transition metal diselenides produces little or no noticeable structural modifications to the host material. Silbernagel has shown that solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR parameters are sensitive to intercalation in $Li_{1.00}MSe_2$ (M = Group 4 and 5 metals).²²⁹ The reported ⁷⁷Se Knight shifts for intercalated diselenides are significantly more similar than those of the parent unintercalated materials.²²⁹ Dramatic changes in spin-lattice relaxation rate are also found for the Group 4 and 5 diselenides before and after intercalation, which are interpreted to be consistent with their respective electronic band properties.²²⁹ Subsequent solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR studies on intercalated diselenides have been reported for Li_xZrSe₂, which have potential application in lithium secondary cells. For compositions x < 0.40, Li_xZrSe₂ is a semiconductor, and for x > 0.40, the intercalation compound becomes metallic. The semiconductor-metal transition has been monitored where Li_xZrSe₂ possessing a semiconducting composition show a constant Knight shift; however, the Knight shift decreases linearly with increasing x above x = 0.40.^{230,231} Evidence for lithium ordering in semiconducting and metallic Li_xZrSe₂ have also been

observed in solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR measurements. Variable temperature ⁷⁷Se NMR spectra as well as spin-spin relaxation rates on semiconducting $Li_{0.29}ZrSe_2$ indicate lithium ordering occurs around 205 K;²³² whereas, in metallic Li_xZrSe_2 (x = 0.51, 0.70) similar measurements show ordering of lithium ions near 250 K.²³²⁻²³⁴

Selenium has been substituted for sulfur in order to study the sulfided cobaltmolybdenum catalyst, which transforms petroleum phases containing thiols and aromatic sulfides into hydrocarbons and H₂S, by solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR. Molybdenum disulfide is known to be the active catalyst; however, the presence of cobalt sulfides can enhance the activity by an order of magnitude. Spin-lattice relaxation measurements have indicated the presence of two different MoSe₂ environments corresponding to selenium at the edges of the particles having very different T_1 s than the other selenium sites, and that the relative ratio of these edge Se environments to other Se sites is larger in the CoMoSe₂ silica-supported catalyst than is found in bulk MoSe₂.²³⁵ From solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR measurements before and after reaction of the catalyst with thiophene, a reduction in relative intensity of the edge Se sites was found as sulfur replaces selenium at the edge sites.²³⁵ This study has shown that the edge environments are the active sites of the catalyst, and that inclusion of cobalt increases the number of these edge sites.

The later transition metal diselenides that have been investigated by solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR spectroscopy, CoSe₂ and NiSe₂, possess the pyrite structure. The ⁷⁷Se Knight shift for CoSe_x (1.95 < x < 2.20) as a function of temperature shows a maximum around 50 K.^{236,237} Panissod et al. have interpreted their results as evidence of structural instability,^{236,237} which is consistent with the extra reflections detected in neutron diffraction experiments on CoSe₂ at low temperature.²³⁸ Nickel diselenide is a

60

paramagnetic metal, which appears to have a similar ⁷⁷Se hyperfine interaction mechanism as $CoSe_2$.²³⁹ However, $NiS_{2-x}Se_x$ possesses electronic properties as a function of x. For x < 0.5, $NiS_{2-x}Se_x$ is a semiconductor, between 0.5 < x < 1.0 the compound is an antiferromagnetic metal at low temperatures, and at x > 1.0 $NiS_{2-x}Se_x$ the magnetic ordering is suppressed and the sample remains paramagnetic.²⁴⁰ Antiferromagnetic ordering in $NiS_{2-x}Se_x$ (0.5 < x < 1.0) was first reported by Krill and coworkers,²⁴¹ and recent solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR measurements on x = 0.76 and 0.96 compounds have been contrasted with x = 1.25 and 2.00 samples.²⁴² Anomalies in the (T_1T)⁻¹ vs. T plots for the x = 0.76 and 0.96 near their respective Néel temperatures clearly differentiate these compounds from x = 1.25, 2.00 paramagnetic metal plots that show little variation down to low temperatures.²⁴²

2.2.4.2.3 Inorganic Polyselenides

The most commonly studied inorganic polyselenides by solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR are the ferromagnetic chromium selenide spinels, MCr₂Se₄. A 77 K study of CuCr₂Se₄ was able to extract a ⁷⁷Se hyperfine field of 7.14 T, and extrapolated a value of 7.30 T at 0 K.²⁴³ Subsequent investigations have focused on the anisotropic hyperfine interactions in MCr₂Se₄ (M = Cd, Hg). Anti-parallel hyperfine fields were found to increase in magnitude for both CdCr₂Se₄ and HgCr₂Se₄ spinels at 77 K,²⁴⁴ 4.2 K,²⁴⁴⁻²⁴⁷ and 1.4 K.²⁴⁸ Small amounts of doping of copper²⁴⁷ or indium²⁴⁶ into Cd_{1-x}M_xCr₂Se₄ (M = Cu, In; x \leq 0.07) have shown significant changes in their respective NMR spectra.

The solid-state 77 Se MAS NMR spectrum of crystalline arsenic selenide, As₂Se₃, has been obtained at 15 kHz. Three resonances at 210, 309, and 327 ppm are observed

and possess considerably more narrow line widths than the single peak observed at ~ 380 ppm in amorphous As₂Se₃ (vide infra).⁴⁸

Németh and coworkers have investigated the quasi one-dimensional conductor $(TaSe_4)_2I$ by solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR in the temperature region 150 K < T < 320 K. The initial results of Knight shifts and spin-lattice relaxation rates were unable to monitor the transition into a CDW state at 265 K;²⁴⁹ however, an increase in ⁷⁷Se NMR linewidth was noticed around this transition temperature and an ensuing investigation into this line broadening with decreasing temperature below 265 K was found along with a local minimum in T_2^{-1} at the transition temperature.^{249,250}

Mixed valence cations in M₃Se₄ systems typically demonstrate a 1:2 ratio of $M^{2+}:M^{3+}$. Bastow has attempted to observe the solid-state ⁷⁷Se MAS NMR in metallic Mo₃Se₄; however, the sample that contained both Mo₃Se₄ and MoSe₂ by ⁹⁵Mo NMR revealed only a single ⁷⁷Se peak consistent with MoSe₂.²⁵¹ Unlike Mo₃Se₄, which is expected to give three ⁷⁷Se NMR peaks from three non-equivalent selenium environments, in Sm₃Se₄ all selenium sites are equivalent despite the 1:2 Sm²⁺:Sm³⁺ ratio. Takagi and coworkers have found that the ⁷⁷Se Knight shift in Sm₃Se₄ lies in between those of SmSe (Sm²⁺) and Sm₂Se₃ (Sm³⁺) throughout the temperature range 110 K < T < 300 K,^{252,253} and have shown evidence for the temperature activation of valance fluctuation, Sm²⁺ \leftrightarrow Sm³⁺, in Sm₃Se₄ between 140-160 K from spin-spin lattice relaxation measurements.^{253,254}

The binary and pseudo-binary Chevrel phase compounds, $Mo_6Se_{8-x}Q_x$ (Q = Te, I), are an interesting class of high field superconductors.²⁵⁵ The very deshielded selenium chemical shift tensors for the axial and peripheral selenium environments found in the

binary Mo₆Se₈ have been characterized and are tabulated in Table 2.13.²⁰⁷ Solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR investigations of the pseudo-binary Mo₆Se_{8-x}Te_x (0.0 < x < 7.5) have shown that selenium is preferentially located at the axial sites before occupying the peripheral chalcogen environments in these solid solutions.^{207,256} The pseudo-binary Chevrel phase compounds, Mo₆Se_{8-x}I_x (0.0 < x < 2.0), have been investigated as a function of temperature and found that the occupancy of iodine at either axial or peripheral locations appears temperature dependent.²⁵⁷

2.2.4.3 Vitreous Systems

The study of chalcogenide glasses has recently been reviewed and highlights solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR as a useful probe of the local selenium environment within inorganic selenide glasses.^{258,259} Binary phosphorus-selenium compositions form glasses up to 52 atom % P, and 5.0, 12.5, and 20.0 atom % P systems have been investigated by ³¹P-⁷⁷Se spin echo double resonance (SEDOR) NMR where the results indicate that the number of selenium atoms bonded to more than one phosphorus significantly increases between 12.5 and 20.0 atom % P.²⁶⁰ Variable temperature ⁷⁷Se NMR measurements on P-Se glasses from 0 to 40 atom % P have been obtained through the glass transition temperature, T_g, of these systems and significant narrowing of the ⁷⁷Se NMR signal is found to occur approximately 100 K above T_g.^{261,262} The use of cross polarization from ³¹P to ⁷⁷Se has been demonstrated in the inorganic glass, β -P₄Se₃; however, it has not been utilized in establishing P-Se connectivity in subsequent studies of either crystalline or amorphous P-Se systems.²⁶³

Compound	δίsο	Reference		
Se, 40 atom % P	1314	261,262		
	1105			
Ge_xSe_{1-x} $x = 0.11$	863, 435	45		
x = 0.14	865, 435	45		
x = 0.20	860, 445	45		
x = 0.25	860, 445	45		
x = 0.29	820, 430	45		
x = 0.33	410	45		
As_xSe_{1-x} $x = 0.10$	865, 570	48		
x = 0.18	850, 550	48		
x = 0.23	850, 525	48		
x = 0.40	380	48		
$Te_x Se_{1-x} x = 0.10$	880, 700	40		
x = 0.20	880, 700, 510	40		
x = 0.25	880, 700, 500	40		
x = 0.33	860, 690, 500	40		
x = 0.50	900, 710, 510	40		

ī

Table 2.14 Solid-state ⁷⁷Se chemical shifts found in vitreous selenium systems.

Amorphous germanium selenide is of interest for applications in infrared optics. Bureau and coworkers have investigated Ge_xSe_{1-x} in the range of composition 0.00 < x < 0.33 (i.e., from vitreous selenium to $GeSe_2$) by solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR.^{39,45} The results, reproduced in Table 2.14, suggest the presence of clusters of selenium rings and/or chains (Se-<u>Se</u>-Se species) with chemical shifts greater than 820 ppm along with GeSe₄ tetrahedra (Ge-Se-Ge species), 410 ppm $< \delta < 445$ ppm.^{39,45} The number of ⁷⁷Se peaks are in contrast to the chain-crossing model that consists of homogeneously distributed Ge throughout the glasses, which would be expected to lead to a third resonance due to Ge-<u>Se</u>-Se species.

Arsenic selenide glasses, As_xSe_{1-x} (0.00 < x < 0.40; i.e., from glassy selenium to As_2Se_3), have been the most studied vitreous system by solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR. Bishop

and Taylor were the first to report the chemical shift difference between glassy Se and vitreous As₂Se₃.⁴⁷ Unlike the germanium selenide glasses, As_xSe_{1-x} shows three distinct ⁷⁷Se signals at ~850 ppm, ~550 ppm, and 380 ppm consistent with Se-<u>Se</u>-Se, As-<u>Se</u>-Se, and As-Se-As selenium environments, respectively, as predicted by the chain-crossing model of evenly distributed AsSe₃ pyramids.^{39,48,264} The effect of doping amorphous As₂Se₃ (x = 0.40) with Cu, Ag, Tl, I, and Ge have also been investigated by solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR.²⁶⁵ A general increase in chemical shift and linewidth was found when increasing Cu content in Cu_z(As_{0.40}Se_{0.60})_{1-z} for z < 0.25.^{265,266}

Solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR studies of vitreous Te_xSe_{1-x} systems in the concentration range 0.0 < x < 0.50 (i.e., from vitreous Se to TeSe) are able to discern three chemical shift regions about 880 ppm, 710 ppm, and 510 ppm corresponding to Se-<u>Se</u>-Se, Te-<u>Se</u>-Se, and Te-Se-Te selenium environments, respectively; however, the results are not entirely consistent with the chain-crossing model.⁴⁰

2.2.5 Miscellaneous Selenium Systems

2.2.5.1 Miscellaneous Organoselenium Systems

The ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum of a stationary sample of solid [NMe₄]SeH, which possesses SeH⁻ anions at a crystallographic four-fold rotation axis, with and without proton decoupling indicate that the HSe⁻ moiety undergoes significant anisotropic molecular motions.²⁶⁷

Trisorganoselenonium salts, R_3Se^+ , are commonly isolated in organoselenium chemistry, and a number of these species have been characterized by solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR. Collins, Ratcliffe and Ripmeester characterized the selenium chemical shift tensor

Compound	δ_{iso}	δ ₁₁	δ_{22}	δ33	Reference
[NMe4]SeH	-465				267
Me ₂ Se in HZSM-5	0				268
MeSeH in HZSM-5	-130				268
Me_3Se^+ in HZSM-5	245				268
Me ₃ Sel	256	355.1	216.8	196.4	54
$[PhC \equiv CSePh_2][OTf]$	468				269
Se* (SO ₃ OF ₃) ₂	810 768	1066 1005	1066 1005	300 292	77
[Cu(16Se4)][SO ₃ CF ₃] ^{b)}	112				162
	159				
$(Hg(CN)_2)(16Se4)^{b)}$	127				162
	202				
10.95-Å kaolinite : Me ₂ SeO	758				270
11.26-Å kaolinite : Me ₂ SeO	785			-	270
11.38-Å kaolinite : Me ₂ SeO	788				270
N Ph Se Ph Ph	454				269
a) E	451				269

Table 2.15 Solid-state ⁷⁷Se chemical shifts and chemical shift tensors for miscellaneous organoselenium systems.^{a)}

^{a)} Experimental temperature either room temperature or was not specified. ^{b)} See Figure 2.2.

of trimethylselenonium iodide as part of their investigation of numerous selenium containing compounds.⁵⁴ Diphenyl(phenylethynyl)selenonium triflate,

[PhC= CSePh₂][OTf], was prepared from the reaction of diphenylselenoxide, Ph₂SeO, and phenylethynyl trimethylsilane, PhC= CSiMe₃, and the value of δ_{iso} , 468 ppm, is consistent with other hypervalent organoselenium compounds found in Table 2.15.²⁶⁹

Dicationic 1,5-diselenacyclooctane trifluoromethane sulfonate, Figure 2.5a, possesses two three-coordinate selenonium environments that, not surprisingly, show very similar selenium chemical shift tensor principal components.⁷⁷ Similarly, the selenium coronand complexes with copper and mercury demonstrate three-coordinate selenium species with at least a partial positive charge. The Cu(I) ion in 1,5,9,13- tetraselenacyclohexadecanecopper(I) trifluoromethane sulfonate is pseudo-tetrahedrally coordinated to four 16Se4 selenium coronands and gives rise to two broad ⁷⁷Se NMR peaks at 112 and 159 ppm.¹⁶² The mercury dicyanide complex with 16Se4 is similarly surrounded by four selenium coronands and yields two selenium chemical shifts at 127 and 202 ppm.¹⁶² The fairly long Hg-Se distances, 3.38 and 3.44 Å, are likely part of the reason for the relatively small values of ${}^{1}J({}^{199}\text{Hg},{}^{77}\text{Se})_{iso}$, 110 and 123 Hz; however, two-bond Se-Hg-Se coupling, ${}^{2}J({}^{77}\text{Se},{}^{77}\text{Se})_{iso} = 43$ Hz, appear to arise between seleniums on different coronands that are oriented *cis* with respect to the approximately linear Hg(CN)₂ molecules.¹⁶²

Solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR has been utilized to follow the reactivity of dimethyl selenide within the zeolite catalyst HZSM-5, and was able to provide clear evidence for the formation of trimethylselenonium, Me₃Se⁺, and methane selenol within the porous system.²⁶⁸ Another study of organoselenium species as inclusion compounds within porous frameworks demonstrates the sensitivity of the ⁷⁷Se chemical shift of

67



Figure 2.5 Miscellaneous structures that have been studied by solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR: a) 1,5-diselenacyclooctane trifluoromethane sulfonate, b) and c) four-coordinate selenuranes, and d) $[(C_5H_5)CrSe]_4$.

dimethylselenoxide to changes in kaolinite structures with various basal dimensions, see Table 2.15.²⁷⁰

Kataoka and coworkers have prepared two four-coordinate organoselenium compounds by reaction of [PhC= CSePh₂][OTf] with ketones of the form $R_1CH_2C(O)R_2$.²⁶⁹ The isotropic selenium chemical shifts for the compounds, 454 and 451 ppm for Figures 2.5b and 2.5c, repectively, are not altogether very different from that of the reactant [PhC= CSePh₂][OTf], 468 ppm.²⁶⁹

2.2.5.2 Miscellaneous Inorganic Systems

The ⁷⁷Se CP MAS spectrum of the SeO₂Cl⁻ ion in [NMe₄]SeO₂Cl displays variable fine structure within the spinning sideband manifold.⁵⁴ Non-equivalent crystallographic selenium sites and/or residual dipolar coupling from ^{35/37}Cl were deduced as the likely sources of this fine structure.⁵⁴

The nature of the selenium species in solid Li₇PSe₆ has been elucidated by ⁷⁷Se NMR. Two peaks, at -736 and -843 ppm, are assigned to distinct Se²⁻ species due to their similarity to that of Li₂Se, -760 ppm, and a broad spinning sideband manifold between 0 and 1000 ppm that was uncharacterized was associated to selenophosphate sites.²⁰² Similarly, Se²⁻ and PSe₄³⁻ selenium environments were identified in the ⁷⁷Se MAS spectra of the β - and γ -phases of Ag₇PSe₆.^{60,61,203}

The nearly axially symmetric selenium chemical shift tensors of the tridentate selenium environments in [CpCrSe]₄ are consistent with the proposed structure, where Se and Cr form the corners of a distorted cube and each selenium is coordinated to three chromium atoms, Figure 2.5d; however, an assignment of the two selenium resonances, at 1018 and 1079 ppm to selenium sites within the same [CpCrSe]₄ structure or within two crystallographically distinct structures, could not be made.²⁰⁴

The study of the tetramethylammonium salts of five- and six-membered polyselenide chains by solid-state NMR offers insight into the nature of these isolated polyselenide systems. The terminal selenium sites are the most shielded environments for both the Se_5^{2-} , 366 and 389 ppm, and the Se_6^{2-} , 463 ppm, chains.²⁰⁶ The next selenium atoms in the chain possess bridging Se-<u>Se</u>-Se environments and are more deshielded than the terminal sites, see Table 2.13.²⁰⁶ The most internal selenium

environments in the polyselenide chains possess selenium resonances at 864 ppm ($\text{Se}_5^{2^-}$) and 890 ppm ($\text{Se}_6^{2^-}$), in the region where elemental selenium is found, see Tables 2.2 and 2.13.²⁰⁶

2.3 Summary

Solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR spectroscopy has developed not only as a complementary tool to ⁷⁷Se NMR of corresponding solution phases, but as a comparable method to other solidstate techniques for the characterization of solid selenium containing materials. The range of isotropic selenium chemical shifts in solution, approximately 3300 ppm,^{6,7} has been expanded at both extremes by solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR. At the high frequency end Mo₆Se₈ gives two very deshielded values of δ_{iso} , 3691 and 4531 ppm,²⁰⁷ replacing the previously known limit of 2434 ppm where some selenoaldehydes, molybdenum selenides and cationic selenium containing heterocycles are found.^{6,7} Similarly, the low frequency limit was expanded from the isotropic chemical shifts found in selenium bridging moieties in some tungsten complexes, $\delta_{iso} \sim -900$ ppm, to the Se²⁻ sites in Ag₇PSe₆ that are found as far as $\delta_{iso} = -1274$ ppm.^{60,203} Together, the isotropic chemical shifts for ⁷⁷Se in solids range over 5800 ppm.

Knowledge of the indirect spin-spin coupling constants involving ⁷⁷Se have also benefited from solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR investigations. The one-bond selenium-phosphorus couplings in solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR studies, Table 2.5, cover most of the known ranges of ${}^{1}J({}^{77}Se, {}^{31}P)_{iso}$ for phosphine selones, -650 Hz to -1200 Hz, and phosphorus-selenium single bonded systems, -200 Hz to -620 Hz.^{6,7} One- and two-bond selenium-selenium couplings, ${}^{n}J({}^{77}Se, {}^{77}Se)_{iso}$, found in solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR are also consistent with those found in solution phases,^{6,7} Similarly consistent values of one-bond coupling constants between ⁷⁷Se and ¹¹³Cd, ¹¹⁹Sn, or ¹⁹⁹Hg are found between solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR spectra, Table 2.9, and solution ⁷⁷Se NMR.⁶

From organic systems, compounds and conductors, to inorganic materials, complexes and glasses, solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR is clearly an important tool in identifying and characterizing many areas of selenium chemistry. It would appear that as interest in selenium chemistry continues to expand, the utility and applicability of selenium-77 NMR in general, and in turn solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR, will assist in facilitating this rapidly developing field.

2.4 References

- (1) Mack, J. E.; Arroe, O. H. Phys. Rev. 1949, 76, 173.
- (2) Strandberg, M. W. P.; Wentink Jr, T.; Hill, A. G. Phys. Rev. 1949, 75, 827-832.
- (3) Geschwind, S.; Minden, H.; Townes, C. H. Phys. Rev. 1950, 78, 174-175.
- (4) Davis, S. P.; Jenkins, F. A. *Phys. Rev.* **1951**, *83*, 1269-1269.

(5) Harris, R. K.; Becker, E. D.; Crabral de Menezes, S. M.; Goodfellow, R.; Granger, P. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **2001**, *73*, 1795-1818.

(6) Duddeck, H. Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc. 1995, 27, 1-323.

(7) Duddeck, H. Annu. Rep. NMR Spectrosc. 2004, 52, 105-166.

(8) Rodger, C.; Sheppard, N.; McFarlane, H. C. E.; McFarlane, W. In *NMR and the Periodic Table*; Harris, R. K., Mann, B. E., Eds.; Academic Press: London, 1978, pp 402-412.

(9) Luthra, N. P.; Odom, J. D. In *The Chemistry of Organic Selenium and Tellurium Compounds*; Patai, S., Rappoport, Z., Eds.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1986; Vol. 1, pp 189-241.

(10) Duddeck, H. In *Encyclopedia of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance*; Grant, D. M., Harris, R. K., Eds.; John Wiley & Sons: Chichester, 1996; Vol. 7, pp 4623-4635.

(11) Klapötke, T. M.; Broschag, M. Compilation of Reported ⁷⁷Se NMR Chemical Shifts: Up to the Year 1994; Wiley: Chichester, 1996.

(12) Duddeck, H. *Chemical Shifts and Coupling Constants for Selenium*-77; Springer: Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 2004; Vol. III/35G.

(13) Haeberlen, U. Advances in Magnetic Resonance, Suppl. 1: High Resolution NMR in Solids: Selective Averaging; Academic Press: New York, 1976.

(14) Mason, J. Solid State Nucl. Magn. Reson. 1993, 2, 285-288.

(15) Sebald, A. NMR Basic Princ. & Prog. 1994, 31, 119-121.

(16) Granger, P. Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem. **1998**, 136, 137 & 138, 373-376.

(17) Potrzebowski, M. J. Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem. **1998**, 136,137 & 138, 423-426.

(18) Potrzebowski, M. J. Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci., Chem. 1998, 46, 61-74.

(19) Harris, R. K.; Becker, E. D.; Cabral de Menezes, S. M.; Granger, P.; Hoffman, R. E.; Zilm, K. W. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **2008**, *80*, 59-84.

(20) Wasylishen, R. E.; Connor, C.; Friedrich, J. O. Can. J. Chem. 1984, 62, 981-985.

(21) Jameson, C. J. In *Multinuclear NMR*; Mason, J., Ed.; Plenum Press: New York, 1987, pp 89-131.

(22) Jameson, C. J. In *Phosphorus-31 NMR Spectroscopy in Stereochemical Analysis*. *Organic Compounds and Metal Complexes*; Verkade, J. G., Quin, L. D., Eds.; VCH Publishers, Inc.: Deerfield Beach, 1987; Vol. 8, pp 205-230.

(23) Colquhoun, I. J.; McFarlane, W. Dalton Trans. 1981, 658-660.

(24) Magyarfalvi, G.; Pulay, P. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1994, 225, 280-284.

(25) Malkin, V. G.; Malkina, O. L.; Casida, M. E.; Salahub, D. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1994**, *116*, 5898-5908.

(26) Bühl, M.; Gauss, J.; Stanton, J. F. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1995, 241, 248-252.

(27) Bühl, M.; Thiel, W.; Fleischer, U.; Kutzelnigg, W. J. Phys. Chem. 1995, 99, 4000-4007.

(28) Schreckenbach, G.; Ruiz-Morales, Y.; Ziegler, T. J. Chem. Phys. 1996, 104, 8605-8612.

(29) Wilson, P. J. Mol. Phys. 2001, 99, 363-367.

(30) Keal, T. W.; Tozer, D. J. Mol. Phys. 2005, 103, 1007-1011.

(31) Nakanishi, W.; Hayashi, S.; Shimizu, D.; Hada, M. Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 12, 3829-3846.

(32) Jokisaari, J.; Autschbach, J. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2003, 5, 4551-4555.

(33) Wrackmeyer, B. Struct. Chem. 2005, 16, 67-71.

(34) Wrackmeyer, B.; García Hernandez, Z.; Herberhold, M. Magn. Reson. Chem. 2007, 45, 198-204.

(35) Koma, A.; Tanaka, S. Solid State Commun. 1972, 10, 823-827.

(36) Koma, A. Phys. Status Solidi B 1973, 56, 655-664.

(37) Koma, A. Phys. Status Solidi B 1973, 57, 299-306.

(38) Günther, B.; Kanert, O. Phys. Rev. B 1985, 31, 20-33.

(39) Bureau, B.; Troles, J.; Le Floch, M.; Smektala, F.; Lucas, J. J. Non-Cryst. Solids **2003**, *326*, 58-63.

(40) Bureau, B.; Boussard-Plédel, C.; Le Floch, M.; Troles, J.; Smektala, F.; Lucas, J. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2005**, *109*, 6130-6135.

(41) Günther, B.; Kanert, O.; Wolf, D. Solid State Commun. 1983, 47, 409-413.

(42) Silbernagel, B. G.; Gamble, F. R. In Low Temp. Phys.-LT 13, Proc. Int. Conf. Low Temp. Phys., 13th; Timmerhaus, K. D., O'Sullivan, W. J., Hammel, E. F., Eds.; Plenum, 1974; Vol. 3, pp 438-441.

(43) Setty, D. L. R. Indian J. Pure Appl. Phys. 1977, 15, 806-808.

(44) Babu, P. K.; Lewera, A.; Chung, J. H.; Hunger, R.; Jaegermann, W.; Alonso-Vante, N.; Wieckowski, A.; Oldfield, E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 15140-15141.

(45) Bureau, B.; Troles, J.; Le Floch, M.; Guenot, P.; Smektala, F.; Lucas, J. J. Non-Cryst. Solids **2003**, 319, 145-153.

(46) Gladden, L. F.; Elliott, S. R. J. Non-Cryst. Solids 1987, 97 & 98, 1175-1178.

(47) Bishop, S. G.; Taylor, P. C. Solid State Commun. 1972, 11, 1323-1326.

(48) Bureau, B.; Troles, J.; Le Floch, M.; Smektala, F.; Silly, G.; Lucas, J. *Solid State Sci.* **2003**, *5*, 219-224.

(49) Gopal, M.; Milne, J. Inorg. Chem. 1992, 31, 4530-4533.

(50) Parise, J. B.; MacDougall, J. E.; Herron, N.; Farlee, R.; Sleight, A. W.; Wang, Y.; Bein, T.; Moller, K.; Moroney, L. M. *Inorg. Chem.* **1988**, *27*, 221-228.

(51) Goldbach, A.; Fayon, F.; Vosegaard, T.; Wachhold, M.; Kanatzidis, M. G.; Massiot, D.; Saboungi, M.-L. *Inorg. Chem.* **2003**, *42*, 6996-7000.

(52) *Gmelin's Handbuch der Anorganischen Chemie*; 8th ed.; Springer Verlag: Berlin, 1980; Vol. A2.

(53) Bernard, G. M.; Eichele, K.; Wu, G.; Kirby, C. W.; Wasylishen, R. E. Can. J. Chem. 2000, 78, 614-625.

(54) Collins, M. J.; Ratcliffe, C. I.; Ripmeester, J. A. J. Magn. Reson. **1986**, 68, 172-179.

(55) Wazeer, M. I. M.; Isab, A. A.; Ahmad, S. Can. J. Anal. Sci. Spectrosc. 2006, 51, 43-48.

(56) Wazeer, M. I. M.; Isab, A. A.; Perzanowski, H. P. Magn. Reson. Chem. 2003, 41, 1026-1029.

(57) Grossmann, G.; Potrzebowski, M. J.; Fleischer, U.; Krüger, K.; Malkina, O. L.; Ciesielski, W. *Solid State Nucl. Magn. Reson.* **1998**, *13*, 71-85.

(58) Clade, J.; Jansen, M. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1997, 623, 1407-1412.

(59) Grossmann, G.; Ohms, G.; Kruger, K.; Karaghiosoff, K.; Eckstein, K.; Hahn, J.; Hopp, A.; Malkina, O. L.; Hrobarik, P. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. **2001**, 627, 1269-1278.

(60) Maxwell, R.; Lathrop, D.; Franke, D.; Eckert, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. **1990**, *29*, 882-884.

(61) Franke, D.; Hudalla, C.; Eckert, H. Solid State Nucl. Magn. Reson. 1992, 1, 33-40.

(62) Potrzebowski, M. J.; Blaszczyk, J.; Wieczorek, M. W.; Klinowski, J. J. Phys. Chem. A **1997**, 101, 8077-8084.

(63) Potrzebowski, M. J.; Blaszczyk, J.; Majzner, W. R.; Wieczorek, M. W.; Baraniak, J.; Stec, W. J. Solid State Nucl. Magn. Reson. **1998**, 11, 215-224.

(64) Potrzebowski, M. J. Magn. Reson. Chem. 1995, 33, 8-14.

(65) Potrzebowski, M. J.; Grossmann, G.; Blaszczyk, J.; Wieczorek, M. W.; Sieler, J.; Knopik, P.; Komber, H. *Inorg. Chem.* **1994**, *33*, 4688-4695.

(66) Potrzebowski, M. J.; Blaszczyk, J.; Wieczorek, M. W.; Misiura, K.; Stec, W. J. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1997, 163-168.

(67) Facey, G.; Wasylishen, R. E.; Collins, M. J.; Ratcliffe, C. I.; Ripmeester, J. A. J. *Phys. Chem.* **1986**, *90*, 2047-2052.

(68) Collins, M. J.; Davidson, D. W.; Ratcliffe, C. I.; Ripmeester, J. A. Stud. Phys. Theor. Chem. 1987, 46, 497-503.

(69) Collins, M. J.; Ratcliffe, C. I.; Ripmeester, J. A. J. Phys. Chem. **1990**, *94*, 157-162.

(70) Oldfield, J. E. J. Nutr. 1987, 117, 2002-2008.

(71) Johansson, L.; Gafvelin, G.; Arnér, E. S. J. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 2005, 1726, 1-13.

(72) Gajda, J.; Pacholczyk, J.; Bujacz, A.; Bartoszak-Adamska, E.; Bujacz, G.; Ciesielski, W.; Potrzebowski, M. J. J. Phys. Chem. B 2006, 110, 25692-25701.

(73) Potrzebowski, M. J.; Katarzynski, R.; Ciesielski, W. Magn. Reson. Chem. 1999, 37, 173-181.

(74) Pinto, B. M.; Johnston, B. D.; Batchelor, R. J.; Einstein, F. W. B.; Gay, I. D. *Can. J. Chem.* **1988**, *66*, 2956-2958.

(75) Pinto, B. M.; Batchelor, R. J.; Johnston, B. D.; Einstein, F. W. B.; Gay, I. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1988**, 110, 2990-2991.

(76) Batchelor, R. J.; Einstein, F. W. B.; Gay, I. D.; Gu, J.-H.; Johnston, B. D.; Pinto, B. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 6582-6591.

(77) Batchelor, R. J.; Einstein, F. W. B.; Gay, I. D.; Gu, J.-H.; Mehta, S.; Pinto, B. M.; Zhou, X.-M. *Inorg. Chem.* **2000**, *39*, 2558-2571.

(78) Batchelor, R. J.; Einstein, F. W. B.; Gay, I. D.; Gu, J.-H.; Pinto, B. M.; Zhou, X.-M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1990**, 112, 3706-3707.

(79) Batchelor, R. J.; Einstein, F. W. B.; Gay, I. D.; Gu, J.-H.; Pinto, B. M.; Zhou, X.-M. Can. J. Chem. 2000, 78, 598-613.

(80) Duddeck, H.; Bradenahl, R.; Stefaniak, L.; Jazwinski, J.; Kamienski, B. Magn. Reson. Chem. 2001, 39, 709-713.

(81) Ishiguro, T.; Yamaji, K. Organic Superconductors; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 1990; Vol. 88.

(82) Bechgaard, K.; Jacobsen, C. S.; Mortensen, K.; Pedersen, H. J.; Thorup, N. Solid State Commun. **1980**, *33*, 1119-1125.

(83) Carretta, P.; Berthier, C.; Horvatic, M.; Fagot-Revurat, Y.; Segransan, P.; Jerome, D.; Bourbonnais, C. In *Physical Phenomena at High Magnetic Fields-II*; Fisk, Z., Ed.; World Scientific, Singapore: Tallahassee, 1996, pp 328-333.

(84) Azevedo, L. J.; Williams, J. M.; Compton, S. J. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter* **1983**, *28*, 6600-6602.

(85) Gotschy, B.; Auban-Senzier, P.; Farrall, A.; Bourbonnais, C.; Jerome, D.; Canadell, E.; Henriques, R. T.; Johansen, I.; Bechgaard, K. J. Phys. I **1992**, *2*, 677-694.

(86) Takahashi, T.; Jerome, D.; Bechgaard, K. J. Phys. Colloques 1983, 44, 805-811.

(87) Hiraki, K.; Nemoto, T.; Takahashi, T.; Kang, H.; Jo, Y.; Kang, W.; Cung, O.-H. *Synth. Met.* **2003**, *135-136*, 691-692.

(88) Takahashi, T.; Jerome, D.; Bechgaard, K. J. Physique Lett. 1982, 43, 565-573.

(89) Wzietek, P.; Creuzet, F.; Bourbonnais, C.; Jerome, D.; Bechgaard, K.; Batail, P. J. *Phys. I* **1993**, *3*, 171-201.

(90) Kanoda, K. Hyperfine Interact. 1997, 104, 235-249.

(91) Miyagawa, K.; Kanoda, K.; Kawamoto, A. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 5635-5653.

(92) Valfells, S.; Kuhns, P.; Kleinhammes, A.; Brooks, J. S.; Moulton, W.; Takasaki, S.; Yamada, J.; Anzai, H. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter* **1997**, *56*, 2585-2593.

(93) Auban-Senzier, P.; Gotschy, B.; Farrall, A.; Bourbonnais, C.; Jerome, D.; Henriques, R. T.; Johansen, I.; Bechgaard, K. *Synth. Met.* **1993**, *55*, 654-659.

(94) Brown, S. E.; Yu, W.; Zomborsky, F.; Alavi, B. Synth. Met. 2003, 137, 1299-1301.

(95) Andrieux, A.; Jerome, D.; Bechgaard, K. J. Physique Lett. 1981, 42, 87-90.

(96) Creuzet, F.; Bourbonnais, C.; Caron, L. G.; Jerome, D.; Moradpour, A. Synth. *Met.* **1987**, *19*, 277-282.

(97) Bourbonnais, C.; Wzietek, P.; Creuzet, F.; Jerome, D.; Batail, P.; Bechgaard, K. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1989**, *62*, 1532-1535.

(98) Wzietek, P.; Bourbonnais, C.; Creuzet, F.; Jerome, D.; Bechgaard, K.; Batail, P. *Synth. Met.* **1991**, *41-43*, 2435-2438.

(99) Valfells, S.; Kuhns, P.; Kleinhammes, A.; Moulton, W.; Brooks, J. S.; Takasaki, S.; Yamada, J.; Anzai, H.; Uji, S. *Synth. Met.* **1997**, *86*, 2109-2110.

(100) Azevedo, L. J.; Schirber, J. E.; Engler, E. M. Phys. Rev. B 1983, 27, 5842-5845.

(101) Jerome, D.; Mazaud, A.; Ribault, M.; Bechgaard, K. J. Physique Lett. 1980, 41, L95-L98.

(102) Ribault, M.; Benedek, G.; Jerome, D.; Bechgaard, K. J. Physique Lett. **1980**, 41, L397-L399.

(103) Lee, I. J.; Brown, S. E.; Clark, W. G.; Kang, W.; Naughton, M. J.; Chaikin, P. M. *Synth. Met.* **2003**, *133-134*, 33-36.

(104) Lee, I. J.; Brown, S. E.; Clark, W. G.; Strouse, M. J.; Naughton, M. J.; Kang, W.; Chaikin, P. M. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2002**, *88*, 017004.

(105) Lee, I. J.; Chow, D. S.; Clark, W. G.; Strouse, M. J.; Naughton, M. J.; Chaikin, P. M.; Brown, S. E. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter* **2003**, *68*, 092510.

(106) Kang, W.; Hannahs, S. T.; Chaikin, P. M. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1992, 69, 2827-2830.

(107) Lebed, A. G.; Bak, P. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1989, 63, 1315-1317.

(108) Wu, W.; Chaikin, P. M.; Kang, W.; Shinagawa, J.; Yu, W.; Brown, S. E. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2005**, *94*, 097004.

(109) Shinagawa, J.; Wu, W.; Chaikin, P. M.; Kang, W.; Yu, W.; Zhang, F.; Kurosaki, Y.; Parker, C.; Brown, S. E. *J. Low Temp. Phys.* **2006**, *142*, 227-232.

(110) Auban, P.; Jerome, D.; Lerstrup, K.; Johannsen, I.; Jorgensen, M.; Bechgaard, K. *J. Phys.* **1989**, *50*, 2727-2739.

(111) Bechgaard, K.; Carneiro, K.; Rasmussen, F. B.; Olsen, M.; Rindorf, G.; Jacobsen, C. S.; Pedersen, H. J.; Scott, J. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1981**, *103*, 2440-2442.

(112) Takahashi, T.; Jerome, D.; Bechgaard, K. J. Phys. 1984, 45, 945-952.

(113) Takigawa, M.; Saito, G. J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 1986, 55, 1233-1243.

(114) Takigawa, M.; Saito, G. Phys. B (Amsterdam, Neth.) 1986, 143, 497-499.

(115) Zhang, F.; Kurosaki, Y.; Shinagawa, J.; Alavi, B.; Brown, S. E. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter* **2005**, *72*, 060501.

(116) Bourbonnais, C.; Creuzet, F.; Jerome, D.; Bechgaard, K.; Moradpour, A. J. Physique Lett. **1984**, 45, 755-765.

(117) Creuzet, F.; Bourbonnais, C.; Jerome, D.; Bechgaard, K.; Moradpour, A. Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. 1985, 119, 45-51.

(118) Shinagawa, J.; Kurosaki, Y.; Zhang, F.; Parker, C.; Brown, S. E.; Jerome, D.; Christensen, J. B.; Bechgaard, K. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2007**, *98*, 147002.

(119) Takahashi, T. Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. 1985, 119, 113-116.

(120) Creuzet, F.; Jerome, D.; Bourbonnais, C.; Moradpour, A. J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 1985, 18, L821-L828.

(121) Takigawa, M.; Saito, G. Phys. B (Amsterdam, Neth.) 1986, 143, 422-424.

(122) Jacobsen, C. S.; Pedersen, H. J.; Mortensen, K.; Rindorf, G.; Thorup, N.; Torrance, J. B.; Bechgaard, K. J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. **1982**, 15, 2651-2663.

(123) Hiraki, K.; Kang, H.; Nemoto, T.; Satsukawa, H.; Takahashi, T.; Jo, Y. J.; Kang, W.; Chung, O. H. *J. Phys. IV* **2004**, *114*, 103-105.

(124) Jo, Y. J.; Choi, E. S.; Kang, H.; Kang, W.; Seo, I. S.; Chung, O.-H. *Phys. Rev. B* **2003**, *67*, 014516.

(125) Satsukawa, H.; Kang, H.; Hiraki, K.; Takahashi, T.; Jo, Y.; Kang, W.; Chung, O.-H. *Synth. Met.* **2005**, *153*, 417-420.

(126) Takahashi, T.; Hiraki, K.; Moroto, S.; Tajima, N.; Takano, Y.; Kubo, Y.;
Satsukawa, H.; Chiba, R.; Yamamoto, H. M.; Kato, R.; Naito, T. J. Phys. IV 2005, 131, 3-8.

(127) Hiraki, K.; Takahashi, T.; Kondo, R.; Kagoshima, S.; Hasegawa, T.; Mochida, T.; Iwasa, Y. Synth. Met. 2001, 120, 917-918.

(128) Hiraki, K.; Takahashi, T.; Kondo, R.; Kagoshima, S.; Hasegawa, T.; Mochida, T.; Iwasa, Y. J. Phys. Chem. Solids **2001**, *62*, 401-403.

(129) Hiraki, K.; Furuta, S.; Takahashi, T.; Kondo, R.; Kagoshima, S.; Hasegawa, T.; Mochida, T.; Iwasa, Y. *Phys. Rev. B* **2002**, *66*, 035104.

(130) Takano, Y.; Hiraki, K.; Takahashi, T.; Kondo, R.; Kagoshima, S.; Hasegawa, T.; Mocihda, T.; Iwasa, Y. J. Low Temp. Phys. **2006**, 143, 647-650.

(131) Yagubskii, É. B.; Shchegolev, I. F.; Laukhin, V. N.; Kononovich, P. A.; Karatsovnik, M. V.; Zvarykina, A. V.; Buravov, L. I. *JETP Lett.* **1984**, *39*, 12-16.

(132) Uozaki, H.; Endo, S.; Matsui, H.; Ueda, K.; Sugimoto, T.; Toyota, N. Synth. Met. **1999**, 103, 1983.

(133) Kobayashi, A.; Udagawa, T.; Tomita, H.; Naito, T.; Kobayashi, H. Chem. Lett. **1993**, 2179-2182.

(134) Kobayashi, H.; Udagawa, T.; Tomita, H.; Bun, K.; Naito, T.; Kobayashi, A. *Chem. Lett.* **1993**, 1559-1562.

(135) Takagi, S.; Maruta, D.; Sasaki, H.; Uozaki, H.; Tsuchiya, H.; Abe, Y.; Ishizaki, Y.; Negishi, E.; Matsui, H.; Endo, S.; Toyota, N. *Synth. Met.* **2003**, *137*, 1297-1298.

(136) Takagi, S.; Maruta, D.; Sasaki, H.-I.; Uozaki, H.; Tsuchiya, H.; Abe, Y.; Ishizaki, Y.; Negishi, E.; Matsui, H.; Endo, S.; Toyota, N. J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **2003**, *72*, 483-486.

(137) Wu, G.; Clark, W. G.; Brown, S. E.; Brooks, J. S.; Kobayashi, A.; Kobayashi, H. *Phys. Rev. B* **2007**, *76*, 132510.

(138) Endo, S.; Goto, T.; Fukase, T.; Matsui, H.; Uozaki, H.; Tsuchiya, H.; Negishi, E.; Ishizaki, Y.; Abe, Y.; Toyota, N. Synth. Met. 2003, 133-134, 557-558.

(139) Hiraki, K.; Mayaffre, H.; Horvatic, M.; Berthier, C.; Tanaka, H.; Kobayashi, A.; Kobayashi, H.; Takahashi, T. J. Low Temp. Phys. **2006**, 142, 185-190.

(140) Hiraki, K.; Mayaffre, H.; Horvatic, M.; Berthier, C.; Uji, S.; Yamaguchi, T.; Tanaka, H.; Kobayashi, A.; Kobayashi, H.; Takahashi, T. J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 2007, 76, 124708.

(141) Fujiyama, S.; Takigawa, M.; Kikuchi, J.; Kodama, K.; Nakamura, T.; Fujiwara, E.; Fujiwara, H.; Cui, H.; Kobayashi, H. *Synth. Met.* **2005**, *154*, 253-256.

(142) Fujiyama, S.; Takigawa, M.; Kikuchi, J.; Cui, H.-B.; Fijiwara, H.; Kobayashi, H. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2006**, *96*, 217001.

(143) Jaccarino, V.; Peter, M. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1962, 9, 290-292.

(144) Jacques-Silva, M. C.; Nogueira, C. W.; Broch, L. C.; Flores, É. M. M.; Rocha, J. B. T. *Pharmacol. Toxicol.* **2001**, *88*, 119-125.

(145) Rossato, J. I.; Ketzer, L. A.; Centurião, F. B.; Silva, S. J. N.; Lüdtke, D. S.; Zeni,
G.; Braga, A. L.; Rubin, M. A.; Rocha, J. B. T. *Neurochem. Res.* 2002, *27*, 297-303.

(146) Maciel, E. N.; Flores, É. M. M.; Rocha, J. B. T.; Folmer, V. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 2003, 70, 470-476.

(147) Wirth, T. Molecules 1998, 3, 164-166.

(148) Wilson, S. R.; Zucker, P. A.; Huang, R.-R. C.; Spector, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1989**, *111*, 5936-5939.

(149) Balzer, G.; Duddeck, H.; Fleischer, U.; Röhr, F. Fresenius J. Anal. Chem. 1997, 357, 473-476.

(150) Potrzebowski, M. J.; Michalska, M.; Blaszczyk, J.; Wieczorek, M. W.; Ciesielski, W.; Kazmierski, S.; Pluskowski, J. J. Org. Chem. **1995**, 60, 3139-3148.

(151) Isab, A. A.; Wazeer, M. I. M. J. Coord. Chem. 2005, 58, 529-537.

(152) Wazeer, M. I. M.; Isab, A. A. Spectrochim. Acta, Part A 2005, 62, 880-885.

(153) Fettouhi, M.; Wazeer, M. I. M.; Ahmad, S.; Isab, A. A. Polyhedron 2004, 23, 1-4.

(154) Wazeer, M. I. M.; Isab, A. A.; Ahmad, S. J. Coord. Chem. 2005, 58, 391-398.

(155) Isab, A. A.; Wazeer, M. I. M.; Fettouhi, M.; Ahmad, S.; Ashraf, W. Polyhedron **2006**, *25*, 2629-2636.

(156) Al-Amri, A.-H. D.; Fettouhi, M.; Wazeer, M. I. M.; Isab, A. A. Inorg. Chem. Commun. 2005, 8, 1109-1112.

(157) Subramanian, R.; Govindaswamy, N.; Santos, R. A.; Koch, S. A.; Harbison, G. S. *Inorg. Chem.* **1998**, *37*, 4929-4933.

(158) Herberhold, M.; Hübner, M.; Wrackmeyer, B. Z. Naturforsch., B: Chem. Sci. **1993**, 48, 940-950.

(159) Barrie, P. J.; Clark, R. J. H.; Withnall, R.; Chung, D.-Y.; Kim, K.-W.; Kanatzidis, M. G. *Inorg. Chem.* **1994**, *33*, 1212-1216.

(160) Harris, R. K.; Sebald, A. Magn. Reson. Chem. 1987, 25, 1058-1062.

(161) Gay, I. D.; Jones, C. H. W.; Sharma, R. D. J. Magn. Reson. 1989, 84, 501-514.

(162) Batchelor, R. J.; Einstein, F. W. B.; Gay, I. D.; Gu, J.-H.; Pinto, B. M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1991, 411, 147-157.

(163) Herberhold, M.; Dörfler, U.; Milius, W.; Wrackmeyer, B. J. Organomet. Chem. **1995**, 492, 59-63.

(164) Wrackmeyer, B.; Distler, B.; Gerstmann, S.; Herberhold, M. Z. Naturforsch., B: Chem. Sci. 1993, 48, 1307-1314.

(165) Maaninen, A.; Ahlgren, M.; Ingman, P.; Laitinen, R. S. *Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem.* **2001**, *169*, 161-164.

(166) Dreeskamp, H.; Stegmeier, G. Z. Naturforsch., A 1967, 22, 1458-1464.

(167) Kennedy, J. D.; McFarlane, W.; Pyne, G. S.; Wrackmeyer, B. *Dalton Trans.* **1975**, 386-390.

(168) Kennedy, J. D.; McFarlane, W.; Wrackmeyer, B. *Inorg. Chem.* **1976**, *16*, 1299-1302.

(169) Wrackmeyer, B.; Horchler, K. Magn. Reson. Chem. 1990, 28, 56-61.

(170) Wrackmeyer, B.; Zhou, H. Magn. Reson. Chem. 1990, 28, 1066-1069.

(171) Christendat, D.; Butler, I. S.; Gilson, D. F. R.; Morin, F. G. Can. J. Chem. 1999, 77, 1892-1898.

(172) Willans, M. J.; Demko, B. A.; Wasylishen, R. E. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2006, 8, 2733-2743.

(173) Lundin, A. G.; Moskvich, Y. N.; Sukhovskii, A. A. Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **1978**, 27, 623-625.

(174) Vinogradova, I. S.; Sukhovskii, A. A.; Khizbullin, F. F. J. Solid State Chem. **1989**, *78*, 209-214.

(175) Krieger, A. I.; Lundin, A. G.; Moskvich, Y. N.; Sukhovskii, A. A. *Phys. Status Solidi A* **1980**, *58*, K81-K84.

(176) Krieger, A. I.; Moskvich, Y. N.; Sukhovskii, A. A.; Faleev, O. V. *Phys. Status Solidi A* **1982**, *69*, 455-466.

(177) Hou, X.; Kirkpatrick, R. J. Chem. Mat. 2000, 12, 1890-1897.

(178) Wickleder, M. S.; Buchner, O.; Wickleder, C.; el Sheik, S.; Brunklaus, G.; Eckert, H. *Inorg. Chem.* **2004**, *43*, 5860-5864.

(179) Haas, H.; Jansen, M. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2000, 626, 1174-1178.

(180) Topic, B.; Vonkienlin, A.; Golzhauser, A.; Haeberlen, U.; Blinc, R. *Phys. Rev. B* **1988**, *38*, 8625-8632.

(181) Moskvich, Y. N.; Sukhovskii, A. A.; Sorokin, A. A.; Lundin, A. G. *Fiz. Tverd. Tela (Leningrad)* **1980**, *22*, 232-235.

(182) Aleksandrova, I. P.; Moskvich, Y. N.; Rozanov, O. V.; Sadreev, A. F.; Seryukova, I. V.; Sukhovsky, A. A. *Ferroelectrics* **1986**, *67*, 63-84.

(183) Rozanov, O. V.; Moskvich, Y. N.; Sukhovskii, A. A. Fiz. Tverd. Tela (Leningrad) **1983**, 25, 376-380.

(184) Haas, A.; Jansen, M. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2001, 627, 1313-1318.

(185) Aleksandrova, I. P.; Rozanov, O. V.; Sukhovskii, A. A.; Moskvich, Y. N. Fiz. Tverd. Tela (Leningrad) 1982, 24, 1677-1680.

(186) Rozanov, O. V.; Moskvich, Y. N.; Sukhovskii, A. A.; Aleksandrova, I. P. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim.* 1983, 47, 719-722.

(187) Sukhovskii, A. A.; Aleksandrova, I. P.; Moskvich, Y. N. *Phys. Status Solidi A* **1989**, *111*, 477-483.

(188) Aleksandrova, I. P.; Rosanov, O. V.; Sukhovsky, A. A.; Moskvich, Y. N. *Phys. Lett. A* **1983**, *95*, 339-342.

(189) Aleksandrova, I. P.; Moskvich, Y. N.; Rozanov, O. V.; Sukhovsky, A. A. *Ferroelectr., Lett. Sect.* **1984**, *1*, 131-134.

(190) Aleksandrova, I. P.; Moskvich, Y. N.; Razanov, O. V.; Sadreev, A. F.; Seryukova, I. V.; Sukhovsky, A. A. *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys., Part 1* **1985**, *24*, 856-858.

(191) Sukhovsky, A. A.; Moskvich, Y. N.; Rozanov, O. V.; Aleksandrova, I. P. *Ferroelectr., Lett. Sect.* **1984**, *3*, 45-52.

(192) Sukhovskii, A. A.; Moskvich, Y. N.; Rozanov, O. V.; Aleksandrova, I. P. Fiz. Tverd. Tela (Leningrad) 1986, 28, 3368-3373.

(193) Moskvich, Y. N.; Rozanov, O. V.; Sukhovsky, A. A.; Aleksandrova, I. P. *Ferroelectrics* **1985**, *63*, 83-89.

(194) Yoshida, Y.; Matsuo, Y.; Ikehata, S. Solid State Ionics 2005, 176, 2457-2460.

(195) Bryce, D. L.; Bernard, G. M.; Gee, M.; Lumsden, M.; Eichele, K.; Wasylishen, R. E. Can. J. Anal. Sci. Spectrosc. 2001, 46, 46-82.

(196) Lindsay, R. Phys. Rev. 1951, 84, 569-571.

(197) Jones, E. D. Phys. Lett. 1965, 18, 98-99.

(198) Jones, E. D. Phys. Rev. 1966, 151, 315-324.

- (199) Koch, W.; Lutz, O.; Nolle, A. Z. Phys. A: Hadrons Nucl. 1978, 289, 17-20.
- (200) Bastow, T. J. Mater. Australasia 1987, 19, 12.
- (201) Bastow, T. J.; Stuart, S. N. Phys. Status Solidi B 1988, 145, 719-728.
- (202) Francisco, R. H. P.; Tepe, T.; Eckert, H. J. Solid State Chem. 1993, 107, 452-459.
- (203) Gaudin, E.; Boucher, F.; Evain, M.; Taulelle, F. Chem. Mat. 2000, 12, 1715-1720.

(204) Dean, P. A. W.; Goh, L. Y.; Gay, I. D.; Sharma, R. D. J. Organomet. Chem. 1997, 533, 1-5.

(205) Moran, K. L.; Gier, T. E.; Harrison, W. T. A.; Stucky, G. D.; Eckert, H.; Eichele, K.; Wasylishen, R. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1993**, 115, 10553-10558.

(206) Barrie, P. J.; Clark, R. J. H.; Chung, D. Y.; Chakrabarty, D.; Kanatzidis, M. G. *Inorg. Chem.* **1995**, *34*, 4299-4304.

(207) Hamard, C.; Auffret, V.; Peña, O.; Le Floch, M.; Nowak, B.; Wojakowski, A. *Phys. B (Amsterdam, Neth.)* **2000**, *291*, 339-349.

(208) Ratcliffe, C. I.; Yu, K.; Ripmeester, J. A.; Zaman, M. B.; Badarau, C.; Singh, S. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2006**, *8*, 3510-3519.

(209) Moran, K. L.; Ott, A. W.; Gier, T. E.; Harrison, W. T. A.; Eckert, H.; Stucky, G. D. *Mater. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc.* **1992**, *242*, 249-254.

(210) Thayer, A. M.; Steigerwald, M. L.; Duncan, T. M.; Douglass, D. C. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1988**, *60*, 2673-2676.

(211) Berrettini, M. G.; Braun, G.; Hu, J. G.; Strouse, G. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 7063-7070.

(212) Hiraoka, K.; Kojima, K.; Shinohara, T.; Hihara, T.; Wachter, P. *Phys. B* (*Amsterdam, Neth.*) **1997**, *230-232*, 133-135.

(213) Brog, K. C.; Kenan, R. P. Phys. Rev. B 1973, 8, 1492-1499.

(214) Suzuki, H.; Komaru, T.; Hihara, T.; Koi, Y. J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 1971, 30, 288.

(215) Budnick, J. I.; Raj, K.; Burch, T. J.; Holtzberg, F. J. Phys. Colloques 1971, 32, 763-765.

(216) Kawakami, M. J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 1985, 52, 446-448.
(217) Cichorek, T.; Michalak, R.; Kromer, F.; Müller, J.; Steglich, F.; Wojakowski, A.; Henkie, Z. *Acta Phys. Pol.*, *B* **2001**, *32*, 3399-3403.

(218) Dupree, R.; Warren, W. W.; DiSalvo, F. J. Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter 1977, 16, 1001-1007.

(219) Thompson, A. H.; Silbernagel, B. G. Phys. Rev. B 1979, 19, 3420-3426.

(220) Skripov, A. V.; Sibirtsev, D. S.; Cherpanov, Y. G.; Aleksashin, B. A. J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 1995, 7, 4479-4487.

(221) Borsa, F.; Torgeson, D. R.; Shanks, H. R. Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter 1977, 15, 4576-4579.

(222) Wada, S. J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 1977, 42, 764-769.

(223) Ehrenfreund, E.; Gossard, A. C.; Gamble, F. R.; Geballe, T. H. J. Appl. Phys. **1971**, 42, 1491-1493.

(224) Pfeiffer, L.; Walstedt, R. W.; Bell, R. F.; Kovacs, T. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1982, 49, 1162-1165.

(225) Suits, B. H.; Couturié, S.; Slichter, C. P. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1980, 45, 194-197.

(226) Suits, B. H.; Couturié, S.; Slichter, C. P. Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter 1981, 23, 5142-5151.

(227) McMillan, W. L. Phys. Rev. B 1975, 12, 1187-1196.

(228) McMillan, W. L. Phys. Rev. B 1976, 14, 1496-1502.

(229) Silbernagel, B. G. Solid State Commun. 1975, 17, 361-365.

(230) Berthier, C.; Chabre, Y.; Segransan, P. Phys. B (Amsterdam, Neth.) 1980, 99, 107-116.

(231) Berthier, C.; Chabre, Y.; Segransan, P.; Chevalier, P.; Trichet, L.; Le Mehaute, A. *Solid State Ionics* **1981**, *5*, 379-382.

(232) Chabre, Y.; Berthier, C.; Segransan, P.; Trichet, L.; Deniard, P. Solid State Ionics **1983**, 9 & 10, 467-470.

(233) Chabre, Y.; Berthier, C.; Segransan, P. J. Physique Lett. 1983, 44, L619-L624.

(234) Chabre, Y. Mater. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. 1989, 135, 265-270.

(235) Ledoux, M. J.; GSegura, Y.; Panissod, P. Prepr. - Am. Chem. Soc., Div. Pet. Chem. 1990, 35, 217-220.

(236) Panissod, P.; Krill, G.; Lahrichi, M.; Lapierre, M. F. Phys. Lett. A 1976, 59, 221-222.

(237) Panissod, P.; Larhichi, M.; Lapierre-Ravet, M. F. Solid State Commun. 1979, 31, 273-276.

(238) Adachi, K.; Sato, K.; Takeda, M. J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 1969, 26, 631-638.

(239) Inoue, N.; Yasuoka, H.; Ogawa, S. J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 1980, 48, 850-856.

(240) Gautier, F.; Krill, G.; Lapierre, M. F.; Panissod, P.; Robert, C.; Czjzek, G.; Fink, J.; Schmidt, H. *Phys. Lett.* **1975**, *53A*, 31-33.

(241) Krill, G.; Panissod, P.; Lapierre, M. F.; Gautier, F.; Robert, C.; Czjzek, G.; Fink, J.; Schmidt, H.; Kuentzler, R. *J. Phys. Colloques* **1976**, *37*, 23-27.

(242) Hamada, K.; Takagi, S.; Maruta, D.; Matsuura, M.; Hiraka, H.; Yamada, K.; Endoh, Y. *Phys. B (Amsterdam, Neth.)* **2000**, *281 & 282*, 641-643.

(243) Yokoyama, H.; Watanabe, R.; Chiba, S. J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 1967, 23, 450.

(244) Stauss, G. H.; Rubinstein, M.; Feinleib, J.; Dwight, K.; Menyuk, N.; Wold, A. J. *Appl. Phys.* **1968**, *39*, 667-668.

(245) Berger, S. B.; Budnick, J. I.; Burch, T. J. J. Appl. Phys. 1968, 39, 658-660.

(246) Moskvin, A. S.; Shemyakov, A. A.; Prokopenko, V. K. Fiz. Tverd. Tela (Leningrad) **1989**, *31*, 293-296.

(247) Kovtun, N. M.; Prokopenko, V. K.; Shemyakov, A. A.; Aminov, T. G.; Shabunina, G. G. *Fiz. Tverd. Tela (Leningrad)* **1982**, *24*, 2814-2815.

(248) Berger, S. B.; Budnick, J. I.; Burch, T. J. Phys. Rev. 1969, 179, 272-274.

(249) Németh, L.; Matus, P.; Kriza, G.; Alavi, B. Synth. Met. 2001, 120, 1007-1008.

(250) Németh, L.; Kriza, G.; Matus, P.; Alavi, B. J. Phys. IV 2005, 131, 357-358.

(251) Bastow, T. J. Solid State Nucl. Magn. Reson. 1998, 12, 191-199.

(252) Takagi, S.; Suzuki, H.; Ochiai, A.; Suzuki, T. J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 1992, 116, 77-79.

(253) Takagi, S.; Suzuki, H.; Ochiai, A.; Suzuki, T. J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 1993, 62, 2861-2868.

(254) Takagi, S.; Suzuki, H.; Ochiai, A.; Suzuki, T.; Amato, A.; Feyerherm, R.; Gygax, F. N.; Schenck, A. *Phys. B (Amsterdam, Neth.)* **1993**, *186-188*, 422-424.

(255) Fischer, Ø. Appl. Phys. 1978, 16, 1-28.

(256) Hamard, C.; Le Floch, M.; Peña, O.; Wojakowski, A. Phys. B (Amsterdam, Neth.) 1999.

(257) Knoll, R.; Goren, S. D.; Korn, C.; Shames, A.; Perrin, C.; Privalov, A.; Vieth, H. M. Phys. B (Amsterdam, Neth.) 2002, 324, 157-166.

(258) Zhang, X.-H.; Bureau, B.; Adam, J.-L.; Lucas, J. Verre (Paris, Fr.) 2004, 10, 22-27.

(259) Bureau, B.; Zhang, X.-H.; Smektala, F.; Adam, J.-L.; Troles, J.; Ma, H.-L.; Boussard-Plédel, C.; Lucas, J.; Lucas, P.; Le Coq, D.; Riley, M. R.; Simmons, J. H. J. *Non-Cryst. Solids* **2004**, *345 & 346*, 276-283.

(260) Lathrop, D.; Eckert, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 9017-9019.

(261) Maxwell, R.; Eckert, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 682-689.

(262) Maxwell, R.; Lathrop, D.; Eckert, H. J. Non-Cryst. Solids 1995, 180, 244-250.

(263) Pietrass, T.; Seydoux, R.; Roth, R. E.; Eckert, H.; Pines, A. Solid State Nucl. Magn. Reson. 1997, 8, 265-267.

(264) Golovchak, R.; Shpotyuk, O.; Kozdras, A.; Bureau, B.; Vlcek, M.; Ganjoo, A.; Jain, H. *Philos. Mag.* **2007**, *87*, 4323-4334.

(265) Ueda, S.; Shimizu, T. Phys. Status Solidi B 1979, 95, 279-282.

(266) Ueda, S.; Shimizu, T. Phys. Status Solidi B 1978, 88, K1-K3.

(267) Batchelor, R. J.; Einstein, F. W. B.; Gay, I. D.; Jones, C. H. W.; Sharma, R. D. *Inorg. Chem.* **1993**, *32*, 4378-4383.

(268) Munson, E. J.; Kheir, A. A.; Haw, J. F. J. Phys. Chem. 1993, 97, 7321-7327.

(269) Kataoka, T.; Watanabe, S.-I.; Yamamoto, K.; Yoshimatsu, M.; Tanabe, G.; Muraoka, O. *J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, *63*, 6382-6386.

(270) Raupach, M.; Barron, P. F.; Thompson, J. G. Clays Clay Miner. 1987, 35, 208-219.

Chapter 3

Theory and Methods

3.1 NMR Interactions

The solid-state NMR Hamiltonian relevant to the systems investigated in this thesis may be written as

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{z} + \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\sigma} + \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{D} + \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{J} + \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{O}$$
[3.1]

where the contributing terms in equation 3.1 describe the Zeeman, nuclear magnetic shielding, direct dipolar, indirect spin-spin, and quadrupolar interactions, respectively.¹⁻⁴ The Zeeman interaction details the interaction of the nuclear spins with the static externally applied magnetic field, B_0 , while the remaining terms are one- and two-spin internal Hamiltonians that are derived from the explicit nuclear magnetic properties of the system.

In Cartesian form, all of the above NMR spin interactions may be written in the following structure

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{A} = c \mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{S} = c \begin{bmatrix} I_{x} & I_{y} & I_{z} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{xx} & A_{xy} & A_{xz} \\ A_{yx} & A_{yy} & A_{yz} \\ A_{zx} & A_{zy} & A_{zz} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} S_{x} \\ S_{y} \\ S_{z} \end{bmatrix}$$
[3.2]

where the constant c depends on the nature of the interaction and may or may not be equal to unity, **I** is a nuclear spin operator, **A** is a second-rank interaction tensor, and **S** is a vector that may be a second spin operator or, for example, an applied magnetic field. In the following sub-sections each of the above mentioned NMR interactions will be discussed in detail.

3.1.1 The Zeeman Interaction

The fundamental interaction giving rise to nuclear magnetic resonance is the Zeeman interaction. The corresponding Zeeman Hamiltonian describes the interaction of the nuclear spin, I, and an external applied magnetic field, B_0 :

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{z} = \hbar \mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{Z} \cdot \mathbf{B}_{0}$$
[3.3]

where **Z** represents the magnetogyric ratio of spin **I** and is equal to $-\gamma_1 \mathbf{1}$, where **1** corresponds to the unit tensor. The vector (B_x, B_y, B_z) describes the Cartesian representation of \mathbf{B}_0 ; however, one is typically only concerned with the largest component of the \mathbf{B}_0 vector. When this magnetic field is chosen to be along the z-direction the resultant Zeeman Hamiltonian becomes

$$\mathcal{H}_{z} = -\gamma_{I}\hbar I_{z}B_{z}$$
[3.4]

where the z-component of the B_0 field is commonly referred to as B_0 . Under the application of such a magnetic field, for a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ nucleus, equation 3.4 yields two possible states with different energies:

$$E_{Z,m_l} = -\gamma_I \hbar m_I B_0 \tag{3.5}$$

It is possible to induce transitions between these spin states via the application of electromagnetic radiation of the appropriate frequency. The energy difference between the states, in frequency units, is given by the Larmor equation:

$$\nu_{\rm L} = \frac{|\gamma_I|B_0}{2\pi} \tag{3.6}$$

where v_L is referred to as the Larmor frequency.

3.1.2 The Nuclear Magnetic Shielding Interaction

One of the primary sources of chemical information arising from nuclear magnetic resonance stems from the nuclear magnetic shielding interaction. The external applied magnetic field induces currents in the electrons surrounding the nuclei that result in induced magnetic fields, which are proportional to B_0 , at the nuclei themselves.³ The corresponding Hamiltonian may be represented by

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\sigma} = \hbar \gamma_{\mathrm{I}} \mathbf{I} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}_{0}$$
[3.7]

where γ_{I} , **I**, and B_{0} are the magnetogyric ratio of nucleus **I**, its nuclear spin operator, and the external applied magnetic field, as mentioned previously. The nuclear magnetic shielding tensor, σ , can be described by a second-rank tensor defined by:

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{xx} & \sigma_{xy} & \sigma_{xz} \\ \sigma_{yx} & \sigma_{yy} & \sigma_{yz} \\ \sigma_{zx} & \sigma_{zy} & \sigma_{zz} \end{bmatrix}$$
[3.8]

In general, any second-rank tensor can be separated into symmetric and antisymmetric components, of which those of the nuclear magnetic shielding tensor are:

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\text{sym}} = \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\sigma} + \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\text{T}}) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 2\sigma_{xx} & \sigma_{xy} + \sigma_{yx} & \sigma_{xz} + \sigma_{zx} \\ \sigma_{yx} + \sigma_{xy} & 2\sigma_{yy} & \sigma_{yz} + \sigma_{zy} \\ \sigma_{zx} + \sigma_{xz} & \sigma_{zy} + \sigma_{yz} & 2\sigma_{zz} \end{bmatrix}$$
[3.9]

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\text{antisym}} = \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{T}}) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sigma_{xy} - \sigma_{yz} & \sigma_{xz} - \sigma_{zx} \\ \sigma_{yx} - \sigma_{xy} & 0 & \sigma_{yz} - \sigma_{zy} \\ \sigma_{zx} - \sigma_{xz} & \sigma_{zy} - \sigma_{yz} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
[3.10]

where σ^{T} is the transpose of σ .⁵ In the zeroth order approximation, the line shapes in the NMR spectra of solids do not depend on $\sigma^{antisym}$, and the antisymmetric components are

not considered further.^{1,6} Hereafter, the nuclear magnetic shielding tensor refers explicitly to the symmetric component of the nuclear magnetic shielding tensor. In the principal axis system, PAS, of σ^{sym} the nuclear magnetic shielding tensor attains a diagonal tensor with all off-diagonal components equal to zero.

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\text{sym}} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 2\sigma_{xx} & \sigma_{xy} + \sigma_{yx} & \sigma_{xz} + \sigma_{zx} \\ \sigma_{yx} + \sigma_{xy} & 2\sigma_{yy} & \sigma_{yz} + \sigma_{zy} \\ \sigma_{zx} + \sigma_{xz} & \sigma_{zy} + \sigma_{yz} & 2\sigma_{zz} \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{transform}} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$
[3.11]

The diagonal 'principal' components, designated σ_{11} , σ_{22} , and σ_{33} , are ordered by convention such that $\sigma_{11} \le \sigma_{22} \le \sigma_{33}$.⁷ The isotropic average of the nuclear magnetic shielding tensor is given by

$$\sigma_{\rm iso} = \frac{1}{3} Tr(\sigma) = \frac{1}{3} Tr(\sigma^{\rm sym}) = \frac{\sigma_{11} + \sigma_{22} + \sigma_{33}}{3}$$
[3.12]

where σ_{iso} is the nuclear magnetic shielding constant and describes the shielding experienced by a nucleus in an isotropic medium, such as the rapid tumbling experienced in solution. If the charge distribution about the nucleus is spherically symmetric, the induced field at the nucleus, B_{ind} , opposes the applied magnetic field, such that

$$B_{ind} = -\sigma_{iso} B_0 \tag{3.13}$$

which implies that the local magnetic field experienced by the nucleus is described by:

$$B_{loc} = (1 - \sigma_{iso}) B_0 \qquad [3.14]$$

Considering the nuclear magnetic shielding tensor, σ , the resonance frequency given in equation 3.6 may be modified to include the nuclear magnetic shielding interaction:

$$\nu(\theta,\phi) = \nu_{\rm L} \left[1 - \left(\sigma_{11} \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \phi + \sigma_{22} \sin^2 \theta \sin^2 \phi + \sigma_{33} \cos^2 \theta \right) \right]$$
 [3.15]

where the angles θ and ϕ define the orientation dependence of the PAS of σ with respect to B_0 , Figure 3.1. Equation 3.15 emphasizes that nuclear magnetic shielding is an orientation-dependent property such that the shielding of a nucleus in a molecule depends on the relative orientation of that molecule with respect to the external applied magnetic field.



Figure 3.1 Diagram illustrating the orientation of the external applied magnetic field, as defined by the polar angles θ and ϕ , with respect to the nuclear magnetic shielding tensor.

Nuclear magnetic shielding is directly related to the experimentally observable property, the chemical shift. The chemical shift, δ , is related to magnetic shielding by

$$\delta = \frac{\sigma_{\rm ref} - \sigma}{1 - \sigma_{\rm ref}}$$
[3.16]

where σ_{ref} is the shielding of a chosen reference compound. Given that σ_{ref} is on the order of hundreds of parts per million; i.e., 10⁻⁴, the denominator of equation 3.16 is often approximated by unity such that the chemical shift is nearly equivalent to the difference in nuclear magnetic shielding between the chosen reference compound and that of the chemical species of interest.

Experimentally, one measures the change in frequency of the compound with respect to the resonance frequency of the chosen reference:

$$\delta = \frac{\nu - \nu_{ref}}{\nu_{ref}} \times 10^6$$
[3.17]

The principal components of the chemical shift tensor correspond directly to those of the nuclear magnetic shielding tensor; i.e., δ_{11} corresponds to σ_{11} , δ_{22} with σ_{22} , and δ_{33} to σ_{33} .⁷

3.1.3 The Direct Dipolar Interaction

The direct dipolar coupling experienced between two nuclei is analogous to the classical interaction between two magnetic dipoles.^{3,8} The direct dipolar Hamiltonian may be written as

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{D} = h \mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{S}$$
[3.18]

where **I** and **S** are the nuclear spin operators corresponding to the two nuclei involved, and **D** is the symmetric and traceless direct dipolar coupling tensor. In its PAS with the internuclear vector, r_{IS} , along the z-direction, **D** is diagonal:

$$\mathbf{D} = R_{DD} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$
[3.19]

The direct dipolar coupling constant, R_{DD} , is given by

$$R_{DD} = \left(\frac{\mu_0}{4\pi}\right) \left(\frac{\hbar}{2\pi}\right) \left(\frac{\gamma_I \gamma_S}{\langle r_{\rm IS}^3 \rangle}\right)$$
[3.20]

where μ_0 is the permeability of a vacuum, γ_I and γ_S are the magnetogyric ratios of the coupled spins, *I* and *S*, and $\langle r_{IS}^3 \rangle$ is the motionally-averaged cube of the distance between the coupled nuclei. Measurements of the direct dipolar coupling constant can be used to infer internuclear distances in rigid systems; however, motional averaging results in a reduction in R_{DD} and a corresponding apparent increase in r_{IS} .⁹

3.1.4 The Indirect Nuclear Spin-Spin Interaction

An additional form of coupling between nuclear spins arises from the electronmediated interaction between two nuclear magnetic moments.⁸ The indirect spin-spin coupling interaction may be represented by the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{I} = h \mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{S}$$
[3.21]

where \mathbf{J} is the indirect spin-spin coupling tensor.^{1,3} Similar to the nuclear magnetic shielding tensor, in general, \mathbf{J} contains up to nine independent elements. However, one is typically only concerned with the symmetric portion that, in its PAS, takes the form:

$$\mathbf{J} = \begin{bmatrix} J_{11} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & J_{22} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & J_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$
[3.22]

The isotropic average of the indirect spin-spin coupling tensor is given by

$$J_{iso} = \frac{1}{3}Tr(\mathbf{J}) = \frac{J_{11} + J_{22} + J_{33}}{3}$$
[3.23]

where J_{iso} is the indirect spin-spin coupling constant and describes the coupling experienced in an isotropic medium. Unlike the direct dipolar interaction the trace of **J** is not zero, and J_{iso} is manifested in both solution and solid-state NMR spectra.⁸ The **J** tensor may be defined by its principal components, which are ordered such that $|J_{33} - J_{iso}|$ $\geq |J_{11} - J_{iso}| \geq |J_{22} - J_{iso}|$, or by the derived quantities; J_{iso} given in equation 3.23 above, the anisotropy, ΔJ ,

$$\Delta J = J_{33} - \frac{J_{11} + J_{22}}{2}$$
[3.24]

and the asymmetry, $\eta_J = (J_{22} - J_{11})/(J_{33} - J_{iso})$.

Contributions to the NMR line shape from ΔJ share an identical orientation dependence with the direct dipolar coupling tensor.⁸ Experimentally, one is unable to separate the two and R_{DD} and ΔJ must be considered together as an effective direct dipolar coupling constant, R_{eff} ,

$$R_{eff} = R_{DD} - \frac{\Delta J}{3}$$
[3.25]

where R_{DD} is given in equation 3.20.

3.1.5 The Quadrupolar Interaction

Nuclei with spin $I > \frac{1}{2}$ possess a nuclear quadrupole moment, Q, as a result of a non-spherical nuclear charge distribution. Nuclear electric quadrupolar interactions are important when considering NMR with these quadrupolar nuclei, as well as for spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ nuclei that are spin-spin coupled to quadrupolar nuclei. The nuclear quadrupole moment interacts with the electric field gradient, EFG, at the nucleus.^{10,11} The Hamiltonian describing this interaction may be given by

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{Q} = \frac{eQ}{6I(2I-1)} \mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{I}$$
[3.26]

where V describes the EFG tensor, which is symmetric and traceless. In its PAS, the EFG tensor may be characterized by two parameters consisting of the largest principal component $eq_{zz} = V_{zz} = \partial^2 V/\partial z^2$, where V is the electric potential, and the asymmetry parameter $\eta_Q = (V_{xx} - V_{yy})/V_{zz}$. The product of the nuclear quadrupole moment and the largest principal component of the EFG tensor is known as the nuclear quadrupolar coupling constant, C_Q ,

$$C_{\rm Q} = \frac{e^2 Q q_{zz}}{h}$$
[3.27]

where $C_{\rm Q}$ is expressed in frequency units.

Should the Zeeman interaction not greatly exceed that of the quadrupolar interaction, the energy levels of the quadrupolar nucleus will be quantized by both the applied magnetic field as well as the EFG.¹²⁻¹⁴ Under such circumstances, dipolar

coupling between the quadrupolar nucleus and a spin-¹/₂ nucleus may be manifested in NMR spectra, even those from experiments designed to remove direct dipolar couplings such as magic angle spinning (vide infra).

3.2 Experimental Techniques in Solid-State NMR

As mentioned in Chapter 1, NMR of solids is complicated with respect to solution phases by anisotropic contributions to the familiar chemical shift and indirect spin-spin coupling, by additional interactions such as direct dipolar and quadrupolar coupling that are also anisotropic, and commonly by slower nuclear magnetic relaxation rates. These qualities are predominantly the result of highly restricted motion in solids, which allows for much of the information contained in the second-rank interaction tensors to be extracted by the experimentalist. However, in many cases the anisotropic interactions can often produce broad and sometimes featureless line shapes in the corresponding NMR spectrum.

Solid-state NMR spectroscopists often seek 'solution-like' spectra of their solid samples, while leaving as much of the orientation-dependent tensorial information available as possible. Theoretical and practical considerations in performing routine solid-state NMR spectroscopy are well reported, of which only a select few are given here.^{2,15-17} The combination of magic angle spinning, high-power heteronuclear decoupling, and cross polarization,¹⁸ used predominantly throughout the experiments documented in this thesis, is one of the more common approaches utilized in attaining solid-state NMR spectra of dilute or rare spin-¹/₂ nuclei.

3.2.1 Magic Angle Spinning

In many of the NMR Hamiltonians described previously, a common angular dependency of the form

$$(3\cos^2\theta - 1)$$
[3.28]

is encountered when the interaction is expanded and expressed in polar coordinates. For example, in the direct dipolar Hamiltonian the angle θ defines the angle between the internuclear vector, $r_{\rm IS}$, and the external applied magnetic field, B_0 . For the time average of equation 3.28 for a sample spinning mechanically about an axis of arbitrary orientation with respect to B_0 , it may be shown¹⁶ that

$$\left\langle 3\cos^2\theta - 1 \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left(3\cos^2\beta - 1 \right) \left\langle 3\cos^2\chi - 1 \right\rangle$$
 [3.29]

where β corresponds to the arbitrary angle between B_0 and the axis of sample rotation, and χ describes the angle between r_{IS} and the sample rotor axis, Figure 3.2. While the angles θ and χ are outside the realm of control for the experimentalist, β can be set to any desirable orientation. When β is set to 54.74°; i.e., the root of $(3\cos^2\beta - 1)$, the time average of equation 3.28 becomes equal to zero. At this 'magic' angle, average isotropic values will be obtained for the various anisotropic interaction(s) of interest; i.e., direct dipolar coupling, anisotropic magnetic shielding, first-order quadrupolar coupling.

Singular, narrow line shapes can be achieved by this so-called magic angle spinning, MAS, only when the rate of sample rotation is significantly greater than the magnitude of the interaction being averaged. Should the rotor spinning frequency, v_{rot} ,



Figure 3.2 Relative angles describing the orientation of the external applied magnetic field, B_0 , internuclear vector, r_{IS} , and the sample rotation, rotor, axes.

be of comparable, or smaller, magnitude than the breadth of the anisotropic interaction then the MAS NMR spectrum will exhibit a series of spinning sidebands flanking either side of the isotropic peak(s), Figure 3.3. These spinning sidebands, collectively referred to as the spinning sideband manifold, are located at integer multiples of the spinning frequency away from their respective isotropic peak. For manifolds resultant from anisotropic magnetic shielding, the positions and relative intensities of the spinning sidebands may be analyzed to yield the principal components of the chemical shift tensor.^{19,20} For this reason, slow spinning MAS NMR experiments are often employed to extract information about the anisotropic magnetic shielding interaction, yet remove anisotropies smaller than v_{rot} in magnitude.



Figure 3.3 The effect of MAS at $v_{rot} = 3.0$ kHz on the ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum of (NH₄)₂SeO₄. Spinning sidebands are denoted with asterisks.

3.2.2 High-Power Heteronuclear Decoupling

High-power decoupling in solid-state NMR primarily refers to the suppression of large direct dipolar interactions between abundant nuclei, such as ¹H or ¹⁹F, and dilute nuclei, such as ¹³C or ⁷⁷Se.²¹ These heteronuclear direct dipolar couplings are typically too large to be suppressed by MAS alone. Decoupling has the additional effect of removing indirect spin-spin coupling interactions between the abundant and dilute nuclei. For the experiments presented in this thesis the abundant spins being decoupled are always protons. Proton decoupling is accomplished via the application of an rf field, at or near the ¹H Larmor frequency, during the acquisition of the dilute spin free induction decay, FID.

In comparison to solution NMR spectroscopy, decoupling of the abundant spins in solids requires much more power. Decoupling fields involving protons are on the order of 60-100 kHz, which correspond to power outputs in the range of hundreds of watts.

The efficiency of the decoupling achieved depends largely on the magnitude of the decoupling field with respect to the heteronuclear direct dipolar interaction, and this efficiency is typically measured in the widths of the NMR peaks in the spectrum of the dilute nucleus. Traditional decoupling in the solid state is attained via continuous wave, CW, decoupling; however more advanced decoupling procedures are an active area of research. One such decoupling sequence, which has become a benchmark approach, involves a series of rf pulses of alternating phase. This so-called two-pulse phasemodulated, TPPM,²¹ decoupling has the effect as if one were using a higher CW decoupling field.¹⁵

3.2.3 Cross Polarization

Cross polarization, CP, is an NMR technique where spin polarization of abundant spin nuclei is transferred to dilute spins in cases where the abundant and dilute spins are direct dipolar coupled. The first of two benefits for utilizing CP results in a signal enhancement by a maximum factor of $\gamma_{abund}/\gamma_{dilute}$, where the magnitude of the enhanced signal is dependent on the nature of the direct dipolar interaction. In principle, ¹H CP to ⁷⁷Se can result in a signal intensity gain of

$$\gamma_{1_{H}} / \gamma_{7_{Se}} \cong 5.17$$
 [3.30]

However, significant enhancements are rarely encountered for selenium in practice.²² The second, and for the purposes of this thesis more important, benefit is the recycle delays for a CP experiment are determined by the T_1 of the abundant spin rather than the dilute spin. As the T_1 values of dilute spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ nuclei are typically much larger than those of protons, this reduction in relaxation time allows for an increase in the signal-to-noise

ratio when using the CP experiment for a dilute spin nucleus for a given period of experiment time.



Figure 3.4 Typical cross polarization pulse sequence.

The general form of the CP pulse sequence is depicted for ¹H CP to ⁷⁷Se in Figure 3.4. In the rotating frame, the $(\pi/2)_x$ pulse tips the ¹H magnetization along the y-direction, along which it is subsequently held or spin locked. While the ¹H magnetization is spin locked another rf pulse is applied on the ⁷⁷Se channel for a period known as the contact time, which is typically on the order of milliseconds. In the most common form of CP, called Hartmann-Hahn CP, the ¹H and ⁷⁷Se rf fields are matched to where their frequencies in the rotating frame are equivalent, such that

$$\gamma_{{}^{1}_{H}}B_{1^{1}_{H}} = \gamma_{{}^{77}Se}B_{1^{}{}^{77}Se}$$
[3.31]

which is known as the Hartmann-Hahn condition.²³ It is during the contact time where spin polarization is transferred from the protons to the selenium nuclei.

Under MAS conditions where homo- and hetero-nuclear dipolar couplings are on the order of the rotor spinning frequency, the Hartmann-Hahn match condition attains a spinning speed dependence. A simple but effective remedy involves a minor modification of the mixing pulse shown in Figure 3.4. Instead of a flat, constant B_1 field on the ⁷⁷Se channel, an rf field following a linear amplitude ramp in intensity is employed. This ramped-amplitude cross polarization, RACP,²⁴ provides a broad range of Hartmann-Hahn match conditions eliminating the need to re-optimize rf field strengths when changing spinning frequencies.

3.3 Quantum Chemical Calculation of NMR Parameters

The quantum chemical calculations performed on systems investigated in this thesis were computed on isolated molecules or molecular ions with rigid structures. As a result, when compared to experimental NMR results from solid materials the computations must be considered in the context of their neglect of molecular vibrations and effects resultant from crystal packing forces.

The theory of nuclear magnetic shielding and indirect spin-spin coupling was initially advanced by Ramsey.^{25,26} While computational programs do not utilize these formalisms in the quantum chemical computation of the respective NMR parameters, often the results are reported in a form consistent with the original theory. For nuclear

magnetic shielding, Ramsey decomposed the total shielding into diamagnetic and paramagnetic components

$$\sigma = \sigma^d + \sigma^p \tag{3.32}$$

where the diamagnetic term depends only on the ground electronic state of the molecule, and σ^{p} contains a summation over excited electronic states.²⁵ The utility of the magnetic shielding tensor is rooted in this connection with the electronic structure of the molecule of interest. Thus insight into local electronic structure about nuclei is possible via the measurement of chemical shift tensors.²⁷

Indirect spin-spin coupling in a qualitative fashion involves the polarization of the electronic network by one nucleus that is subsequently transferred to a second nucleus. Three mechanisms by which the polarization can be transferred constitute Ramsey's original non-relativistic theory.²⁶ First, the spin-dipolar mechanism describes how one nuclear magnetic moment can induce electron spin polarization via a dipolar interaction with the electron spins. Second, the spin-orbit mechanism arises from electronic currents induced by the magnetic field produced by one nuclear magnetic dipole, which then influences the second nucleus. Finally, the Fermi-contact mechanism details the interaction between the nuclear magnetic moment and the electrons that have a finite probability of being located at the nucleus.

3.3.1 Density Functional Theory

Density functional theory, DFT, provides a favorable balance between accuracy and computational effort. Due to the size and nature of the systems investigated in this thesis, DFT has been utilized as the theoretical foundation for the computation of the

sought after NMR parameters. The Amsterdam Density Functional, ADF,²⁸ program package was employed for all of the DFT calculations performed as it offers access to relativistic corrections via the zeroth order regular approximation, which will be discussed in the following section. As a detailed description of the theory and implementation of DFT is beyond the scope of this thesis, the purpose of this section is to identify the concepts necessary to understand and evaluate DFT calculations of NMR parameters.

The fundamental tenet of DFT stems from the derivation²⁹ of the total energy of a molecular system as a functional of the electron density, $\rho(\mathbf{r})$,

$$E = E[\rho(\mathbf{r})] \tag{3.33}$$

where $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ is constructed, within Kohn-Sham DFT, from a set of molecular orbitals, χ ,

$$\rho(r) = \sum_{a=1}^{n_e} |\chi_a(r)|^2$$
[3.34]

and the total energy may be written as

$$E[\rho(r)] = T + V_n + V_e + E_{xc}$$
[3.35]

where *T* is the kinetic energy as derived from the Kohn-Sham orbitals, V_n and V_e are the classical electron-nuclear and electron-electron Coulomb energies, respectively, and E_{xc} is referred to as the exchange-correlation functional. As the first three terms of $E[\rho(r)]$ in equation 3.35 can be defined explicitly, E_{xc} is the only functional that need be developed. As implied by its name, the exchange-correlation functional contains the exchange and correlation energies; however, E_{xc} also serves as a depository for terms not accounted for in the kinetic and Couloumb energy terms. The specific functionals employed in the

calculations performed in the subsequent chapters use both the local density as well as the local gradient of the density in their definitions.

As mentioned earlier, modern computational programs do not calculate nuclear magnetic shielding and indirect spin-spin coupling according to the equations derived by Ramsey.^{25,26} The components of the nuclear magnetic shielding tensor may be equivalently arrived at by taking the second derivative of the energy with respect to the magnetic moment of the nucleus, μ , and the external applied magnetic field, B_0 :³⁰⁻³²

$$\sigma_{ij} = \left[\frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial \mu_i \partial B_{0,j}}\right]_{\mu,B_0=0} \quad i, j = x, y, z$$
[3.36]

One of the original problems concerning the computation of the nuclear magnetic shielding, and other properties arising from the effect of B_0 , was that the results depended on the origin of the vector potential of the external applied magnetic field. As a molecular property, the nuclear magnetic shielding must be independent of the calculation origin; however, an obviously impractical solution to this so-called gauge origin problem is the use of a complete basis set. The gauge origin problem is addressed in ADF with the use of gauge including atomic orbitals, GIAOs, which defines the vector potential with local gauge origins at the center of each orbital.^{33,34} The use of GIAOs has the additional benefit of a more rapid basis set convergence.³²

While calculations of indirect spin-spin coupling have not been performed on the specific systems investigated, primarily a result of the considerable computational effort required, the method by which **J** is computed is included here for completeness. Indirect

spin-spin coupling is calculated in a similar manner by taking the second derivative of the energy with respect to the nuclear magnetic moments of the coupled nuclei

$$K_{ij} = \left[\frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial \mu_{I,i} \partial \mu_{S,j}}\right]_{\mu_I, \mu_S = 0} \quad i, j = x, y, z$$
[3.37]

where **K** is the reduced indirect spin-spin coupling tensor, with units of $T^2 J^{-1}$, and is related to **J** by:

$$\mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{I},\mathrm{S}} = \gamma_{\mathrm{I}} \gamma_{\mathrm{S}} \frac{h}{4\pi^2} \mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{I},\mathrm{S}}$$
[3.38]

Thus, \mathbf{K} is independent of the magnitudes of the nuclear magnetic moments and is particularly useful when comparing indirect spin-spin couplings between different spin pairs.

3.3.2 The Zeroth Order Regular Approximation

From the liquid standard state of mercury to the color of gold, relativistic effects are well known contributors to the properties of heavy elements.³⁵ Relativistic effects are manifested in the calculation of NMR parameters via the mass-velocity and Darwin terms, together referred to as scalar relativistic effects, as well as by the effects of spinorbit coupling. Scalar relativistic effects typically increase the calculated nuclear magnetic shielding, relative to a non-relativistic computation, via the diamagnetic contribution as a result of the contraction of the inner shells. However, since the contraction of core orbitals is essentially identical for every nucleus, regardless of the chemical environment, this effect cancels in the calculation of relative chemical shifts, see equation 3.16.³⁶ Thus, scalar relativistic effects primarily impact calculated chemical shifts through stabilization and/or destabilization of the energy levels of the valence occupied and virtual orbitals, resulting in potentially significant paramagnetic contributions. Spin-orbit relativistic effects produce additional core and valence contributions to the calculated nuclear magnetic shielding. The former of which is also typically negated when determining relative chemical shifts. The spin-orbit induced spin polarization in both cases influences nuclear magnetic shieldings through a Fermi-contact mechanism.³⁶

Theoretical treatment of relativistic effects is generally approached via the four component Dirac Hamiltonian. However, while not inherently more complex than non-relativistic computations, fully relativistic calculations require a more complicated mathematics as well as additional basis functions.³⁷ In addition, the size of the secular equation arising from a four component Hamiltonian results in fully relativistic computations that are prohibitively time consuming for any but the smallest of systems. A common approach in simplifying the Dirac equation involves transforming the Dirac Hamiltonian into a two component form utilizing 2×2 Pauli spin-matrices. After such a transformation, the Dirac equation may be written in terms of the upper two and lower two components referred to as the large and small components, respectively. The large and small components are not independent of each other, that is one may be written in terms of the other. In this way, the original four component Hamiltonian may be written in a two component form and approximate solutions of the Dirac equation may then be sought. When the relationship between the large and small components is expanded to

zeroth order in (E-V)/ $2m_ec^2$, where m_e is the electron mass and c is the speed of light, one arrives at the well known Pauli Hamiltonian.³⁵ However, an expansion of this form is not valid for particles in a Coulomb potential as the expansion parameter is not small near the nucleus.³⁷

Expansion in powers of $E/(2m_ec^2 - V)$ instead results in an operator that is, unlike the Pauli Hamiltonian, regular everywhere and bounded from below such that variational computations are stable.³⁷ To zeroth order the most important relativistic effects, including an accurate description of spin-orbit coupling, are already present.³⁷⁻⁴⁰ This socalled zeroth order regular approximation, ZORA, produces eigenvalues and densities that are accurate approximations to those of full four component Dirac calculations.³⁷ Additionally, as a two component theory, the ZORA Hamiltonian can utilize smaller basis sets, thus reducing the computational effort required to achieve relativistic corrections to calculated molecular properties such as nuclear magnetic shielding and indirect spin-spin coupling.

3.4 References

(1) Haeberlen, U. Advances in Magnetic Resonance, Suppl. 1: High Resolution NMR in Solids: Selective Averaging; Academic Press: New York, 1976.

(2) Fyfe, C. A. Solid-State NMR for Chemists; C.F.C. Press: Guelph, 1983.

(3) Mehring, M. *Principles of High Resolution NMR in Solids*; 2nd ed.; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 1983.

(4) Abragam, A. *The Principles of Nuclear Magnetism*; Clarendon Press: Oxford, 1986.

(5) Anet, F. A. L.; O'Leary, D. J. Concepts Magn. Reson. 1991, 3, 193-214.

(6) Anet, F. A. L.; O'Leary, D. J. Concepts Magn. Reson. 1992, 4, 35-52.

(7) Mason, J. Solid State Nucl. Magn. Reson. 1993, 2, 285-288.

(8) Wasylishen, R. E. In *Encyclopedia of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance*; Grant, D. M., Harris, R. K., Eds.; John Wiley and Sons, Ltd.: Chichester, 1996, pp 1685-1695.

(9) Ishii, Y.; Terao, T.; Hayashi, S. J. Chem. Phys. 1997, 107, 2760-2774.

(10) Man, P. P. In *Encyclopedia of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance*; Grant, D. M., Harris, R. K., Eds.; John Wiley and Sons, Ltd.: Chichester, 1996, pp 3838-3848.

(11) Vega, A. J. In *Encyclopedia of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance*; Grant, D. M., Harris, R. K., Eds.; John Wiley and Sons, Ltd.: Chichester, 1996, pp 3869-3889.

(12) Olivieri, A. C. J. Magn. Reson. 1989, 81, 201-205.

(13) Zumbulyadis, N.; Henrichs, P. M.; Young, R. H. J. Chem. Phys. 1981, 75, 1603-1611.

(14) Hexem, J. G.; Frey, M. H.; Opella, S. J. J. Chem. Phys. 1982, 77, 3847-3856.

(15) Bryce, D. L.; Bernard, G. M.; Gee, M.; Lumsden, M.; Eichele, K.; Wasylishen, R. E. Can. J. Anal. Sci. Spectrosc. 2001, 46, 46-82.

(16) Harris, R. K. *Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy*; Longman Group UK Limited, 1987.

(17) Duer, M. J. Solid-State NMR Spectroscopy: Principles and Applications; Blackwell Science: Oxford, 2002.

- (18) Schaefer, J.; Stejskal, E. O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 1031-1032.
- (19) Maricq, M. M.; Waugh, J. S. J. Chem. Phys. 1979, 70, 3300-3316.
- (20) Herzfeld, J.; Berger, A. E. J. Chem. Phys. 1980, 73, 6021-6030.
- (21) Bennett, A. E.; Rienstra, C. M.; Auger, M.; Lakshmi, K. V.; Griffin, R. G. J. Chem. Phys. 1995, 103, 6951-6958.
- (22) Duddeck, H. Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc. 1995, 27, 1-323.
- (23) Hartmann, S. R.; Hahn, E. L. Phys. Rev. 1962, 128, 2042-2053.
- (24) Metz, G.; Wu, X.; Smith, S. O. J. Magn. Reson. Ser. A 1994, 110, 219-227.
- (25) Ramsey, N. F. Phys. Rev. 1950, 78, 699-703.
- (26) Ramsey, N. F. Phys. Rev. 1953, 91, 303-307.
- (27) Jameson, C. J.; Mason, J. In *Multinuclear NMR*; Mason, J., Ed.; Plenum Press: New York, 1987, pp 51-88.
- (28) Theoretical Chemistry, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, http://www.scm.com.
- (29) Hohenberg, P.; Kohn, W. Phys. Rev. 1964, 136, B864-B871.
- (30) Helgaker, T.; Jaszunski, M.; Ruud, K. Chem. Rev. 1999, 99, 293-352.
- (31) Facelli, J. C. Concepts Magn. Reson. 2004, 20A, 42-69.
- (32) Vaara, J. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2007, 9, 5399-5418.
- (33) Ditchfield, R. J. Chem. Phys. 1972, 56, 5688-5691.
- (34) Wolinski, K.; Hinton, J. F.; Pulay, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 8251-8260.

(35) Autschbach, J.; Ziegler, T. In *Encyclopedia of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance*; Grant, D. M., Harris, R. K., Eds.; John Wiley and Sons, Ltd.: Chichester, U.K., 2002; Vol. 9, pp 306-323.

(36) Schreckenbach, G. Inorg. Chem. 2002, 41, 6560-6572.

(37) van Lenthe, E.; van Leeuwen, R.; Baerends, E. J.; Snijders, J. G. Int. J. Quantum Chem. **1996**, *57*, 281-293.

(38) Chang, C.; Pelissier, M.; Durand, P. Phys. Scr. 1986, 34, 394-404.

(39) van Lenthe, E.; Baerends, E. J.; Snijders, J. G. J. Chem. Phys. **1993**, 99, 4597-4610.

(40) van Lenthe, E.; Baerends, E. J.; Snijders, J. G. J. Chem. Phys. **1994**, 101, 9783-9792.

Chapter 4

A Combined Experimental and Quantum Chemistry Study of Selenium Chemical Shift Tensors[†]

4.1 Introduction

Selenium is playing an increasingly important role in chemistry, particularly in materials chemistry. For example, selenium has been utilized in the structure of various nanoparticles, nanowires, and nanotubules.¹⁻⁴ Applications have also been reported where selenium is incorporated within the channels of porous materials.⁵⁻¹⁰ Interest in selenium chemistry has not seen such growth since it was recognized that selenium is an essential nutrient in mammalian systems.¹¹ The discovery that the TGA codon directs the incorporation of selenium has ultimately led to the acceptance of selenocysteine as the 21st amino acid.^{12,13}

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) has been utilized to investigate a limited number of selenium-containing nano-composites;¹⁴⁻¹⁷ however, fewer studies have focused on the selenium nucleus itself.^{16,17} Selenium-77 NMR is an ideal technique for investigating selenium-containing materials as the ⁷⁷Se chemical shift ranges over 3000 ppm^{18,19} and is extremely sensitive to changes in molecular structure. Clearly, it is desirable to have a sound understanding of the structural features that influence ⁷⁷Se NMR parameters.

Theoretical calculation of NMR parameters, particularly for selenium where empirical interpretations are more difficult than those extracted from 1 H or 13 C NMR

[†] A version of this chapter has been published: B.A. Demko, K. Eichele, and R.E. Wasylishen, *Journal of Physical Chemistry A*, **2006**, *110*, 13537-13550.

spectra, has become increasingly useful for spectroscopists.²⁰ Selenium-77 NMR studies of isotropic liquids, specifically isotropic chemical shifts and indirect spin-spin coupling constants, is a well developed area of research.^{18,19,21-24} The comparison of calculated isotropic chemical shifts with experimental values has recently been criticized as a poor method for determining the accuracy of a given quantum chemical approach given that the fundamental parameter, the magnetic shielding interaction, is characterized by a second-rank tensor containing nine components in general versus the single value obtained from NMR studies of isotropic solutions.²⁵ Solid-state NMR, which can yield the symmetric part of the chemical shift tensor, is potentially more informative than its solution counterpart;^{26,27} however, the literature and scope of solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR investigations has been relatively limited.^{18,19} Magnetic resonance experiments on heavier nuclei are known to present challenges both experimentally and theoretically,²⁸ and the question of whether or not relativistic effects are important for the calculation of ⁷⁷Se NMR parameters remains a topic of some debate.²⁸⁻⁴¹

The aim of the present investigation is to probe a wide variety of seleniumcontaining solid compounds, covering the known isotropic chemical shift range of selenium, using solid-state NMR spectroscopy and computational chemistry. Specifically, we have used solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR spectroscopy to provide the principal components of the chemical shift tensor for a number of organic, organophosphorus, and inorganic selenium compounds. Due to the inherent ability and success of density functional theory (DFT) in addressing electron correlation, which allows the investigation of larger systems and/or those containing heavy atoms, DFT was employed to calculate the corresponding selenium magnetic shielding tensors. The DFT calculations were

performed at varying levels of inclusion of both scalar and spin-orbit relativistic effects via the zeroth order regular approximation (ZORA) formalism.⁴²⁻⁴⁵ The calculated magnetic shielding tensors when transformed into chemical shift tensors and compared with the experimental values allow insight into the level of relativistic theory required to accurately describe the observed magnetic shielding.

4.2 Background Theory

The magnetic shielding experienced by a nucleus in a molecule generally depends on the orientation of that molecule with respect to the external magnetic field, B_0 . This results from induced magnetic fields about the nucleus due to the circulation of electrons, which slightly alters the NMR resonance condition. To completely describe this shielding, a second-rank tensor, containing up to nine unique components may be required. In the magnetic shielding tensor's principal axis system (PAS), the symmetric part of the tensor is diagonal, and only three orthogonal components ($\sigma_{11} \le \sigma_{22} \le \sigma_{33}$), and three Euler angles (α , β , γ), which relate the orientation of the PAS to the molecular frame, are required to properly describe the interaction tensor. The span of the shielding tensor is defined as $\Omega = \sigma_{33} - \sigma_{11}$, and represents the maximum orientation dependence of the shielding experienced by the nucleus in a given molecule. The isotropic shielding, σ_{iso} , is one-third the trace of the shielding tensor; $\sigma_{iso} = (\sigma_{11} + \sigma_{22} + \sigma_{33})/3$. The NMR spectrum of a powdered sample containing an 'isolated spin' yields the principal components of the chemical shift tensor, whose values are related to the magnetic shielding tensor by:

$$\delta_{ii}(sample) = \frac{\sigma_{iso}(ref) - \sigma_{ii}(sample)}{1 - \sigma_{iso}(ref)}$$
[4.1]

where $\sigma_{iso}(ref)$ is the isotropic shielding of a standard reference and $\delta_{11} \ge \delta_{22} \ge \delta_{33}$. Solidstate NMR can also provide the Euler angles; however, single crystals of sufficient size and quality are usually required for their determination,⁴⁶⁻⁵⁰ and as a consequence Euler angles are less commonly reported than the principal components which are readily obtained from powdered samples.

The non-relativistic theory of nuclear magnetic shielding was developed by Ramsey,^{51,52} and is recognized as among the most influential papers in 20th century quantum chemistry.⁵³ The significance of relativistic effects in the calculation of nuclear magnetic shieldings for heavy nuclei has attracted great interest since its initial description,⁵⁴⁻⁵⁷ and a few representative references of its discussion are given here.⁵⁴⁻⁶³

Specifically for the organophosphine selenides, due to the presence of ³¹P (100% natural abundance), it is prudent to discuss the theory of spin-spin coupling. The concept of direct dipolar and indirect spin-spin coupling is well covered in the literature.⁶⁴⁻⁶⁹ The direct dipolar, **D**, tensor is of second-rank and is traceless, while the indirect spin-spin, **J**, tensor is a general 2nd-rank tensor with a non-zero trace. The average of the principal components of **J** (J_{11} , J_{22} , J_{33}) provides the isotropic indirect spin-spin coupling constant, J_{iso} . For directly bonded selenium-77 and phosphorus-31 spin pairs, ¹J(⁷⁷Se, ³¹P) values are known to be negative.^{65,70} The anisotropy of the **J**-tensor is defined as $\Delta J = J_{33} - (J_{11} + J_{22})/2$, and is inherently linked with the direct dipolar coupling constant, R_{eff} , is obtained experimentally:

$$R_{eff} = R_{DD} - \Delta J / 3 \qquad [4.2]$$

$$R_{DD} = \left(\frac{\mu_0}{4\pi}\right) \left(\frac{\hbar}{2\pi}\right) \left(\frac{\gamma_I \gamma_S}{\langle r_{IS}^3 \rangle}\right)$$
[4.3]

where μ_0 is the permeability of a vacuum, γ_I and γ_S are the magnetogyric ratios of the coupled spins, *I* and *S*, and $\langle r_{IS}^3 \rangle$ is the motionally-averaged cube of the distance between the coupled nuclei. When the value of R_{eff} can be determined from an experimental spectrum, ΔJ can be estimated from equation 4.2 provided r_{IS} is known (equation 4.3). Previously, we have shown that the dipolar-splitting-ratio method can provide information on the orientation of the internuclear dipolar vector with respect to the chemical shift tensor principal components in powder samples containing an isolated spin-pair.⁷¹

4.3 Experimental

Representations of compounds 1-16 investigated in this study are given in Figure 4.1. The following samples were acquired from commercial sources and used without further purification: *N*,*N*-dimethylselenourea (1) and diphenylselenium dichloride (3) from Strem; *N*-methylbenzothiazole-2-selone (2), tetramethyltetraselenafulvalene (5) and diphenyl diselenide (6) from Aldrich; seleno-DL-methionine (4) from Sigma; ammonium selenate (14) and ammonium selenotungstate (15) from Alfa. The organophosphine selenides (7 – 13) were prepared from the appropriate phosphine and KSeCN, according to the procedure outlined in the literature.⁷²

and



Figure 4.1 Compounds 1-16, investigated in this study.

Selenium-77 NMR spectra were recorded at 38.154 and 76.277 MHz on Bruker MSL-200 and AMX-400 NMR spectrometers ($B_0 = 4.7$ and 9.4 T), respectively. The samples were packed into 7 mm o.d. zirconium oxide rotors, and spun at magic angle spinning (MAS) frequencies (v_{rot}) between 1.5 and 6.2 kHz. Standard cross polarization (CP), or ramped-amplitude CP (RACP), and high-power ¹H decoupling were employed in acquiring all NMR spectra, except for cases where CP was so inefficient that improved results were obtained after a single pulse with ¹H decoupling and long recycle delays. Selenium chemical shifts were referenced to a neat liquid of dimethyl selenide (Me₂Se) at 23 °C by setting the isotropic NMR peak of solid (NH₄)₂SeO₄ to +1040.2 ppm.^{73,74} Isotropic chemical shifts were identified by varying the spinning frequency. The principal components of the chemical shift tensors, δ_{ii} , were determined via the method of Herzfeld and Berger⁷⁵ except those for (NH₄)₂SeO₄, which were determined from the discontinuities in the spectrum of a stationary sample, and all spectra were simulated using the determined values with the program WSOLIDS⁷⁶ in order to assess the quality of the parameters obtained. This procedure results in errors of ± 0.2 ppm in the isotropic chemical shifts, and errors in the principal components on the order of 1-3% of the span of the respective chemical shift tensor.

Quantum chemistry calculations of magnetic shielding tensors were carried out using the NMR module⁷⁷⁻⁷⁹ of the Amsterdam Density Functional (ADF) program package.⁸⁰⁻⁸⁴ The Vosko-Wilk-Nusair⁸⁵ local density approximation with the Becke88-Perdew86⁸⁶⁻⁸⁸ generalized gradient approximation were used for the exchange-correlation functional. Non-relativistic (NR), scalar relativistic (SR) and scalar with spin-orbit relativistic (SO) calculations were performed in order to gauge the importance of
relativistic effects for the calculation of NMR parameters involving selenium. The relativistic corrections carried out are based on the implementation of the ZORA formalism.⁴²⁻⁴⁵ Triple- ζ doubly polarized, TZ2P, Slater-type ZORA basis sets were used for all atoms except for hydrogen, which received double- ζ quality, DZ, basis functions. The calculations were performed on a Linux-based cluster with either dual AMD MP 1800+ Athlon processor nodes or two AMD XP 1800+ Athlon processors operating in parallel.

The crystal structures for compounds 1 to 16 have previously been determined by X-ray diffraction (XRD).⁸⁹⁻¹⁰⁴ The atomic coordinates for compound **12** could not be generated from the report in the literature,¹⁰⁰ and were determined from a non-relativistic geometry optimization using ADF basis sets of similar quality to those used in the magnetic shielding tensor calculations. All DFT calculations were carried out on isolated molecules using the non-hydrogen atomic coordinates, determined from the crystal structures where possible. For the ionic compounds 14 and 15, NH_4^+ cations within 5.0 Å of the central atom in the anionic tetrahedron, SeO_4^{2-} or WSe_4^{2-} , were included in the calculations. Hydrogen atoms were placed at idealized positions ($r_{CH} = 1.09$ Å (alkyl) or 1.08 Å (aryl), $r_{\rm NH} = 1.02$ Å). Herein, we use the labels 'a', 'b', etc. to designate the difference between multiple sites, and a calculated value was assigned to the site that minimized the difference between the calculated and experimental values. The molecules were translated so that the selenium atoms were located at the origin in order to minimize a gauge variance for the calculation of the off-diagonal components of the magnetic shielding tensors within ADF 2004.01 and earlier versions.^{‡80} Since the calculations were performed on isolated molecules, intermolecular interactions were not included.

^{*} This issue has recently been rectified in ADF 2005.01.

Solvent effects are known to affect the chemical shift for selenium compounds,^{18,22,105,106} and have been observed to vary by up to 50 ppm in organoselenium species.^{22,107} Changes of phase are also known to influence selenium magnetic shielding tensors; for example, $\sigma_{iso}(^{77}Se)$ for H₂Se decreases by 126.6 ± 0.5 ppm when gaseous hydrogen selenide (5 atm) undergoes liquefaction, and decreases further by 11.4 ± 0.2 ppm when liquid H₂Se freezes.¹⁰⁸ These potential effects must be considered when comparing calculated values to experimental solution and solid-state parameters.

The chemical shift tensors were determined from the calculated magnetic shielding tensors and the value of σ_{iso} (Me₂Se), calculated at the same level of theory, according to equation 4.1. Due to the lack of an experimentally determined structure, the geometry of Me₂Se was optimized, and converged with a staggered-staggered orientation of the molecule consistent with previous investigations.^{28,30-32} The calculated NR, SR, and SO values used for the isotropic shielding of dimethyl selenide were 1627.8, 1580.0, and 1745.2 ppm, respectively. These values are in agreement with those previously reported by other authors.^{28,30-33,35,38,41,109-112}

4.4 Results and Discussion

4.4.1 Comparison of Observed and Calculated Selenium Chemical Shift Tensors

Experimental and computational results in this investigation are summarized in Tables 4.1 - 4.3. The successes of the DFT computations in reproducing the experimental results obtained are illustrated in Figures 4.2 - 4.4. The linear regression parameters; slope and intercept, along with the mean absolute deviation for the plots in Figures 4.2 - 4.4 have been tabulated in Appendix A. A plot of calculated versus



Figure 4.2 Experimental vs. calculated isotropic chemical shifts, δ_{iso} , for the selenium-containing compounds investigated, 1-16; the solid diagonal line indicates perfect agreement between calculated and experimental results.

experimental isotropic chemical shifts for all of the compounds investigated is given in Figure 4.2. All theoretical methods employed perform very well in reproducing δ_{iso} as evidenced by the small deviation of the individual points from the solid line that represents perfect agreement between experiment and theory. The agreement was expected as numerous approaches have been successful in reproducing isotropic selenium chemical shifts.^{25,28,30-33,35,37-41,110} The largest discrepancy observed appears for the values of δ_{iso} (NR) calculated for two of the isotropic chemical shifts for ammonium selenotungstate, **15a** and **15b**. Plots of theoretical versus experimental values for the individual principal components, δ_{ii} , of the selenium chemical shift tensors investigated are given in Figure 4.3. From Figure 4.3a, it is clear that the calculated values for δ_{11} reproduce the trend observed in the values of $\delta_{11}(\text{Expt.})$. The deviations of the individual points from the idealized line of perfect agreement are noticeably larger in magnitude than those found for the comparison of isotropic values. The plot emphasizes that the majority of the calculations tend to underestimate the magnitude of the shielding for this component, which leads to an overestimation of the value of $\delta_{11}(\text{Calc.})$ with respect to the corresponding experimental value; however, the inclusion of relativistic effects lead to better results in general.

Figure 4.3b displays a plot of calculated versus experimental values of δ_{22} . The trend observed in all of the experimentally determined intermediate principal components is well reproduced by δ_{22} (Calc.). Unlike the δ_{11} component, there exists more balance in the instances of over- and underestimation of the calculated shielding in δ_{22} throughout the chemical shift tensors investigated, and relativistic effects do not appear to improve the agreement with experiment. The differences in magnitude between the individual points and the line of perfect agreement are generally larger than those observed for δ_{iso} (Calc.) in Figure 4.2.

When the experimental and calculated values of δ_{33} are plotted against each other (Figure 4.3c) there are a couple points worth noting. First, the largest single deviation of any δ_{ii} (Calc.) from its corresponding experimental value is observed in the calculation of δ_{33} for one of the three sites of (NH₄)₂WSe₄, **15a**. The values of δ_{33} (NR) for each of the



Figure 4.3 Experimental vs. calculated values for (a) δ_{11} , (b) δ_{22} , and (c) δ_{33} for the selenium-containing compounds investigated, **1-15**; the solid diagonal line indicates perfect agreement between calculated and experimental results.

three crystallographically non-equivalent selenium environments of ammonium selenotungstate, **15**, display substantially large deviations from their corresponding experimentally determined principal component. Secondly, aside from the δ_{33} (Calc.) values for (NH₄)₂WSe₄ and a few other exceptions, the majority of the δ_{33} components are calculated to be more shielded, resulting in smaller values of δ_{33} , than is found experimentally.

Magnifying the accuracy of the calculations for the most and least shielded principal components, the experimentally determined spans of all of the compounds investigated are compared with their theoretical counterparts in Figure 4.4. For the most part, the spans are overestimated except for the non-relativistic calculation of the three chemical shift tensors of $(NH_4)_2WSe_4$. This observation was expected given the generally observed overestimation of δ_{11} and underestimation of δ_{33} by the calculations (Figure 4.3). On the other hand, these errors cancel each other in their combined effect on δ_{iso} , leading to apparent agreement between theoretical and calculated values (Figure 4.2).

The results presented, and illustrated in Figures 4.2 to 4.4, indicate that the overall agreement between all of the DFT calculations and experiment is good, given the fact that the computations are performed on isolated molecules and that the experiments are performed on solid materials. In the following subsections we shall focus, highlighting the exceptions to the general trends observed for the calculations, on the three classes of compounds investigated: 1) organoselenium compounds 1 - 6, 2) organophosphine selenides 7 - 13, and 3) inorganic selenium compounds 14 - 16.



Figure 4.4 Experimental vs. calculated spans, $\Omega = \delta_{11} - \delta_{33}$, for the selenium-containing compounds investigated, **1-15**; the solid diagonal line indicates perfect agreement between calculated and experimental results.

4.4.2 Organoselenium Compounds

The range of molecular environments that selenium can be found in for organoselenium compounds is vast. The diversity of selenium environments in the representative compounds investigated provides a good test of the theoretical methods employed.

1. *N*,*N*-dimethylselenourea is a relatively simple seleno-carbonyl, or selone, compound for solid-state NMR investigation. The experimental and simulated spectra for 1 are given in Figure 4.5. The experimental spectrum was simulated using the parameters from a Herzfeld-Berger analysis and are given in Table 4.1. The chemical shift



Figure 4.5 Simulated and experimental CP MAS ⁷⁷Se NMR spectra of N,N-dimethylselenourea (1), acquired at 9.4 T, after 48 transients, spinning at 4.0 kHz, with 50 Hz of line broadening, a contact time of 10.0 ms, and a 30 s pulse delay. The isotropic peak is labeled with an asterisk (*). Inset is a schematic of compound 1 showing the orientation of the selenium chemical shift tensor calculated at the scalar with spin-orbit relativistic level of theory.

	δ_{iso}	δ_{11}	δ_{22}	δ_{33}	Ω
•			· · · · ·		
Expt. ^{b)}	211	527	279	-173	700
ND	14/	707	407	40	820
INK SD	202	/0/	407	-42	829
SO	392	715	423	-30	804 700
29	365	/15	442	- /	142
Evot ^{b)}	368	786	368	50	826
Expt.	357	767	377	-30	830
NP	/38	1022	504	-72	1202
SP	455	1022	594 602	-301	1323
SO	433	007	576	-278	1222
2h	772	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	570	-225	1222
Evnt ^{b)}	306	917	125	64	001
L'Apt.	390	785	435	-04	801
ND	<i>392</i> 406	1128	430	-42	1412
SD SD	509	1120	649	-203	1415
SO	500	1151	655	-2/4	1405
2 30	501	1102	035	-235	1555
Evnt b)	584	625	625	175	160
d)	574 0	055	055	473	100
NID	522	772	651	220	125
INK CD	200 605	د <i>۱</i> ۱ د ر د	034	338 260	433
SN	603	773	073	308	405
4	040	/91	123	405	380
4 Event b)	100	261	100	226	507
Expt.	109	301	192	-220	587
ND .	112	309	202	-230	605
NK	20	312	221	-454	/66
SR	23	325	224	-480	805
SO	21	287	176	-399	686
5a	(57	072	011	107	707
Expt."	657	973	811	18/	786
	657.5	969.5	817.5	185.5	784
NR	621	934	898	32	902
SR	639	934	916	66	868
so j	653	1009	864	86	923
50	(())	000	025	102	
Expt."	669	980	835	193	787
	669.7	981.7	829.7	197.7	784
NR	636	956	909	42	914
SR	655	956	928	80	876
SO	668	1038	867	99	939
6a					
Expt."	350	524	516	11	513
	350	537	510	2	535
5)	333	742	308	-50	792
NR	385	803	345	8	795
NR SR	352	661	412	-16	677
NR SR SO					
6b					
6b Expt. ^{b)}	425	586	505	183	403
6b Expt. ^{b)} g	425 425	586 565	505 527	183 183	403 382
6b Expt. ^{b)} g) NR	425 425 449	586 565 916	505 527 385	183 183 46	403 382 870
6b NR SR SO 6b Expt. ^{b)} g) NR SR	425 425 449 507	586 565 916 994	505 527 385 444	183 183 46 83	403 382 870 911

Table 4.1 Experimental and Theoretical Chemical Shift Tensors^{a)} for Organoselenium Compounds.

tensor for selenium in this compound has not previously been reported; however, some solution ⁷⁷Se NMR has been performed.¹¹³ The isotropic chemical shift for the solid, 211 ppm, is somewhat deshielded relative to the solution value of 147 ppm,¹¹³ both of which are common values for seleno-carbonyls possessing nitrogen substituents. The difference between the two shifts is not surprising given the effect of intermolecular interactions.¹⁸

The calculated isotropic selenium chemical shifts for 1 using the NR, SR, and SO methods are in reasonable agreement with each other (Table 4.1); however all three methods overestimate the experimental value of 211 ppm. Unlike the majority of the compounds investigated herein, the value of δ_{33} (Calc.) is not underestimated by the calculations, but is one of the few that slightly overestimates this component. The span of the chemical shift tensor is overestimated at both the non-relativistic and scalar relativistic levels of theory; however, the spin-orbit relativistic calculation accurately reproduces the experimental value of Ω . Thus, the value of δ_{33} (Calc.) does not balance the corresponding overestimated value of δ_{11} (Calc.) to obtain a calculated isotropic chemical shift that is in good agreement with the experimental value of 1, as with the majority of the compounds investigated.

The orientations of the principal components calculated at all levels of theory are consistent in determining that the direction of greatest shielding, δ_{33} , lies approximately along the C-Se vector, the intermediate principal component, δ_{22} , is directed nearly perpendicular to the N-C-Se plane, and δ_{11} orthogonal to the others, is slightly removed from coinciding with the N-C-Se plane (inset Figure 4.5). For comparison purposes, the orientation of the ¹⁷O chemical shift tensor in urea has δ_{11} within the N-C-O plane, perpendicular to the C-O vector which is similar to the selenium homologue; however it

is the δ_{22} , and not the δ_{33} component that is oriented parallel to the chalcogen-carbon vector in urea.¹¹⁶ The difference between the two orientations is likely a result of a larger paramagnetic (deshielding) effect in the N-C-Se plane of 1 than in the N-C-O plane of urea. This results in a larger principal component directed perpendicular to the respective plane than parallel to the carbon-chalcogen vector in the selenium species.

2. *N*-methylbenzothiazole-2-selone is a nearly planar molecule containing an aromatic ring with a seleno-carbonyl functional group (see Figure 4.1). This appears to be the first reported ⁷⁷Se NMR investigation of this compound. The ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum of **2**, Figure 4.6, acquired with MAS clearly shows four isotropic peaks, and four unique ⁷⁷Se chemical shift tensors are recorded for the as-received sample in Table 4.1. The crystal structure for N-methylbenzothiazole-2-selone suggests only two non-equivalent selenium atoms are present in the unit cell.⁹⁰ The XRD identification was performed on only one of the two crystalline forms from a methylene chloride recrystallization of the sample, stating that the other form was not suitable for X-ray investigation.⁹⁰ The isotropic chemical shifts for all four of the tensors for this sample are very similar, and in agreement with related compounds containing the C=Se moiety in which the carbon is bonded to one or more nitrogen atoms.¹⁸ A study of ⁷⁷Se T_1 relaxation mechanisms of several selones in solution indicated chemical shift anisotropies on the order of 3000-6000 ppm,¹¹⁷ which appear overestimated given the data for 1 and 2. However, the same study reported a chemical shift anisotropy of 400 ppm for 6, which is in line with our findings (vide infra).



Figure 4.6 RACP MAS ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum and the simulated spectrum of *N*-methylbenzothiazole-2-selone (**2**). Experimental parameters: 9.4 T, 1868 scans, 5.2 kHz MAS, with line broadening of 50 Hz applied, a 10.0 ms contact time, and a 20 s recycle delay. The isotropic peaks are labeled with an asterisk (*). Inset is a schematic of compound **2** showing the orientation of the selenium chemical shift tensor calculated at the scalar with spin-orbit relativistic level of theory.

There are a pair of chemical shift tensors, however, that might be distinguishable from the other via calculation of their respective magnetic shielding tensors, and these pairs have been identified as 2a and 2b corresponding to the tensors with the two smallest and two largest isotropic chemical shifts, respectively. Each of the two selenium magnetic shielding tensors calculated from the crystal structure of 2 are compared with the pair of experimental selenium chemical shift tensors that they correspond most closely with. While this assignment is arbitrary it is noted that for all calculations the site with the smaller isotropic ⁷⁷Se chemical shift also has a smaller span, and the larger δ_{iso} (Calc.) was found from the trace of a tensor with a broader extent of shielding. The attributes of these calculated chemical shift tensors are mimicked in the distinctions between the pairs of experimental tensors labeled 2a and 2b. The differences between the calculated isotropic values of sites 2a and 2b at all levels of theory are similar to those between the pairs of experimental tensors. The general trends observed for the majority of the calculations in Figures 4.2 - 4.4 hold for both calculated chemical shift tensors in 2. Identical orientations for the calculated shielding tensors are obtained, regardless of the level of theory employed. The direction of δ_{11} lies nearly coincident with the C-Se vector, and δ_{33} is perpendicular to the molecular plane, as illustrated inset within Figure 4.6. The orientations for $\mathbf{2}$ are different from both the orientations for ⁷⁷Se in 1 and for 17 O in urea; 116 however the calculated 77 Se chemical shift tensors for 2 are oriented nearly identically to the ¹⁷O chemical shift tensor determined for benzamide.¹¹⁸ The similarities and differences between the orientations of ¹⁷O and ⁷⁷Se chemical shift tensors in ketones and selones suggests that the shielding interaction in seleno-carbonyl

compounds is at least as complicated as their oxygen counterparts, and is very sensitive to the environment of this functional group.

3. Diphenylselenium dichloride provides a four-coordinate environment around the selenium in which the molecule adopts a seesaw configuration with a Cl-Se-Cl angle of approximately 180(5)°.⁹¹ The calculated and experimental isotropic ⁷⁷Se NMR spectra for 3 are given in Figure 4.7. The selenium nucleus experiences residual dipolar coupling¹¹⁹⁻¹²⁷ from the quadrupolar chlorine nuclei (^{35/37}Cl), with a residual dipolar coupling constant of 41 Hz at 9.4 T. Based on the ³⁵Cl NQR frequency of 23.076 MHz provided by an NQR study for 3,^{128,129} and the Se-Cl distance of 2.30 Å,⁹¹ a residual dipolar coupling constant of 65 Hz was anticipated. An indirect spin-spin coupling constant, ${}^{1}J({}^{77}\text{Se}, {}^{35}\text{Cl})_{iso}$, of 110 Hz is observed, and appears to be the first reported coupling between selenium and chlorine. Indirect spin-spin coupling has previously been reported between tellurium-125 and chlorine-35 in Me₃TeCl·H₂O,¹³⁰ in which the ¹²⁵Te is coupled to two ³⁵Cl nuclei similar to the environment observed in **3**. Scaling of $^{1}J(^{125}\text{Te},^{35}\text{Cl})_{iso}$ by $4\pi^{2}/h\gamma_{Te}\gamma_{Cl}$ to yield the reduced coupling constant, $^{1}K(\text{Te},\text{Cl})$, 283 × $10^{19} \text{ T}^2 \text{ J}^{-1}$ indicates that the corresponding value of $487 \times 10^{19} \text{ T}^2 \text{ J}^{-1}$ for ¹K(Se,Cl) in **3** is of an appropriate magnitude. The principal components, δ_{ii} (Table 4.1), determined in the simulation indicate an axially symmetric chemical shift tensor. There are however, no symmetry reasons for the chemical shift tensor to attain this axial symmetry. It is known that methods for obtaining the principal components of the chemical shift tensor from spectra of MAS samples have the greatest difficulty with axially or near axially symmetric species.¹³¹ The isotropic chemical shift, $\delta_{iso} = 584$ ppm, agrees well with the value for **3** in a chloroform solution, $\delta_{iso} = 575$ ppm.¹¹⁴



Figure 4.7 Selenium-77 RACP MAS NMR center band (spinning sidebands summed in) spectrum of compound **3**: Ph_2SeCl_2 (9.4 T, 972 scans, $v_{rot} = 4.0$ kHz, 50 Hz line broadening, 10.0 ms contact time, 60 s pulse delay), and its simulation. Inset is a schematic of compound **3** showing the orientation of the selenium chemical shift tensor calculated at the scalar with spin-orbit relativistic level of theory.

All of the calculated principal components of the ⁷⁷Se chemical shift tensor, given in Table 4.1, fail to reproduce the observed axial symmetry and the small span for Ph₂SeCl₂ experimentally. The orientations determined from each of the calculations were in agreement with each other. The direction of δ_{11} is predicted to bisect the C_{ipso}-Se-C'_{ipso} angle, i.e., corresponds to the direction of the formal 'lone pair'. The intermediate principal component, δ_{22} , is parallel to the approximately linear Cl-Se-Cl vector, and δ_{33} perpendicular to the other two components lies in the C_{ipso}-Se-C'_{ipso} plane (inset Figure 4.7).

4. Seleno-DL-methionine is a seleno-amino acid where the sulfur in methionine has been replaced with selenium (Figure 4.1). A solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR investigation of this compound has been reported by Potrzebowski et al.,¹¹⁵ and our parameters agree very well with those obtained in their investigation (Table 4.1). For selenium in a similar dialkyl environment, a selenium coronand, Batchelor et al. reported isotropic chemical shifts from 173 to 737 ppm for the four crystallographically non-equivalent selenium atoms, with spans ranging from less than 370 to 771 ppm.¹³²

The NR, SR, and SO calculations of the chemical shift tensor principal components for seleno-DL-methionine are in very good agreement with the experimental results (Table 4.1). Deviating from the general trend observed for the calculations in Figure 4.3a, the shielding along the δ_{11} direction is in reasonable agreement with the experimental value, if not slightly overestimated. The magnetic shielding tensor's calculated orientation, by all methods, is such that δ_{11} lies approximately along the Se-C_{terminal} bond axis, δ_{22} is directed perpendicular to the C_γ-Se-C_{terminal} plane, and the smallest principal component of the chemical shift tensor, δ_{33} , is nearly parallel to the bond axis between the γ-carbon and selenium. Potrzebowski et al. did not perform any theoretical calculation of the selenium chemical shift tensor in **4**;¹¹⁵ however, the orientation that they assumed is in accord with those determined by our DFT calculations. **5**. Similar to the sulfur analogues,¹³³ tetraselenafulvalenes are precursors for conducting and superconducting materials.⁹³ The crystal structure of **5** contains an inversion center within the molecule such that only two of the selenium atoms within the molecule are expected to give rise to unique chemical shift tensors.⁹³ The values for the two sets of principal components observed are in very good agreement with a previous solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR investigation of this compound by Collins et al. (Table 4.1).⁷³ The chemical shift tensors are nearly identical indicating that the electronic environments of the magnetically non-equivalent selenium atoms are very similar. Comparable isotropic chemical shifts, 408-624 ppm, and spans, 554-687 ppm, have been reported for a series of 1,3-selenazoles which possess selenium in a similar environment to the tetraselenafulvalenes.¹³⁴

All theoretical methods perform equally well in reproducing the experimentally determined principal components for **5a** and **5b** (Table 4.1). The calculated orientations of the chemical shift tensors are predicted to be the same for both **5a** and **5b**, and are consistent across all of the methods employed. The direction of δ_{11} is predicted to bisect the C-Se-C angle, δ_{22} is oriented perpendicular to the molecular plane, and δ_{33} is calculated to be within the skeletal molecular plane, directed close to the Se-C vector of the Se-C-Se component of the molecule.

6. Diselenides, the selenium equivalent of organic peroxides, are an intriguing functional group as they possess glutathione peroxidase-like activity.¹³⁵⁻¹³⁹ The Bloch decay

spectrum (not shown) indicates two selenium environments with distinct chemical shift tensors. The number of sites and their parameters agree well with a previous solid-state ⁷⁷Se CP MAS investigation of compound **6**,¹¹¹ specifically in the large difference in the most shielded component, δ_{33} , of the two tensors **6a** and **6b** (Table 4.1).

The spin-orbit calculation produces a more accurate value of the span for **6b** due to an increased accuracy in the values for both δ_{11} and δ_{33} . The more accurate values of δ_{ii} (Calc.) from the SO calculation indicates a contribution from spin-orbit coupling, likely in part from the presence of the directly bonded selenium. The calculated orientations for the two selenium shielding tensors in diphenyl diselenide are nearly identical. The direction of greatest shielding, δ_{33} , most closely approaches a parallel direction to the Se-Se bond vector, δ_{22} bisects the Se-Se-C_{ipso} angle, and the largest principal component of the chemical shift tensor, δ_{11} , is oriented perpendicular to the Se-Se-C_{ipso} plane. Theoretical studies¹¹¹ have indicated that the differences in the chemical shift anisotropy mainly result from a β -effect of the torsional angle for the phenyl group at the next selenium, not the directly bonded selenium.

The chemical shift tensors investigated for compounds 1 - 6 are shown to represent the chemical diversity of these organoselenium species, and are calculated similarly with and without the consideration of relativistic effects.

4.4.3 Organophosphine Selenides

The organophosphine selenides, R_3PSe , provide an opportunity to investigate a number of peripheral modifications to one specific functional group.

$\begin{array}{c} \delta_{iso} \\ -204 \\ -199.6 \\ -207 \\ -193 \\ -200 \\ -408 \\ -414.5 \\ -485 \\ -475 \\ -481 \\ -437 \\ -466.4 \\ -603 \\ -598 \\ -602 \\ -257 \\ -257.5 \\ -286 \\ -267 \\ -277 \\ -277 \\ -212 $	$δ_{11}$ -116 -117.7 35 70 17 -386 -401 -381 -410 -150 -213 -193 -208 -124 -122.5 -59 -20 -100	$δ_{22}$ -130 -131.7 -219 -188 -231 -419 -486 -455 -493 -580 -745 -732 -776 -300 -288.4 -288	δ_{33} -365 -349.3 -437 -461 -385 -419 -568 -589 -541 -580 -850 -868 -823 -345 -361.5	Ω 249 231.6 472 531 402 33 167 208 131 430 637 675 615 221
$\begin{array}{c} -204\\ -199.6\\ -207\\ -193\\ -200\\ -408\\ -414.5\\ -485\\ -475\\ -485\\ -475\\ -481\\ -437\\ -466.4\\ -603\\ -598\\ -602\\ -257\\ -257.5\\ -286\\ -267\\ -277\\ -257.5\\ -286\\ -267\\ -277\\ -257.5\\ -286\\ -267\\ -277\\ -252\\ -257\\ -277\\$	$\begin{array}{r} -116\\ -117.7\\ 35\\ 70\\ 17\\ -386\\ -401\\ -381\\ -410\\ -150\\ -213\\ -193\\ -208\\ -124\\ -122.5\\ -59\\ -20\\ -100\\ \end{array}$	-130 -131.7 -219 -188 -231 -419 -486 -455 -493 -580 -745 -732 -776 -300 -288.4 -288	-365 -349.3 -437 -461 -385 -419 -568 -589 -541 -580 -850 -868 -823 -345 -361.5	249 231.6 472 531 402 33 167 208 131 430 637 675 615 221
$\begin{array}{c} -204\\ -199.6\\ -207\\ -193\\ -200\\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} -408\\ -414.5\\ -485\\ -475\\ -481\\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} -437\\ -466.4\\ -603\\ -598\\ -602\\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} -257\\ -257.5\\ -286\\ -267\\ -277\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -116\\ -117.7\\ 35\\ 70\\ 17\\ -386\\ -401\\ -381\\ -410\\ -150\\ -213\\ -193\\ -208\\ -124\\ -122.5\\ -59\\ -20\\ -100\\ \end{array}$	-130 -131.7 -219 -188 -231 -419 -486 -455 -493 -580 -745 -732 -776 -300 -288.4 -288	-365 -349.3 -437 -461 -385 -419 -568 -589 -541 -580 -850 -868 -823 -345 -361.5	249 231.6 472 531 402 33 167 208 131 430 637 675 615 221
$\begin{array}{c} -199.6\\ -207\\ -193\\ -200\\ \\ -408\\ -414.5\\ -485\\ -475\\ -481\\ \\ -437\\ -466.4\\ -603\\ -598\\ -602\\ \\ -257\\ -257.5\\ -286\\ -267\\ -277\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -117.7\\ 35\\ 70\\ 17\\ -386\\ -401\\ -381\\ -410\\ -150\\ -213\\ -193\\ -208\\ -124\\ -122.5\\ -59\\ -20\\ -100\\ \end{array}$	-131.7 -219 -188 -231 -419 -486 -455 -493 -580 -745 -732 -776 -300 -288.4 -288	-349.3 -437 -461 -385 -419 -568 -589 -541 -580 -850 -868 -823 -345 -361.5	231.6 472 531 402 33 167 208 131 430 637 675 615 221
$\begin{array}{c} -207\\ -193\\ -200\\ -408\\ -414.5\\ -485\\ -475\\ -481\\ -437\\ -466.4\\ -603\\ -598\\ -602\\ -257\\ -257.5\\ -286\\ -267\\ -277\\ -257.5\\ -286\\ -267\\ -277\\ -257.5\\ -286\\ -267\\ -277\\ -257.5\\ -286\\ -267\\ -277\\ -252\\ -257\\ -277\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 35\\ 70\\ 17\\ -386\\ -401\\ -381\\ -410\\ -150\\ -213\\ -193\\ -208\\ -124\\ -122.5\\ -59\\ -20\\ -100\\ \end{array}$	-219 -188 -231 -419 -486 -455 -493 -580 -745 -732 -776 -300 -288.4 -288	-437 -461 -385 -419 -568 -589 -541 -580 -850 -868 -823 -345 -361.5	472 531 402 33 167 208 131 430 637 675 615 221
$\begin{array}{c} -193 \\ -193 \\ -200 \\ -408 \\ -414.5 \\ -485 \\ -475 \\ -481 \\ -437 \\ -466.4 \\ -603 \\ -598 \\ -602 \\ -257 \\ -257.5 \\ -286 \\ -267 \\ -277 \\ -277 \\ -212 \\ -277 \\ -212 \\ -21$	$\begin{array}{c} 70\\ 70\\ 17\\ -386\\ -401\\ -381\\ -410\\ -150\\ -213\\ -193\\ -208\\ -124\\ -122.5\\ -59\\ -20\\ -100\\ \end{array}$	-188 -231 -419 -486 -455 -493 -580 -745 -732 -776 -300 -288.4 -288	-461 -385 -419 -568 -589 -541 -580 -850 -868 -823 -345 -361.5	531 402 33 167 208 131 430 637 675 615 221
$\begin{array}{c} -195\\ -200\\ -408\\ -414.5\\ -485\\ -475\\ -481\\ -437\\ -466.4\\ -603\\ -598\\ -602\\ -257\\ -257.5\\ -286\\ -267\\ -277\\ -277\\ -212\\ -277\\ -212\\$	-17 -386 -401 -381 -410 -150 -213 -193 -208 -124 -122.5 -59 -20 -100	-231 -419 -486 -455 -493 -580 -745 -732 -776 -300 -288.4 -288	-385 -419 -568 -589 -541 -580 -850 -868 -823 -345 -361.5	402 33 167 208 131 430 637 675 615 221
$\begin{array}{c} -408 \\ -414.5 \\ -485 \\ -475 \\ -481 \\ -437 \\ -466.4 \\ -603 \\ -598 \\ -602 \\ -257 \\ -257.5 \\ -286 \\ -267 \\ -277 \\ -277 \\ -212 \end{array}$	-386 -401 -381 -410 -150 -213 -193 -208 -124 -122.5 -59 -20 -100	-419 -486 -455 -493 -580 -745 -732 -776 -300 -288.4 -288	-419 -568 -589 -541 -580 -850 -868 -823 -345 -361.5	33 167 208 131 430 637 675 615 221
$\begin{array}{c} -408 \\ -414.5 \\ -485 \\ -475 \\ -481 \\ \\ -437 \\ -466.4 \\ -603 \\ -598 \\ -602 \\ \\ -257 \\ -257.5 \\ -286 \\ -267 \\ -277 \\ \end{array}$	-386 -401 -381 -410 -150 -213 -193 -208 -124 -122.5 -59 -20 -100	-419 -486 -455 -493 -580 -745 -732 -776 -300 -288.4 -288	-419 -568 -589 -541 -580 -850 -868 -823 -345 -361.5	33 167 208 131 430 637 675 615 221
$\begin{array}{c} -410.5 \\ -414.5 \\ -485 \\ -475 \\ -481 \\ \\ -437 \\ -466.4 \\ -603 \\ -598 \\ -602 \\ \\ -257 \\ -257.5 \\ -286 \\ -267 \\ -277 \\ \\ -277 \\ \end{array}$	-380 -401 -381 -410 -150 -213 -193 -208 -124 -122.5 -59 -20 -100	-419 -486 -455 -493 -580 -745 -732 -776 -300 -288.4 -288	-568 -589 -541 -580 -850 -868 -823 -345 -361.5	167 208 131 430 637 675 615 221
$\begin{array}{c} -417.3 \\ -485 \\ -475 \\ -481 \\ -437 \\ -466.4 \\ -603 \\ -598 \\ -602 \\ -257 \\ -257.5 \\ -257.5 \\ -286 \\ -267 \\ -277 \\ -277 \\ -212 \\ -$	-401 -381 -410 -150 -213 -193 -208 -124 -122.5 -59 -20 -100	-486 -455 -493 -580 -745 -732 -776 -300 -288.4 -288	-568 -589 -541 -580 -850 -868 -823 -345 -361.5	167 208 131 430 637 675 615 221
$\begin{array}{c} -483 \\ -475 \\ -481 \\ -437 \\ -466.4 \\ -603 \\ -598 \\ -602 \\ \\ -257 \\ -257.5 \\ -286 \\ -267 \\ -277 \\ \\ -277 \\ \end{array}$	-401 -381 -410 -150 -213 -193 -208 -124 -122.5 -59 -20 -100	-480 -455 -493 -580 -745 -732 -776 -300 -288.4 -288	-589 -589 -541 -580 -850 -868 -823 -345 -361.5	107 208 131 430 637 675 615 221
$\begin{array}{c} -473 \\ -481 \\ -437 \\ -466.4 \\ -603 \\ -598 \\ -602 \\ -257 \\ -257.5 \\ -286 \\ -267 \\ -277 \\ -277 \\ -212 $	-381 -410 -150 -213 -193 -208 -124 -122.5 -59 -20 -100	-433 -493 -580 -745 -732 -776 -300 -288.4 -288	-580 -541 -580 -850 -868 -823 -345 -361.5	208 131 430 637 675 615 221
$\begin{array}{c} -481 \\ -437 \\ -466.4 \\ -603 \\ -598 \\ -602 \\ \\ -257 \\ -257.5 \\ -286 \\ -267 \\ -277 \\ \\ -277 \\ \end{array}$	-150 -213 -193 -208 -124 -122.5 -59 -20 -100	-493 -580 -745 -732 -776 -300 -288.4 -288	-580 -850 -868 -823 -345 -361.5	430 637 675 615 221
-437 -466.4 -603 -598 -602 -257 -257.5 -286 -267 -277	-150 -213 -193 -208 -124 -122.5 -59 -20 -100	-580 -745 -732 -776 -300 -288.4 -288	-580 -850 -868 -823 -345 -361.5	430 637 675 615 221
$\begin{array}{c} -437\\ -466.4\\ -603\\ -598\\ -602\\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} -257\\ -257.5\\ -286\\ -267\\ -277\\ \end{array}$	-130 -213 -193 -208 -124 -122.5 -59 -20 -100	-745 -732 -776 -300 -288.4 -288	-380 -850 -868 -823 -345 -361.5	637 675 615 221
-466.4 -603 -598 -602 -257 -257.5 -286 -267 -277	-213 -193 -208 -124 -122.5 -59 -20 -100	-745 -732 -776 -300 -288.4 -288	-850 -868 -823 -345 -361.5	637 675 615 221
-603 -598 -602 -257 -257.5 -286 -267 -277	-213 -193 -208 -124 -122.5 -59 -20 -100	-745 -732 -776 -300 -288.4 -288	-850 -868 -823 -345 -361.5	637 675 615 221
-598 -602 -257 -257.5 -286 -267 -277	-193 -208 -124 -122.5 -59 -20 -100	-732 -776 -300 -288.4 -288	-868 -823 -345 -361.5	675 615 221
-602 -257 -257.5 -286 -267 -277	-208 -124 -122.5 -59 -20 -100	-776 -300 -288.4 -288	-823 -345 -361.5	615 221
-257 -257.5 -286 -267 -277	-124 -122.5 -59 -20 -100	-300 -288.4 -288	-345 -361.5	221
-257 -257.5 -286 -267 -277	-124 -122.5 -59 -20 -100	-300 -288.4 -288	-345 -361.5	221
-257.5 -286 -267 -277	-122.5 -59 -20 -100	-288.4 -288	-361.5	
-286 -267 -277	-59 -20 -100	-288		239.0
-267 -277	-20 -100		-512	453
-277	-100	-303	-478	458
242		-238	-494	394
0.40				
-242	-93	-293	-340	247
-242.6	-86.2	-264.1	-377.4	291.2
-249	22	-270	-498	520
-228	63	-281	-467	530
-239	-20	-220	-476	456
-316	-161	-292	-494	333
-364.7				
-396	-178	-352	-627	449
-370	-142	-336	-633	491
-379	-191	-334	-611	420
-403	-224	-438	-548	324
-364 7				
-576	-363	-646	-720	357
-563	-347	-620	-723	376
-567	-324	-661	-715	391
	52.	001	, 10	571
-242	-155	-241	-331	176
-2-72	-1.5.5	271	551	170
-204	_10	-300	-460	411
-211	-49	-322	_459	411
-230	-4 57	-300	_4/9	201
-207	-57	-271	-++0	591
20	252	-08	-245	409
-30	233	-70	-243	490
-20.4	260	40	_170	600
-70	200	-42	-417	725
	518	-12		
-37	273	-163	-240	522
	$\begin{array}{c} -239\\ -316\\ -364.7\\ -396\\ -370\\ -379\\ -403\\ -364.7\\ -576\\ -563\\ -567\\ -242\\ -264\\ -277\\ -256\\ -267\\ -264\\ -277\\ -256\\ -267\\ -30\\ -28.4\\ -70\\ -37\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{ccccccc} -239 & -20 \\ & -316 & -161 \\ & -364.7 & & \\ & -396 & -178 \\ & -370 & -142 \\ & -379 & -191 \\ & -403 & -224 \\ & -364.7 & & \\ & -364.7 & & \\ & -363 & -347 \\ & -567 & -363 \\ & -363 & -347 \\ & -567 & -324 \\ & -242 & -155 \\ & -264 & & \\ & -277 & -49 \\ & -256 & -4 \\ & -267 & -57 \\ & -30 & 253 \\ & -28.4 & & \\ & -70 & 260 \\ & -37 & 318 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Table 4.2 Experimental and Theoretical Chemical Shift Tensors^{a)} for Tris-Organophosphine Selenides.

7. The selenium chemical shift tensor principal components of Me₃PSe, from Herzfeld-Berger analysis of the MAS spectrum (not shown), and indirect spin-spin coupling parameters, J_{iso} and ΔJ , are in good agreement with those of an investigation by Grossmann et al. on 70% ⁷⁷Se enriched 7 (see Table 4.2).²⁷ There exists a single selenium environment that is coupled to the phosphorus with an indirect spin-spin coupling constant, ${}^{1}J$ (⁷⁷Se, ${}^{31}P$)_{iso}, of -656 Hz, and a direct dipolar coupling constant of 990 Hz (calculated from $r_{PSe} = 2.111$ Å⁹⁵), which results in a value of 700 Hz for ΔJ (equation 4.2).

The crystal structure for **7** does not possess a C_3 symmetry axis along the P-Se bond,⁹⁵ and thus three distinct principal components are calculated consistent with the non-axially symmetric chemical shift tensor observed experimentally. The calculated chemical shift tensors at the NR, SR, and SO levels of theory are compared in Table 4.2 with the experimental values obtained herein, and by Grossmann et al.²⁷ for solid Me₃PSe. The deviation in the calculations of the individual principal components manifests itself in larger spans. All of the calculations produce similarly oriented tensors, predicting that δ_{33} lies approximately along the P-Se vector. This is in agreement with the simulation of the ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum of a stationary sample (not shown) and based on a dipolar-splitting-ratio method investigation of this compound.²⁷

8. Figure 4.8 shows the calculated and experimental spectra for tris-(*tert*-butyl)phosphine selenide (^tBu₃PSe) under MAS and stationary conditions. The MAS spectrum yields the components of the selenium chemical shift tensor, as well as the isotropic spin-spin coupling constant, ${}^{1}J$ (77 Se, 31 P)_{iso}, -693 Hz. The principal components of the chemical shift tensor given in Table 4.2 are the first to be reported for compound 8. The solid-state





values of δ_{iso} and ${}^{1}J({}^{77}\text{Se},{}^{31}\text{P})_{iso}$ agree well with the values from ${}^{31}\text{P}$ and ${}^{77}\text{Se}$ solution NMR.¹⁴⁰ The spectrum of a stationary sample, together with the information from the MAS spectrum, yields the effective dipolar coupling constant, R_{eff} , 660 Hz. The dipolar coupling constant, R_{DD} , is calculated to be 960 Hz ($r_{PSe} = 2.133 \text{ Å}^{96}$) requiring a ΔJ of 900 Hz (equation 4.2).

The δ_{ii} values from the ZORA DFT calculations for ^tBu₃PSe do not reproduce the experimental values or their orientations, which is not surprising considering the extremely small span observed, $\Omega(\text{Expt.})$, 33 ppm. From the dipolar-splitting-ratio analysis of the spectrum of a stationary sample (Figure 4.8b) and the known molecular environment, the unique component of shielding, δ_{11} , should lie along the direction of the P-Se bond. The NR and SR calculations incorrectly predict that δ_{33} is closest to the P-Se vector, and the SO calculation determines that all of the principal components are approximately equidistant from the internuclear vector (inset Figure 4.8).

9. The calculated and experimental spectra of MAS samples for tri-cyclohexylphosphine selenide (Cyc₃PSe) are given in Figure 4.9. Due to the length of time required to obtain the MAS spectrum, the corresponding spectrum of a stationary sample for **9** was not acquired. The principal components of the chemical shift tensor are given in Table 4.2, and the isotropic chemical shift agrees well with the solution value previously reported.¹⁴¹ A value of -682 Hz for ${}^{1}J({}^{77}\text{Se},{}^{31}\text{P})_{iso}$ was obtained, which compares very well with the values from a solution and solid-state ${}^{31}\text{P}$ NMR investigation.⁹⁷

The majority of the generally observed trends for the calculations are upheld in the calculation of the chemical shift tensor for **9**. A specific exception occurs for



Figure 4.9 Selenium-77 RACP MAS NMR and calculated spectra for Cyc₃PSe (9). Experimental conditions: 9.4 T, 4912 scans, spinning at 4.0 kHz, 300 Hz of line broadening, a 1.0 ms contact time, and a 20 s recycle delay. The *J*-coupled isotropic peaks are labeled with asterisks (*). Inset is a schematic of compound 9 showing the orientation of the selenium chemical shift tensor calculated at the scalar with spin-orbit relativistic level of theory.

 δ_{11} (Calc.) where the shielding is overestimated compared to the experimentally determined value. Despite this, the larger than experimentally observed values of Ω (Calc.) are a result of the small calculated values of δ_{33} . All calculations predict that the δ_{33} component is closest to the P-Se vector, as shown inset in Figure 4.9.

10. There are two non-equivalent Ph_3PSe molecules in the unit cell.⁹⁸ The chemical shift tensors have been labeled in the same manner as in a previous investigation of **10** by Grossmann et al.,²⁷ and our values obtained at natural abundance agree well with those from their investigation on 70% ⁷⁷Se enriched **10**. The isotropic spin-spin coupling constants, -733 and -736 Hz for **10a** and **10b**, are also in agreement with values reported earlier.

The calculations for the two non-equivalent selenium environments, **10a** and **10b**, given in Table 4.2 show slight variation between the computational methods employed, and adhere to the general trends shown in Figures 4.2 – 4.4. The calculated orientations for the chemical shift tensors for the selenium atoms in **10a** and **10b** are unique to the organophosphine selenides investigated in that it is δ_{22} that lies approximately along the P-Se direction, and this is consistent with the orientation from a dipolar-splitting-ratio method investigation of 70% enriched Ph₃P⁷⁷Se.²⁷ The orientation shows some parallels with the ¹⁷O chemical shift tensors in the monoclinic and orthorhombic forms of Ph₃PO, where both crystallographic forms have δ_{11} oriented along the P-O vector.¹⁴⁴ With δ_{11} along the P-O direction in Ph₃PO it was inferred from the value of the shielding that the bonding environment is more appropriately represented by Ph₃P⁺-O⁻ according to Ramsey's theory of nuclear magnetic shielding.^{51,52} In both chemical shift tensors for **10**, δ_{22} lies along the P-Se vector, and indicates that a similarly small deshielding occurs

along this direction consistent with a polarized, Ph_3P^+ -Se⁻, description of the phosphorusselenium bond.

11. The spectrum of an MAS sample for 5-phenyldibenzophosphine 5-selenide, and its best-fit simulation are given in Figure 4.10. While similar in skeletal structure and number of molecules in the asymmetric unit to **10**, **11** possesses a dibenzophosphole moiety (inset of Figure 4.10). A spectrum of a stationary sample could not be obtained in a reasonable amount of time to afford sufficient analysis. The isotropic chemical shifts, -316 and -403 ppm, and indirect spin-spin coupling constants, -733 and -768 Hz, for **11a** and **11b** respectively, are in reasonable agreement with the corresponding motionally averaged values found in a CDCl₃ solution,¹⁴² as well as the ${}^{1}J({}^{77}\text{Se},{}^{31}\text{P})_{iso}$ values from a solid-state phosphorus-31 NMR investigation.¹⁴⁵

The values of δ_{ii} (Calc.) for **11a** and **11b** are compared with the experimentally determined values in Table 4.2. The relatively accurate values of Ω (Calc.) by all methods results from the lack of overestimation for the values of δ_{11} (Calc.) as generally observed for the chemical shift tensors calculated in this investigation. The orientations calculated for compound **11** are the only ones investigated in this study that display significant differences between the two selenium environments **a** and **b**. All DFT calculations indicate that the selenium chemical shift tensor for **11a** is oriented such that δ_{11} is perpendicular to the Se-P vector, and that this vector bisects the δ_{22} -Se- δ_{33} angle. While similar to that determined for **11a**, δ_{22} for **11b** is perpendicular to the Se-P bond, and it is the δ_{11} -Se- δ_{33} angle that is bisected by the bond vector. As for **11a** the calculated orientations for **11b** are consistent regardless of the level of theory employed.



Figure 4.10 5-phenyldibenzophosphine 5-selenide (11) simulated and experimental RACP MAS ⁷⁷Se spectra obtained at 9.4 T, 2906 scans, 4.2 kHz MAS, line broadened to 80 Hz, a 15.0 ms contact time, and a pulse delay of 60 s. The *J*-coupled isotropic peaks are labeled with asterisks (*). Inset are schematics of compounds **11a** and **11b** showing the orientation of the selenium chemical shift tensors calculated at the scalar with spinorbit relativistic level of theory.

12. The calculated and experimental spectra for tri-*para*-tolylphosphine selenide (*p*-Tol₃PSe) under MAS conditions are given in Figure 4.11. A spectrum of a stationary sample was not recorded. The experimental values of δ_{iso} , -242 ppm, and ${}^{1}J({}^{77}Se,{}^{31}P)_{iso}$, -732 Hz, compare well with the corresponding solution ${}^{77}Se$ NMR values previously reported.¹⁴³ The principal components of the chemical shift tensor for **12** are reported in Table 4.2.

The calculated chemical shift tensor for selenium in *p*-Tol₃PSe was obtained with the NR, SR, and SO calculations. The value of $\delta_{iso}(Expt.)$ is well reproduced, and the individual principal components achieve slightly poorer agreement by all methods, as expected. Each of the calculations arrive at similarly oriented selenium chemical shift tensors. The Se-P vector is directed perpendicular to δ_{11} , and bisects the δ_{22} -Se- δ_{33} angle (inset Figure 4.11). Despite structure similarities with **10**, the orientation of the chemical shift tensor PAS for **12** is different; however, orientations can differ when relatively small spans are encountered.

13. The experimental and simulated spectra for tris-2,4,6-trimethoxyphenylphosphine selenide (TTMPSe) are given in Figure 4.12. A spectrum for stationary **13** was not acquired. The principal components of the chemical shift tensor of **13** are given in Table 4.2. The span of the tensor is the largest observed of the organophosphine selenides investigated. The isotropic chemical shift, -30 ppm, and indirect spin-spin coupling constant, -735 Hz, are in excellent agreement with previously reported solution ⁷⁷Se NMR values.¹⁴³



Figure 4.11 CP MAS ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum and the calculated spectrum for p-Tol₃PSe (**12**). Experimental parameters: 9.4 T, 642 transients, 1.5 kHz MAS, 30 Hz line broadening, a contact time of 15.0 ms, and a 30 s recycle delay. The *J*-coupled isotropic peaks are labeled with asterisks (*). Inset is a schematic of compound **12** showing the orientation of the selenium chemical shift tensor calculated at the scalar with spin-orbit relativistic level of theory.



Figure 4.12 Experimental ⁷⁷Se CP MAS NMR and calculated spectra for TTMPSe (13) at 9.4 T. Experimental parameters: 15212 scans, spinning at 2.4 kHz, 100 Hz of line broadening, a 10.0 ms contact time, and a 4 s pulse delay. The *J*-coupled isotropic peaks are labeled with asterisks (*). Inset is a schematic of compound **13** showing the orientation of the selenium chemical shift tensor calculated at the scalar with spin-orbit relativistic level of theory.

It is apparent from Table 4.2 that the NR and SR calculations have the greatest difficulty in reproducing the experimental value of δ_{33} . However, the SO calculation accurately reproduces the experimental value of δ_{33} , and subsequently reproduces the entire chemical shift tensor for selenium to a greater extent in **13**. This improvement in calculating the entire chemical shift tensor is reflected in a more accurate value of Ω (Calc.) with respect to Ω (Expt.) (Table 4.2); however, the origin of the spin-orbit coupling effect for **13** is unclear in relation to the apparent lack of such a contribution for compounds **7** – **12**. All levels of theory predict that δ_{33} lies closest to the P-Se bond, as depicted inset in Figure 4.12.

Upon review of compounds 7 - 13, it is apparent that even minor peripheral modifications to the phosphine selenide functional group can produce differences in the magnitude and orientation of the principal components of the selenium chemical shift tensor. All of the selenium chemical shift tensor principal components for the organophosphine selenides investigated show relatively small deshieldings along the direction of the P-Se bond indicating that the bonding environment is most appropriately described by a polarized, R_3P^+ -Se⁻, representation. In general the computations perform well regardless of the level of inclusion of relativistic effects.

4.4.4 Inorganic Selenium Compounds

While selenium will likely find itself in as many, if not more, different inorganic molecular environments as found in organoselenium compounds, the most likely to occur include selenium in a highly coordinated environment, selenium as a terminal moiety and selenium as a bridging nucleus between inorganic nuclei. Thus, we investigated

Compounds.					
Î	δ_{iso}	δ_{11}	δ_{22}	δ_{33}	Ω
14					
Expt ^{b)}	1040.2	1076	1038	1008	68
C)	1040.2	1074 8	1037.8	1008.0	66.8
NR	941	973	959	891	82
SR	952	985	973	899	86
SO	981	1015	1001	928	87
15a				20	
Expt. ^{b)}	1338	1815	1787	412	1403
NR	1742	2306	1567	1353	953
SR	1430	2092	1488	710	1382
so	1367	2030	1468	602	1428
15b					
Expt. ^{b)}	1256	1842	1561	364	1478
NR	1525	2049	1533	992	1057
SR	1254	1882	1423	456	1426
SO	1188	1808	1410	347	1461
15c					
Expt. ^{b)}	1155	1591	1584	291	1300
NR	1449	2016	1177	1153	863
SR	1204	1866	1107	638	1228
SO	1139	1793	1114	509	1284
16					
Expt. ^{d)}	2572.3				
NR	2789	4273	3951	142	4131
SR	2828	4331	4045	108	4223
SO	2817	4254	4204	-8	4262

Table 4.3 Experimental and Theoretical Chemical Shift Tensors^{a)} for Inorganic Selenium Compounds

^{a)} Chemical shifts in ppm with respect to external Me₂Se.

^{b)} This Work. ^{c)} Ref. ⁷³ ^{d)} Ref. ¹⁴⁶

compounds 14 - 16 as representative examples.

14. Selenate anions are common oxidation products in selenium chemistry. Figure 4.13 shows the ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum of stationary (NH₄)₂SeO₄, along with its simulation. The principal components of the chemical shift tensor are compared with the earlier values of Collins et al.⁷³ in Table 4.3. The agreement is excellent for all components noting that, as ammonium selenate was employed as the secondary reference its isotropic shift was set to that reported previously, perfect agreement in δ_{iso} is obviously achieved.⁷³

The agreement between the calculated and experimental chemical shift tensors results in well reproduced values of δ_{iso} and Ω (Table 4.3). The orientations calculated by



igure 4.13 CP static ⁷⁷Se NMR and calculated spectra for $(NH_4)_2SeO_4$ (14) acquired at 9.4 T, requiring 188 transients, 100 Hz of line broadening, a contact time of 10.0 ms, and a recycle delay of 4 s. Inset is a schematic of compound 14 showing the orientation of the selenium chemical shift tensor calculated at the scalar with spin-orbit relativistic level of theory.

all of the theoretical methods employed agree in their determination, and that δ_{33} is parallel with a Se-O vector, as shown inset within Figure 4.13.

15. Selenotungstates have recently been utilized as selenium transfer agents in the preparation of organic diselenides.¹⁴⁷ The calculated and experimental spectra for $(NH_4)_2WSe_4$ are given in Figure 4.14. The tungsten sits on a mirror plane that contains two of the selenium atoms.¹⁰³ This yields three distinct chemical shift tensors for the four selenium atoms, whose principal components are given in Table 4.3, where **15a** corresponds to the two crystallographically equivalent selenium atoms. All of the chemical shift tensors for the selenium nuclei of $(NH_4)_2WSe_4$ have very large spans, and **15b** has the largest experimental span observed for all of the compounds investigated in this study.

A number of distinctions occur for compound **15** from the general observations in the calculations of the chemical shift tensors for the majority of the compounds investigated herein. While the δ_{11} (Calc.) values from all three methods for **15a**, and **15c** overestimate the corresponding experimental values, the calculations do not underestimate δ_{33} . The non-relativistic calculations for all three chemical shift tensors for **15** significantly overestimate the value of δ_{33} (Table 4.3). Both relativistic calculations come much closer to reproducing δ_{33} (Expt.), and as a consequence of this achieve more accurate values of the span than the NR calculations (Table 4.3 and Figure 4.4). Thus a significant difference between the computation methods employed on the compounds investigated in this study occurs between the non-relativistic and the two relativistic calculations for all of the chemical shift tensors for **15**. All levels of theory indicate that



Figure 14. Experimental ⁷⁷Se CP MAS and simulated spectra for $(NH_4)_2WSe_4$ (15). Experimental conditions at 9.4 T: 1868 scans, $v_{rot} = 5.0$ kHz, line broadened to 200 Hz, a contact time of 15.0 ms, and a 16 s pulse delay. Isotropic peaks are labeled with asterisks (*). Inset is a schematic of compound 15 showing the orientation of the selenium chemical shift tensor calculated at the scalar with spin-orbit relativistic level of theory.

the orientation of the chemical shift tensors for 15a, 15b, and 15c are such that the direction of δ_{33} is directed along the Se-W vector (inset Figure 4.14).

16. The molecular environment within $[CpCr(CO)_2]_2$ Se possesses a bridging selenium between the two chromium centers. Such an environment, similar to the organic dialkyl selenides, can be found commonly in inorganic selenium compounds. Unfortunately, we were unable to characterize the selenium chemical shift tensor of this compound experimentally. Given the accuracy and predictive capability of the DFT calculations in the previous compounds investigated, the magnetic shielding tensor was calculated. The crystal structure of this compound has previously been reported, and indicates the presence of a single selenium atom in the asymmetric unit.¹⁰⁴ Thus only one magnetic shielding tensor was calculated, and the isotropic shift of the corresponding chemical shift tensor could then be compared with a solution value determined by Dean and coworkers (see Table 4.3).¹⁴⁶ The calculated values of δ_{11} and δ_{22} are approximately of the same magnitude, and are extremely deshielded with respect to the reference. The δ_{33} (Calc.) direction is significantly more shielded than the previous two principal components. The large difference between δ_{11} and δ_{33} yields a tensor with the largest value of Ω calculated in this study. The large span may be the cause of the difficulty in observing the chemical shift tensor experimentally. Due to the lack of experimental values of δ_{ii} , the only point of comparison available is with the solution value of δ_{iso} . The large deshielded isotropic resonance observed experimentally is adequately reproduced considering the potential of solvent effects and solid to solution shifts that may affect the bridging selenium in this compound. The calculated orientation of the chemical shift tensors are in agreement, regardless of the method employed, and δ_{33} is parallel with the

approximately linear Cr-Se-Cr vector. The plane normal to this vector containing the selenium is composed by the two extremely deshielded components, δ_{11} and δ_{22} .

4.5 Summary

Selenium chemical shift tensors for a wide variety of compounds were investigated representing the entire known isotropic chemical shift range of selenium. ZORA DFT calculations complement the experimental work and suggest that the orientation of the selenium chemical shift tensor is not only sensitive to what is directly bonded to the selenium atom, but also to the next nearest neighbors and beyond. The calculations were carried out with varying degrees of relativistic corrections applied in an effort to assess the importance of relativistic effects. Isotropic chemical shifts were found to be calculated approximately equally well by all methods. Generally, the values of δ_{33} were underestimated by the calculations, and coupled with the overestimations for δ_{11} (Calc.); overestimated calculated spans often resulted. The large underestimation of $\Omega(NR)$ for (NH₄)₂WSe₄ is unique out of all of the spans obtained. This results from the failure of the NR calculation to reproduce δ_{33} (Expt.), noting that the direction of this principal component is predicted by the calculations to coincide with the direction of the Se-W bond. Tungsten is the heaviest element (Z = 74) in all of the compounds investigated, and it is not surprising that relativistic calculations are required to properly describe the magnetic shielding interaction for selenium in ammonium selenotungstate. Considering all of the selenium chemical shift tensors investigated in this study the scalar with spinorbit relativistic calculations generally performed better, if only slightly, than the nonrelativistic and scalar relativistic calculations. The size of a given selenium containing
system will determine whether or not the additional computational time required for the relativistic calculations are feasible. Should the selenium atom find itself bonded to a heavier element, such as tungsten, the need for the inclusion of relativistic effects becomes warranted.

4.6 References

(1) Alivisatos, A. P. *Science* **1996**, *271*, 933-937.

(2) Xu, R.; Husmann, A.; Rosenbaum, T. F.; Saboungi, M.-L.; Enderby, J. E.; Littlewood, P. B. *Nature* **1997**, *390*, 57-60.

(3) Johnson, J. A.; Saboungi, M.-L.; Thiyagarajan, P.; Csencsits, R.; Meisel, D. J. *Phys. Chem. B* **1999**, *103*, 59-63.

(4) Mayers, B.; Jiang, X.; Sunderland, D.; Cattle, B.; Xia, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 13364-13365.

(5) Terasaki, O.; Yamazaki, K.; Thomas, J. M.; Ohsuna, T.; Watanabe, D.; Sanders, J. V.; Barry, J. C. *Nature* **1987**, *330*, 58-60.

(6) Endo, H.; Inui, M.; Yao, M.; Tamura, K.; Hoshino, H.; Katayama, Y.; Maruyama, K. Z. Phys. Chem. Neue Folge **1988**, 156, 507-511.

(7) Parise, J. B.; MacDougall, J. E.; Herron, N.; Farlee, R.; Sleight, A. W.; Wang, Y.; Bein, T.; Moller, K.; Moroney, L. M. *Inorg. Chem.* **1988**, *27*, 221-228.

(8) Nozue, Y.; Kodaira, T.; Terasaki, O.; Yamazaki, K.; Goto, T.; Watanabe, D.; Thomas, J. M. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **1990**, *2*, 5209-5217.

(9) Goldbach, A.; Iton, L. E.; Saboungi, M.-L. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1997, 281, 69-73.

(10) Goldbach, A.; Saboungi, M.-L. Acc. Chem. Res. 2005, 38, 705-712.

(11) Oldfield, J. E. J. Nutr. **1987**, 117, 2002-2008.

(12) Böck, A.; Forchhammer, K.; Heider, J.; Leinfelder, W.; Sawers, G.; Veprek, B.; Zinoni, F. *Mol. Microbiol.* **1991**, *5*, 515-520.

(13) Salzmann, M.; Stocking, E. M.; Silks III, L. A.; Senn, H. Magn. Reson. Chem. **1999**, *37*, 672-675.

(14) Mikulec, F. V.; Kuno, M.; Bennati, M.; Hall, D. A.; Griffin, R. G.; Bawendi, M. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2000**, 122, 2532 - 2540.

(15) Liu, Y.; Li, L.; Fan, Z.; Zhang, H.-Y.; Wu, X.; Guan, X.-D.; Liu, S.-X. *Nano Lett.* **2002**, *2*, 257-261.

(16) Zelakiewicz, B. S.; Yonezawa, T.; Tong, Y.-Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 8112-8113.

(17) Berrettini, M. G.; Braun, G.; Hu, J. G.; Strouse, G. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 7063-7070.

(18) Duddeck, H. Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc. 1995, 27, 1-323.

(19) Duddeck, H. Annu. Rep. NMR Spectrosc. 2004, 52, 105-166.

(20) Pulay, P. In *Calculation of NMR and EPR Parameters*; Kaupp, M., Bühl, M., Malkin, V. G., Eds.; Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA: Weinheim, 2004, p XIII.

(21) McFarlane, H. C. E.; McFarlane, W. In *NMR of Newly Accessible Nuclei*; Laszlo, P., Ed.; Academic Press: New York, 1983; Vol. 2, pp 275-299.

(22) Luthra, N. P.; Odom, J. D. In *The Chemistry of Organic Selenium and Tellurium Compounds*; Patai, S., Rappoport, Z., Eds.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1986; Vol. 1, pp 189-241.

(23) McFarlane, H. C. E.; McFarlane, W. In *Multinuclear NMR*; Mason, J., Ed.; Plenum Press: New York, 1987, pp 417-435.

(24) Klapötke, T. M.; Broschag, M. Compilation of Reported ⁷⁷Se NMR Chemical Shifts: Up to the Year 1994; Wiley: Chichester, 1996.

(25) Sefzik, T. H.; Turco, D.; Iuliucci, R. J.; Facelli, J. C. J. Phys. Chem. A 2005, 109, 1180-1187.

(26) Veeman, W.S. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London, A 1981, 299, 629-641.

(27) Grossmann, G.; Potrzebowski, M. J.; Fleischer, U.; Krüger, K.; Malkina, O. L.; Ciesielski, W. *Solid State Nuclear Magnetic Resonance* **1998**, *13*, 71-85.

(28) Yates, J. R.; Pickard, C. J.; Payne, M. C.; Mauri, F. J. Chem. Phys. 2003, 118, 5746-5753.

(29) Tossell, J. A.; Lazzeretti, P. J. Magn. Reson. 1988, 80, 39-44.

(30) Magyarfalvi, G.; Pulay, P. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1994, 225, 280-284.

(31) Bühl, M.; Thiel, W.; Fleischer, U.; Kutzelnigg, W. J. Phys. Chem. 1995, 99, 4000-4007.

(32) Schreckenbach, G.; Ruiz-Morales, Y.; Ziegler, T. J. Chem. Phys. **1996**, 104, 8605-8612.

(33) Nakanishi, W.; Hayashi, S. Chem. Lett. 1998, 523-524.

(34) Hayashi, S.; Nakanishi, W. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 6688-6696.

(35) Bernard, G. M.; Eichele, K.; Wu, G.; Kirby, C. W.; Wasylishen, R. E. Can. J. Chem. 2000, 78, 614-625.

(36) Campbell, J.; Mercier, H. P. A.; Santry, D. P.; Suontamo, R. J.; Borrmann, H.; Schrobilgen, G. J. *Inorg. Chem.* **2001**, *40*, 233-254.

- (37) Tattershall, B. W.; Sandham, E. L. J. Chem. Soc.-Dalton Trans. 2001, 1834-1840.
- (38) Wilson, P. J. Mol. Phys. 2001, 99, 363-367.
- (39) Bayse, C. A. Inorg. Chem. 2004, 43, 1208-1210.
- (40) Chesnut, D. B. Chem. Phys. 2004, 305, 237-241.
- (41) Bayse, C. A. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2005, 1, 1119-1127.
- (42) Chang, C.; Pelissier, M.; Durand, P. Phys. Scr. 1986, 34, 394-404.
- (43) van Lenthe, E.; Baerends, E. J.; Snijders, J. G. J. Chem. Phys. **1993**, *99*, 4597-4610.
- (44) van Lenthe, E.; Baerends, E. J.; Snijders, J. G. J. Chem. Phys. **1994**, 101, 9783-9792.

(45) van Lenthe, E.; van Leeuwen, R.; Baerends, E. J.; Snijders, J. G. Int. J. Quantum Chem. **1996**, *57*, 281-293.

- (46) Kennedy, M. A.; Ellis, P. D. Concepts Magn. Reson. 1989, 1, 35-47.
- (47) Kennedy, M. A.; Ellis, P. D. Concepts Magn. Reson. 1989, 1, 109-129.

(48) Power, W. P.; Mooibroek, S.; Wasylishen, R. E.; Cameron, T. S. J. Phys. Chem. **1994**, *98*, 1552-1560.

(49) Eichele, K.; Wu, G.; Wasylishen, R. E.; Britten, J. F. J. Phys. Chem. 1995, 99, 1030-1037.

(50) Eichele, K.; Wasylishen, R. E.; Corrigan, J. F.; Taylor, N. J.; Carty, A. J.; Feindel, K. W.; Bernard, G. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2002**, 124, 1541-1552.

- (51) Ramsey, N. F. *Molecular Beams*; Oxford University Press: London, 1956.
- (52) Ramsey, N. F. Phys. Rev. 1950, 78, 699-703.

- (53) Pyykkö, P. Theor. Chem. Acc. 2000, 103, 214-216.
- (54) Pyper, N. C. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1983, 96, 204-210.
- (55) Pyper, N. C. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1983, 96, 211-217.
- (56) Pyykkö, P. Chem. Phys. 1983, 74, 1-7.
- (57) Zhang, Z. C.; Webb, G. A. J. Mol. Struct. 1983, 104, 439-444.
- (58) Kolb, D.; Johnson, W. R.; Shorer, P. *Phys. Rev. A* **1982**, *26*, 19-31.

(59) Kutzelnigg, W. J. Comput. Chem. 1999, 20, 1199-1219.

(60) Vaara, J.; Malkina, O. L.; Stoll, H.; Malkin, V. G.; Kaupp, M. J. Chem. Phys. **2001**, *114*, 61-71.

(61) Autschbach, J.; Ziegler, T. In *Encyclopedia of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance*; Grant, D. M., Harris, R. K., Eds.; John Wiley and Sons, Ltd.: Chichester, U.K., 2002; Vol. 9, pp 306-323.

(62) Manninen, P.; Lantto, P.; Vaara, J.; Ruud, K. J. Chem. Phys. 2003, 119, 2623-2637.

(63) Pyykkö, P. In *Calculation of NMR and EPR Parameters*; Kaupp, M., Bühl, M., Malkin, V. G., Eds.; Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA: Weinheim, 2004, pp 7-19.

(64) Ramsey, N. F. Phys. Rev. 1953, 91, 303-307.

(65) Jameson, C. J. In *Multinuclear NMR*; Mason, J., Ed.; Plenum Press: New York, 1987, pp 89-131.

(66) Fukui, H. Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc. 1999, 35, 267-294.

(67) Contreras, R. H.; Peralta, J. E.; Giribet, C. G.; De Azua, M. C.; Facelli, J. C. *Annu. Rep. NMR Spectrosc.* **2000**, *41*, 55-184.

(68) Vaara, J.; Jokisaari, J.; Wasylishen, R. E.; Bryce, D. L. Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc. 2002, 41, 233-304.

(69) Wasylishen, R. E. In *Encyclopedia of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance*; Grant, D. M., Harris, R. K., Eds.; John Wiley and Sons, Ltd.: Chichester, 2002; Vol. 9, pp 274-282.

(70) Jameson, C. J. In Phosphorus-31 NMR Spectroscopy in Stereochemical Analysis.

Organic Compounds and Metal Complexes; Verkade, J. G., Quin, L. D., Eds.; VCH Publishers, Inc.: Deerfield Beach, 1987; Vol. 8, pp 205-230.

- (71) Eichele, K.; Wasylishen, R. E. J. Magn. Reson. Ser. A 1994, 106, 46-56.
- (72) Nicpon, P.; Meek, D. W. Inorg. Synth. 1967, 10, 157-159.
- (73) Collins, M. J.; Ratcliffe, C. I.; Ripmeester, J. A. J. Magn. Reson. **1986**, 68, 172-179.

(74) Bryce, D. L.; Bernard, G. M.; Gee, M.; Lumsden, M.; Eichele, K.; Wasylishen, R. E. Can. J. Anal. Sci. Spectrosc. 2001, 46, 46-82.

- (75) Herzfeld, J.; Berger, A. E. J. Chem. Phys. 1980, 73, 6021-6030.
- (76) Eichele, K.; Wasylishen, R. E.; 1.17.30 ed., 2001.
- (77) Schreckenbach, G.; Ziegler, T. J. Phys. Chem. 1995, 99, 606-616.
- (78) Schreckenbach, G.; Ziegler, T. Int. J. Quantum Chem. 1997, 61, 899-918.
- (79) Wolff, S. K.; Ziegler, T. J. Chem. Phys. 1998, 109, 895-905.
- (80) Theoretical Chemistry, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, http://www.scm.com.
- (81) Baerends, E. J.; Ellis, D. E.; Ros, P. Chem. Phys. 1973, 2, 41-51.
- (82) Versluis, L.; Ziegler, T. J. Chem. Phys. 1988, 88, 322-328.
- (83) te Velde, G.; Baerends, E. J. J. Comput. Phys. 1992, 99, 84-98.
- (84) Fonseca Guerra, C.; Snijders, J. G.; te Velde, G.; Baerends, E. J. *Theor. Chem. Acc.* **1998**, *99*, 391-403.
- (85) Vosko, S. H.; Wilk, L.; Nusair, M. Can. J. Phys. 1980, 58, 1200-1211.
- (86) Becke, A. D. Phys. Rev. A 1988, 38, 3098-3100.
- (87) Perdew, J. P. Phys. Rev. B 1986, 33, 8822-8824.
- (88) Perdew, J. P. Phys. Rev. B 1986, 34, 7406.

(89) Pathirana, H. M. K. K.; Weiss, T. J.; Reibenspies, J. H.; Zingaro, R. A.; Meyers, E. A. Z. Kristallogr. **1994**, 209, 697.

(90) Husebye, S.; Lindeman, S. V.; Rudd, M. D. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. C-Cryst. Struct. Commun. 1997, 53, 809-811.

(91) McCullough, J. D.; Hamburger, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1942, 64, 508-513.

(92) Rajeswaran, M.; Parthasarathy, R. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. C-Cryst. Struct. Commun. **1984**, 40, 647-650.

(93) Kistenmacher, T. J.; Emge, T. J.; Shu, P.; Cowan, D. O. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Sci. 1979, 35, 772-775.

(94) Marsh, R. E. Acta Crystallogr. 1952, 5, 458-462.

(95) Cogne, A.; Grand, A.; Laugier, J.; Robert, J. B.; Wiesenfeld, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1980**, 102, 2238-2242.

(96) Steinberger, H.-U.; Ziemer, B.; Meisel, M. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. C-Cryst. Struct. Commun. 2001, 57, 323-324.

(97) Davies, J. A.; Dutremez, S.; Pinkerton, A. A. Inorg. Chem. 1991, 30, 2380-2387.

(98) Jones, P. G.; Kienitz, C.; Thone, C. Z. Kristallogr. 1994, 209, 80-81.

(99) Alyea, E. C.; Ferguson, G.; Malito, J.; Ruhl, B. L. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. C-Cryst. Struct. Commun. 1986, 42, 882-884.

(100) Zhdanov, G. S.; Pospelov, V. A.; Umanskii, M. M.; Glushkova, V. P. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 1953, 92, 983-985.

(101) Allen, D. W.; Bell, N. A.; March, L. A.; Nowell, I. W. Polyhedron **1990**, *9*, 681-685.

(102) Carter, R. L.; Koerntgen, C.; Margulis, T. N. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Sci. 1977, 33, 592-593.

(103) Müller, A.; Krebs, B.; Beyer, H. Z. Naturforsch. 1968, 23B, 1537-1538.

(104) Goh, L. Y.; Wei, C.; Sinn, E. Chem. Commun. 1985, 462-464.

(105) Valeev, R. B.; Kalabin, G. A.; Kushnarev, D. F. Zh. Org. Khim. 1980, 16, 2482-2486.

(106) Luthra, N. P.; Dunlap, R. B.; Odom, J. D. J. Magn. Reson. 1983, 52, 318-322.

(107) Carr, S. W.; Colton, R. Aust. J. Chem. 1981, 34, 35-44.

(108) Facey, G.; Wasylishen, R. E.; Collins, M. J.; Ratcliffe, C. I.; Ripmeester, J. A. J. *Phys. Chem.* **1986**, *90*, 2047-2052.

(109) Jameson, C. J.; Jameson, A. K. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1987, 135, 254-259.

(110) Bühl, M.; Gauss, J.; Stanton, J. F. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1995, 241, 248-252.

(111) Balzer, G.; Duddeck, H.; Fleischer, U.; Röhr, F. Fresenius J. Anal. Chem. 1997, 357, 473-476.

(112) Nakanishi, W.; Hayashi, S. J. Phys. Chem. A 1999, 103, 6074-6081.

(113) Odom, J. D.; Dawson, W. H.; Ellis, P. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 5815-5822.

(114) Drabowicz, J.; Luczak, J.; Mikolajczyk, M. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 9565-9568.

(115) Potrzebowski, M. J.; Katarzynski, R.; Ciesielski, W. Magn. Reson. Chem. 1999, 37, 173-181.

(116) Dong, S.; Ida, R.; Wu, G. J. Phys. Chem. A 2000, 104, 11194-11202.

(117) Wong, T. C.; Ang, T. T.; Guziec, F. S.; Moustakis, C. A. J. Magn. Reson. 1984, 57, 463-470.

(118) Dong, S.; Yamada, K.; Wu, G. Z. Naturforsch., A 2000, 55, 21-28.

(119) Opella, S. J.; Frey, M. H.; Cross, T. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 5856-5857.

(120) Zumbulyadis, N.; Henrichs, P. M.; Young, R. H. J. Chem. Phys. 1981, 75, 1603-1611.

(121) Hexem, J. G.; Frey, M. H.; Opella, S. J. J. Chem. Phys. 1982, 77, 3847-3856.

(122) Menger, E. M.; Veeman, W. S. J. Magn. Reson. 1982, 46, 257-268.

(123) Böhm, J.; Fenzke, D.; Pfeifer, H. J. Magn. Reson. 1983, 55, 197-204.

(124) Olivieri, A. C.; Frydman, L.; Diaz, L. E. J. Magn. Reson. 1987, 75, 50-62.

(125) Alarcón, S. H.; Olivieri, A. C.; Harris, R. K. Solid State Nucl. Magn. Reson. 1993, 2, 325-334.

(126) Grondona, P.; Olivieri, A. C. Concepts Magn. Reson. 1993, 5, 319-339.

(127) Harris, R. K.; Olivieri, A. C. Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc. 1992, 24, 435 - 456.

(128) Saatsazov, V. V.; Khotsyanova, T. L.; Magdesieva, N. N.; Kuznetsov, S. I.; Alymov, I. M.; Kyandzhetsian, R. A.; Bryukhova, E. V. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim.* **1974**, *12*, 2850-2851.

(129) Saatsazov, V. V.; Khotsyanova, T. L.; Kazakov, V. P.; Bryukhova, E. V. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Fiz.* **1975**, *39*, 2535-2536.

(130) Collins, M. J.; Ripmeester, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 4113-4115.

(131) Clayden, N. J.; Dobson, C. M.; Lian, L.-Y.; Smith, D. J. J. Magn. Reson. 1986, 69, 476-487.

(132) Batchelor, R. J.; Einstein, F. W. B.; Gay, I. D.; Gu, J.-H.; Pinto, B. M.; Zhou, X.-M. Can. J. Chem. 2000, 78, 598-613.

(133) Frère, P.; Skabara, P. J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2005, 34, 69-98.

(134) Duddeck, H.; Bradenahl, R.; Stefaniak, L.; Jazwinski, J.; Kamienski, B. Magn. Reson. Chem. 2001, 39, 709-713.

(135) Jacques-Silva, M. C.; Nogueira, C. W.; Broch, L. C.; Flores, É. M. M.; Rocha, J. B. T. *Pharmacol. Toxicol.* **2001**, *88*, 119-125.

(136) Rossato, J. I.; Ketzer, L. A.; Centurião, F. B.; Silva, S. J. N.; Lüdtke, D. S.; Zeni,
G.; Braga, A. L.; Rubin, M. A.; Rocha, J. B. T. *Neurochem. Res.* 2002, *27*, 297-303.

(137) Maciel, E. N.; Flores, É. M. M.; Rocha, J. B. T.; Folmer, V. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 2003, 70, 470-476.

(138) Wirth, T. Molecules 1998, 3, 164-166.

(139) Wilson, S. R.; Zucker, P. A.; Huang, R.-R. C.; Spector, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1989**, *111*, 5936-5939.

(140) du Mont, W.-W. Z. Naturforsch. 1985, 40B, 1453-1456.

(141) Rajalingam, U.; Dean, P. A. W.; Jenkins, H. A. Can. J. Chem. 2000, 78, 590-597.

(142) Allen, D. W.; Taylor, B. F. J. Chem. Res.-S 1986, 392-393.

(143) Allen, D. W.; Nowell, I. W.; Taylor, B. F. J. Chem. Soc.-Dalton Trans. 1985, 2505-2508.

(144) Bryce, D. L.; Eichele, K.; Wasylishen, R. E. Inorg. Chem. 2003, 42, 5085-5096.

(145) Eichele, K.; Wasylishen, R. E.; Kessler, J. M.; Solujic, L.; Nelson, J. H. *Inorg. Chem.* **1996**, *35*, 3904-3912.

(146) Dean, P. A. W.; Goh, L. Y.; Gay, I. D.; Sharma, R. D. J. Organomet. Chem. 1997, 533, 1-5.

(147) Saravanan, V.; Porhiel, E.; Chandrasekaran, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 2257-2260.

Chapter 5

Probing Solid Iminobis(dialkylphosphine chalcogenide) Systems with Multinuclear Magnetic Resonance

5.1 Introduction

The coordination of monoanionic bidentate ligands toward metal and non-metal centers continues to provide a vast area of research interest. Acetylacetonate (acac) and its β-diketonate derivatives represent the ideological figurehead of monoanionic bidentate coordination chemistry.¹ Recently, β-diketonate precursors have been utilized in the fabrication of metal oxide thin films,² and other chemical vapor deposition applications.³ Interest in related metal chalcogenide materials has spurred the investigation of monoanionic bidentate ligands possessing the corresponding elements of Group 16. Some sulfur- and selenium-containing ligands such as dialkyldichalcogenophosphates, dialkyldichalcogenophosphinates, and dialkyldichalcogenocarbamates have appeared in the literature;⁴⁻⁶ however, their small 'bites', chalcogen-chalcogen interatomic distances, form complexes primarily in an anisobidentate fashion.⁷ The iminobis(dialkylphosphine chalcogenide) compounds, the so-called non-carbon (main group) analogues of acetylacetone, offer the opportunity to vary the donor chalcogen atom and variation of the alkyl group is more easily accessible than for the organic analogues.⁸ The fine-tuning available for specific metal complexation permits comparisons between the bonding in organic and inorganic analogues, knowledge widely sought throughout chemistry.⁹ While not isoelectronic, *acac* and dichalcogenoimidodiphosphinate systems are closely related, Scheme 5.1. An early example of the Group 10 complexes of $[N(Ph_2PSe)_2]^{-1}$

contained heterocyclic MSe₂P₂N rings that were puckered,¹⁰ unlike those of acetylacetonate which contain planar six-membered rings.^{11,12} The difference reflects the dichalcogenoimidodiphosphinate ligands ability to form delocalized systems without requiring planar rings due to the presence of low lying *d* orbitals.^{10,13,14} Binding geometries of these ligands are known to depend on the choice of chalcogen, alkyl group, and metal,^{13,15-22} allowing the formation of chelate rings and often resulting in symmetrical coordination patterns through the donor chalcogen atoms.^{8,23}



Scheme 5.1 Similarities between the structures of acetylacetone, left, and the iminobis(dialkylphosphine chalcogenide), right, systems.

Dichalcogenoimidodiphosphinate anions are versatile ligands with a strong tendency to form inorganic chelate rings, and numerous reviews of their complexes exist in the literature.^{15,24-26} In addition to applications as single-source precursors for solidstate metal chalcogenide materials,^{4-6,23,26-40} these bidentate ligands have found uses in the search for stereochemically active lone pairs,^{10,19-21,41-52} in catalysis,⁵³⁻⁵⁵ and in metal extraction processes,^{15,49,56-63} as lanthanide shift reagents,^{49,64-68} luminescent materials,⁶⁹ and as enzyme mimetics.⁷⁰⁻⁷²

Despite the considerable interest in the properties and chemistry of the complexes of dichalcogenoimidodiphosphinate ligands, relatively little is known about the neutral iminobis(dialkylphosphine chalcogenide), $HN(R_2PE)_2$.⁷³ Characterization of these systems has predominantly been performed by X-ray crystallography,^{10,43,44,74-79} often supported by infrared,^{44,80-83} Raman,^{82,83} and/or solution NMR spectroscopy.^{10,43,44,80,84} Solid-state NMR, being potentially more informative than solution NMR spectroscopy, would appear to be an appropriate technique for characterizing the $HN(R_2PE)_2$ ligands. Herein we present a solid-state ³¹P and ⁷⁷Se NMR investigation of the $HN(R_2PE)_2$ (E = 0, S, Se; R = Ph, ⁱPr) systems representing the first solid-state NMR investigation of the iminobis(dialkylphosphine chalcogenides).

5.2 Experimental

5.2.1 Sample Preparation

The phenyl and isopropyl derivatives of bis(dialkylphosphino)amine, $HN(R_2P)_2$ were prepared via the condensation reaction between hexamethyldisilazane, $HN(SiMe_3)_2$ (Aldrich), and the appropriate chlorodialkylphosphine, R_2PCl (Aldrich).^{81,85} Briefly, R_2PCl in toluene is added drop wise to a hot toluene solution of $HN(SiMe_3)_2$ and is maintained above 80 °C for at least two hours in order to remove the chlorotrimethylsilane byproduct by distillation. Oxidation to the corresponding iminobis(dialkylphosphine chalcogenide) was achieved from the subsequent reaction with hydrogen peroxide (Sigma-Aldrich) in an ice bath,^{81,86} or via reflux with elemental sulfur (Fisher),⁸¹ or elemental selenium (Aldrich),^{10,23} see Scheme 5.2. Literature X-ray crystal structures have been determined for all of the investigated iminobis-(dialkylphosphine chalcogenide) systems, $HN(R_2PE)_2$ (E = O; R = Ph⁷⁶ and ⁱPr⁴⁴, E = S; R = Ph⁷⁶⁻⁷⁸ and ⁱPr⁴³, E = Se; R = Ph¹⁰ and ⁱPr⁴⁴). A common difference between the R = Ph and $R = {}^{i}Pr$ structures of the HN(R₂PE)₂ systems is that while the EPNPE backbones in iminobis(diphenylphosphine chalcogenide) species are oriented *anti*, the HN(${}^{i}Pr_{2}PE$)₂ (E = O, S, Se) molecules all possess *gauche* conformations, see Figure 5.1.

$$\frac{\text{HN}(\text{SiMe}_{3})_{2} + 2R_{2}\text{PC1}}{\xrightarrow{-\text{Me}_{3}\text{SiCl}}} + \frac{\Delta}{\text{HN}(R_{2}\text{P})_{2}} \xrightarrow{\text{H}_{2}\text{O}_{2}}{\xrightarrow{\text{ice bath}}} + \frac{\text{HN}(R_{2}\text{PO})_{2}}{\xrightarrow{\text{ice bath}}} + \frac{\Delta}{\Delta} = S, Se$$

$$\frac{\text{HN}(R_{2}\text{PE})_{2}}{\text{HN}(R_{2}\text{PE})_{2}} \quad (E = S, Se)$$

Scheme 5.2 Iminobis(dialkylphosphine chalcogenide) preparation.



Figure 5.1 Common conformations encountered in iminobis(dialkylphosphine chalcogenide), $HN(R_2PE)_2$ (E = O, S, Se), systems.

5.2.2 NMR Experiments

Solid-state NMR investigations of powdered samples of $HN(R_2PE)_2]_2$ (E = O, S, Se; R = Ph, ⁱPr) were obtained on 4.7 T, 7.0 T and 11.7 T NMR spectrometers. The samples were packed in 4 mm (7.0 T and 11.7 T) and 7.5 mm (4.7 T) o.d. zirconium oxide rotors and were placed within probes suitable for magic angle spinning, MAS, NMR experiments. A ramped amplitude cross polarization, RACP, pulse sequence was used to acquire all spectra.⁸⁷ Proton decoupling fields of approximately 60 kHz were achieved via two-pulse phase-modulation, TPPM.⁸⁸ The ³¹P NMR spectra were referenced with respect to 85% H₃PO₄ (*aq*) by setting the isotropic ³¹P NMR peak of solid (NH₄)H₂PO₄ to 0.81 ppm.⁸⁹ Selenium-77 NMR spectra were referenced to Me₂Se (*l*) by setting the isotropic peak of solid ammonium selenate, (NH₄)₂SeO₄, to 1040.2 ppm.^{89,90}

Solid-state ³¹P NMR experiments were performed at Larmor frequencies of 81.0, 121.6 and 202.5 MHz, and at spinning frequencies ranging from 3.0 to 7.2 kHz. A total of between 64 and 128 scans were acquired per spectrum. Contact times between 1.5 and 6.5 ms, and pulse delays between 600 and 840 s for $HN(Ph_2PE)_2$ systems and between 7 and 18 s for $HN(^iPr_2PE)_2$ were employed.

Solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR measurements were performed at Larmor frequencies of 38.2, 57.3 and 95.4 MHz, and at spinning frequencies ranging from 2.0 to 14.0 kHz. A total of between 384 and 16384 scans were acquired per spectrum. Contact times between 6.5 and 10.0 ms, and pulse delays between 600 and 840 s for $HN(Ph_2PE)_2$ species and between 5 and 14 s for $HN(^iPr_2PE)_2$ were employed.

The principal components of the respective phosphorus and selenium chemical shift tensors, $\delta_{11} \ge \delta_{22} \ge \delta_{33}$, were determined from the experimental spectra via the procedure of Herzfeld and Berger.^{91,92} All experimental solid-state NMR spectra were simulated using the determined values with the program WSOLIDS⁹³ to assess the quality of the obtained parameters. This procedure results in errors of ± 0.2 ppm in the isotropic chemical shift, $\delta_{iso} = (1/3)(\delta_{11} + \delta_{22} + \delta_{33})$, and errors in the principal components about 1 - 3% of the span, $\Omega = \delta_{11} - \delta_{33}$, of the respective chemical shift tensor. Another useful quantity for describing the appearance of chemical shift powder patterns is the skew, $\kappa = 3(\delta_{22} - \delta_{iso})/\Omega$, where axial symmetry is described by $\kappa = +1$ or $-1.^{94}$

5.2.3 DFT Computations

Theoretical calculations of NMR parameters were performed on isolated molecules generated from the reported crystal structures. Magnetic shielding tensors, σ , were calculated using the NMR module⁹⁵⁻⁹⁷ of the Amsterdam Density Functional, ADF, program package.⁹⁸⁻¹⁰² The Vosko-Wilk-Nusair¹⁰³ local density approximation with the Becke88-Perdew86¹⁰⁴⁻¹⁰⁶ generalized gradient approximation were used for the exchange-correlation functional. Scalar relativistic corrections were carried out based on the implementation of the zeroth order regular approximation, ZORA, formalism.¹⁰⁷⁻¹¹⁰ Triple- ζ doubly polarized, TZ2P, Slater-type ZORA basis sets were used for all atoms except for hydrogen, where double- ζ quality, DZ, basis functions were utilized. The corresponding phosphorus and selenium chemical shift tensors were calculated from the magnetic shielding tensors using the relationship:

$$\delta_{ii}(sample) = \frac{\sigma_{iso}(ref) - \sigma_{ii}(sample)}{1 - \sigma_{iso}(ref)}$$
[5.1]

where $\sigma_{iso}(ref)$ is the isotropic shielding of a standard reference. The absolute shielding scale for ³¹P has been determined, and the value of $\sigma_{iso}(85\% \text{ H}_3\text{PO}_{4(aq)})$ has been established to be 328.35 ppm.¹¹¹ We have previously investigated the selenium chemical shift tensors in a wide range of selenium containing compounds, and we have subsequently used the value of 1580 ppm determined therein for the reference shielding of a neat liquid of dimethyl selenide at 23 °C.¹¹²

5.3 Results & Discussion

All of the literature structures for the iminobis(dialkylphosphine chalcogenide) species investigated possess a single HN(R₂PE)₂ molecule in the asymmetric unit. There exists no symmetry elements within the molecule providing chemical equivalence between the two phosphorus (or two selenium) atoms, thus, two distinct phosphorus (or selenium) chemical shift tensors are expected in the experimental NMR spectra. The phosphorus chemical shift tensors for the iminobis(dialkylphosphine chalcogenide) systems are presented first, followed by the results of a solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR study on HN(R₂PSe)₂ (R = Ph, ⁱPr). As the syntheses of the phenyl-derivatized species were reported prior to the isopropyl variants, the results for HN(Ph₂PE)₂ will precede those for HN(ⁱPr₂PE)₂. The extracted NMR parameters are discussed in terms of the known molecular structures, highlighting the impact in the choice of alkyl group and/or chalcogen where applicable. DFT computations of the phosphorus and selenium nuclear magnetic shielding tensors provide the orientations of the corresponding chemical shift tensors, while the calculated principal components, δ_{ii} , of the respective ³¹P and ⁷⁷Se chemical shift tensors are tabulated in Appendix B.

5.3.1 Solid-State ³¹P NMR

The ³¹P NMR parameters for all of the $HN(R_2PE)_2$ (E = O, S, Se; R = Ph, ⁱPr) samples investigated are given in Table 5.1. Experimental solid-state ³¹P NMR spectra for $HN(R_2PO)_2$, $HN(R_2PS)_2$, and $HN(R_2PSe)_2$ are given in Figures 5.2, 5.3, and 5.4, respectively. Theoretical calculations of the phosphorus chemical shift tensors are presented in Table B1.

5.3.1.1 $HN(R_2PO)_2$ (R = Ph, ^{*i*}Pr)

Contrary to initial formulations,^{74,75} prior to the solution of its X-ray structure, HN(Ph₂PO)₂ does not possess an acidic N-H proton. Rather, it is more accurately depicted as the imidodiphosphinic acid tautomer, Ph₂P(OH)NP(O)Ph₂.⁷⁶ As a result, Ph₂P(OH)NP(O)Ph₂ has a considerably different structure than the other iminobis(dialkylphosphine chalcogenide) systems investigated. Similar to the HN(Ph₂PE)₂ (E = S, Se) molecules that possess a solid state structure in which the EPNPE backbone adopts an *anti* conformation, Ph₂P(OH)NP(O)Ph₂ is *trans* with an O-P···P-O 'torsion' angle of 180°.⁷⁶ However, unique to the Ph₂P(OH)NP(O)Ph₂ system is a linear P-N-P angle of 180°.⁷⁶ The solid-state ³¹P NMR spectrum is given, along with its simulation, in Figure 5.2a. The two phosphorus chemical shift tensors extracted,

E	R	δ _{iso} ppm	δ ₁₁ ppm	δ ₂₂ ppm	δ ₃₃ 	Ω ppm	к	¹ J(Se,P) _{iso} ^a Hz
0								
0	Ph	82	70.6	12.2	69.2	147 9	0.10	
	1 11	28.4	109.5	38.6	-62.8	172.3	0.10	
		20.4	109.5	50.0	-02.8	172.5	0.10	
	ⁱ Pr	52.5	101.8	101.8	-46.0	147.8	1.00	
		57.7	112.7	89.2	-28.8	141.5	0.67	
S								
	Ph	54.7	155.7	77.5	-69.2	224.9	0.30	
		57.1	164.0	92.7	-85.5	249.5	0.43	
	'Pr	89.1	155.0	121.7	-9.4	164.4	0.60	
		90.6	163.0	118.5	-9.7	172.7	0.48	
~								
Se	DI	40.0	140 -	(2.5	(214 -	0.10	72.0
	Pn	48.9	149.5	62.5	-65.2	214.7	0.19	-720
		52.4	160.4	79.9	-83.1	243.5	0.34	-740
	ⁱ Dr	88.8	152.0	112 7	0.7	151.2	0.40	740
	11	01.1	152.0	110.7	0.7	151.5	0.49	-740
		91.1	100.4	110.3	2.6	157.8	0.36	-735

Table 5.1 Solid-state ³¹P NMR parameters for $HN(R_2PE)_2$ (E = O, S, Se; R = Ph, ⁱPr).

^a For directly bonded selenium-77 and phosphorus-31 spin pairs, signs of ${}^{1}J({}^{77}Se, {}^{31}P)_{iso}$ are known to be negative for numerous analogous systems. 113,114

Table 5.1, possess isotropic chemical shifts and spans that differ by more than 20 ppm, despite the two tensors possessing similarly small positive skews.

Theoretical phosphorus chemical shift tensors from the DFT computations, Appendix B, produce comparable values of δ_{iso} , δ_{ii} , Ω , and κ with the experimentally determined parameters; however, they do not calculate an equally large difference between the two respective isotropic chemical shifts. Additionally, the computations indicate that the phosphorus bearing the hydroxyl group is more shielded than the P=O phosphorus in Ph₂P(OH)NP(O)Ph₂. The calculated orientations of the two phosphorus chemical shift tensors are also different. The computed P-OH phosphorus chemical shift tensor orients δ_{11} perpendicular to the local O-P-N plane, and δ_{33} approximately parallel to the P-N vector with δ_{22} within the O-P-N plane and perpendicular to the local O-P-N plane, while in contrast δ_{33} is oriented nearly parallel to the P-O vector with δ_{22} within the O-P-N plane





and perpendicular to P-O. Both orientations differ significantly from those calculated for the monoclinic and orthorhombic forms of Ph₃PO, where both polymorphs orient δ_{11} along the P-O vector.¹¹⁵

One of the largest differences between iminobis(diisopropylphosphine oxide) and $Ph_2P(OH)NP(O)Ph_2$ is that $HN(^{i}Pr_2PO)_2$ possesses an acidic N-H proton leading to a P-N-P angle of 130°.⁴⁴ The gauche conformation of iminobis(diisopropylphosphine oxide), reflected in a 52° O-P-P-O 'torsion' angle, yields a chain-like arrangement of $HN(^{i}Pr_{2}PO)_{2}$ units in its solid state structure.⁴⁴ The X-ray structure of $HN(^{i}Pr_{2}PO)_{2}$ displays some disorder in that one methyl carbon on one isopropyl group possesses two 50% occupancy sites.⁴⁴ There exists three discernable signals in the ³¹P MAS spectrum of $HN(^{1}Pr_{2}PO)_{2}$ given in Figure 5.2b; however, as one of the three signals is significantly less intense than the other two it is considered to be a minor impurity in the sample rather than resulting from disorder in the structure. The two isotropic phosphorus chemical shifts, Table 5.1, are in good agreement with the ³¹P NMR value from a chloroform solution of HN(ⁱPr₂PO)₂, 55.5 ppm.⁴⁴ The phosphorus chemical shift tensors are deshielded with respect to those of Ph₂P(OH)NP(O)Ph₂, as well as possess slightly smaller spans and larger positive skews. The steric or electronic source of this difference will be discussed later. One phosphorus environment indicates an axially symmetric chemical shift tensor, despite there being no symmetry reasons for attaining this axial symmetry. Methods for obtaining accurate principal components of the chemical shift tensor from spectra of MAS samples are known to have the greatest difficulty with axially or near axially symmetric species.¹¹⁶

Calculated phosphorus chemical shift tensors were obtained for both of the 50% occupancy structures,⁴⁴ labeled 'a' and 'b' in Appendix B. Both phosphorus chemical shift tensors computed for each of the two structures achieve reasonable agreement with the experimental values. The values of δ_{ii} (Calc) are very similar for structures 'a' and 'b', suggesting that the presence of disorder in the methyl group does not significantly affect the phosphorus shielding in HN(ⁱPr₂PO)₂. This is consistent with the solid-state ³¹P NMR spectrum, Figure 5.2b, where no discernable difference in the line widths or line shapes of the two phosphorus environments was detected. In contrast to the calculated orientations of the phosphorus chemical shift tensors in Ph₂P(OH)NP(O)Ph₂, all four tensors for HN(ⁱPr₂PO)₂ are oriented similarly. The computations place δ_{33} approximately along the O-P vector, and δ_{22} perpendicular to the local O-P-N plane, with δ_{11} perpendicular to O-P within the O-P-N plane.

5.3.1.2 $HN(R_2PS)_2$ ($R = Ph, {}^{i}Pr$)

The *anti* conformation of HN(Ph₂PS)₂, with a S-P···P-S 'torsion' angle of 156° is similar to that of Ph₂P(OH)NP(O)Ph₂, while the nonlinear P-N-P angle 133° is consistent with a PNP backbone that possesses a protonated nitrogen.⁷⁶⁻⁷⁸ The solid state structure consists of two HN(Ph₂PS)₂ molecules hydrogen bonded to form dimer pairs.⁷⁶⁻⁷⁸ The ³¹P NMR parameters from the RACP MAS spectrum for HN(Ph₂PS)₂, Figure 5.3a, are given in Table 5.1. The isotropic chemical shifts are in good agreement with the solution ³¹P NMR values of 55.1 ppm in THF,⁸⁰ and 58.2 ppm in CDCl₃.⁸⁴ The phosphorus chemical shift tensors for HN(Ph₂PS)₂ are more deshielded, possess larger spans and slightly more positive values of κ than those for Ph₂P(OH)NP(O)Ph₂.



Figure 5.3 (a) RACP MAS ³¹P NMR spectrum (lower trace) for $HN(Ph_2PS)_2$ and its simulation (upper trace). Experimental conditions: 11.7 T, 64 scans, MAS at 3.0 kHz, 10 Hz of line broadening, a 3.0 ms contact time, and a 900 s recycle delay. (b) RACP MAS ³¹P NMR spectrum (lower trace) for $HN(^{i}Pr_2PS)_2$ and its simulation (upper trace). Experimental conditions: 11.7 T, 128 scans, MAS at 3.0 kHz, 5 Hz of line broadening, a 3.0 ms contact time, and a 9 s recycle delay. The isotropic peaks are marked with an asterisk (*).

The DFT computations were performed on a dimer of $HN(Ph_2PS)_2$ units. The calculated principal components of the respective phosphorus chemical shift tensors slightly overestimate the corresponding experimental values. The orientations of the two calculated phosphorus chemical shift tensors are nearly identical and most closely resemble those calculated for $Ph_2P(OH)NP(O)Ph_2$, with δ_{33} approximately parallel to the P-S vector, δ_{11} perpendicular to the local S-P-N plane, and δ_{22} nearly within the S-P-N plane perpendicular to P-S.

Similar to that of HN(ⁱPr₂PO)₂, the structure of HN(ⁱPr₂PS)₂ contains a 132° P-N-P angle; however, the S-P···P-S 'torsion' angle is slightly larger at 79° and the X-ray structure reports no evidence of disorder.⁴³ The solid-state ³¹P NMR spectrum of HN(ⁱPr₂PS)₂ is given Figure 5.3b. The isotropic chemical shifts for the two phosphorus environments are in good agreement with the value of 91.2 ppm reported for HN(ⁱPr₂PS)₂ in CDCl₃.⁴³ Similar to the phosphorus chemical shift tensors of HN(Ph₂PS)₂ and Ph₂P(OH)NP(O)Ph₂, the two chemical shift tensors for HN(ⁱPr₂PS)₂ are more deshielded and possess larger spans than those for HN(ⁱPr₂PO)₂. Solution ³¹P NMR for the mixed chalcogen system ⁱPr₂P(O)NHP(S)ⁱPr₂ displays a comparable difference in the values of $\delta_{iso}(^{31}P)$, 54.8 and 90.9 ppm.⁴⁴ Additionally, as with the E = O compounds, the phosphorus sites in HN(ⁱPr₂PS)₂ are more deshielded and have larger positive skews than the phenyl derivative.

Calculated phosphorus chemical shift tensors for $HN(^{i}Pr_{2}PS)_{2}$ are given in Appendix B. The computed values of δ_{iso} , δ_{ii} , Ω , and κ are in reasonable agreement with the experimentally obtained parameters. Similar to the calculated orientation of the tensors in $HN(^{i}Pr_{2}PO)_{2}$, the two phosphorus chemical shift tensors for $HN(^{i}Pr_{2}PS)_{2}$ are

approximately identical and place δ_{33} nearly parallel to the E-P vector; however, the directions of δ_{11} and δ_{22} are essentially reversed in HN(ⁱPr₂PS)₂ with respect to HN(ⁱPr₂PO)₂ with δ_{11} perpendicular to the local S-P-N plane and δ_{22} within the S-P-N plane perpendicular to S-P.

5.3.1.3 $HN(R_2PSe)_2 (R = Ph, {}^{i}Pr)$

Isomorphous with HN(Ph₂PS)₂, the dimer structure of HN(Ph₂PSe)₂ possesses an *anti* conformation with a Se-P...P-Se 'torsion' angle of 154° and a P-N-P angle of 132°.¹⁰ The phosphorus chemical shift parameters, along with an estimation of the one-bond selenium-phosphorus indirect spin-spin coupling constant, ${}^{1}J({}^{77}Se, {}^{31}P)_{iso}$, from the MAS ${}^{31}P$ NMR spectrum, Figure 5.4a, are reported in Table 5.1. The values of $\delta_{iso}({}^{31}P)$ and ${}^{1}J({}^{77}Se, {}^{31}P)_{iso}$ are in good agreement with those from ${}^{31}P$ NMR of methylene chloride and chloroform solutions of HN(Ph₂PSe)₂, 53.0 ppm and (-)793 Hz, {}^{10} and 53.2 ppm,⁸⁴ respectively. The principal components of the phosphorus chemical shift tensors are very similar to those found for HN(Ph₂PS)₂, see Table 5.1, suggesting that the impact of exchanging oxygen for sulfur in HN(Ph₂PE)₂ is larger than exchanging sulfur for selenium.

The phosphorus chemical shift tensors were calculated for a dimer of $HN(Ph_2PSe)_2$ molecules.¹⁰ The calculations overestimate the values of δ_{iso} and Ω indicating that scalar relativistic effects may not be sufficient to accurately describe the shielding environment for $HN(Ph_2PSe)_2$ and that spin-orbit relativistic effects may be important. Similar to those of $HN(Ph_2PSe)_2$, the calculated orientations of the phosphorus chemical shift tensors in $HN(Ph_2PSe)_2$ place δ_{11} perpendicular to the local Se-P-N plane,



Figure 5.4 (a) RACP MAS ³¹P NMR spectrum (lower trace) for $HN(Ph_2PSe)_2$ and its simulation (upper trace). Experimental conditions: 11.7 T, 64 scans, MAS at 3.0 kHz, 10 Hz of line broadening, a 3.0 ms contact time, and a 900 s recycle delay. (b) RACP MAS ³¹P NMR spectrum (lower trace) for $HN(^{i}Pr_2PSe)_2$ and its simulation (upper trace). Experimental conditions: 11.7 T, 128 scans, MAS at 3.0 kHz, 10 Hz of line broadening, a 3.0 ms contact time, and a 18 s recycle delay. The isotropic peaks are marked with an asterisk (*).

 δ_{33} approximately along the P-Se vector, and δ_{22} within the Se-P-N plane perpendicular to P-Se.

The structure of HN(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂ more closely resembles that of HN(ⁱPr₂PS)₂ with an 80° Se-P…P-Se 'torsion' angle, and a P-N-P angle of 131°.⁴⁴ However, similar with the structure of HN(ⁱPr₂PO)₂, the selenium analogue was refined where the location of one methyl carbon on one isopropyl group contained two 50% occupancy locations.⁴⁴ The isotropic chemical shifts and ¹*J*(⁷⁷Se,³¹P)_{iso} values extracted, Table 5.1, from the simulation of the solid-state ³¹P NMR spectrum of HN(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂, Figure 5.4b, are in good agreement with those from a chloroform solution ³¹P NMR study, 89.5 ppm and (-)757 Hz.⁴⁴ Similar to the solid-state ³¹P NMR spectrum of HN(ⁱPr₂PO)₂, Figure 5.2b, no evidence for the disorder in the methyl group was detected in the corresponding spectrum for iminobis(diisopropylphosphine selenide). As with the phosphorus chemical shifts of those found for HN(ⁱPr₂PS)₂, see Table 5.1. The isotropic phosphorus chemical shifts of the mixed chalcogen compound ⁱPr₂P(S)NHP(Se)ⁱPr₂, from a chloroform solution ³¹P

Phosphorus chemical shift tensors were calculated for both of the 50% occupancy structures,⁴⁴ labeled 'a' and 'b' in Appendix B. The scalar relativistic calculated phosphorus chemical shift tensors for HN(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂, as with those for HN(Ph₂PSe)₂, overestimate the experimental values. Analogous to those for HN(ⁱPr₂PO)₂, the calculated phosphorus chemical shift tensors for HN(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂ structures 'a' and 'b' are nearly identical and suggest that evidence for the disorder is unlikely to come from the solid-state ³¹P NMR spectrum. As with the calculated orientations of the phosphorus

chemical shift tensors in HN(${}^{i}Pr_{2}PO$)₂, all four tensors for iminobis(diisopropylphosphine selenide) are identical; however, they are all oriented similarly to those of HN(${}^{i}Pr_{2}PS$)₂: Nearly along the P-Se vector is δ_{33} , with δ_{11} perpendicular to the local Se-P-N plane, and δ_{22} within the Se-P-N plane perpendicular to P-Se.

5.3.2 Solid-State ⁷⁷Se NMR

The solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum for HN(Ph₂PSe)₂ is given in Figure 5.5a, and the ⁷⁷Se NMR parameters extracted from its simulation are reported in Table 5.2. The values of ${}^{1}J({}^{77}Se,{}^{31}P)_{iso}$ are in agreement with those from solution ${}^{31}P$ NMR¹⁰ and with our solid-state ${}^{31}P$ NMR values, Table 5.1 above. Similar to the large difference in isotropic phosphorus chemical shifts observed for Ph₂P(OH)NP(O)Ph₂, there is a significant difference between the two values of δ_{iso} (Se) in HN(Ph₂PSe)₂. The spans of the selenium chemical shift tensors are both larger than any of the phosphorus chemical shift tensors obtained, which is consistent with the less symmetric environment of selenium in the iminobis(dialkylphosphine chalcogenide) systems and the larger chemical shift range of selenium with respect to that of phosphorus.^{117,118}

The dimer structure of HN(Ph₂PSe)₂ was used to calculate the selenium chemical shift tensors. The computed principal components are in good agreement with the experimental values, particularly in the difference in shielding between the two chemical shift tensors. The calculations indicate that the selenium that participates in hydrogen bonding between the two HN(Ph₂PSe)₂ molecules is less shielded than the selenium that is not involved in hydrogen bonding. The calculated orientations of the two selenium chemical shift tensors are also different. For the selenium involved in hydrogen bonding



Figure 5.5 (a) RACP MAS ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum (lower trace) for $HN(Ph_2PSe)_2$ and its simulation (upper trace). Experimental conditions: 11.7 T, 384 scans, MAS at 3.0 kHz, 50 Hz of line broadening, a 10.0 ms contact time, and a 840 s recycle delay. (b) RACP MAS ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum (lower trace) for $HN(^{i}Pr_2PSe)_2$ and its simulation (upper trace). Experimental conditions: 11.7 T, 5288 scans, MAS at 6.0 kHz, 50 Hz of line broadening, a 6.5 ms contact time, and a 14 s recycle delay. The isotropic peaks are marked with an asterisk (*).

R	δ _{iso} ppm	δ ₁₁ ppm	δ ₂₂ ppm	δ ₃₃ ppm	Ω ppm	κ	¹ J(Se,P) _{iso} ^a Hz
Ph	-184	-41	-219	-291	250	-0.42	-800
	-101	79	-146	-236	317	-0.43	-740
ⁱ Pr	-355	-135	-419	-511	376	-0.51	-760
	-322	-179	-352	-435	256	-0.36	-720

Table 5.2 Solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR parameters for $HN(R_2PSe)_2$ (R = Ph, ⁱPr).

^a For directly bonded selenium-77 and phosphorus-31 spin pairs, signs of ${}^{1}J({}^{77}\text{Se},{}^{31}\text{P})_{iso}$ are known to be negative for numerous analogous systems.^{113,114}

between the dimers, δ_{33} is nearly parallel to the Se-P vector, δ_{11} perpendicular to the local Se-P-N plane, and δ_{22} within the Se-P-N plane perpendicular to Se-P. The selenium that is not involved in hydrogen bonding possesses a chemical shift tensor that orients δ_{22} approximately along Se-P, with δ_{11} perpendicular to the local Se-P-N plane and δ_{33} within the Se-P-N plane perpendicular to Se-P.

The ⁷⁷Se NMR parameters from the solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum for HN(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂, Figure 5.5b, are given in Table 5.2. The two ¹*J*(⁷⁷Se, ³¹P)_{iso} couplings are in good agreement with the reported values from solution⁴⁴ and solid-state (above) ³¹P NMR. Unlike those for HN(Ph₂PSe)₂, the two isotropic selenium chemical shifts for iminobis(diisopropylphosphine selenide) are more shielded and significantly closer together. Additionally, in contrast to the solid-state ³¹P NMR spectra for HN(ⁱPr₂PE)₂ (E = O, Se), the solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum for HN(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂ appears to be sensitive to the disorder of the methyl group in the structure.⁴⁴ Figure 5.6 displays the isotropic region of the solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR spectra for HN(R₂PSe)₂ (R = Ph, ⁱPr), where one of the two *J*-coupled isotropic peaks for HN(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂ is visibly broader than the others.

The two calculated selenium chemical shift tensors for each of the two 50% occupancy structures also suggest that the impact of the disorder of the methyl group should be more pronounced in the solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum; however, the



Figure 5.6 Isotropic region of the ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum for (a) $HN(Ph_2PSe)_2$ and (b) $HN(^iPr_2PSe)_2$ (lower traces) and their simulations (upper traces).

calculations indicate that both selenium environments should be influenced by the disorder. Additionally, the calculations predict that the selenium environment that is furthest from the methyl group should be affected more than the proximal selenium. The calculated orientations of the selenium chemical shift tensors are identical for structures

'a' and 'b', yet distinct. The chemical shift tensor for the selenium distal to the methyl disorder is oriented such that δ_{33} is nearly along the Se-P vector, δ_{11} is perpendicular to the local Se-P-N plane, while δ_{22} lies within the Se-P-N plane perpendicular to Se-P. The selenium nearest the methyl disorder possesses a calculated chemical shift tensor that also places δ_{11} approximately perpendicular to the local Se-P-N plane; however, the P-Se vector nearly bisects the δ_{22} -Se- δ_{33} angle within the Se-P-N plane.

5.3.3 The Effect of the E-P-P-E 'Torsion' Angle

From Table 5.1 it is clear that the phosphorus environments in the HN(Ph₂PE)₂ (E = O, S, Se) systems, which all possess anti E-P...P-E 'torsion' angles, are all more shielded than their $HN(^{i}Pr_{2}PE)_{2}$ counterparts that have gauche 'torsion' angles. Conversely, in Table 5.2, the selenium chemical shifts of HN(Ph₂PSe)₂ are both less shielded than those of HN(¹Pr₂PSe)₂. The consistent differences observed in the isotropic chemical shifts between the phenyl and isopropyl variants of $HN(R_2PE)_2$ (E = O, S, Se) are likely to arise from a combination of the electronic effects of the chosen alkyl group and the common steric differences between the structures. To probe the nature of these differences, nuclear magnetic shielding calculations were performed on a truncated system, HN(Me₂PSe)₂. The HN(Me₂PSe)₂ structure was achieved by replacing the isopropyl groups of one of the two 50% occupancy structures of HN(¹Pr₂PSe)₂ with methyl groups. Figure 5.7 displays the effect of rotation about the E-P-P-E 'torsion' angle from an eclipsed orientation, 0°, to a trans conformation, 180°, in 15° increments. For both the computed phosphorus and selenium chemical shifts, the calculations predict the opposite effect to what was observed experimentally; that is, the anti structures of



Figure 5.7 Effect of rotation about the E-P…P-E 'torsion' angle for $HN(Me_2PSe)_2$ on (a) $\delta_{iso}(^{31}P)$ and (b) $\delta_{iso}(^{77}Se)$.

HN(Me₂PSe)₂ possess less shielded phosphorus and more shielded selenium environments than any of the *gauche* structures. The outcome of these calculations

suggest that the electronic effect of the chosen alkyl group dominates the impact of the E-P···P-E 'torsion' angle, which is supported by the solution ³¹P NMR reported for the mixed alkyl system Ph₂P(S)NHP(S)ⁱPr₂.¹¹⁹ The isotropic phosphorus chemical shifts of Ph₂P(S)NHP(S)ⁱPr₂, 100.0 and 51.5 ppm, display an even larger separation than those found between the phenyl and isopropyl compounds studied herein, $HN(R_2PE)_2$ (E = O, S, Se), Table 5.1. Rotation of the S-P···P-S angle in solution may effectively average the conformational impact on the phosphorus chemical shift present in the solid state. Thus, the electronic effect on the shielding of the alkyl group is not opposed to the same extent by the conformation of the EPNPE backbone and the isotropic phosphorus chemical shifts are found to be further apart.

5.4 Summary

The iminobis(dialkylphosphine chalcogenide) systems, $HN(R_2PE)_2$ (E = O, S, Se; R = Ph, ⁱPr), have been investigated by solid-state ³¹P and ⁷⁷Se NMR spectroscopy and DFT computational chemistry. The phosphorus chemical shift tensors of the $HN(Ph_2PE)_2$ (E = O, S, Se) systems all possessed more shielded environments, larger spans and smaller skews than the corresponding $HN(^{i}Pr_2PE)_2$ systems. Aside from $Ph_2P(OH)NP(O)Ph_2$, the calculated orientations of the two phosphorus chemical shift tensors in each $HN(R_2PE)_2$ system are essentially identical. The experimental and theoretical selenium chemical shift tensors in $HN(Ph_2PSe)_2$ were found to be sensitive to the presence of hydrogen bonding in its solid state dimer structure, while those of $HN(^{i}Pr_2PSe)_2$ were susceptible to the disorder found in its structure. The impact of the conformation of the EPNPE backbone via the E-P···P-E 'torsion' angle was studied by DFT nuclear magnetic

shielding calculations on a truncated system, HN(Me₂PSe)₂. The steric impact of the Se-P···P-Se angle on the computed phosphorus and selenium isotropic chemical shifts was found to have the opposite effect to what was observed experimentally, indicating that the choice of phenyl or isopropyl group is more important than the conformational contributions to the phosphorus and selenium shielding in these systems.

5.5 References

(1) Mehrotra, R. C.; Bohra, R.; Gaur, D. P. *Metal β-Diketonates and Allied Derivatives*; Academic Press: London, 1978.

(2) Itoh, K.-I.; Matsumoto, O. *Thin Solid Films* **1999**, *345*, 29-33.

(3) Toroyanov, S. I.; Kuzmina, N. P.; Soboleva, I. E.; Chugarov, N. V. *Electrochem. Soc. Proc.* **1997**, *97-25*, 886-892.

(4) Gleizes, A. N. Chem. Vap. Deposition 2000, 6, 155-173.

(5) Green, M.; O'Brien, P. Chem. Commun. 1999, 2235-2241.

(6) Pickett, N. L.; O'Brien, P. Chem. Rec. 2001, 1, 467-479.

(7) Novosad, J.; Lindeman, S. V.; Marek, J.; Woollins, J. D.; Husebye, S. *Heteroat. Chem.* **1998**, *9*, 615-621.

(8) Gilby, L. M.; Piggott, B. Polyhedron 1999, 18, 1077-1082.

(9) Montiel-Palma, V.; Huitrón-Rattinger, E.; Cortés-Llamas, S.; Muñoz-Hernández, M.-Á.; García-Montalvo, V.; López-Honorato, E.; Silvestru, C. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2004**, 3743-3750.

(10) Bhattacharyya, P.; Novosad, J.; Phillips, J.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; Williams, D. J.; Woollins, J. D. *Dalton Trans.* **1995**, 1607-1613.

(11) Knyazeva, A. N.; Shugam, E. A.; Shkol'nikova, L. M. Zh. Strukt. Khim. 1970, 11, 938-989.

(12) Onuma, S.; Horioka, K.; Inoue, H.; Shibata, S. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1980, 53, 2679-2680.

(13) Woollins, J. D. Dalton Trans. 1996, 2893-2901.

(14) Valderrama, M.; Contreras, R.; Lamata, M. P.; Viguri, F.; Carmona, D.; Lahoz, F. J.; Elipe, S.; Oro, L. A. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2000**, *607*, 3-11.

(15) Bhattacharyya, P.; Woollins, J. D. Polyhedron 1995, 14, 3367-3388.

(16) Bhattacharyya, P.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; Smith, M. B. Dalton Trans. 1998, 2467-2475.

(17) Pernin, C. G.; Ibers, J. A. Inorg. Chem. 1999, 38, 5478-5483.
(18) Papadimitriou, C.; Veltsistas, P.; Novosad, J.; Cea-Olivares, R.; Toscano, A.; García y García, P.; Lopez-Cardosa, M.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; Woollins, J. D. *Polyhedron* **1997**, *16*, 2727-2729.

(19) García-Montalvo, V.; Novosad, J.; Kilian, P.; Woollins, J. D.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; García y García, P.; López-Cardoso, M.; Espinosa-Pérez, G.; Cea-Olivares, R. *Dalton Trans.* **1997**, 1025-1029.

(20) Cea-Olivares, R.; García-Montalvo, V.; Novosad, J.; Kilian, P.; Woollins, J. D.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; García y García, P.; López-Cardoso, M.; Espinosa-Pérez, G.; Toscano, R. A.; Hernández, S.; Canseco-Melchor, G.; Lima-Montaño, L.; Rodríguez-Narváez, C. *Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem.* **1997**, *124*, 347-354.

(21) Cea-Olivares, R.; Moya-Cabrera, M.; García-Montalvo, V.; Castro-Blanco, R.; Toscano, R. A.; Hernández-Ortega, S. *Dalton Trans.* **2005**, 1017-1018.

(22) Novosad, J.; Necas, M.; Marek, J.; Veltsistas, P.; Papadimitriou, C.; Haiduc, I.; Watanabe, M.; Woollins, J. D. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1999**, *290*, 256-260.

(23) Afzaal, M.; Crouch, D. J.; O'Brien, P.; Raftery, J.; Skabara, P. J.; White, A. J. P.; Williams, D. J. *J. Mater. Chem.* **2004**, *14*, 233-237.

(24) Haiduc, I.; Silaghi-Dumitrescu, I. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1986, 74, 127-270.

(25) Ly, T. Q.; Woollins, J. D. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1998, 176, 451-481.

(26) Afzaal, M.; Crouch, D.; Malik, M. A.; Motevalli, M.; O'Brien, P.; Park, J.-H. J. *Mater. Chem.* **2003**, *13*, 639-640.

(27) Crouch, D. J.; Helliwell, M.; O'Brien, P.; Park, J.-H.; Waters, J.; Williams, D. J. *Dalton Trans.* **2003**, 1500-1504.

(28) Afzaal, M.; Aucott, S. M.; Crouch, D.; O'Brien, P.; Woollins, J. D.; Park, J.-H. *Chem. Vap. Deposition* **2002**, *8*, 187-189.

(29) Afzaal, M.; Crouch, D.; Malik, M. A.; Motevalli, M.; O'Brien, P.; Park, J.-H.; Woollins, J. D. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2004**, 171-177.

(30) Malik, M. A.; Afzaal, M.; O'Brien, P.; Halliwell, M. Polyhedron **2006**, *25*, 864-868.

(31) Afzaal, M.; Ellwood, K.; Pickett, N. L.; O'Brien, P.; Raftery, J.; Waters, J. J. *Mater. Chem.* **2004**, *14*, 1310-1315.

(32) Park, J.-H.; Afzaal, M.; Helliwell, M.; Malik, M. A.; O'Brien, P.; Raftery, J. *Chem. Mat.* **2003**, *15*, 4205-4210.

(33) Waters, J.; Crouch, D.; O'Brien, P.; Park, J.-H. J. Mater. Sci.: Mater. Electron. 2003, 14, 599-602.

(34) Waters, J.; Crouch, D.; Raftery, J.; O'Brien, P. Chem. Mat. 2004, 16, 3289-3298.

(35) Crouch, D. J.; O'Brien, P.; Malik, M. A.; Skabara, P. J.; Wright, S. P. Chem. Commun. 2003, 1454-1455.

(36) Singhal, A.; Dutta, D. P.; Kulshreshtha, S. K.; Mobin, S. M.; Mathur, P. J. Organomet. Chem. **2006**, 691, 4320-4328.

(37) Garje, S. S.; Copsey, M. C.; Afzaal, M.; O'Brien, P.; Chivers, T. J. Mater. Chem. **2006**, *16*, 4542-4547.

(38) Garje, S. S.; Ritch, J. S.; Eisler, D. J.; Afzaal, M.; O'Brien, P.; Chivers, T. J. *Mater. Chem.* **2006**, *16*, 966-969.

(39) Copsey, M. C.; Panneerselvam, A.; Afzaal, M.; Chivers, T.; O'Brien, P. Dalton Trans. 2007, 1528-1538.

(40) Ritch, J. S.; Chivers, T.; Afzaal, M.; O'Brien, P. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2007, 36, 1622-1631.

(41) Rossi, R.; Marchi, A.; Marvelli, L.; Magon, L.; Peruzzini, M.; Casellato, U.; Graziani, R. *Dalton Trans.* **1993**, 723-729.

(42) Silvestru, C.; Drake, J. E. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2001, 223, 117-216.

(43) Cupertino, D.; Keyte, R.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; Williams, D. J.; Woollins, J. D. *Inorg. Chem.* **1996**, *35*, 2695-2697.

(44) Cupertino, D.; Birdsall, D. J.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; Woollins, J. D. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1999**, *290*, 1-7.

(45) Cea-Olivares, R.; Novosad, J.; Woollins, J. D.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; García-Montalvo, V.; Espinosa-Pérez, G.; García y García, P. *Chem. Commun.* **1996**, 519-520.

(46) Williams, D. J.; Quicksall, C. O.; Barkigia, K. M. Inorg. Chem. **1982**, 21, 2097-2100.

(47) Cea-Olivares, R.; Toscano, R. A.; Carreón, G.; Valdés-Martínez, J. Monatsh. Chem. 1992, 123, 391-396.

(48) García-Montalvo, V.; Zamora-Rosete, M. K.; Gorostieta, D.; Cea-Olivares, R.; Toscano, R. A.; Hernández-Ortega, S. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2001**, 2279-2285.

(49) Rodríguez, I.; Alvarez, C.; Gómez-Lara, J.; Cea-Olivares, R. *Lanthanide Actinide Res.* **1986**, *1*, 253-260.

(50) Silvestru, C.; Haiduc, I.; Cea-Olivares, R.; Zimbron, A. *Polyhedron* **1994**, *13*, 3159-3165.

(51) Cea-Olivares, R.; García-Montalvo, V.; Novosad, J.; Woollins, J. D.; Toscano, R. A.; Espinosa-Pérez, G. *Chem. Ber.* **1996**, *129*, 919-923.

(52) García-Montalvo, V.; Cea-Olivares, R.; Williams, D. J.; Espinosa-Pérez, G. *Inorg. Chem.* **1996**, *35*, 3948-3953.

(53) Rudler, H.; Denise, B.; Gregorio, J. R.; Vaissermann, J. Chem. Commun. 1997, 2299-2300.

(54) Goyal, M.; Novosad, J.; Necas, M.; Ishii, H.; Nagahata, R.; Sugiyama, J.-I.; Asai, M.; Ueda, M.; Takeuchi, K. *Appl. Organomet. Chem.* **2000**, *14*, 629-633.

(55) Chatziapostolou, K. A.; Vallianatou, K. A.; Grigoropoulos, A.; Raptopoulou, C. P.; Terzis, A.; Kostas, I. D.; Kyritsis, P.; Pneumatikakis, G. J. Organomet. Chem. 2007, 692, 4129-4138.

(56) du Preez, J. G. H.; Knabl, K. U.; Krüger, L.; van Brecht, B. J. A. M. Solvent Extr. Ion Exch. **1992**, 10, 729-748.

(57) Navrátil, O.; Herrmann, E.; Grossmann, G.; Teplý, J. Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 1990, 55, 364-371.

(58) Herrmann, E.; Navrátil, O.; Nang, H. b.; Smola, J.; Friedrich, J.; Príhoda, J.; Dreyer, R.; Chalkin, V. A.; Kulpe, S. *Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun.* **1984**, *49*, 201-217.

(59) Navrátil, O.; Cigánek, M.; Herrmann, E. Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 1983, 48, 2009-2014.

(60) Navrátil, O.; Fofana, M.; Smola, J. Z. Chem. 1984, 24, 30.

(61) Navrátil, O.; Herrmann, E.; Slezák, P. Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 1987, 52, 1708-1714.

(62) Muñoz-Hernández, M.-Á.; Singer, A.; Atwood, D. A.; Cea-Olivares, R. J. Organomet. Chem. 1998, 571, 15-19.

(63) Le, Q. T. H.; Umetani, S.; Matsui, M. Dalton Trans. 1997, 3835-3840.

(64) Platzer, N.; Rudler, H.; Alvarez, C.; Barkaoui, L.; Denise, B.; Goasdoué, N.; Rager, M.-N.; Vaissermann, J.; Daran, J.-C. *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.* **1995**, *132*, 95-113.

(65) Alvarez, C.; Barkaoui, L.; Goasdoué, N.; Daran, J.-C.; Platzer, N.; Rudler, H.; Vaissermann, J. *Chem. Commun.* **1989**, 1507-1509.

(66) Alvarez, C.; Goasdoué, N.; Platzer, N.; Rodríguez, I.; Rudler, H. Chem. Commun. 1988, 1002-1004.

(67) Barkaoui, L.; Charrouf, M.; Rager, M.-N.; Denise, B.; Platzer, N.; Rudler, H. *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.* **1997**, *134*, 167-175.

(68) Rodríguez, I.; Alvarez, C.; Gómez-Lara, J.; Toscano, R. A.; Platzer, N.; Mulheim, C.; Rudler, H. *Chem. Commun.* **1987**, 1502-1503.

(69) Magennis, S. W.; Parsons, S.; Corval, A.; Woollins, J. D.; Pikramenou, Z. Chem. Commun. 1999, 61-62.

(70) Bereman, R. D.; Wang, F. T.; Najdzionek, J.; Braitsch, D. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1976**, *98*, 7266-7268.

(71) Sakaguchi, U.; Addison, A. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 5189-5190.

(72) Siiman, O.; Vetuskey, J. Inorg. Chem. 1980, 19, 1672-1680.

(73) Hernández-Arganis, M.; Hernández-Ortega, S.; Toscano, R. A.; García-Montalvo, V.; Cea-Olivares, R. *Chem. Commun.* **2004**, 310-311.

(74) Korshak, V. V.; Gribova, I. A.; Artamonova, T. V.; Bushmarina, A. N. *Vysokomol. Soedin.* **1960**, *2*, 377-385.

(75) Schmulbach, C. D. Prog. Inorg. Chem. 1962, 4, 275-376.

(76) Nöth, H. Z. Naturforsch., B 1982, 37, 1491-1498.

(77) Husebye, S.; Maartmann-Moe, K. Acta Chem. Scand. 1983, A37, 439-441.

(78) Hitchcock, P. B.; Nixon, J. F.; Silaghi-Dumitrescu, I.; Haiduc, I. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1985, 96, 77-80.

(79) Pernin, C. G.; Ibers, J. A. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Cryst. Struct. Commun. **2000**, *56*, 376-378.

(80) Schmidpeter, A.; Groeger, H. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1966, 345, 106-118.

(81) Wang, F. T.; Najdzionek, J.; Leneker, K. L.; Wasserman, H.; Braitsch, D. M. Synth. React. Inorg. Met.-Org. Chem. 1978, 8, 119-125.

(82) McQuillan, G. P.; Oxton, I. A. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1978, 29, 69-78.

(83) Czernuszewicz, R.; Maslowsky, E.; Nakamoto, K. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1980**, *40*, 199-202.

(84) Wrackmeyer, B.; Garcia-Baez, E.; Zuno-Cruz, F. J.; Sanchez-Cabrera, G.; Rosales, M. J. Z. Naturforsch., B 2000, 55, 185-188.

(85) Haiduc, I. In *Inorganic Experiments*; Woollins, J. D., Ed.; VCH: New York; Basel; Cambridge; Tokyo, 1994, pp 145-149.

(86) Williams, D. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Letters 1980, 16, 189-193.

(87) Metz, G.; Wu, X.; Smith, S. O. J. Magn. Reson. Ser. A 1994, 110, 219-227.

(88) Bennett, A. E.; Rienstra, C. M.; Auger, M.; Lakshmi, K. V.; Griffin, R. G. J. Chem. Phys. 1995, 103, 6951-6958.

(89) Bryce, D. L.; Bernard, G. M.; Gee, M.; Lumsden, M.; Eichele, K.; Wasylishen, R. E. Can. J. Anal. Sci. Spectrosc. 2001, 46, 46-82.

(90) Collins, M. J.; Ratcliffe, C. I.; Ripmeester, J. A. J. Magn. Reson. **1986**, 68, 172-179.

(91) Maricq, M. M.; Waugh, J. S. J. Chem. Phys. 1979, 70, 3300-3316.

(92) Herzfeld, J.; Berger, A. E. J. Chem. Phys. 1980, 73, 6021-6030.

(93) Eichele, K.; Wasylishen, R. E.; 1.17.30 ed., 2001.

(94) Mason, J. Solid State Nucl. Magn. Reson. 1993, 2, 285-288.

(95) Schreckenbach, G.; Ziegler, T. J. Phys. Chem. 1995, 99, 606-616.

(96) Schreckenbach, G.; Ziegler, T. Int. J. Quantum Chem. 1997, 61, 899-918.

(97) Wolff, S. K.; Ziegler, T. J. Chem. Phys. 1998, 109, 895-905.

(98) Baerends, E. J.; Ellis, D. E.; Ros, P. Chem. Phys. 1973, 2, 41-51.

- (99) Versluis, L.; Ziegler, T. J. Chem. Phys. 1988, 88, 322-328.
- (100) te Velde, G.; Baerends, E. J. J. Comput. Phys. 1992, 99, 84-98.
- (101) Fonseca Guerra, C.; Snijders, J. G.; te Velde, G.; Baerends, E. J. Theor. Chem. Acc. **1998**, *99*, 391-403.
- (102) Theoretical Chemistry, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, http://www.scm.com.
- (103) Vosko, S. H.; Wilk, L.; Nusair, M. Can. J. Phys. 1980, 58, 1200-1211.
- (104) Becke, A. D. Phys. Rev. A 1988, 38, 3098-3100.
- (105) Perdew, J. P. Phys. Rev. B 1986, 33, 8822-8824.
- (106) Perdew, J. P. Phys. Rev. B 1986, 34, 7406.
- (107) Chang, C.; Pelissier, M.; Durand, P. Phys. Scr. 1986, 34, 394-404.
- (108) van Lenthe, E.; Baerends, E. J.; Snijders, J. G. J. Chem. Phys. **1993**, 99, 4597-4610.

(109) van Lenthe, E.; Baerends, E. J.; Snijders, J. G. J. Chem. Phys. 1994, 101, 9783-9792.

(110) van Lenthe, E.; van Leeuwen, R.; Baerends, E. J.; Snijders, J. G. Int. J. Quantum Chem. **1996**, 57, 281-293.

(111) Jameson, C. J.; de Dios, A.; Jameson, A. K. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1990, 167, 575-582.

(112) Demko, B. A.; Eichele, K.; Wasylishen, R. E. J. Phys. Chem. A 2006, 110, 13537-13550.

(113) Jameson, C. J. In *Multinuclear NMR*; Mason, J., Ed.; Plenum Press: New York, 1987, pp 89-131.

(114) Jameson, C. J. In *Phosphorus-31 NMR Spectroscopy in Stereochemical Analysis*. *Organic Compounds and Metal Complexes*; Verkade, J. G., Quin, L. D., Eds.; VCH Publishers, Inc.: Deerfield Beach, 1987; Vol. 8, pp 205-230.

(115) Bryce, D. L.; Eichele, K.; Wasylishen, R. E. Inorg. Chem. 2003, 42, 5085-5096.

(116) Clayden, N. J.; Dobson, C. M.; Lian, L.-Y.; Smith, D. J. J. Magn. Reson. 1986, 69, 476-487.

- (117) Duddeck, H. Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc. 1995, 27, 1-323.
- (118) Duddeck, H. Annu. Rep. NMR Spectrosc. 2004, 52, 105-166.
- (119) Birdsall, D. J.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; Woollins, J. D. Polyhedron 2001, 20, 125-134.

Chapter 6

A Solid-State NMR Investigation of Single-Source Precursors for Group 12 Metal Selenides; $M[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = Zn, Cd, Hg)[†]

6.1 Introduction

Integrated applications of thin semiconducting films include light-emitting diodes,¹ and electrochromic display devices,² as well as use in infrared detectors.^{3,4} Nanoparticles of semiconductor materials, or quantum dots, have applications ranging from single-electron transistors⁵ to biomarkers,⁶ due to a highly tuneable band gap. Crystals and thin films of metal chalcogenide materials have been utilized in variable energy radiation detectors, light emitting devices and optoelectronics,⁷ as well as within solar selective coatings and photoelectrochemical devices.⁸⁻¹⁰ The class of II-VI semiconductors is of current interest as one of the best known groups of semiconducting materials.

Conventional methods of preparing Group II metal chalcogenide materials involve hazardous organometallic compounds and highly toxic chalcogen sources reacting in the vapor phase or within a solution.¹¹⁻¹⁶ The nature of the reactants has led to considerable interest in identifying molecular single-source precursors for these solidstate materials.¹⁶⁻²¹ Successful single-source precursors require a low degree of association and sufficient volatility for low-pressure deposition or solution thermolysis techniques, and depend in large part on the nature and purity of the precursors used.²² Examples of such precursors for II-VI materials include metal(II) complexes of chalcogenolates [EX]⁻, where E = O, S, Se or Te and X = alkyl^{14,23-29} or silyl,^{30,31}

[†] A version of this chapter has been published: B.A. Demko and R.E. Wasylishen, *Dalton Transactions*, **2008**, 481-490.

dichalcogenophosphinates $[E_2PR_2]^{,32,33}$ and dichalcogenocarbamates $[E_2CNR_2]^{,34-43}$ Recently dichalcogenoimidodiphosphinato complexes, $M^{n+}[N(R_2PE)_2]_n$, have been utilized as single-source precursors for chemical vapor deposition^{16,19,20,22,44-51} and quantum dot applications.^{45,49,52} Dichalcogenoimidodiphosphinates overcome the often encountered involatility of metal organochalcogenolates,¹⁸ are more thermally stable than the bulkier silylchalcogenolate ligands with cleaner thermolysis products and produce fewer degradation reactions of the ligand.^{23,27,52} Additionally, diselenocarbamates are prepared using noxious carbon diselenide,²⁰ whereas diselenoimidodiphosphinates are obtained from facile oxidation of the corresponding bis(dialkylphosphine)amine with elemental selenium.

The chemistry involving dichalcogenoimidodiphosphinato complexes has been well reviewed.⁵³⁻⁵⁶ In addition to applications as single-source precursors for solid-state materials, these bidentate ligands have found uses in the search for stereochemically active lone pairs,⁵⁷⁻⁷² in catalysis,^{73,74} and in metal extraction processes,^{56,69,75-82} as lanthanide shift reagents,^{69,83-87} luminescent materials,⁸⁸ and as enzyme mimetics.⁸⁹⁻⁹¹ Often identified as main group inorganic analogues of acetylacetonate (*acac*), transition metal complexes of [N(R₂PE)₂]⁻ possess improved thermal and chemical stability over β -diketonates, which are susceptible to oxidation, polymerization and hydration, and generally makes *acac* complexes involatile.^{22,45,46,92-95} The volatility of dichalcogenoimidodiphosphinato complexes can be manipulated by the functional choice of alkyl group.²² Although considerably more attention has been given to the phenyl functionalized ligand in the literature, complexes of the isopropyl variant have shown an increase in volatility.^{22,54,62,96} Careful tuning of the ligand in the choice of alkyl group has afforded high quality CdSe quantum dots, prepared in a solvothermal reaction from the single-source precursor $Cd[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$.⁵² The quantum dots obtained are highly monodispersed with diameters, and subsequently luminescent properties, that depend upon growth time.⁵²

Characterization of dichalcogenoimidodiphosphinato complexes has to date been performed primarily by X-ray crystallography, as well as infrared and solution NMR spectroscopy.^{22,45,46,48,59,62-64,67,71,94,97-105} Diselenoimidodiphosphinate ligands possess numerous favorable NMR spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ nuclei, specifically ¹H (99.99% N.A., $\Xi = 100$ MHz), ¹³C (1.07% N.A., $\Xi = 25.145$ MHz), ³¹P (100% N.A., $\Xi = 40.481$ MHz), and ⁷⁷Se (7.63% N.A., $\Xi = 19.071$ MHz), however characterization has typically been limited to ¹H and ³¹P solution NMR. Solid-state NMR, being potentially more informative than solution NMR spectroscopy, would appear to be an appropriate technique for characterizing the detailed molecular environment of dichalcogenoimidodiphosphinate ligands and their complexes; however, there have been no reports of such investigations in the literature to our knowledge. The presented study of solid-state ³¹P, ⁷⁷Se, ¹¹³Cd, and ¹⁹⁹Hg NMR on the single-source precursors; M[N([†]Pr₂PSe)₂]₂ (M = Zn, Cd, Hg), represents the first solid-state NMR investigation of dichalcogenoimidodiphosphinato complexes.

6.2 Experimental

6.2.1 Sample Preparation

Iminobis(diisopropylphosphine selenide), HN(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂, was prepared according to the two-step, condensation followed by oxidation, procedure outlined in Scheme 5.2.¹⁰⁶ The off-white powders of the zinc, cadmium and mercury complexes were

202

obtained by slow addition of the corresponding metal(II) chloride (Sigma-Aldrich) into a basic methanol solution of the tetraisopropyldiselenoimidodiphosphinate, $[N({}^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]^{-}$, as shown in Scheme 6.1.⁴⁸ The single-source precursors were recrystallized by slow diffusion of methanol into chloroform solutions of the complexes. The crystal structures of the zinc,⁴⁸ cadmium,⁶² and mercury²² complexes have been previously determined by X-ray crystallography. All structures possess triclinic unit cells and indicate a single $M[N({}^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ molecule in the asymmetric unit.

$$2 \text{HN}(^{i} \text{Pr}_{2} \text{PSe})_{2} + \text{MCl}_{2} \xrightarrow{\text{NaOMe/MeOH}} M[\text{N}(^{i} \text{Pr}_{2} \text{PSe})_{2}]_{2}$$
RT

Scheme 6.1 Metal(II) tetraisopropyldiselenoimidodiphosphinate, $M[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ preparation.

6.2.2 NMR Experiments

Solid-state NMR investigations of powdered samples of $M[N(Pr_2PSe)_2]_2$ (M = Zn, Cd, Hg) were obtained on 4.7 T, 7.0 T, and 11.7 T NMR spectrometers. The samples were packed in 4 mm (7.0 and 11.7 T) and 5 mm (4.7 T) o.d. zirconium oxide rotors and were placed within probes suitable for magic angle spinning, MAS, NMR experiments. A variable amplitude cross polarization, VACP, pulse sequence was used for all nuclei investigated on all spectrometers. Proton decoupling fields of approximately 60 kHz were achieved via two-pulse phase-modulation, TPPM.¹⁰⁷ All ³¹P NMR spectra were referenced with respect to 85% H₃PO₄ (*aq*) by setting the ³¹P NMR peak of solid (NH₄)H₂PO₄ to 0.81 ppm.¹⁰⁸ Similarly, ⁷⁷Se NMR spectra were referenced to Me₂Se (*l*)

by setting the isotropic peak of solid ammonium selenate to 1040.2 ppm.^{108,109} Cadmium-113 NMR spectra were referenced with respect to a 0.1 M solution of Cd(ClO₄)₂ in water by setting the solid-state ¹¹³Cd NMR peak of Cd(NO₃)₂·4H₂O to -100.0 ppm.^{108,110} Solid-state ¹⁹⁹Hg NMR spectra were referenced to dimethyl mercury by setting the ¹⁹⁹Hg NMR peak of solid (NEt₄)Na[Hg(CN)₄] to -434 ppm.¹¹¹

Solid-state ³¹P NMR experiments were performed at frequencies of 81.0, 121.6 and 202.5 MHz at spinning frequencies ranging from 1.0 to 2.2 kHz. A total of between 64 and 512 scans were acquired per spectrum. Contact times between 2.0 and 4.5 ms, and pulse delays between 10 and 15 s were employed.

Solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR measurements were performed at frequencies of 38.2, 57.3 and 95.4 MHz at spinning frequencies ranging from 2.4 to 15.0 kHz. A total of between 2952 and 23608 scans were acquired per spectrum. Contact times between 9.0 and 10.0 ms, and pulse delays between 10 and 15 s were employed.

Solid-state ¹¹³Cd NMR experiments on Cd[N(${}^{i}Pr_{2}PSe$)₂]₂ were performed at frequencies of 44.4, 66.6 and 111.0 MHz at spinning frequencies ranging from 0.7 to 10.0 kHz. A total of between 128 and 6080 scans were acquired per spectrum. Contact times between 9.0 and 11.5 ms, and pulse delays between 7 and 12 s were employed. Cadmium-113 NMR spectra were also acquired for stationary samples of Cd[N(${}^{i}Pr_{2}PSe$)₂]₂, where a total of between 20000 and 23400 scans were acquired.

Solid-state ¹⁹⁹Hg NMR experiments on Hg[N(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂]₂ were performed at frequencies of 35.8, 53.8 and 89.5 MHz at spinning speeds ranging from 2.7 to 10.0 kHz. A total of between 8256 and 65808 scans were acquired per spectrum. Contact times between 10.0 and 11.5 ms, and pulse delays between 7 and 10 s were employed.

The principal components of the respective phosphorus, selenium, and mercury chemical shift tensors, $\delta_{11} \ge \delta_{22} \ge \delta_{33}$, were determined via the method of Herzfeld and Berger.¹¹² The cadmium chemical shift tensor principal components for Cd[N(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂]₂ were identified directly from the discontinuities of the solid-state ¹¹³Cd NMR spectra of a stationary sample. All experimental (observed) solid-state NMR spectra were simulated using the determined values with the program WSOLIDS¹¹³ to assess the quality of the obtained parameters. This procedure results in errors of ±0.2 ppm in the isotropic chemical shift, $\delta_{iso} = (1/3)(\delta_{11} + \delta_{22} + \delta_{33})$, and errors in the principal components about 1-3% of the span, $\Omega = \delta_{11} - \delta_{33}$, of the respective chemical shift tensor.

6.2.3 DFT Computations

Theoretical calculation of NMR parameters, particularly for heavier nuclei where empirical interpretations are more difficult than those extracted from ¹H or ¹³C NMR spectra, has become increasingly useful for spectroscopists.¹¹⁴ Magnetic shielding tensors, σ , were calculated using the NMR module¹¹⁵⁻¹¹⁷ of the Amsterdam Density Functional (ADF) program package.¹¹⁸⁻¹²² The Vosko-Wilk-Nusair¹²³ local density approximation with the Becke88-Perdew86¹²⁴⁻¹²⁶ generalized gradient approximation were used for the exchange-correlation functional. Scalar relativistic corrections were carried out based on the implementation of the zeroth order regular approximation, ZORA, formalism.¹²⁷⁻¹³⁰ Triple- ζ doubly polarized, TZ2P, Slater-type ZORA basis sets were used for all atoms except for hydrogen, where double- ζ quality, DZ, basis functions were utilized. The calculations were performed on a Linux-based cluster with two AMD XP 1800+ Athlon processors operating in parallel. The corresponding phosphorus and selenium chemical shift tensors were calculated from the magnetic shielding tensors using the relationship:

$$\delta_{ii}(sample) = \frac{\sigma_{iso}(ref) - \sigma_{ii}(sample)}{1 - \sigma_{iso}(ref)}$$
[6.1]

where $\sigma_{iso}(ref)$ is the isotropic shielding of a standard reference. The absolute shielding scale for ³¹P has been determined, and the value of $\sigma_{iso}(85\% \text{ H}_3\text{PO}_{4(aq)})$ has been established to be 328.35 ppm.¹³¹ We have previously investigated the selenium chemical shift tensors in a wide range of selenium containing compounds, and we have subsequently used the value of 1580 ppm determined therein for the reference shielding of a neat liquid of dimethyl selenide at 23 °C.¹³² As a consistent standard for $\sigma_{iso}(ref)$ has yet to be established for cadmium and mercury, the values have been reported as magnetic shielding where $\sigma_{11} \leq \sigma_{22} \leq \sigma_{33}$. Tables of traceless theoretical chemical shift tensors, along with the calculated phosphorus and selenium chemical shift and cadmium and mercury magnetic shielding tensors, are provided in Appendix C.

6.3 Results & Discussion

The single-source precursors investigated, $M[N({}^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = Zn, Cd, Hg), as determined by X-ray crystallography are isostructural, and a representation of the complexes is given in Figure 6.1. The structure at the metal center is best described as an approximate MSe₄ tetrahedral core. The six-membered MSe₂P₂N heterocycle rings possess a pseudo-boat conformation. The single diselenoimidodiphosphinato complex in the asymmetric unit does not possess any symmetry elements that would provide



Figure 6.1 Representation of the solid-state structure of $M[N(^{i}Pr_2PSe)_2]_2$ (M = Zn,⁴⁸ Cd,⁶² Hg²²). Hydrogen atoms have been removed for clarity.

chemical equivalence between any of the atoms within the molecule.^{22,48,62} Thus, unique environments for each of the four phosphorus and four selenium atoms present in each complex are expected.

6.3.1 Solid-State ³¹P NMR

Herzfeld-Berger analyses of each of the spinning sideband manifolds in the ³¹P spectra were performed for the zinc, cadmium and mercury diselenoimidodiphosphinato complexes, and a simulation of the entire ³¹P NMR spectrum of Hg[N(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂]₂ at 11.7 T is given in Figure 6.2. This procedure yields the principal components of the phosphorus chemical shift tensors as well as an estimate of the indirect spin-spin coupling constant, ¹J(⁷⁷Se, ³¹P)_{iso}, from the satellite peaks visible within the ³¹P NMR



Figure 6.2 VACP MAS ³¹P NMR spectrum of $Hg[N({}^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (lower trace) and its simulation (upper trace). Experimental conditions: 11.7 T, 512 scans, MAS at 1.9 kHz, 5 Hz of line broadening, a 2.0 ms contact time, and a 10 s recycle delay.

spectrum. The solid-state ³¹P NMR parameters for $M[N({}^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = Zn, Cd, Hg) are given in Table 6.1. The isotropic chemical shifts and ${}^{1}J({}^{77}Se, {}^{31}P)_{iso}$ agree well with chloroform solution ³¹P NMR values of 59.80 ppm and (-)539 Hz,⁶² 56.60 ppm and (-)563 Hz,⁶² and 56.80 ppm and (-)518 Hz²² for the zinc, cadmium and mercury

M ^{II}	δ _{iso} ppm	δ ₁₁ ppm	δ ₂₂ ppm	δ ₃₃ ppm	$^{1}J(^{77}\text{Se},^{31}\text{P})_{iso}^{a}$ <u>Hz</u>
7n	50.2	70.6	65.0	22.4	520
211	59.5	79.0	65.4	21.0	-330
	50.0	19.2	03.4	31.0	-530
	57.0	81.0	62.7	27.3	-530
	56.3	78.4	62.2	28.4	-530
Cd	56.9	77.2	61.3	32.2	-520
	56.2	77.0	60.9	30.8	-520
	54.9	77.1	58.8	28.7	-520
	53.9	75.8	58.9	27.0	-520
Hg	55.4	76.6	59.5	30.2	-525
0	54.6	75.8	58.7	29.3	-540
	53.2	76.7	56.5	26.3	-540
	52.4	75.0	57.3	24.8	-525

Table 6.1 Solid-state ³¹P NMR parameters for $M[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = Zn, Cd, Hg).

^a For directly bonded selenium-77 and phosphorus-31 spin pairs, signs of ${}^{1}J({}^{77}\text{Se}, {}^{31}\text{P})_{iso}$ are known to be negative for numerous analogous systems. 133,134

complexes respectively. The average of the four experimental and calculated values of δ_{iso} for the single-source precursors investigated shows a small yet consistent decrease as one progresses from the Zn to the Cd to the Hg complex. The decreasing trend is mimicked in each of the phosphorus chemical shift principal components, δ_{ii} , indicating that the local environment of the phosphorus atoms becomes, on average, more shielded as one changes to a heavier Group 12 central metal. Increased phosphorus shielding by greater than 20 ppm has been linked to stronger metal-selenium bonding in Group 13 diselenoimidodiphosphinato complexes.⁸¹ The average spans of the phosphorus chemical shift tensors, $\Omega_{avg} = 48.3$ ppm, are considerably smaller, indicating a more symmetric shielding environment, than those found in tris-organophosphine selenides, whose $\Omega_{avg} = 124$ ppm.¹³⁵ The magnetic shielding calculations provide the orientation of the phosphorus shielding tensors, which are unavailable from solid-state NMR investigations of powdered samples. Given the small spans, and similarity of the principal components of the ³¹P chemical shift tensors observed experimentally for the M[N(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂]₂ (M =

Zn, Cd, Hg) complexes, it is not surprising that the tensors have similar calculated orientations for all four phosphorus environments in each of the three complexes. The direction of least shielding, σ_{11} , is oriented perpendicular to the local Se-P-N plane, while the direction of greatest shielding, σ_{33} , lies approximately parallel to the phosphorus-nitrogen bond axis. The direction of intermediate shielding, orthogonal to the two other principal components, nearly bisects the Se-P-N angle.

The isotropic region of the solid-state ³¹P NMR spectra along with their simulations at 4.7 T, 7.0 T and 11.7 T is given in Figure 6.3. While the four unique phosphorus environments are readily discernable in the isotropic region of the spectra at 11.7 T, the spectra at the lower magnetic fields are considerably less well resolved. The lineshapes observed at 4.7 T and 7.0 T result from the adjacent ¹⁴N (I = 1, N.A. = 99.6%). which has previously been shown to influence solid-state ³¹P NMR spectra of mono- and cyclotri-phosphazenes.¹³⁶⁻¹³⁸ As a quadrupolar nucleus, the energy levels of nitrogen-14 are quantized by both the applied magnetic field as well as the electric field gradient, EFG, at the ¹⁴N nucleus.¹³⁹⁻¹⁴¹ As a result, MAS cannot completely average the dipolar interaction between ¹⁴N and ³¹P and "residual dipolar coupling" effects between the two nuclei are manifested in the solid-state ³¹P NMR spectra. This broadening effect is inversely proportional to the Larmor frequency of the quadrupolar nucleus, $v_{L,N} =$ $\gamma_{\rm N}B_0/2\pi$, ¹³⁹⁻¹⁴¹ and thus produces a smaller effect at higher applied magnetic fields. Analyses of the ³¹P NMR spectra can yield values of the effective dipolar coupling constant, Reff, and the isotropic one-bond indirect spin-spin coupling constant, ${}^{1}J({}^{31}P,{}^{14}N)_{iso}$, as well as parameters describing the EFG at the nitrogen nucleus. The direct dipolar coupling constant, R_{DD} , is related to R_{eff} by

210



Figure 6.3 Experimental (lower trace) isotropic ³¹P NMR regions, with spinning sidebands added to the isotropic region, and simulated (upper trace) for $M[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = Zn, Cd, Hg) at 4.7 T, 7.0 T, and 11.7 T. Experimental conditions: 64 – 512 scans, 5 Hz of line broadening, a 2.0 – 4.5 ms contact time, and a 10 – 15 s recycle delay.

$$R_{eff} = R_{DD} - \Delta J / 3 \tag{6.2}$$

where $\Delta J = J_{33} - (J_{11} + J_{22})/2$ is the anisotropy of the phosphorus-nitrogen J tensor, and

$$R_{DD} = \left(\frac{\mu_0}{4\pi}\right) \left(\frac{\hbar}{2\pi}\right) \left(\frac{\gamma_P \gamma_N}{\langle r_{PN}^3 \rangle}\right)$$
[6.3]

where μ_0 is the permeability of a vacuum, γ_P and γ_N are the magnetogyric ratios of ${}^{31}P$ and ¹⁴N, and $\langle r_{PN}^{3} \rangle$ is the motionally-averaged cube of the distance between phosphorus and nitrogen. The contributions from ΔJ are expected to be negligible given the small magnitude for ${}^{1}J({}^{31}P, {}^{15}N)_{iso}$ determined for iminobis(diphenylphosphine selenide), $HN(Ph_2PSe)_2$,¹⁴² and as a result $R_{eff} = R_{DD}$ can be estimated directly from the phosphorusnitrogen nuclear separation in the X-ray crystal structures. It is not feasible to assign a specific R_{DD} , i.e., a specific phosphorus-nitrogen distance, to a specific ³¹P NMR resonance, so an average $r_{\rm PN}$ was calculated from the four P-N distances within each complex. The resulting average R_{DD} was used in the simulations of all four sites in the ³¹P NMR spectra. The quadrupolar parameters required to describe the solid-state NMR spectrum of a spin-1/2 nucleus spin-spin coupled to a quadrupolar nucleus are the quadrupolar coupling constant, $C_Q = eQV_{ZZ}/h$, where e is the elementary charge, Q is the nuclear quadrupole moment, and V_{ZZ} is the largest component of the EFG tensor at the nucleus. Also required are the Euler angles, α and β , which describe the orientation of the dipolar vector, $r_{\rm PN}$, within the principal axis system of the EFG tensor at the quadrupolar nucleus. The values of the angles α and β were initially obtained from DFT calculations of the nitrogen EFG tensors, and were subsequently optimized in the

simulations. For reasons analogous to those given above for R_{DD} , the simulations of all four phosphorus lineshapes were simulated using a single value of ${}^{1}J({}^{31}P, {}^{14}N)_{iso}$, C_{O}, α , and β . The effect of using single average values of the residual dipolar coupling parameters does not appear to significantly influence the simulations for the ³¹P NMR spectra of the Zn, Cd, and Hg complexes given in Figure 6.3, where accurate lineshapes are achieved at all three applied magnetic fields employed. For $Zn[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$, $R_{DD} =$ 880(50) Hz from the average $r_{\rm PN}$ distance of 1.588(30) Å,⁴⁸ and the azimuthal and polar angles were 90(3)° and 27(3)° respectively. The value of C_0 was 3.05(20) MHz, and the indirect spin-spin coupling constant, ${}^{1}J({}^{31}P, {}^{14}N)_{iso}$, was 15(5) Hz. The parameters pertaining to residual dipolar coupling used in the simulations of the ³¹P NMR spectra of Cd[N(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂]₂ were $R_{DD} = 880(50)$ Hz, $r_{PN} = 1.587(30)$ Å, $^{62}\alpha = 90(3)^{\circ}$, $\beta = 26(4)^{\circ}$, C_{O} = 3.00(20) MHz, ${}^{1}J({}^{31}P,{}^{14}N)_{iso} = 15(5)$ Hz. For Hg[N(${}^{i}Pr_{2}PSe$)₂]₂, $R_{DD} = 880(50)$ Hz, r_{PN} = 1.586(30) Å,²² α = 90(3)°, β = 26(4)°, C_Q = 3.00(20) MHz, ${}^{1}J({}^{31}P,{}^{14}N)_{iso}$ = 15(4) Hz were used. The EFG tensors at ¹⁴N in the three complexes are oriented similarly, such that the largest component lies within the P-N-P plane perpendicular to the P-N-P bisector, the intermediate component is parallel to the direction of the formal electron 'lone pair', and the smallest EFG component is perpendicular to the P-N-P plane. The magnitude of the quadrupolar coupling constants are all consistent with those found in other phosphorus-nitrogen systems where values of C_Q are not expected to exceed 4.0 MHz.¹³⁶ The values for ${}^{1}\mathcal{J}({}^{31}P, {}^{14}N)_{iso}$ observed here are of similar magnitude, and in comparison with the corresponding ${}^{1}J({}^{31}P, {}^{15}N)_{iso}$ in HN(Ph₂PSe)₂ 142 confirm that the contributions of ΔJ are likely to be negligible in comparison to those of R_{DD} .

6.3.2 Solid-State ⁷⁷Se NMR

The entire spinning sideband manifolds for the solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR spectra for the single-source precursors investigated at 7.0 T are given in Figure 6.4a. The solidstate NMR parameters are detailed in Table 6.2. Solution-state ⁷⁷Se NMR has not previously been reported for the $M[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = Zn, Cd, Hg) complexes. The values of $\delta_{iso}(^{77}Se)$ encountered vary by 66 ppm which are very similar given that the selenium chemical shift range exceeds 3000 ppm.^{143,144} The apparent trend observed for the isotropic ³¹P chemical shifts and chemical shift principal components, where the shielding increases as the complexing metal went from zinc to cadmium to mercury, is not reproduced in the corresponding ⁷⁷Se NMR values. The average of the isotropic ⁷⁷Se chemical shifts decreases as one moves from the zinc to the cadmium complex; however, $Hg[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ possesses the least shielded selenium environments of the three complexes investigated. Theoretical calculations of the selenium chemical shift tensors reproduce the experimental values well, and agree with the experimentally observed order of average selenium magnetic shielding, i.e., $\sigma_{iso avg}(^{77}\text{Se}; M = \text{Cd}) > \sigma_{iso avg}(^{77}\text{Se}; M$ = Zn) > $\sigma_{iso,avg}$ (⁷⁷Se; M = Hg), see Appendix C. The spans of the selenium chemical shift tensors investigated, unlike those for the ³¹P chemical shift tensors, are all larger than those found in tris-organophosphine selenides,^{132,145} indicating that the presence of the metal is influencing the shielding environment of the selenium nuclei. Calculated orientations of selenium magnetic shielding tensors for tris-organophosphine selenide compounds, R₃PSe, were found to vary with the choice of R group.¹³² The selenium magnetic shielding tensors for all four of the selenium environments for each of the zinc, cadmium and mercury complexes investigated as determined by DFT calculations,



Figure 6.4 (a) VACP MAS ⁷⁷Se NMR spectra for $M[N({}^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = Zn, Cd, Hg). (b) Expansion of the isotropic region of the ⁷⁷Se NMR spectra (lower trace), with spinning sidebands added to the isotropic region, and the corresponding simulations (upper trace). Experimental conditions: 7.0 T, 6764 – 22064 scans, spinning at 4.0 kHz, 10 Hz of line broadening, a 9.0 – 10.0 ms contact time, and a 10 – 15 s recycle delay.

M ^{II}	δ _{iso} ppm	δ ₁₁ ppm	δ ₂₂ ppm	δ ₃₃ 	$^{1}J(^{77}\text{Se},^{31}\text{P})_{iso}^{a}$ Hz	$^{1}J(M,^{77}Se)_{iso}^{b,c}$ Hz
7n	242	179	416	190	544	
ZII	-242	170	-300	-516	-540	
	-248	172	-407	-499	-516	
	-252	184	-414	-534	-521	
Cd	-272	166	-386	-596	-522	±135
	-275	161	-373	-613	-537	±135
	-282	162	-383	-626	-518	±120
	-282	162	-383	-626	-518	±120
Hg	-216	189	-338	-499	-550	-900
e	-221	186	-339	-511	-550	-880
	-224	180	-345	-508	-512	-860
	-229	197	-359	-524	-526	-850

Table 6.2 Solid-state ⁷	⁷ Se NMR	parameters for M	[N(ⁱ Pr ₂ PSe) ₂] ₂	(M = Zn)	Cd. Hg).
I able U.Z Sollu-State		parameters for wi	11111121 001212	(1VI - ZII)	$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{y}}$

^a For directly bonded selenium-77 and phosphorus-31 spin pairs, signs of ¹J(⁷⁷Se, ³¹P)_{iso} are known to be negative for numerous analogous systems.^{133,134}
^b Signs of ¹J(¹⁹⁹Hg, ⁷⁷Se)_{iso} are reported as negative based on double resonance experiments performed on Hg(SePBu₃)X₂ (X = Cl, Br, I, SCN) complexes.¹⁴⁶
^c Couplings to ¹¹¹Cd and ¹¹³Cd could not be resolved from each other.

possess similar orientations, suggesting that the variation of the central metal does not significantly impact the orientation of the selenium magnetic shielding tensor. The directions of least and greatest shielding, σ_{11} and σ_{33} , were found to orient nearly parallel with the metal-selenium and selenium-phosphorus bond axes, respectively. Orthogonal to the other principal components, the direction of intermediate shielding, σ_{22} , was oriented perpendicular to the local M-Se-P plane.

Experimental spectra of the isotropic region at 7.0 T, with spinning sideband addition, from the corresponding ⁷⁷Se NMR spectra are given in Figure 6.4b, along with their simulations. The isotropic region for $Zn[N(^{1}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ exhibits seven peaks in an approximate 1:1:2:1:1:1 fashion. This intensity profile is consistent with the expected four selenium environments from the crystal structure, each of which experiences indirect spin-spin coupling from the adjacent ³¹P (N.A. 100%). Zinc-67 is the only NMR-active nuclide of zinc, and because of its quadrupolar nature, I = 5/2, low 4.10% natural

abundance, and small frequency ratio, $\Xi = 6.257$ MHz, it is expected that the influence of 67 Zn on the selenium-77 NMR spectra would be negligible. Exploiting the magnetic field dependence of the chemical shift and the field independence of the indirect spin-spin coupling interactions permits the simulation of the 77 Se NMR spectrum in Figure 6.4b, along with the simulations of the 77 Se NMR spectra at 4.7 T and 11.7 T (not shown), to extract the values of δ_{iso} , δ_{ii} , and $^{1}J(^{77}$ Se, 31 P)_{iso} given in Table 6.2. The values of $^{1}J(^{77}$ Se, 31 P)_{iso} are in good agreement with the magnitude of (-)539 Hz from the 31 P NMR spectrum of a chloroform solution of Zn[N(1 Pr₂PSe)₂]₂, 62 and those from the above solid-state 31 P NMR investigation, Table 6.1.

The isotropic region for Cd[N(¹Pr₂PSe)₂]₂ shows considerably more fine structure at the base of the more intense peaks whose intensity profile at 7.0 T displays a 1:1:1:2:1:2 pattern, Figure 6.4b. The ⁷⁷Se NMR spectra for Cd[N(¹Pr₂PSe)₂]₂ were simulated at all three magnetic fields employed with only three unique selenium environments, in a 1:1:2 intensity ratio, despite the absence of any symmetry elements relating any two selenium atoms in the crystal structure.⁶² While the four calculated selenium chemical shift tensors for Cd[N(¹Pr₂PSe)₂]₂ are very similar, no two are identical, as expected. The fine structure in the spectrum is a result of indirect spin-spin coupling from ¹¹¹Cd ($I = \frac{1}{2}$, 12.80 % N.A., $\Xi = 21.215$ MHz) and ¹¹³Cd ($I = \frac{1}{2}$, 12.22 % N.A., $\Xi = 21.193$ MHz). The values of ¹J(^{111/113}Cd,⁷⁷Se)_{iso} are of a similar order of magnitude to those found in (NMe₄)₂[Cd(Se₄)₂] by solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR,¹⁴⁷ and to those in cadmium(II) phenylselenolate complexes by solution ⁷⁷Se and ¹¹³Cd NMR.¹⁴⁸ The ¹J(⁷⁷Se, ³¹P)_{iso} values agree well with those from the satellites in the solution, ¹J(⁷⁷Se, ³¹P)_{iso} = (-)563 Hz,⁶² and solid-state ³¹P NMR data given in Table 6.1. The isotropic region in the ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum for Hg[N(¹Pr₂PSe)₂]₂ appears similar to the isotropic region in the corresponding zinc complex with the addition of satellite peaks resulting from ¹*J*(¹⁹⁹Hg,⁷⁷Se)_{iso} due to the presence of ¹⁹⁹Hg ($I = \frac{1}{2}$, 16.87 % N.A., $\Xi = 17.911$ MHz), Figure 6.4b. Mercury possesses a second NMR-active isotope ²⁰¹Hg ($I = \frac{3}{2}$, 13.18 % N.A., $\Xi = 6.612$ MHz); however, similar to ⁶⁷Zn, its quadrupolar nature, natural abundance and frequency ratio produce a negligible detectable impact on the ⁷⁷Se NMR spectra. The values of ¹*J*(⁷⁷Se,³¹P)_{iso} given in Table 6.2 are in good agreement with the magnitude of (-)518 Hz from the solution ³¹P NMR spectrum,²² and the solid-state values, Table 6.1. The large values of ¹*J*(¹⁹⁹Hg,⁷⁷Se)_{iso} agree well with the ¹⁹⁹Hg NMR value of (-)855 Hz from a chloroform solution of Hg[N(¹Pr₂PSe)₂]₂,²² and are of a similar order of magnitude to those found in HgX₂(Bu₃PSe)₂ (X = Cl, Br, I, SCN) by solution ⁷⁷Se and ¹⁹⁹Hg NMR,¹⁴⁶ and in (NMe₄)₂[Hg(Se₄)₂] by solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR.¹⁴⁷

The internuclear phosphorus-selenium distances for the zinc, cadmium and mercury complexes range from 2.177-2.183 Å,⁴⁸ 2.168-2.188 Å,⁶² and 2.180-2.191 Å²² respectively. Not surprisingly, all of the M[N(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂]₂ (M = Zn, Cd, Hg) complexes have similar values of ¹*J*(⁷⁷Se,³¹P)_{iso} from solid-state ³¹P and ⁷⁷Se NMR spectroscopy, see Tables 6.1 and 6.2. These ¹*J*(⁷⁷Se,³¹P)_{iso} values are all considerably smaller in magnitude than the corresponding solution value of (-)757 Hz in the 'free' HN(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂ ligand.⁶² The decrease in the magnitude of ¹*J*(⁷⁷Se,³¹P)_{iso} values upon complexation has been observed upon coordination of R₃PSe with Cd(II),¹⁴⁹ or HgX₂ (X = Cl, Br, I),¹⁵⁰ and it has been suggested to result from a lowering of the P-Se bond order upon complexation as the P-Se distance increases from 2.092 and 2.103 Å found for the solid-state structure of $HN(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}$.⁶² The complexing strength of $[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]^{-}$ has been shown in its ability to displace coordinated triphenylphosphine,¹⁵¹ and a reduction in the magnitude of the phosphorus-selenium coupling constant from the 'free' ligand indicates metal-selenium bonding.⁸¹

The ranges of internuclear metal-selenium distances for the cadmium and mercury complexes are very similar, 2.622-2.636 Å and 2.625-2.636 Å respectively. Scaling of ${}^{1}J({}^{113}\text{Cd}, {}^{77}\text{Se})_{iso} = 120\text{-}135 \text{ Hz}$ and ${}^{1}J({}^{199}\text{Hg}, {}^{77}\text{Se})_{iso} = 850\text{-}900 \text{ Hz}$ by $4\pi^{2}/\text{h}\gamma_{Cd/\text{Hg}}\gamma_{\text{Se}}$ yields the respective reduced coupling constants ${}^{1}K(\text{Cd},\text{Se})_{iso} = 234\text{-}263 \times 10^{19} \text{ T}^2 \text{ J}^{-1}$ and ${}^{1}K(\text{Hg},\text{Se})_{iso} = 2038\text{-}2158 \times 10^{19} \text{ T}^2 \text{ J}^{-1}$ allowing for direct comparison. The approximate order of magnitude difference between cadmium and mercury in the values of ${}^{1}J(\text{M},\text{Se})_{iso}$ is upheld when considering the corresponding ${}^{1}K(\text{M},\text{Se})_{iso}$. An increase in the magnitude of the reduced coupling constant as one moves down a group in the periodic table is a well known trend for various Group 14 couplings, ${}^{152\text{-}158}$ and appears to hold for the Group 12 metal coupling with selenium in the complexes investigated.

6.3.3 Solid-State ¹¹³Cd NMR

The solid-state ¹¹³Cd NMR spectrum of a stationary sample of Cd[N(${}^{i}Pr_{2}PSe$)₂]₂ at 11.7 T is given in Figure 6.5 along with its simulation. The low natural abundance of selenium-77 (7.63 %) prevents any significant impact of ${}^{1}J({}^{113}Cd, {}^{77}Se)_{iso}$ on the simulation of the acquired spectrum, and the value of ${}^{2}J({}^{113}Cd, {}^{31}P)_{iso} = 30.8 \text{ Hz}^{62}$ from a solution ${}^{31}P$ NMR spectrum is too small to observe in any of the solid-state ${}^{113}Cd$ measurements at the applied magnetic fields used. The principal components of the single cadmium chemical shift tensor are given in Table 6.3. The nearly axial symmetric

219



Figure 6.5 VACP ¹¹³Cd NMR spectrum of stationary Cd[N(${}^{i}Pr_{2}PSe$)₂]₂ (lower trace) and its simulation (upper trace). Experimental conditions: 11.7 T, 20000 scans, 100 Hz of line broadening, an 11.5 ms contact time, and a 12 s recycle delay.

¹¹³Cd chemical shift tensor, Figure 6.5, arises due to the approximate S_4 symmetry axis present at the metal center. The presence of an improper 4-fold rotation axis about the metal center was initially found in the X-ray crystal structure determination of Zn[N(ⁱPr₂PS)₂]₂,⁶¹ which was ultimately revisited⁴⁸ and refined to be isostructural with

M ^{II}	δ _{iso} ppm	δ ₁₁ ppm	δ ₂₂ ppm	δ ₃₃ ppm	$^{2}J(M,^{31}P)_{iso}^{a}$ Hz	$^{1}J(M,^{77}Se)_{iso}^{a}$ Hz
Cd	629	683	663	540		
Hg	-826	-530	-600	-1348	-80	-870

Table 6.3 Solid-state ¹¹³Cd and ¹⁹⁹Hg NMR parameters for $M[N(^{i}Pr_2PSe)_2]_2$ (M = Cd, Hg).

^a Signs of ${}^{1}J({}^{199}\text{Hg}, {}^{77}\text{Se})_{iso}$ and ${}^{2}J({}^{199}\text{Hg}, {}^{31}\text{P})_{iso}$ are reported as negative based on double resonance resonance experiments performed on Hg(SePBu₃)X₂ (X = Cl, Br, I, SCN) complexes.¹⁴⁶

the M[N(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂]₂ (M = Zn, Cd, Hg) complexes. Near axial symmetry was also found in the calculated magnetic shielding tensor for the cadmium complex. While there are no solution-state ¹¹³Cd NMR values for Cd[N(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂]₂ for comparison, the isotropic ¹¹³Cd chemical shift is reasonable given those found for cadmium(II) phenylselenolate complexes from a solution ¹¹³Cd NMR study.¹⁴⁸

6.3.4 Solid-State ¹⁹⁹Hg NMR

The solid-state ¹⁹⁹Hg NMR spectrum of Hg[N(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂]₂ at 7.0 T is given in Figure 6.6a, and the isotropic region with spinning sideband addition is shown in Figure 6.6b. The solid-state parameters extracted from this as well as the spectra at 4.7 T and 11.7 T (not shown) are given in Table 6.3. Similar to the solid-state ¹¹³Cd NMR spectrum of Cd[N(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂]₂ in Figure 6.5, the solid-state ¹⁹⁹Hg NMR spectrum of the mercury analogue in Figure 6.6a displays a nearly axially symmetric chemical shift tensor arising from an approximate S_4 axis about the mercury center. Near axial symmetry has been observed for ¹⁹⁹Hg chemical shift tensors in mercury(II) complexes with monoanionic bidentate carboxylate ligands,^{159,160} and in the calculated magnetic shielding tensor for Hg[N(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂]₂ given in Appendix C. The isotropic ¹⁹⁹Hg chemical



Figure 6.6 (a) VACP MAS ¹⁹⁹Hg NMR spectrum of Hg[N(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂]₂ (lower trace) and its simulation (upper trace). (b) Expansion of the isotropic region of the ¹⁹⁹Hg NMR spectrum (lower trace), with spinning sideband addition, and the simulation (upper trace). Experimental conditions: 7.0 T, 22896 scans, spinning at 3.0 kHz, 20 Hz of line broadening, a 11.5 ms contact time, and a 10 s recycle delay.

shift, -826 ppm, agrees well with the solution ¹⁹⁹Hg NMR value of -859 ppm.²² The simulation of the isotropic peak, Figure 6.6b, requires the presence of four ${}^{2}J({}^{199}\text{Hg},{}^{31}\text{P})_{iso}$ to properly describe the observed lineshape. The average value of ${}^{2}J({}^{199}\text{Hg},{}^{31}\text{P})_{iso}$, -80 Hz, correctly produces the approximate 1:4:6:4:1 splitting pattern, and agrees with the value of (-)127 Hz as determined by solution ¹⁹⁹Hg NMR,²² and is of a similar magnitude to two-bond mercury-phosphorus coupling constants found in HgX₂(Bu₃PSe)₂ (X = Cl,

Br, I, SCN).^{146,161} The single ${}^{1}J({}^{199}\text{Hg}, {}^{77}\text{Se})_{iso}$ value used to simulate the ${}^{199}\text{Hg}$ NMR spectrum at all applied magnetic fields employed agrees well with the value from the ${}^{199}\text{Hg}$ NMR value reported for a chloroform solution of Hg[N(${}^{i}\text{Pr}_2\text{PSe})_2$]₂.

 ${}^{1}J({}^{199}\text{Hg}, {}^{77}\text{Se})_{iso} = (-)855 \text{ Hz}, {}^{22}$ as well as with the values determined from the solid-state ${}^{77}\text{Se}$ NMR investigation given from this study in Table 6.2. The one-bond indirect mercury-selenium isotropic coupling constant, Table 6.3, is also consistent with those found in mercury(II) halide complexes of tributylphosphine selenide from solution ${}^{77}\text{Se}$ and ${}^{199}\text{Hg}$ NMR, 146 and in (NMe₄)₂[Hg(Se₄)₂] by solid-state ${}^{77}\text{Se}$ NMR. 147

6.4 Summary

The single-source precursors, $M[N({}^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = Zn, Cd, Hg), for solid-state metal selenide materials were investigated by solid-state ${}^{31}P$, ${}^{77}Se$, ${}^{113}Cd$, and ${}^{199}Hg$ NMR. Magnetic shielding calculations support many of the experimentally observed trends and values, specifically in the calculated orientations of the ${}^{14}N$ EFG tensors, and magnetic shielding tensors. The slight increase in shielding for the phosphorus nuclei observed as one moves down the Group 12 period, is not nearly as significant as was observed in dialkyl Group 13 complexes, R'_2M[N(R_2PSe)_2] (M = Al, Ga).⁸¹ The approximately equal values for ${}^{1}J({}^{77}Se, {}^{31}P)_{iso}$ in all three complexes investigated suggest that the metalselenium bond order is similar in all M[N(${}^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}$]₂ (M = Zn, Cd, Hg) complexes. Residual dipolar coupling between ${}^{31}P$ and ${}^{14}N$ was found to significantly influence the solid-state ${}^{31}P$ NMR spectra at 4.7 T and 7.0 T. Analysis of the phosphorus-31 NMR spectra at all three applied magnetic fields yielded additional information about the local environments of the phosphorus and nitrogen nuclei within the single-source precursors. Aside from the principal components of the respective chemical shift tensors, nearly identical parameters were used to simulate the solid-state ³¹P NMR spectra at 4.7 T, 7.0 T and 11.7 T for all three complexes investigated, indicating that the local environments for phosphorus and nitrogen are very similar regardless of whether $[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]^{-}$ is coordinated to zinc, cadmium or mercury. While four distinct ³¹P environments are observed for $Cd[N(^{i}Pr_2PSe)_2]_2$ as expected, only three selenium environments were found in the solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR spectra. The occurrence of two selenium environments possessing identical isotropic ⁷⁷Se chemical shifts within the cadmium complex is fortuitous. Solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR, in general, provides more accurate values of indirect spin-spin coupling constants, primarily due to the larger natural abundances of ³¹P, ^{111/113}Cd, and ¹⁹⁹Hg with respect to ⁷⁷Se. The solid-state ¹¹³Cd and ¹⁹⁹Hg NMR spectra yield information on the type of environment provided by two diselenoimidodiphosphinato ligands oriented in a spirobicyclic fashion around the central metal. The solid-state ¹¹³Cd NMR spectra give a chemical shift tensor spanning less than 150 ppm, with little detectable evidence for cadmium-selenium one-bond or cadmium-phosphorus two-bond indirect spin-spin coupling; whereas, the ¹⁹⁹Hg chemical shift tensor spans over 800 ppm, and displays evidence of both ${}^{1}J({}^{199}\text{Hg},{}^{77}\text{Se})_{iso}$ and ${}^{2}J({}^{199}\text{Hg},{}^{31}\text{P})_{iso}$. The asymmetric nature of the ¹¹³Cd and ¹⁹⁹Hg chemical shift tensors clearly show sensitivity to the distortions from tetrahedral symmetry about the MSe₄ core.

6.5 References

(1) Gustafsson, G.; Cao, Y.; Treacy, G. M.; Klavetter, F.; Colaneri, N.; Heeger, A. J. *Nature* **1992**, *357*, 477-479.

(2) Dhawan, S. K.; Trivedi, D. C. J. Appl. Electrochem. 1992, 22, 563-570.

(3) Balcerak, R.; Gibson, J. F.; Gutierrez, W. A.; Pollard, J. H. Opt. Eng. 1987, 26, 191-200.

(4) Rogalski, A. Opt. Eng. 1994, 33, 1395-1412.

(5) Sato, T.; Ahmed, H.; Brown, D.; Johnson, B. F. G. *J. Appl. Phys.* **1997**, *82*, 696-701.

(6) Slot, J. W.; Geuze, H. J. J. Cell Biol. 1981, 90, 533-536.

(7) Mycielski, A.; Szadkowski, A.; Kaliszek, W.; Witkowska, B. Proc. SPIE-Int. Soc. Opt. Eng. 2001, 4412, 38-45.

(8) Yesugade, N. S.; Lokhande, C. D.; Bhosale, C. H. *Thin Solid Films* **1995**, *263*, 145-149.

(9) Bhattacharya, R. N.; Pramanik, P. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1982, 129, 332-335.

(10) Sankapal, B. R.; Lokhande, C. D. Mater. Chem. Phys. 2002, 73, 151-155.

(11) Manasevit, H. M.; Simpson, W. I. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1971, 118, 644-647.

(12) Murray, C. B.; Norris, D. J.; Bawendi, M. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 8706-8715.

(13) Pickett, N. L.; Riddell, F. G.; Foster, D. F.; Cole-Hamilton, D. J.; Fryer, J. R. J. *Mater. Chem.* **1997**, *7*, 1855-1865.

(14) Pickett, N. L.; Lawson, S.; Thomas, W. G.; Riddell, F. G.; Foster, D. F.; Cole-Hamilton, D. J.; Fryer, J. R. *J. Mater. Chem.* **1998**, *8*, 2769-2776.

(15) Peng, Z. A.; Peng, X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 183-184.

(16) Green, M.; O'Brien, P. Chem. Commun. 1999, 2235-2241.

(17) Arnold, J. Prog. Inorg. Chem. 1995, 43, 353-417.

(18) O'Brien, P.; Nomura, R. J. Mater. Chem. 1995, 5, 1761-1773.

- (19) Gleizes, A. N. Chem. Vap. Deposition 2000, 6, 155-173.
- (20) Pickett, N. L.; O'Brien, P. Chem. Rec. 2001, 1, 467-479.
- (21) Bochmann, M. Chem. Vap. Deposition 1996, 2, 85-96.
- (22) Crouch, D. J.; Hatton, P. M.; Helliwell, M.; O'Brien, P.; Raftery, J. *Dalton Trans.* **2003**, 2761-2766.

(23) Osakada, K.; Yamamoto, T. Chem. Commun. 1987, 1117-1118.

(24) Steigerwald, M. L.; Sprinkle, C. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 7200-7201.

(25) Brennan, J. G.; Siegrist, T.; Carroll, P. J.; Stuczynski, S. M.; Brus, L. E.; Steigerwald, M. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, *111*, 4141-4143.

(26) Bochmann, M.; Webb, K.; Harman, M.; Hursthouse, M. B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1990, 29, 638-639.

(27) Brennan, J. G.; Siegrist, T.; Carroll, P. J.; Stuczynski, S. M.; Reynders, P.; Brus, L. E.; Steigerwald, M. L. Chem. Mat. 1990, 2, 403-409.

(28) Bochmann, M.; Webb, K. J.; Hails, J. E.; Wolverson, D. Eur. J. Solid State Inorg. Chem. **1992**, 29, 155-166.

(29) Park, H. S.; Mokhtari, M.; Roesky, H. W. Chem. Vap. Deposition 1996, 2, 135-139.

(30) Arnold, J.; Walker, J. M.; Yu, K. M.; Bonasia, P. J.; Seligson, A. L.; Bourret, E. D. J. Cryst. Growth **1992**, 124, 647-653.

(31) Seligson, A. L.; Bonasia, P. J.; Arnold, J.; Yu, K. M.; Walker, J. M.; Bourret, E. D. Mater. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. **1993**, 282, 665-670.

(32) Takahashi, Y.; Yuki, R.; Sugiura, M.; Motojima, S.; Sugiyama, K. J. Cryst. Growth **1980**, *50*, 491-497.

(33) Evans, M. A. H.; Williams, J. O. Thin Solid Films 1982, 87, L1-L2.

(34) Frigo, D. M.; Khan, O. F. Z.; O'Brien, P. J. Cryst. Growth 1989, 96, 989-992.

(35) Hursthouse, M. B.; Malik, M. A.; Motevalli, M.; O'Brien, P. *Polyhedron* **1992**, *11*, 45-48.

(36) Pike, R. D.; Cui, H.; Kershaw, R.; Dwight, K.; Wold, A.; Blanton, T. N.; Wernberg, A. A.; Gysling, H. J. *Thin Solid Films* **1993**, *224*, 221-226.

(37) Nomura, R.; Murai, T.; Toyosaki, T.; Matsuda, H. *Thin Solid Films* **1995**, *271*, 4-7.

(38) Trindade, T.; O'Brien, P. Adv. Mater. 1996, 8, 161-163.

(39) O'Brien, P.; Walsh, J. R.; Watson, I. M.; Motevalli, M.; Henriksen, L. *Dalton Trans.* **1996**, 2491-2496.

(40) Trindade, T.; O'Brien, P.; Zhang, X.-M. Chem. Mat. 1997, 9, 523-530.

(41) Ludolph, B.; Malik, M. A.; O'Brien, P.; Revaprasadu, N. Chem. Commun. 1998, 1849-1850.

(42) Revaprasadu, N.; Malik, M. A.; O'Brien, P.; Zulu, M. M.; Wakefield, G. J. Mater. Chem. **1998**, *8*, 1885-1888.

(43) Revaprasadu, N.; Malik, M. A.; O'Brien, P.; Wakefield, G. Chem. Commun. 1999, 1573-1574.

(44) Afzaal, M.; Crouch, D.; Malik, M. A.; Motevalli, M.; O'Brien, P.; Park, J.-H. J. Mater. Chem. 2003, 13, 639-640.

(45) Afzaal, M.; Crouch, D. J.; O'Brien, P.; Raftery, J.; Skabara, P. J.; White, A. J. P.; Williams, D. J. *J. Mater. Chem.* **2004**, *14*, 233-237.

(46) Crouch, D. J.; Helliwell, M.; O'Brien, P.; Park, J.-H.; Waters, J.; Williams, D. J. *Dalton Trans.* **2003**, 1500-1504.

(47) Afzaal, M.; Aucott, S. M.; Crouch, D.; O'Brien, P.; Woollins, J. D.; Park, J.-H. *Chem. Vap. Deposition* **2002**, *8*, 187-189.

(48) Afzaal, M.; Crouch, D.; Malik, M. A.; Motevalli, M.; O'Brien, P.; Park, J.-H.; Woollins, J. D. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2004**, 171-177.

(49) Malik, M. A.; Afzaal, M.; O'Brien, P.; Halliwell, M. Polyhedron **2006**, *25*, 864-868.

(50) Garje, S. S.; Ritch, J. S.; Eisler, D. J.; Afzaal, M.; O'Brien, P.; Chivers, T. J. *Mater. Chem.* **2006**, *16*, 966-969.

(51) Afzaal, M.; Ellwood, K.; Pickett, N. L.; O'Brien, P.; Raftery, J.; Waters, J. J. *Mater. Chem.* **2004**, *14*, 1310-1315.

(52) Crouch, D. J.; O'Brien, P.; Malik, M. A.; Skabara, P. J.; Wright, S. P. Chem. Commun. 2003, 1454-1455.

- (53) Haiduc, I.; Silaghi-Dumitrescu, I. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1986, 74, 127-270.
- (54) Woollins, J. D. Dalton Trans. 1996, 2893-2901.
- (55) Ly, T. Q.; Woollins, J. D. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1998, 176, 451-481.
- (56) Bhattacharyya, P.; Woollins, J. D. *Polyhedron* **1995**, *14*, 3367-3388.

(57) Rossi, R.; Marchi, A.; Marvelli, L.; Magon, L.; Peruzzini, M.; Casellato, U.; Graziani, R. *Dalton Trans.* **1993**, 723-729.

(58) Cea-Olivares, R.; García-Montalvo, V.; Novosad, J.; Kilian, P.; Woollins, J. D.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; García y García, P.; López-Cardoso, M.; Espinosa-Pérez, G.; Toscano, R. A.; Hernández, S.; Canseco-Melchor, G.; Lima-Montaño, L.; Rodríguez-Narváez, C. *Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem.* **1997**, *124*, 347-354.

(59) Cea-Olivares, R.; Moya-Cabrera, M.; García-Montalvo, V.; Castro-Blanco, R.; Toscano, R. A.; Hernández-Ortega, S. *Dalton Trans.* **2005**, 1017-1018.

(60) Silvestru, C.; Drake, J. E. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2001, 223, 117-216.

(61) Cupertino, D.; Keyte, R.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; Williams, D. J.; Woollins, J. D. *Inorg. Chem.* **1996**, *35*, 2695-2697.

(62) Cupertino, D.; Birdsall, D. J.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; Woollins, J. D. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1999**, *290*, 1-7.

(63) Bhattacharyya, P.; Novosad, J.; Phillips, J.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; Williams, D. J.; Woollins, J. D. *Dalton Trans.* **1995**, 1607-1613.

(64) Cea-Olivares, R.; Novosad, J.; Woollins, J. D.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; García-Montalvo, V.; Espinosa-Pérez, G.; García y García, P. *Chem. Commun.* **1996**, 519-520.

(65) Williams, D. J.; Quicksall, C. O.; Barkigia, K. M. Inorg. Chem. **1982**, 21, 2097-2100.

(66) Cea-Olivares, R.; Toscano, R. A.; Carreón, G.; Valdés-Martínez, J. *Monatsh. Chem.* **1992**, *123*, 391-396.

(67) García-Montalvo, V.; Novosad, J.; Kilian, P.; Woollins, J. D.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; García y García, P.; López-Cardoso, M.; Espinosa-Pérez, G.; Cea-Olivares, R. *Dalton Trans.* **1997**, 1025-1029.
(68) García-Montalvo, V.; Zamora-Rosete, M. K.; Gorostieta, D.; Cea-Olivares, R.; Toscano, R. A.; Hernández-Ortega, S. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2001**, 2279-2285.

(69) Rodríguez, I.; Alvarez, C.; Gómez-Lara, J.; Cea-Olivares, R. *Lanthanide Actinide Res.* **1986**, *1*, 253-260.

(70) Silvestru, C.; Haiduc, I.; Cea-Olivares, R.; Zimbron, A. *Polyhedron* **1994**, *13*, 3159-3165.

(71) Cea-Olivares, R.; García-Montalvo, V.; Novosad, J.; Woollins, J. D.; Toscano, R. A.; Espinosa-Pérez, G. *Chem. Ber.* **1996**, *129*, 919-923.

(72) García-Montalvo, V.; Cea-Olivares, R.; Williams, D. J.; Espinosa-Pérez, G. *Inorg. Chem.* **1996**, *35*, 3948-3953.

(73) Rudler, H.; Denise, B.; Gregorio, J. R.; Vaissermann, J. Chem. Commun. 1997, 2299-2300.

(74) Goyal, M.; Novosad, J.; Necas, M.; Ishii, H.; Nagahata, R.; Sugiyama, J.-I.; Asai, M.; Ueda, M.; Takeuchi, K. *Appl. Organomet. Chem.* **2000**, *14*, 629-633.

(75) du Preez, J. G. H.; Knabl, K. U.; Krüger, L.; van Brecht, B. J. A. M. Solvent Extr. Ion Exch. **1992**, 10, 729-748.

(76) Navrátil, O.; Herrmann, E.; Grossmann, G.; Teplý, J. Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 1990, 55, 364-371.

(77) Herrmann, E.; Navrátil, O.; Nang, H. b.; Smola, J.; Friedrich, J.; Príhoda, J.; Dreyer, R.; Chalkin, V. A.; Kulpe, S. *Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun.* **1984**, *49*, 201-217.

(78) Navrátil, O.; Cigánek, M.; Herrmann, E. Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 1983, 48, 2009-2014.

(79) Navrátil, O.; Fofana, M.; Smola, J. Z. Chem. 1984, 24, 30.

(80) Navrátil, O.; Herrmann, E.; Slezák, P. Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 1987, 52, 1708-1714.

(81) Muñoz-Hernández, M.-Á.; Singer, A.; Atwood, D. A.; Cea-Olivares, R. J. Organomet. Chem. 1998, 571, 15-19.

(82) Le, Q. T. H.; Umetani, S.; Matsui, M. Dalton Trans. 1997, 3835-3840.

(83) Platzer, N.; Rudler, H.; Alvarez, C.; Barkaoui, L.; Denise, B.; Goasdoué, N.; Rager, M.-N.; Vaissermann, J.; Daran, J.-C. *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.* **1995**, *132*, 95-113.

(84) Alvarez, C.; Barkaoui, L.; Goasdoué, N.; Daran, J.-C.; Platzer, N.; Rudler, H.; Vaissermann, J. *Chem. Commun.* **1989**, 1507-1509.

(85) Alvarez, C.; Goasdoué, N.; Platzer, N.; Rodríguez, I.; Rudler, H. Chem. Commun. 1988, 1002-1004.

(86) Barkaoui, L.; Charrouf, M.; Rager, M.-N.; Denise, B.; Platzer, N.; Rudler, H. *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.* **1997**, *134*, 167-175.

(87) Rodríguez, I.; Alvarez, C.; Gómez-Lara, J.; Toscano, R. A.; Platzer, N.; Mulheim, C.; Rudler, H. *Chem. Commun.* **1987**, 1502-1503.

(88) Magennis, S. W.; Parsons, S.; Corval, A.; Woollins, J. D.; Pikramenou, Z. Chem. Commun. 1999, 61-62.

(89) Bereman, R. D.; Wang, F. T.; Najdzionek, J.; Braitsch, D. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1976**, *98*, 7266-7268.

(90) Sakaguchi, U.; Addison, A. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 5189-5190.

(91) Siiman, O.; Vetuskey, J. Inorg. Chem. 1980, 19, 1672-1680.

(92) Mehrotra, R. C.; Bohra, R.; Gaur, D. P. *Metal β-Diketonates and Allied Derivatives*; Academic Press: London, 1978.

(93) Berg, E. W.; Truemper, J. T. Anal. Chim. Acta 1965, 32, 245-252.

(94) Gilby, L. M.; Piggott, B. Polyhedron 1999, 18, 1077-1082.

(95) Graddon, D. P. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1969, 4, 1-28.

(96) Bhattacharyya, P.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; Smith, M. B. Dalton Trans. 1998, 2467-2475.

(97) Birdsall, D. J.; Novosad, J.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; Woollins, J. D. *Dalton Trans.* **2000**, 435-439.

(98) Béreau, V.; Sekar, P.; McLauchlan, C. C.; Ibers, J. A. Inorg. Chim. Acta 2000, 308, 91-96.

(99) Cea-Olivares, R.; Canseco-Melchor, G.; García-Montalvo, V.; Hernández-Ortega, S.; Novosad, J. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **1998**, 1573-1576.

(100) Gaunt, A. J.; Scott, B. L.; Neu, M. P. Chem. Commun. 2005, 3215-3217.

(101) Papadimitriou, C.; Veltsistas, P.; Novosad, J.; Cea-Olivares, R.; Toscano, A.; García y García, P.; Lopez-Cardosa, M.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; Woollins, J. D. *Polyhedron* **1997**, *16*, 2727-2729.

(102) Pernin, C. G.; Ibers, J. A. Inorg. Chem. 2000, 39, 1222-1226.

(103) Wilton-Ely, J. D. E. T.; Schier, A.; Schmidbaur, H. *Dalton Trans.* **2001**, 3647-3651.

(104) Gaunt, A. J.; Scott, B. L.; Neu, M. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 2006, 45, 1638-1641.

(105) Chivers, T.; Eisler, D. J.; Ritch, J. S. Dalton Trans. 2005, 2675-2677.

(106) Haiduc, I. In *Inorganic Experiments*; Woollins, J. D., Ed.; VCH: New York; Basel; Cambridge; Tokyo, 1994, pp 145-149.

(107) Bennett, A. E.; Rienstra, C. M.; Auger, M.; Lakshmi, K. V.; Griffin, R. G. J. Chem. Phys. 1995, 103, 6951-6958.

(108) Bryce, D. L.; Bernard, G. M.; Gee, M.; Lumsden, M.; Eichele, K.; Wasylishen, R. E. Can. J. Anal. Sci. Spectrosc. 2001, 46, 46-82.

(109) Collins, M. J.; Ratcliffe, C. I.; Ripmeester, J. A. J. Magn. Reson. 1986, 68, 172-179.

(110) Mennitt, P. G.; Shatlock, M. P.; Bartuska, V. J.; Maciel, G. E. J. Phys. Chem. **1981**, 85, 2087-2091.

(111) Eichele, K.; Kroeker, S.; Wu, G.; Wasylishen, R. E. Solid State Nucl. Magn. Reson. 1995, 4, 295-300.

(112) Herzfeld, J.; Berger, A. E. J. Chem. Phys. 1980, 73, 6021-6030.

(113) Eichele, K.; Wasylishen, R. E.; 1.17.30 ed., 2001.

(114) Pulay, P. In *Calculation of NMR and EPR Parameters*; Kaupp, M., Bühl, M., Malkin, V. G., Eds.; Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA: Weinheim, 2004, p XIII.

(115) Schreckenbach, G.; Ziegler, T. J. Phys. Chem. 1995, 99, 606-616.

(116) Schreckenbach, G.; Ziegler, T. Int. J. Quantum Chem. 1997, 61, 899-918.

(117) Wolff, S. K.; Ziegler, T. J. Chem. Phys. 1998, 109, 895-905.

- (118) Baerends, E. J.; Ellis, D. E.; Ros, P. Chem. Phys. 1973, 2, 41-51.
- (119) Versluis, L.; Ziegler, T. J. Chem. Phys. 1988, 88, 322-328.
- (120) te Velde, G.; Baerends, E. J. J. Comput. Phys. 1992, 99, 84-98.

(121) Fonseca Guerra, C.; Snijders, J. G.; te Velde, G.; Baerends, E. J. *Theor. Chem.* Acc. **1998**, *99*, 391-403.

- (122) Theoretical Chemistry, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, http://www.scm.com.
- (123) Vosko, S. H.; Wilk, L.; Nusair, M. Can. J. Phys. 1980, 58, 1200-1211.
- (124) Becke, A. D. Phys. Rev. A 1988, 38, 3098-3100.
- (125) Perdew, J. P. Phys. Rev. B 1986, 33, 8822-8824.
- (126) Perdew, J. P. Phys. Rev. B 1986, 34, 7406.
- (127) Chang, C.; Pelissier, M.; Durand, P. Phys. Scr. 1986, 34, 394-404.
- (128) van Lenthe, E.; Baerends, E. J.; Snijders, J. G. J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 99, 4597-4610.

(129) van Lenthe, E.; Baerends, E. J.; Snijders, J. G. J. Chem. Phys. **1994**, 101, 9783-9792.

(130) van Lenthe, E.; van Leeuwen, R.; Baerends, E. J.; Snijders, J. G. Int. J. Quantum Chem. **1996**, 57, 281-293.

(131) Jameson, C. J.; de Dios, A.; Jameson, A. K. Chem. Phys. Lett. **1990**, 167, 575-582.

(132) Demko, B. A.; Eichele, K.; Wasylishen, R. E. J. Phys. Chem. A 2006, 110, 13537-13550.

(133) Jameson, C. J. In *Multinuclear NMR*; Mason, J., Ed.; Plenum Press: New York, 1987, pp 89-131.

(134) Jameson, C. J. In *Phosphorus-31 NMR Spectroscopy in Stereochemical Analysis. Organic Compounds and Metal Complexes*; Verkade, J. G., Quin, L. D., Eds.; VCH Publishers, Inc.: Deerfield Beach, 1987; Vol. 8, pp 205-230.

(135) Robert, J. B.; Wiesenfeld, L. Mol. Phys. 1981, 44, 319-327.

(136) Power, W. P.; Wasylishen, R. E.; Curtis, R. D. Can. J. Chem. 1989, 67, 454-459.

(137) Comotti, A.; Gallazzi, M. C.; Simonutti, R.; Sozzani, P. Chem. Mat. 1998, 10, 3589-3596.

(138) Comotti, A.; Simonutti, R.; Stramare, S.; Sozzani, P. Nanotechnology 1999, 10, 70-76.

(139) Olivieri, A. C. J. Magn. Reson. 1989, 81, 201-205.

(140) Zumbulyadis, N.; Henrichs, P. M.; Young, R. H. J. Chem. Phys. 1981, 75, 1603-1611.

(141) Hexem, J. G.; Frey, M. H.; Opella, S. J. J. Chem. Phys. 1982, 77, 3847-3856.

(142) Wrackmeyer, B.; Garcia-Baez, E.; Zuno-Cruz, F. J.; Sanchez-Cabrera, G.; Rosales, M. J. Z. Naturforsch., B 2000, 55, 185-188.

(143) Duddeck, H. Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc. 1995, 27, 1-323.

(144) Duddeck, H. Annu. Rep. NMR Spectrosc. 2004, 52, 105-166.

(145) Grossmann, G.; Potrzebowski, M. J.; Fleischer, U.; Krüger, K.; Malkina, O. L.; Ciesielski, W. Solid State Nucl. Magn. Reson. 1998, 13, 71-85.

(146) Colquhoun, I. J.; McFarlane, W. Dalton Trans. 1981, 658-660.

(147) Barrie, P. J.; Clark, R. J. H.; Withnall, R.; Chung, D.-Y.; Kim, K.-W.; Kanatzidis, M. G. *Inorg. Chem.* **1994**, *33*, 1212-1216.

(148) Carson, G. K.; Dean, P. A. W. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1982, 66, 37-39.

(149) Dean, P. A. W.; Hughes, M. K. Can. J. Chem. 1980, 58, 180-190.

(150) Grim, S. O.; Walton, E. D.; Satek, L. C. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1978, 27, L115-L116.

(151) Novosad, J.; Necas, M.; Marek, J.; Veltsistas, P.; Papadimitriou, C.; Haiduc, I.; Watanabe, M.; Woollins, J. D. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1999**, *290*, 256-260.

(152) Dreeskamp, H.; Stegmeier, G. Z. Naturforsch., A 1967, 22, 1458-1464.

(153) Kennedy, J. D.; McFarlane, W.; Pyne, G. S.; Wrackmeyer, B. Dalton Trans. 1975, 386-390.

(154) Kennedy, J. D.; McFarlane, W.; Wrackmeyer, B. Inorg. Chem. 1976, 16, 1299-1302.

(155) Wrackmeyer, B.; Horchler, K. Magn. Reson. Chem. 1990, 28, 56-61.

(156) Wrackmeyer, B.; Zhou, H. Magn. Reson. Chem. 1990, 28, 1066-1069.

(157) Christendat, D.; Butler, I. S.; Gilson, D. F. R.; Morin, F. G. Can. J. Chem. 1999, 77, 1892-1898.

(158) Willans, M. J.; Demko, B. A.; Wasylishen, R. E. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2006, 8, 2733-2743.

(159) Groombridge, C. J. Magn. Reson. Chem. 1993, 31, 380-387.

(160) Natan, M. J.; Millikan, C. F.; Wright, J. G.; O'Halloran, T. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1990**, *112*, 3255-3257.

(161) Grim, S. O.; Walton, E. D.; Satek, L. C. Can. J. Chem. 1980, 58, 1476-1479.

Chapter 7

Comparing Main Group and Transition Metal Square-Planar Complexes of the Diselenoimidodiphosphinate Anion: A Solid-State NMR Investigation of $M[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = Se, Te; Pd, Pt)[†]

7.1 Introduction

The diselenoimidodiphosphinate anion, $[N(R_2PSe)_2]^r (R = alkyl)$, a bidentate ligand often referred to as the inorganic analogue of acetylacetonate, has been utilized in the preparation of homoleptic square-planar complexes of both main-group¹⁻⁵ and transition metal⁶⁻⁸ centers. Dichalcogenoimidodiphosphinato complexes, $M^{n+}[N(R_2PE)_2]_n (E = O,$ S, Se, Te), are known to exhibit various binding geometries about the metal center that depend on the choice of chalcogen, organic group, and complexing metal.⁹⁻¹³ The flexibility of the dichalcogenoimidodiphosphinate system has been called one of its greatest advantages, permitting the EPNPE skeleton to adjust to various coordination geometries desired by the central metal,^{11,14} along with the large chalcogen-chalcogen 'bite', which aids in forming regular coordination spheres with large central atoms.¹⁵ These bidentate ligands have found applications as single-source precursors for solidstate metal chalcogenide materials,¹⁶⁻³⁴ in the search for stereochemically active lone pairs,^{2,3,6,8,11,35-46} in catalysis,^{47,49} and in metal extraction processes,^{13,43,50-57} as lanthanide shift reagents,^{43,58-62} luminescent materials,⁶³ and as enzyme mimetics.⁶⁴⁻⁶⁶

[†] A version of this chapter has been accepted for publication: B.A. Demko and R.E. Wasylishen, *Inorganic Chemistry*, **2008**, *47*, 2786-2797.

Considerable interest persists for square-planar complexes, yet appropriate comparisons between main-group and transition metal centers are difficult as few analogous systems exist. The homoleptic Group 10 and 16 tetraisopropyldiselenoimidodiphosphinato complexes, $M[N({}^{1}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = Pd, Pt and Se, Te, respectively), offer a rare opportunity to probe the differences between main-group and traditional transition metal square-planar systems. Solid-state NMR is aptly suited for investigating the detailed molecular environments of the diselenoimidodiphosphinato complexes. In the present study, a combined density functional theory, DFT, and experimental solid-state ${}^{31}P$, ${}^{77}Se$, ${}^{125}Te$, and ${}^{195}Pt$ NMR investigation of the square-planar M[N(${}^{1}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = Pd, Pt; Se, Te) complexes is reported.

7.2 Experimental

7.2.1 Sample Preparation

Iminobis(diisopropylphosphine selenide), $HN({}^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}$, was prepared according to the two-step, condensation followed by oxidation, procedure outlined in Scheme 5.2.⁶⁷ The transition metal complexes were obtained by slow addition of the corresponding dichloro(1,5-cyclooctadiene)metal(II) (Aldrich) into a basic methanol solution of tetraisopropyldiselenoimidodiphosphinate, $[N({}^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]^{-}$, as described by Scheme 6.1.⁸ The main-group complexes were prepared in a similar fashion from $[N({}^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]^{-}$ with $Se[S_{2}P(O^{i}Pr)_{2}]_{2}$ and Te(thiourea)₄Cl₂·2H₂O, respectively according to literature procedures.^{3,4} Crystal structures have previously been determined for the square-planar complexes, $M[N({}^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = Pt,⁸ Se,³ Te⁴), and a representation of their structures is given in Figure 7.1. All structures indicate a single $M[N({}^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ molecule in the



Figure 7.1 Representation of the solid-state structures of $M[N(R_2PSe)_2]_2$ (R = ⁱPr, Ph) (a) M = Se, Te; (b) M = Pd, Pt.

asymmetric unit; however, the main-group centered structures (Figure 7.1a) crystallize within the $P2_1/c$ space group while the transition metal structure (Figure 7.1b) crystallizes in the C2/c space group.

7.2.2 NMR Experiments

Solution ⁷⁷Se and ¹⁹⁵Pt NMR spectra for a CDCl₃ solution of $Pt[N(^{i}Pr_2PSe)_2]_2$ were acquired, at 76.3 MHz and 85.6 MHz respectively, on a 9.4 T spectrometer in order to deduce the magnitudes of the platinum-selenium and platinum-phosphorus indirect spin-spin coupling constants.

Solid-state NMR investigations of powdered samples of $M[N({}^{1}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = Pd, Pt; Se, Te) were obtained on 4.7 T, 7.0 T and 11.7 T NMR spectrometers. The samples were packed in 4 mm (7.0 T and 11.7 T) and 7.5 mm (4.7 T) o.d. zirconium oxide rotors and were placed within probes suitable for magic angle spinning, MAS, NMR experiments. A variable amplitude cross polarization, VACP, pulse sequence was used to acquire all spectra.⁶⁸ Proton decoupling fields of approximately 60 kHz were achieved via two-pulse phase-modulation, TPPM.⁶⁹ The ³¹P NMR spectra were referenced with respect to 85% H₃PO₄ (*aq*) by setting the isotropic ³¹P NMR peak of solid (NH₄)H₂PO₄ to 0.81 ppm.⁷⁰ Similarly, ⁷⁷Se NMR spectra were referenced to Me₂Se (*l*) by setting the isotropic peak of solid ammonium selenate to 1040.2 ppm.^{70,71} Tellurium-125 NMR spectra were referenced with respect to a dimethyl telluride by setting the high frequency solid-state ¹²⁵Te NMR peak of telluric acid to 692.2 ppm.^{70,72} Solid-state ¹⁹⁵Pt NMR peak of solid K₂Pt(OH)₆ to 3476 ppm.^{70,73}

Solid-state ³¹P NMR experiments were performed at Larmor frequencies of 81.0, 121.6 and 202.5 MHz, and at spinning frequencies ranging from 1.50 to 5.00 kHz. A total of between 32 and 512 scans were acquired per spectrum. Contact times between 1.5 and 6.5 ms, and pulse delays between 5 and 20 s were employed.

238

Solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR measurements were performed at Larmor frequencies of 38.2, 57.3 and 95.4 MHz, and at spinning frequencies ranging from 2.35 to 12.00 kHz. A total of between 880 and 31808 scans were acquired per spectrum. Contact times between 7.0 and 10.0 ms, and pulse delays between 5 and 11 s were employed.

Solid-state ¹²⁵Te NMR experiments on Te[N(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂]₂ were performed at Larmor frequencies of 63.2 and 94.8 MHz, and at spinning frequencies ranging from 5.00 to 12.00 kHz. A total of between 25616 and 41520 scans were acquired per spectrum. Contact times between 8.0 and 11.0 ms, and pulse delays between 8 and 20 s were employed.

Solid-state ¹⁹⁵Pt NMR experiments on $Pt[N({}^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ were performed at 42.8 MHz at spinning speeds ranging from 6.00 to 6.75 kHz. A total of between 19904 and 84656 scans were acquired per spectrum. Contact times and pulse delays of 11.0 ms and 9 s were employed, respectively.

The principal components of the respective phosphorus, selenium, tellurium and platinum chemical shift tensors, $\delta_{11} \ge \delta_{22} \ge \delta_{33}$, were determined from the experimental spectra via the procedure of Herzfeld and Berger.^{74,75} All experimental solid-state NMR spectra were simulated using the determined values with the program WSOLIDS⁷⁶ to assess the quality of the obtained parameters. This procedure results in errors of ± 0.2 ppm in the isotropic chemical shift, $\delta_{iso} = (1/3)(\delta_{11} + \delta_{22} + \delta_{33})$, and errors in the principal components about 1-3% of the span, $\Omega = \delta_{11} - \delta_{33}$, of the respective chemical shift tensor. Another useful quantity for describing the appearance of chemical shift tensors is the skew, $\kappa = 3(\delta_{22} - \delta_{iso})/\Omega$.⁷⁷

7.2.3 DFT Computations

Theoretical calculation of NMR parameters, particularly for heavier nuclei where interpretations are more difficult than those extracted from ¹³C NMR spectra, has become increasingly useful for spectroscopists.⁷⁸ Magnetic shielding tensors, σ , were calculated using the EPR⁷⁹ and NMR⁸⁰⁻⁸² modules of the Amsterdam Density Functional (ADF) program package,⁸³⁻⁸⁷ and are tabulated in Appendix D. The Vosko-Wilk-Nusair⁸⁸ local density approximation with the Becke88-Perdew86⁸⁹⁻⁹¹ generalized gradient approximation were used for the exchange-correlation functional. ADF numerical integration parameters were increased from the default, 4.0, setting accint = 5.0 and accsph = 6.0 to better describe the core regions of the molecular orbitals. Scalar as well as scalar with spin-orbit relativistic corrections were carried out based on the implementation of the zeroth order regular approximation, ZORA, formalism.⁹²⁻⁹⁵ Triple-ζ doubly polarized, TZ2P, Slater-type ZORA basis sets were used for all atoms except for hydrogen, where double- ζ quality, DZ, basis functions were utilized. While the X-ray structures of the $M[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = Se, Te, Pt) complexes were used directly, optimized structures of $Pd[N(^{i}Pr_2PSe)_2]_2$ as well as the chemical shift reference compounds were obtained using non-relativistic ADF basis sets of comparable quality to those used in the magnetic shielding tensor calculations. Relativistic geometry optimizations were not performed due to a noted energy-potential mismatch in the ZORA approach.⁸⁷ The optimized structure of $Pd[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ converged at a complex very similar to the platinum analogue (Figure 7.1b), an expected result given that the palladium and platinum complexes of the phenyl-derivatized ligand, M[N(Ph₂PSe)₂]₂ (M

= Pd, Pt), are known to be isostructural.^{6,7} The corresponding calculated chemical shift tensors were calculated from the magnetic shielding tensors using the relationship:

$$\delta_{ii}(sample) = \frac{\sigma_{iso}(ref) - \sigma_{ii}(sample)}{1 - \sigma_{iso}(ref)}$$
[7.1]

where $\sigma_{iso}(ref)$ is the isotropic shielding of a standard reference. The absolute shielding scale for ³¹P has been determined, and the value of $\sigma_{iso}(85\% H_3PO_{4(aq)})$ is 328.35 ppm.⁹⁶ Magnetic shielding calculations on optimized structures of the selenium, tellurium, and platinum reference compounds, dimethyl selenide, dimethyl telluride, and the hexachloroplatinate anion, respectively, have been performed; however, as solvent and vibrational effects have been omitted the calculations serve only as a qualitative understanding of the chemical shift tensors obtained. We have previously investigated the selenium chemical shift tensors in a wide range of compounds, and found the absolute isotropic magnetic shielding constant for a neat liquid of dimethyl selenide at 23 °C to be 1580 ppm for calculations with scalar relativistic corrections (hereafter denoted SR) included, and 1745 ppm for calculations including scalar with spin-orbit relativistic corrections (hereafter SO).⁹⁷ Using a similar method $\sigma_{iso}(ref) = 2352$ ppm (SR) and 3060 ppm (SO) were calculated for the absolute Te shielding from a non-relativistically optimized structure of Me₂Te, in good agreement with an earlier study of calculated ¹²⁵Te chemical shifts.⁹⁸ The absolute Pt shieldings, $\sigma_{iso}(ref)$, were calculated on an optimized structure of [PtCl₆]²⁻, yielding values of -3471 ppm (SR) and -338 ppm (SO).

7.3 Results & Discussion

The square-planar complexes investigated, $M[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = Pd, Pt; Se, Te) as determined by computational chemistry or X-ray crystallography, display distinct structures depending on the central atom. The selenium and tellurium square-planar complexes are isostructural possessing a step-like structure with approximate 90° angles between the SePNPSe planes and the MSe₄ plane; whereas in the palladium and platinum complexes, the SePNPSe planes are considerably closer to coplanar with the MSe₄ plane (Figure 7.1). The MSe₂P₂N heterocycles for the Pd(II) and Pt(II) complexes possess a pseudo-boat conformation; however, the six-membered rings of the Se(II) and Te(II) complexes have a distorted-chair conformation. Symmetry elements within each presented square-planar complex impose magnetic equivalence on two pairs of phosphorus and selenium environments, and as a result only two unique phosphorus and selenium sites are expected in the corresponding solid-state NMR spectra. The results of the solid-state ³¹P NMR investigation of the $M[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = Pd, Pt; Se, Te) complexes will be presented first, followed by the results of the solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR spectra from the diselenoimidodiphosphinato selenium environments of the square-planar complexes. Finally the parameters from the solid-state ⁷⁷Se, ¹²⁵Te, and ¹⁹⁵Pt NMR investigation for the central atom will be discussed. In each case, the results for the traditional transition metal square-planar complexes will be presented first, followed by those for the main-group square-planar complexes highlighting any observed differences.

7.3.1 Solid-State ³¹P NMR

The solid-state ³¹P NMR spectra for $M[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = Pd, Pt) are given in Figure 7.2 along with their simulations. In each of these samples there exists a small impurity evident in the solid-state ³¹P NMR spectra. The presence of these impurities did not hamper the spectral analysis, and no impurities were detected in the subsequent ⁷⁷Se and ¹⁹⁵Pt NMR investigations (vide infra), and thus no effort was made to remove the impurity within the sample. The phosphorus chemical shift tensor parameters are summarized in Table 7.1, along with estimations for the one-bond indirect seleniumphosphorus coupling constant, ${}^{1}J({}^{77}\text{Se},{}^{31}\text{P})_{iso}$, from the observed satellite peaks in the ${}^{31}\text{P}$ NMR spectrum. The isotropic chemical shifts and ${}^{1}J({}^{77}Se, {}^{31}P)_{iso}$ values agree well with those measured for chloroform solutions; 55.9 ppm and (-)590 Hz for the palladium complex, and 50.1 ppm and (-)536 Hz for the platinum complex.⁸ Increasingly shielded phosphorus environments as the complexing metal becomes heavier has previously been reported for the tetraisopropyldiselenoimidodiphosphinato Group 12 complexes, $M[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = Zn, Cd, Hg).⁹⁹ The spans of the phosphorus chemical shift tensors $(\leq 70 \text{ ppm})$ are small, indicating a more symmetric electronic environment than those found in tris-organophosphine selenides, whose average Ω is 124 ppm.¹⁰⁰ The DFT calculations are insufficiently accurate for definitive assignments of ³¹P resonances to specific phosphorus sites within the respective crystal structures. The SR DFT calculations overestimate the isotropic ³¹P chemical shifts as well as the principal components, $\delta_{ii};$ however, calculated phosphorus chemical shift tensors that include the SO term achieve much better agreement with the experimental values, Table 7.1. Given the similarity of the principal components of the ³¹P chemical shift tensors observed





M ^{II}	δ _{iso}	δ ₁₁	δ ₂₂	δ ₃₃	Ω	${}^{1}J({}^{77}\text{Se},{}^{31}\text{P})_{iso}{}^{a}$
	ррш	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppin	
Pđ						
Expt ^b	52.1	78.4	58.8	19.3	59.1	-520
	63.3	92.5	64.3	33.0	59.5	-520
SR	107.2	150.6	111.2	59.7	90.9	
	119.2	185.7	101.2	70.8	114.9	
SO	67.4	90.0	82.5	29.8	60.2	
	84.3	125.9	76.8	50.1	75.8	
Pt						
Expt ^b	45.6	78.4	49.5	8.9	69.5	-480
	57.0	86.0	60.2	24.6	61.4	-480
SR	95.3	140.1	94.8	51.0	89.1	
	112.1	178.1	82.0	76.1	102.0	
SO	51.1	80.6	59.4	13.2	67.4	
	73.4	109.0	73.9	37.3	71.7	
Se						
Expt ^c	52.8	82.3	63.7	12.3	70.0	-520
	55.7	84.1	59.2	23.6	60.5	-540
SR	115.0	162.1	111.7	71.2	90.8	
	118.6	159.1	120.1	76.6	82.4	
SO	56.0	89.2	63.3	15.6	73.6	
	58.4	88.3	62.9	23.8	64.4	
Te						
Expt [°]	50.1	77.7	61.0	11.5	66.2	-500
	52.0	80.2	57.0	18.9	61.3	-530
SR	89.8	127.0	89.1	56.4	70.6	
	91.3	134.4	89.4	50.2	84.2	
SO	53.8	79.4	60.5	21.4	58.0	
	55.2	85.9	64.5	15.0	70.9	

Table 7.1 Experimental and theoretical solid-state ³¹P NMR parameters for $M[N(^{1}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = Pd, Pt; Se, Te).

^a For directly bonded selenium-77 and phosphorus-31 spin pairs, signs of ${}^{1}J({}^{77}\text{Se},{}^{31}\text{P})_{iso}$ are known to be negative for numerous analogous systems. ^{101,102} Estimated errors of ${}^{1}J({}^{77}\text{Se},{}^{31}\text{P})_{iso}$ are ±10-20 Hz. ^b Estimated errors in δ_{ii} are ± 1.2 ppm.

^c Estimated errors in δ_{ii} are ± 0.8 ppm.

experimentally for the palladium and platinum complexes, it is not surprising that the tensors have similar calculated orientations for all of the phosphorus environments. The direction of δ_{11} is oriented perpendicular to the local Se-P-N plane, while δ_{33} lies approximately parallel to the phosphorus-nitrogen bond axis. The intermediate principal component, δ_{22} , nearly bisects the Se-P-N angle. Similar orientations have been calculated for the phosphorus chemical shift tensors in $M[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = Zn, Cd, Hg) complexes.99

The corresponding ³¹P VACP MAS spectra for the M[N(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂]₂ (M = Se, Te) complexes are given in Figure 7.3. The isotropic chemical shifts and ¹*J*(⁷⁷Se, ³¹P)_{iso} values are in agreement with the chloroform solution ³¹P NMR values of 69.9 ppm and (-)526 Hz,³ and 58.7 ppm and (-)528 Hz⁴ for the selenium and tellurium complexes, respectively. Similar values of δ_{iso} (³¹P) and Ω (³¹P) to those found in the Pd(II) and Pt(II) complexes are obtained, as well as a slight increase in phosphorus shieldings in the tellurium relative to the selenium complex. The SR calculated phosphorus chemical shift tensors are also overestimated for the Se(II) and Te(II) complexes; whereas, the SO calculations again achieve improved agreement with experimental values. The orientations found at both the SR and SO levels are nearly identical to those described for the Pd(II) and Pt(II) complexes.

The principal difference observed between the transition metal and main-group square-planar complexes is apparent in the ³¹P NMR spectra at lower applied magnetic fields. Figure 7.4 displays the isotropic ³¹P regions for the four complexes at 4.7 T, 7.0 T and 11.7 T. While the two unique phosphorus environments are readily discernable for all four complexes in the isotropic region of the spectra at 11.7 T, the spectra for the selenium and tellurium complexes at the lower magnetic fields show significant fine structure as well as line broadening. The lineshapes observed at 4.7 T and 7.0 T result from the adjacent ¹⁴N (*I* = 1, N.A. = 99.6%), which has previously been shown to influence solid-state ³¹P NMR spectra of M[N(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂]₂ (M = Zn, Cd, Hg) complexes.⁹⁹ The energy levels of nitrogen-14 are quantized by both the applied magnetic field as well as the electric field gradient, EFG, at the ¹⁴N nucleus.¹⁰³⁻¹⁰⁵ As a result, MAS can not completely average the dipolar interaction between ¹⁴N and ³¹P and

246



Figure 7.3 (a) VACP MAS ³¹P NMR spectrum (lower trace) for $Se[N({}^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ and its simulation (upper trace). (b) VACP MAS ³¹P NMR spectrum (lower trace) for $Te[N({}^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ and its simulation (upper trace). Experimental conditions: 11.7 T, 128 scans, MAS at 1.5 kHz, 5 Hz of line broadening, a 3.0 ms contact time, and a 9 s recycle delay. The isotropic peaks are marked with an asterisk (*).



Figure 7.4 Experimental (lower trace) isotropic ³¹P NMR regions, with spinning sidebands added to the isotropic region, and simulated (upper trace) for $M[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = Pd, Pt; Se, Te) at 4.7 T, 7.0 T, and 11.7 T. The isotropic peaks of the impurities are marked with arrows (\downarrow).

"residual dipolar coupling" effects between the two nuclei are manifested in solid-state ³¹P NMR spectra. This broadening effect is inversely proportional to the Larmor frequency of the quadrupolar nucleus, $v_{L, N} = \gamma_N B_0 / 2\pi$, ¹⁰³⁻¹⁰⁵ and thus produces a smaller effect at higher applied magnetic fields.

Analyses of these ³¹P NMR spectra can yield values of the effective dipolar coupling constant, R_{eff} , and the isotropic indirect spin-spin coupling constant, ${}^{1}J({}^{31}P, {}^{14}N)_{iso}$, as well as parameters describing the EFG at the nitrogen nucleus. The direct dipolar coupling constant, R_{DD} , is related to R_{eff} by

$$R_{eff} = R_{DD} - \Delta J / 3$$
[7.2]

where $\Delta J = J_{33} - (J_{11} + J_{22})/2$ is the anisotropy of the phosphorus-nitrogen J tensor, and

$$R_{DD} = \left(\frac{\mu_0}{4\pi}\right) \left(\frac{\hbar}{2\pi}\right) \left(\frac{\gamma_P \gamma_N}{\langle r_{PN}^3 \rangle}\right)$$
[7.3]

where μ_0 is the permeability of a vacuum, γ_P and γ_N are the magnetogyric ratios of ³¹P and ¹⁴N, and $\langle r_{PN}^3 \rangle$ is the motionally-averaged cube of the distance between phosphorus and nitrogen.^{106,107} The contributions from ΔJ are expected to be negligible given the small magnitude for ¹ $J(^{31}P,^{15}N)_{iso}$ determined for iminobis(diphenylphosphine selenide), HN(Ph₂PSe)₂,¹⁰⁸ and similarly small values of ¹ $J(^{31}P,^{14}N)_{iso}$ found previously for the Group 12 complexes of $[N(^{1}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]^{-99}$ As a result R_{eff} can be estimated directly from the phosphorus-nitrogen nuclear separation determined by X-ray diffraction. It is not possible to assign a specific R_{DD} , i.e., a specific phosphorus-nitrogen distance, to a specific ³¹P NMR resonance, so an average r_{PN} was calculated from the two P-N distances within each complex. The resulting average R_{DD} was used in the simulations for both sites in the ³¹P NMR spectra. The quadrupolar parameters required to describe the solid-state NMR spectrum of a spin-¹/₂ nucleus spin-spin coupled to a quadrupolar nucleus include the quadrupolar coupling constant, $C_Q = eQV_{ZZ}/h$, where *e* is the elementary charge, *Q* is the nuclear quadrupole moment, and V_{ZZ} is the largest component of the EFG tensor at the nucleus. Also required are the Euler angles, α and β , which describe the orientation of the dipolar vector, r_{PN} , within the principal axis system of the EFG tensor at the quadrupolar nucleus. Preliminary values of C_Q , as well as the angles α and β used herein were obtained from DFT calculations of the nitrogen EFG tensors. For reasons analogous to those given above for R_{DD} , the simulations of both phosphorus lineshapes in each spectrum were obtained using a single value of ${}^{1}J({}^{31}P, {}^{14}N)_{iso}$, C_Q , α , and β . The effect of using single average values of the residual dipolar coupling parameters does not appear to significantly impair the quality of the simulations for the ³¹P NMR spectra of the Se(II) and Te(II) complexes given in Figure 7.4, where accurate lineshapes are achieved at all three applied magnetic fields employed.

For Se[N(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂]₂, $R_{DD} = 890(50)$ Hz from the average r_{PN} distance of 1.583(30) Å,³ and the azimuthal and polar angles were 90(3)° and 18(5)° respectively. The value of C_Q was 2.50(20) MHz, and the indirect spin-spin coupling constant, ¹ $J(^{31}P,^{14}N)_{iso}$, was 15(4) Hz. The parameters pertaining to residual dipolar coupling used in the simulations of the ³¹P NMR spectra of Te[N(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂]₂ were $R_{DD} = 890(50)$ Hz, $r_{PN} = 1.583(30)$ Å,⁴ $\alpha = 90(3)^\circ$, $\beta = 16(5)^\circ$, $C_Q = 2.55(20)$ MHz, ¹ $J(^{31}P,^{14}N)_{iso} = 16(5)$ Hz. These residual dipolar coupling parameters are consistent with those found in other diselenoimidodiphosphinato complexes,⁹⁹ and the magnitude of the quadrupolar coupling constants are reasonable in comparison with other phosphorus-nitrogen systems where values of C_Q are not expected to exceed 4.0 MHz.¹⁰⁹ The EFG tensors at ¹⁴N in the selenium and tellurium complexes are oriented similarly, such that the largest component, V_{ZZ} , lies within the P-N-P plane perpendicular to the P-N-P bisector, the intermediate component is parallel to the direction of the formal electron 'lone pair' on the nitrogen, and the smallest EFG component is perpendicular to the P-N-P plane. In contrast the calculated ¹⁴N EFG tensors for the palladium and platinum complexes, despite possessing similar calculated quadrupolar coupling constants to those in the Se(II) and Te(II) complexes, are oriented such that it is the largest component that is perpendicular to the P-N-P plane, and the smallest EFG component within the P-N-P plane perpendicular to the P-N-P plane, and the smallest EFG component within the P-N-P plane perpendicular to the M-N-P plane, and the smallest EFG component within the P-N-P plane perpendicular to the P-N-P plane, and the smallest EFG component within the P-N-P plane perpendicular to the M-N-P plane, and the smallest EFG component within the P-N-P plane perpendicular to the P-N-P plane, and the smallest EFG component within the P-N-P plane perpendicular to the M-N-P plane, and the smallest EFG component within the P-N-P plane perpendicular to the P-N-P bisector. Such a tensor results in values of 25° for α , and 90° for β , which would not produce discernable fine structure in the ³¹P MAS lineshapes due to residual dipolar coupling from nitrogen even at low magnetic field strengths.

7.3.2 Solid-State ⁷⁷Se NMR Results of the Diselenoimidodiphosphinato Selenium Environments

The entire spinning-sideband manifolds for the solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR spectra for the transition metal square-planar complexes investigated at 7.0 T are given in Figure 7.5. The solid-state NMR parameters from simulations of the spectra for the diselenoimidodiphosphinato selenium environments are detailed in Table 7.2. Differences in the relative intensities of the respective *J*-coupled spectra were insufficient for the extraction of meaningful values of the selenium-phosphorus coupling parameters, R_{eff} and ΔJ . The ⁷⁷Se NMR parameters for the palladium and platinum complexes are very similar with isotropic chemical shifts that are all within 20 ppm, indicating very

251



Figure 7.5 (a) VACP MAS ⁷⁷Se NMR spectra for $Pd[N({}^{i}Pr_2PSe)_2]_2$. Experimental conditions: 7.0 T, 30128 scans, spinning at 3.5 kHz, 20 Hz of line broadening, a 9.0 ms contact time, and an 8 s recycle delay. (b) VACP MAS ⁷⁷Se NMR spectra for $Pt[N({}^{i}Pr_2PSe)_2]_2$. Experimental conditions: 7.0 T, 15560 scans, spinning at 7.0 kHz, 20 Hz of line broadening, a 10.0 ms contact time, and a 5 s recycle delay. Simulated spectra are shown in the upper traces. The isotropic peaks are marked with an asterisk (*).

M ^{II}	δ_{iso}	δ_{11}	δ_{22}	δ ₃₃	Ω ppm	${}^{1}J({}^{77}\text{Se},{}^{31}\text{P})_{iso}{}^{a}$ Hz	$^{1}J(M,^{77}Se)_{iso}$ Hz
		PP	ppin	Ppm	PP···		
Pd							
Expt ^b	-133	228	-92	-536	764	-560	
	-150	195	-194	-450	645	-485	
SR	55	447	113	-395	842		
	47	399	-22	-236	635		
so	48	422	142	-421	843		
	30	356	-23	-244	600		
Pt							
Exntb	-132	209	-102	-502	711	-510	
EAPt	-152	170	-152	-475	645	-450	
SR	-28	335	41	-459	794	150	
	-24	320	-52	-342	662		
so	-42	283	28	-436	719		
	-49	263	-72	-337	600		
80							
Se Event ⁶	120	202	100	121	514	520	1405
Expt	120	202	108	-131	592	-320	±403
CD	52 179	550	14	-250	383 740	-335	±433
ы	1/0	550	100	-211	709		
50	111	500	152	-303	920		
30	120	300	02 24	-223	925		
	50	400	54	-347	655		
Те							
Exnt ^c	-11	162	24	-219	381	-520	±1120
2. Apr	-105	163	-152	-327	490	-540	± 1270
SR	-17	174	23	-248	422		
511	-78	232	-97	-368	600		
so	-18	207	-5	-255	461		
	-81	232	-123	-353	586		

Table 7.2 Experimental and theoretical solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR parameters for the anion of $M[N(^{i}Pr_2PSe)_2]_2$ (M =Pd, Pt; Se, Te).

^a For directly bonded selenium-77 and phosphorus-31 spin pairs, signs of ${}^{1}J({}^{77}\text{Se},{}^{31}\text{P})_{iso}$ are known to be negative for numerous analogous systems. ^{101,102} Estimated errors of ${}^{1}J({}^{77}\text{Se},{}^{31}\text{P})_{iso}$ are ±5-10 Hz. ^b Estimated errors in δ_{ii} are ± 14 ppm.

^c Estimated errors in δ_{ii} are ± 8 ppm.

comparable selenium environments considering that the selenium chemical shift range exceeds 3000 ppm.^{110,111} The solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR spectra of the Group 12 complexes, $M[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]$ (M = Zn, Cd, Hg), also shows little variation in the selenium chemical shift parameters between the selenium sites present in those complexes.⁹⁹ Theoretical calculations of the selenium chemical shift tensors reproduce the experimental values well (Table 7.2). The indirect selenium-phosphorus coupling, ${}^{1}J({}^{77}Se, {}^{31}P)_{iso}$, values of -485 and -560 Hz for Pd[N($^{i}Pr_{2}PSe$)₂]₂ agree well with the single value from solution ^{31}P NMR, (-)590 Hz,⁸ and the values determined from the ³¹P solid-state NMR spectra (Table 7.1). Similarly for the Pt(II) complex, the ${}^{1}J({}^{77}\text{Se},{}^{31}\text{P})_{iso}$ values of -450 and -510 Hz are in agreement with the solid-state ³¹P NMR value above, and the magnitude from a chloroform solution ³¹P NMR spectrum of Pt[N(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂]₂, (-)536 Hz.⁸ There was no evidence of ${}^{1}J({}^{195}\text{Pt}, {}^{77}\text{Se})_{iso}$ in the solid-state ${}^{77}\text{Se}$ NMR spectrum of Pt[N(${}^{i}\text{Pr}_{2}\text{PSe})_{2}$]₂. This prompted us to investigate the complex via solution ⁷⁷Se NMR yielding an isotropic chemical shift of -137 ppm consistent with the solid-state values in Table 7.2, and a ${}^{1}J({}^{195}\text{Pt}, {}^{77}\text{Se})_{iso}$ value of 90 Hz, which is well within the linewidths in the corresponding solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum. Calculated orientations of selenium magnetic shielding tensors for tris-organophosphine selenide compounds, R₃PSe, were found to be sensitive to the nature of the R group.⁹⁷ However, the selenium magnetic shielding tensors for the transition metal complexes, as determined by the DFT calculations, possess similar orientations with the directions of δ_{11} and δ_{33} , oriented nearly parallel with the metalselenium and selenium-phosphorus bond axes, respectively. The intermediate component, δ_{22} , is oriented perpendicular to the local M-Se-P plane.

The solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum for the ligands of the Se[N($^{i}Pr_{2}PSe$)₂]₂ complex overlaps with the spectrum for the central selenium atom, see Figure 7.6. The experimentally observed solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum (Figure 7.6a) agrees well with the simulation of all selenium environments (Figure 7.6b), which is comprised of the simulations for the ligand (Figure 7.6c) and that of the central selenium atom (Figure 7.6d). The solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum for Te[N($^{i}Pr_{2}PSe$)₂]₂ at 7.0 T along with its simulation is given in Figures 7.6e and 7.6f, respectively. The experimental solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR parameters for the ligand for both of the main-group square-planar complexes



Figure 7.6 (a) Experimental VACP MAS ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum for Se[N(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂]₂. Experimental conditions: 7.0 T, 31808 scans, 5 Hz of line broadening, a 9.0 ms contact time, and a 5 s recycle delay. (b) Total simulation of all selenium environments for Se[N(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂]₂. (c) Simulation of the ⁷⁷Se MAS spectrum for the anion of Se[N(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂]₂. (d) Simulation of the ⁷⁷Se MAS spectrum for the central selenium in Se[N(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂]₂. (e) VACP MAS ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum for Te[N(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂]₂. Experimental conditions: 7.0 T, 10896 scans, 10 Hz of line broadening, an 11.0 ms contact time, and an 8 s recycle delay. (f) Simulation of the ⁷⁷Se MAS spectrum for Te[N(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂]₂. The isotropic peaks are marked with an asterisk (*).

are well reproduced by the DFT calculations, Table 7.2. The significant difference between the values of δ_{iso} (Se) for the two selenium sites within the Se(II) and Te(II) complexes, along with the consistent reproduction of this difference by the DFT computations, permits the assignment of these resonances to specific selenium environments within the $M[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ structures. For both main-group complexes, the site with the larger isotropic chemical shift corresponds to the selenium site within the crystal structure with smaller M-Se and Se-P distances, as well as smaller M-Se-P angle; whereas, the site with the smaller value of $\delta_{iso}(Se)$ corresponded to the selenium site with larger M-Se and Se-P distances, and larger M-Se-P angle. The ¹J(⁷⁷Se, ³¹P)_{iso} values of -520 and -535 Hz for Se[N(i Pr₂PSe)₂]₂ agree well with the solution 31 P NMR magnitude of (-)526 Hz,³ and the solid-state ³¹P NMR value given in Table 7.1. Additionally, the indirect spin-spin couplings between the central selenium and the selenium nuclei from the ligands, ${}^{1}J({}^{77}\text{Se}, {}^{77}\text{Se})_{iso} = \pm 405$ and ± 435 Hz, from the ~7.63% relative intensity satellite peaks, are in good agreement with the magnitude of 391 Hz for seleniumselenium one-bond coupling in Se[Se₂CN(i Bu)₂]₂, ¹¹² which was previously recognized as the largest known value of ${}^{1}J({}^{77}\text{Se},{}^{77}\text{Se})_{iso}$. The ${}^{1}J({}^{77}\text{Se},{}^{31}\text{P})_{iso}$ values for the tellurium complex, -520 and -540 Hz, are in agreement with our solid-state ³¹P NMR value above and the coupling observed from a chloroform solution, (-)528 Hz.⁴ The magnitudes of ${}^{1}J({}^{125}\text{Te}, {}^{77}\text{Se})_{iso}$, 1120 and 1270 Hz, appear to be the largest tellurium-selenium couplings reported, over 500 Hz larger than those found in polychalcogenides.¹¹³⁻¹¹⁵ Scaling of these ${}^{1}J({}^{125}\text{Te}, {}^{77}\text{Se})_{iso}$ and the values of ${}^{1}J({}^{77}\text{Se}, {}^{77}\text{Se})_{iso}$ for the Se(II) complex by $4\pi^2/h\gamma_M\gamma_{Se}$ yields the reduced coupling constants ${}^1K(M,Se)_{iso}$, which allows for direct comparison. The values of ${}^{1}K(\text{Se,Se})_{\text{iso}}$, 918 × 10¹⁹ T² J⁻¹ and 986 × 10¹⁹ T² J⁻¹, are of a

similar order of magnitude yet smaller than the corresponding ${}^{1}K(\text{Te,Se})_{\text{iso}}$, 1529×10^{19} T² J⁻¹ and 1734×10^{19} T² J⁻¹, found for Te[N(${}^{1}\text{Pr}_{2}\text{PSe})_{2}$]₂. Increasing magnitudes of ${}^{1}K_{\text{iso}}$ as one moves down a group in the periodic table is a well known trend for various Group 14 couplings, ${}^{116-122}$ and has also been observed in the coupling of the Group 12 metal centers, cadmium and mercury, with selenium in diselenoimidodiphosphinato complexes.⁹⁹

There are a number of notable differences between the solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR parameters for the diselenoimidodiphosphinato selenium environments in the transition metal square-planar complexes and those for the main-group complexes. The first observed difference involves the isotropic region of the ⁷⁷Se NMR spectra. It is readily apparent from Figure 7.7 that the isotropic shifts within the ⁷⁷Se NMR spectra of the Pd(II) (Figure 7.7a) and Pt(II) (Figure 7.7b) complexes are considerably closer together than those for the Se(II) (Figure 7.7c) and Te(II) (Figure 7.7d) complexes. While the selenium isotropic shifts of the transition metal complexes are approximately 20 ppm apart, the ⁷⁷Se resonances for the main group square-planar complexes are nearly 90 ppm separated from each other. Additionally, the selenium chemical shifts for the transition metal complexes do not vary much when the complexing metal is changed from palladium to platinum, $\Delta \delta_{iso} \leq 2$ ppm; however, the isotropic ⁷⁷Se NMR peaks for the [N(¹Pr₂PSe)₂] selenium environments are separated by more than 130 ppm, depending on whether the central atom is selenium or tellurium (Table 7.2). Secondly, the spans of the selenium chemical shift tensors of the diselenoimidodiphosphinato selenium environments in the selenium and tellurium complexes are on the order of 200 ppm smaller than those for the transition metal complexes; however, all spans of the selenium

257



Figure 7.7 Expansion of the isotropic regions of the ⁷⁷Se NMR spectra at 7.0 T (lower trace) and the corresponding simulations (upper traces) for the diselenoimidodiphosphinate anion of the square-planar complexes $M[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$, M = (a) Pd, (b) Pt, (c) Se, (d) Te.

chemical shift tensors investigated are still larger than those typically found in trisorganophosphine selenides.^{97,123} Finally, the calculated orientation of the $[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)]^{-1}$ selenium chemical shift tensors depend on whether the complexing center is palladium and platinum or selenium and tellurium. For the main-group square planar complexes, δ_{33} is oriented nearly parallel to the metal-selenium bond axis, δ_{11} is approximately aligned with the selenium-phosphorus bond axis, with δ_{22} perpendicular to the local M-Se-P plane. These are distinctly different from the orientation of the selenium chemical shift tensors in the palladium and platinum complexes where δ_{11} was calculated parallel to the metal-selenium axis and δ_{33} parallel to the selenium-phosphorus axis. The difference in the magnitude of the spans and orientations of the selenium chemical shift tensors may be a result of the difference between the selenium environment being within a MSe_2P_2N heterocyclic ring with a distorted-chair conformation, M = Se or Te, rather than a pseudo-boat conformation as seen above with the palladium and platinum complexes as well as previously observed for the tetraisopropyldiselenoimidodiphosphinato Group 12 complexes, $M[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = Zn, Cd, Hg), which also possess similarly oriented selenium chemical shift tensors and spans greater than 650 ppm within their pseudo-boat heterocyclic ring structures.⁹⁹

7.3.3 Solid-State NMR of the Central Atom of $M[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = ¹⁹⁵Pt; ⁷⁷Se, ¹²⁵Te)

The results of the solid-state ⁷⁷Se, ¹²⁵Te, and ¹⁹⁵Pt NMR investigation on the spin-¹/₂ nuclei of the central atoms in the M[N(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂]₂ (M = Pt; Se, Te) complexes are given in Table 7.3. Palladium-105 is a low- γ quadrupolar nucleus, S = 5/2, with a large quadrupolar moment, Q = 66.0 fm², ¹²⁴ making it impractical to study at the moderate

М	δ _{iso} ppm	δ_{11} ppm	δ_{22}	δ ₃₃ ppm	Ω ppm	κ	¹ <i>J</i> (M, ⁷⁷ Se) _{iso} Hz
- 10							
Pd ^a							
SR	0	1801	-796	-1005	2806	-0.87	
so	0	1866	-818	-1049	2915	-0.86	
D+							
Fi Event ^b	4580	2412	5622	5706	3204	0.05	
CAPI	-4380	-2412	-3023	-3700	3294	-0.93	
SK	-0017	-4051	-0011	-0/90	2146	-0.83	
SO	-6347	-4648	-7076	-7319	2671	-0.82	
Se							
Expt ^c	367	457	389	255	202	0.32	±424
ŚR	296	523	245	119	404	-0.37	
SO	373	446	399	275	171	0.45	
Te							
Expt ^d	645	1330	1073	-468	1798	0.71	±1200
SR	295	817	99	-30	848	-0.68	1200
SO	508	963	741	-179	1142	0.59	

Table 7.3 Experimental and theoretical solid-state NMR parameters for $M[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = ^{105}Pd , ^{195}Pt ; ^{77}Se , ^{125}Te).

^a Pd-105 chemical shift tensors are given as a traceless representation.

^b Estimated errors in δ_{ii} are \pm 80 ppm.

[°] Estimated errors in δ_{ii} are \pm 5 ppm.

^d Estimated errors in δ_{ii} are ± 40 ppm.

magnetic fields employed; however, DFT computations of the ¹⁰⁵Pd chemical shift tensor were performed and are included in Table 7.3. The solid-state ¹⁹⁵Pt NMR spectrum of Pt[N(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂]₂ at 4.7 T is given in Figure 7.8 along with its simulation. The isotropic ¹⁹⁵Pt chemical shift, -4580 ppm, agrees well with the solution ¹⁹⁵Pt NMR value, -4308 ppm, as well as that for the phenyl-derivated complex, Pt[N(Ph₂PSe)₂]₂, -4240 ppm.^{6,7} The broad spectrum spanning over 3000 ppm affords rather low resolution, and provides no evidence for splittings due to platinum-selenium coupling; however, the solution-state ¹⁹⁵Pt NMR spectrum displays a ¹J(¹⁹⁵Pt,⁷⁷Se)_{iso} of 92 Hz consistent with the solution-state ⁷⁷Se NMR value, as well as a ²J(¹⁹⁵Pt,³¹P)_{iso} value of 94 Hz. Despite being of a similar order of magnitude with other platinum-selenium couplings,¹¹⁰ the small value of ¹J(¹⁹⁵Pt,⁷⁷Se)_{iso} was unexpected given the large ¹J(¹²⁵Te,⁷⁷Se)_{iso} couplings observed herein



Figure 7.8 VACP MAS ¹⁹⁵Pt NMR spectrum of $Pt[N({}^{i}Pr_2PSe)_2]_2$ (lower trace) and its simulation (upper trace). Experimental conditions: 4.7 T, 84656 scans, spinning at 6.75 kHz, 200 Hz of line broadening, a 10.0 ms contact time, and a 9 s recycle delay. The isotropic peak is marked with an asterisk (*).

for Te[N(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂]₂, ± 1120 and ± 1270 Hz, as well as the ¹*J*(¹⁹⁹Hg,⁷⁷Se)_{iso} values found for Hg[N(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂]₂ ranging from -850 to -900 Hz.⁹⁹

The principal components of the palladium and platinum chemical shift tensors indicate nearly axially symmetric environments about the central transition metal centers in $M[N(^{i}Pr_2PSe)_2]_2$, M = Pd, Pt, consistent with experimental ¹⁹⁵Pt chemical shift tensors determined for other square-planar platinum complexes.¹²⁵⁻¹²⁸ Orbital interpretations of paramagnetic shielding contributions have been successful in understanding the physical origin of the observed chemical shift tensors for numerous molecular systems.^{129,130} Gilbert and Ziegler have utilized qualitative molecular orbital theory along with ZORA DFT calculations to describe the ¹⁹⁵Pt shielding environments for several square-planar platinum complexes.¹³¹ Their results show that platinum chemical shift tensors with negative skews (i.e., $\delta_{22} \sim \delta_{33})$ arise from large deshielding paramagnetic contributions perpendicular to the square plane resulting from the magnetic-dipole allowed mixing of $d_{xy} \rightarrow d_{x^2, y^2}$; occupied \rightarrow virtual orbital mixing, Z1 and Z2 in Figure 7.9.¹³¹ The SR and SO calculated platinum chemical shift tensors for $Pt[N(^{i}Pr_2PSe)_2]_2$ are in fair agreement with experiment given that the ¹⁹⁵Pt chemical shift range covers 13000 ppm.¹³² The discrepancy between the calculations suggests that scalar ZORA relativistic corrections are not completely able to detail the local platinum environment. The inclusion of spinorbit relativistic corrections yield better agreement, indicating that these effects are important for describing the local environment of this heavy transition metal. The calculations do however perform well in describing the shape and size of the platinum chemical shift tensor, as shown in the comparable values of the skew and magnitudes of the span, see Table 7.3. The calculated orientation of the platinum chemical shift tensor

262



Figure 7.9 Molecular orbital diagram for an idealized $Pt^{II}L_4$ anion adapted from ref [131]. X and Y magnetic-dipole allowed mixing lead to paramagnetic contributions within the square plane; whereas, Z mixing lead to deshielding contributions perpendicular to the molecular plane.

also correctly predicts a nearly axially symmetric tensor, suggesting that directions of δ_{33} and δ_{22} are coincident within the PtSe₄ plane, and that δ_{11} is oriented perpendicular to the square plane.

The solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum for Se[N($^{1}Pr_{2}PSe$)₂]₂ at 7.0 T is shown in Figure 7.6. The simulation of the central selenium site (Figure 7.6d) shows a selenium chemical shift tensor that possesses a considerably smaller span than the ligand selenium environments, Figure 7.6c and Tables 7.2 and 7.3. The isotropic ⁷⁷Se chemical shift, 367 ppm, is consistent with those found in other selenium(II) centers,^{112,133} and the observed ${}^{1}J({}^{77}\text{Se}, {}^{77}\text{Se})_{\text{iso}}, \pm 424 \text{ Hz}, \text{ from the } 4 \times 7.63\% = 30.52\%$ relative intensity satellite peaks, is in good agreement with the indirect one-bond selenium-selenium coupling constants found from the diselenoimidodiphosphinato selenium environments within the same spectrum given in Table 7.2. The small span, positive skew, and non axially symmetric ⁷⁷Se chemical shift tensor are in stark contrast to the corresponding platinum chemical shift tensor for $Pt[N(^{i}Pr_2PSe)_2]_2$, with its large span, near axial symmetry and negative κ . The difference between the skews of the respective central selenium and platinum chemical shift tensors can also be understood via qualitative molecular orbital theory. Figure 7.10 displays the dominant magnetic-dipole allowed mixing of orbitals, obtained using the EPR module from the DFT computations, for describing the shielding for the central atom within the main-group square-planar complexes investigated. Deshielding paramagnetic contributions arise within the molecular square plane due to $p_z \rightarrow p_{x,y}$ mixing (X1,Y1 and X2,Y2 in Figure 7.10); whereas, the majority of the deshielding perpendicular to the plane results from the $p_{x,y}(\text{occupied}) \rightarrow p_{y,x}(\text{virtual})$ mixing (Z1 in Figure 7.10). Since the paramagnetic contributions from the X1,Y1 and X2,Y2 mixing are larger than those from Z1, the principal components approximately within the $SeSe_4$ plane are more deshielded than the component perpendicular to the plane and a chemical shift tensor with a positive skew is found. The scalar relativistic calculated selenium


Figure 7.10 Molecular orbital diagram for an idealized $M^{II}L_4$ (M = Se, Te) anion. X and Y magnetic-dipole allowed mixing lead to paramagnetic contributions within the square plane; whereas, Z mixing lead to deshielding contributions perpendicular to the molecular plane.

chemical shift tensor has difficulty reproducing all of the experimental values, predicting a ⁷⁷Se chemical shift tensor with a skew of the opposite sign; however, the SO calculation achieves improved agreement in δ_{iso} , δ_{ii} , Ω and arrives at a positive κ indicating that spin-orbit relativistic corrections are necessary in providing an accurate description of the

shielding environment for the central selenium in Se[N('Pr₂PSe)₂]₂ (Table 7.3). The orientation of the selenium chemical shift tensor calculated at the SR level is clearly incorrect given that the calculated skew is of opposite sign to that determined experimentally. The SO DFT calculation orients the ⁷⁷Se chemical shift tensor with the intermediate component almost in the SeSe₄ plane, while the square plane nearly bisects the δ_{11} -Se- δ_{33} angle. The origin of the change in κ arises primarily from a large spin-orbit shielding perpendicular to the SeSe₄ plane that results in the principal component perpendicular to this plane changing from the least shielded component, δ_{11} in the scalar calculation, to a more shielded δ_{33} component in the SO calculation.

The solid-state ¹²⁵Te NMR spectrum of Te[N(¹Pr₂PSe)₂]₂ at 4.7 T is given in Figure 7.11 along with its simulation. Similar to the ⁷⁷Se chemical shift tensor of the selenium(II) complex, the tellurium chemical shift tensor has a positive skew; however, it possesses a considerably larger span and is closer to being axially symmetric than was found for the Se(II) center. The isotropic ¹²⁵Te chemical shift, 645 ppm, is similar to the value of 797 ppm found from a solution ¹²⁵Te NMR study of C₄H₈TeI[N(Ph₂PSe)₂], ⁴² considering the tellurium chemical shift range exceeds 7000 ppm.¹³⁴ The value of ¹*J*(¹²⁵Te,⁷⁷Se)_{iso}, ±1200 Hz, agrees well with the indirect one-bond tellurium-selenium coupling constants from the solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR results above, Table 7.2. Analogous to the molecular orbital theory description of the shielding explaining the positive skew for the selenium chemical shift tensor in Se[N(¹Pr₂PSe)₂]₂, Figure 7.10 illustrates the dominant magnetic-dipole allowed mixing of orbitals involved in determining the tellurium chemical shift tensor. Similar to the calculations for the Se(II) complex, the calculated tellurium chemical shift tensor at the SR level of theory achieves poor



Figure 7.11 VACP MAS ¹²⁵Te NMR spectrum of $Te[N(^{i}Pr_2PSe)_2]_2$ (lower trace) and its simulation (upper trace). Experimental conditions: 4.7 T, 25616 scans, spinning at 6.0 kHz, 100 Hz of line broadening, a 8.0 ms contact time, and a 20 s recycle delay. The isotropic peak is marked with an asterisk (*).

agreement with the values of δ_{ii} , and a negative skew, while the SO calculation provides closer agreement to the experimental ¹²⁵Te chemical shift tensor and a positive skew.

The SO calculation orients the ¹²⁵Te chemical shift tensor such that δ_{33} lies nearly perpendicular to the TeSe₄ plane, with δ_{22} within and δ_{11} slightly out of the square plane itself. The change in κ is, again, due primarily to a large spin-orbit shielding perpendicular to the TeSe₄ plane that essentially makes δ_{11} (SR), δ_{33} in the SO calculation. This shielding is, not surprisingly, larger than that found for the central selenium in the Se[N(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂]₂, which results in a tellurium chemical shift tensor with a larger positive skew, see Table 7.3.

7.4 Summary

Solid-state ³¹P, ⁷⁷Se, ¹²⁵Te, and ¹⁹⁵Pt NMR spectroscopy was found to be a powerful comparative technique for the investigation of square-planar complexes of Group 10 (Pd^{II}, Pt^{II}) and 16 (Se^{II}, Te^{II}) centers coordinated with the tetraisopropyldiselenoimidodiphosphinate anion, [N(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂]⁻. Density functional theory calculations support many of the experimentally observed trends and values in the chemical shift tensors, as well as provide orientations for the ¹⁴N EFG tensors. Residual dipolar coupling effects between phosphorus and nitrogen were manifested in the ³¹P MAS spectra for the selenium and tellurium complexes, but not for the palladium and platinum complexes. Very different orientations for the ¹⁴N EFG tensors between the transition metal and main-group square-planar complexes account for the differences observed in solid-state ³¹P NMR spectra at 4.7 T and 7.0 T. Differences between the Group 10 and 16 complexes were also found in the ⁷⁷Se MAS spectra of the diselenoimidodiphosphinato selenium environments, where considerably more variation is observed in the isotropic selenium chemical shifts of the Se(II) and Te(II) complexes than is found for those of Pd(II) and Pt(II). Additionally, characteristic spans and orientations for the selenium chemical shift tensors were found to differentiate diselenoimidodiphosphinato selenium environments within pseudo-boat versus distorted-chair MSe₂P₂N heterocycles. The solid-state ⁷⁷Se, ¹²⁵Te, and ¹⁹⁵Pt NMR spectra for the central atom of the square-planar complexes investigated were found to have chemical shift tensors with positive skew values for the main-group square-planar complexes, and a negative κ in the platinum complex. The different skews of the chemical shift tensors for the central atoms were rationalized with qualitative molecular orbital theory.

7.5 References

(1) Cea-Olivares, R.; Canseco-Melchor, G.; García-Montalvo, V.; Hernández-Ortega, S.; Novosad, J. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **1998**, 1573-1576.

(2) Cea-Olivares, R.; Novosad, J.; Woollins, J. D.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; García-Montalvo, V.; Espinosa-Pérez, G.; García y García, P. *Chem. Commun.* **1996**, 519-520.

(3) Cea-Olivares, R.; Moya-Cabrera, M.; García-Montalvo, V.; Castro-Blanco, R.; Toscano, R. A.; Hernández-Ortega, S. *Dalton Trans.* **2005**, 1017-1018.

(4) Birdsall, D. J.; Novosad, J.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; Woollins, J. D. Dalton Trans. 2000, 435-439.

(5) Novosad, J.; Lindeman, S. V.; Marek, J.; Woollins, J. D.; Husebye, S. *Heteroat. Chem.* **1998**, *9*, 615-621.

(6) Bhattacharyya, P.; Novosad, J.; Phillips, J.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; Williams, D. J.; Woollins, J. D. *Dalton Trans.* **1995**, 1607-1613.

(7) Papadimitriou, C.; Veltsistas, P.; Novosad, J.; Cea-Olivares, R.; Toscano, A.; García y García, P.; Lopez-Cardosa, M.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; Woollins, J. D. *Polyhedron* **1997**, *16*, 2727-2729.

(8) Cupertino, D.; Birdsall, D. J.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; Woollins, J. D. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1999**, *290*, 1-7.

(9) Haiduc, I.; Silaghi-Dumitrescu, I. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1986, 74, 127-270.

(10) Woollins, J. D. Dalton Trans. 1996, 2893-2901.

(11) Silvestru, C.; Drake, J. E. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2001, 223, 117-216.

(12) Ly, T. Q.; Woollins, J. D. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1998, 176, 451-481.

(13) Bhattacharyya, P.; Woollins, J. D. Polyhedron 1995, 14, 3367-3388.

(14) Balazs, L.; Stanga, O.; Breunig, H. J.; Silvestru, C. Dalton Trans. 2003, 2237-2242.

(15) Darwin, K.; Gilby, L. M.; Hodge, P. R.; Piggott, B. Polyhedron 1999, 18, 3729-3733.

(16) Afzaal, M.; Crouch, D.; Malik, M. A.; Motevalli, M.; O'Brien, P.; Park, J.-H. J. Mater. Chem. 2003, 13, 639-640.

(17) Afzaal, M.; Crouch, D. J.; O'Brien, P.; Raftery, J.; Skabara, P. J.; White, A. J. P.; Williams, D. J. *J. Mater. Chem.* **2004**, *14*, 233-237.

(18) Crouch, D. J.; Helliwell, M.; O'Brien, P.; Park, J.-H.; Waters, J.; Williams, D. J. *Dalton Trans.* **2003**, 1500-1504.

(19) Pickett, N. L.; O'Brien, P. Chem. Rec. 2001, 1, 467-479.

(20) Green, M.; O'Brien, P. Chem. Commun. 1999, 2235-2241.

(21) Gleizes, A. N. Chem. Vap. Deposition 2000, 6, 155-173.

(22) Afzaal, M.; Aucott, S. M.; Crouch, D.; O'Brien, P.; Woollins, J. D.; Park, J.-H. *Chem. Vap. Deposition* **2002**, *8*, 187-189.

(23) Afzaal, M.; Crouch, D.; Malik, M. A.; Motevalli, M.; O'Brien, P.; Park, J.-H.; Woollins, J. D. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2004, 171-177.

(24) Malik, M. A.; Afzaal, M.; O'Brien, P.; Halliwell, M. *Polyhedron* **2006**, *25*, 864-868.

(25) Afzaal, M.; Ellwood, K.; Pickett, N. L.; O'Brien, P.; Raftery, J.; Waters, J. J. *Mater. Chem.* **2004**, *14*, 1310-1315.

(26) Park, J.-H.; Afzaal, M.; Helliwell, M.; Malik, M. A.; O'Brien, P.; Raftery, J. *Chem. Mat.* **2003**, *15*, 4205-4210.

(27) Waters, J.; Crouch, D.; O'Brien, P.; Park, J.-H. J. Mater. Sci.: Mater. Electron. 2003, 14, 599-602.

(28) Waters, J.; Crouch, D.; Raftery, J.; O'Brien, P. Chem. Mat. 2004, 16, 3289-3298.

(29) Crouch, D. J.; O'Brien, P.; Malik, M. A.; Skabara, P. J.; Wright, S. P. Chem. Commun. 2003, 1454-1455.

(30) Singhal, A.; Dutta, D. P.; Kulshreshtha, S. K.; Mobin, S. M.; Mathur, P. J. Organomet. Chem. 2006, 691, 4320-4328.

(31) Garje, S. S.; Copsey, M. C.; Afzaal, M.; O'Brien, P.; Chivers, T. J. Mater. Chem. **2006**, *16*, 4542-4547.

(32) Garje, S. S.; Ritch, J. S.; Eisler, D. J.; Afzaal, M.; O'Brien, P.; Chivers, T. J. *Mater. Chem.* **2006**, *16*, 966-969.

(33) Copsey, M. C.; Panneerselvam, A.; Afzaal, M.; Chivers, T.; O'Brien, P. Dalton Trans. 2007, 1528-1538.

(34) Ritch, J. S.; Chivers, T.; Afzaal, M.; O'Brien, P. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2007, 36, 1622-1631.

(35) Rossi, R.; Marchi, A.; Marvelli, L.; Magon, L.; Peruzzini, M.; Casellato, U.; Graziani, R. *Dalton Trans.* **1993**, 723-729.

(36) Cea-Olivares, R.; García-Montalvo, V.; Novosad, J.; Kilian, P.; Woollins, J. D.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; García y García, P.; López-Cardoso, M.; Espinosa-Pérez, G.; Toscano, R. A.; Hernández, S.; Canseco-Melchor, G.; Lima-Montaño, L.; Rodríguez-Narváez, C. *Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem.* **1997**, *124*, 347-354.

(37) Chivers, T.; Konu, J.; Ritch, J. S.; Copsey, M. C.; Eisler, D. J.; Tuononen, H. M. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2007**, *692*, 2658-2668.

(38) Cupertino, D.; Keyte, R.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; Williams, D. J.; Woollins, J. D. *Inorg. Chem.* **1996**, *35*, 2695-2697.

(39) Williams, D. J.; Quicksall, C. O.; Barkigia, K. M. Inorg. Chem. **1982**, *21*, 2097-2100.

(40) Cea-Olivares, R.; Toscano, R. A.; Carreón, G.; Valdés-Martínez, J. Monatsh. Chem. **1992**, 123, 391-396.

(41) García-Montalvo, V.; Novosad, J.; Kilian, P.; Woollins, J. D.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; García y García, P.; López-Cardoso, M.; Espinosa-Pérez, G.; Cea-Olivares, R. *Dalton Trans.* **1997**, 1025-1029.

(42) García-Montalvo, V.; Zamora-Rosete, M. K.; Gorostieta, D.; Cea-Olivares, R.; Toscano, R. A.; Hernández-Ortega, S. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2001**, 2279-2285.

(43) Rodríguez, I.; Alvarez, C.; Gómez-Lara, J.; Cea-Olivares, R. Lanthanide Actinide Res. 1986, 1, 253-260.

(44) Silvestru, C.; Haiduc, I.; Cea-Olivares, R.; Zimbron, A. *Polyhedron* **1994**, *13*, 3159-3165.

(45) Cea-Olivares, R.; García-Montalvo, V.; Novosad, J.; Woollins, J. D.; Toscano, R. A.; Espinosa-Pérez, G. *Chem. Ber.* **1996**, *129*, 919-923.

(46) García-Montalvo, V.; Cea-Olivares, R.; Williams, D. J.; Espinosa-Pérez, G. *Inorg. Chem.* **1996**, *35*, 3948-3953.

(47) Rudler, H.; Denise, B.; Gregorio, J. R.; Vaissermann, J. Chem. Commun. 1997, 2299-2300.

(48) Goyal, M.; Novosad, J.; Necas, M.; Ishii, H.; Nagahata, R.; Sugiyama, J.-I.; Asai, M.; Ueda, M.; Takeuchi, K. *Appl. Organomet. Chem.* **2000**, *14*, 629-633.

(49) Chatziapostolou, K. A.; Vallianatou, K. A.; Grigoropoulos, A.; Raptopoulou, C. P.; Terzis, A.; Kostas, I. D.; Kyritsis, P.; Pneumatikakis, G. J. Organomet. Chem. 2007, 692, 4129-4138.

(50) du Preez, J. G. H.; Knabl, K. U.; Krüger, L.; van Brecht, B. J. A. M. Solvent Extr. Ion Exch. **1992**, 10, 729-748.

(51) Navrátil, O.; Herrmann, E.; Grossmann, G.; Teplý, J. Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 1990, 55, 364-371.

(52) Herrmann, E.; Navrátil, O.; Nang, H. b.; Smola, J.; Friedrich, J.; Príhoda, J.; Dreyer, R.; Chalkin, V. A.; Kulpe, S. *Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun.* **1984**, *49*, 201-217.

(53) Navrátil, O.; Cigánek, M.; Herrmann, E. Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 1983, 48, 2009-2014.

(54) Navrátil, O.; Fofana, M.; Smola, J. Z. Chem. 1984, 24, 30.

(55) Navrátil, O.; Herrmann, E.; Slezák, P. Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 1987, 52, 1708-1714.

(56) Muñoz-Hernández, M.-Á.; Singer, A.; Atwood, D. A.; Cea-Olivares, R. J. Organomet. Chem. 1998, 571, 15-19.

(57) Le, Q. T. H.; Umetani, S.; Matsui, M. Dalton Trans. **1997**, 3835-3840.

(58) Platzer, N.; Rudler, H.; Alvarez, C.; Barkaoui, L.; Denise, B.; Goasdoué, N.; Rager, M.-N.; Vaissermann, J.; Daran, J.-C. *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.* **1995**, *132*, 95-113.

(59) Alvarez, C.; Barkaoui, L.; Goasdoué, N.; Daran, J.-C.; Platzer, N.; Rudler, H.; Vaissermann, J. *Chem. Commun.* **1989**, 1507-1509.

(60) Alvarez, C.; Goasdoué, N.; Platzer, N.; Rodríguez, I.; Rudler, H. Chem. Commun. 1988, 1002-1004.

(61) Barkaoui, L.; Charrouf, M.; Rager, M.-N.; Denise, B.; Platzer, N.; Rudler, H. *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.* **1997**, *134*, 167-175.

(62) Rodríguez, I.; Alvarez, C.; Gómez-Lara, J.; Toscano, R. A.; Platzer, N.; Mulheim, C.; Rudler, H. *Chem. Commun.* **1987**, 1502-1503.

(63) Magennis, S. W.; Parsons, S.; Corval, A.; Woollins, J. D.; Pikramenou, Z. Chem. Commun. 1999, 61-62.

(64) Bereman, R. D.; Wang, F. T.; Najdzionek, J.; Braitsch, D. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1976**, *98*, 7266-7268.

(65) Sakaguchi, U.; Addison, A. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 5189-5190.

(66) Siiman, O.; Vetuskey, J. Inorg. Chem. 1980, 19, 1672-1680.

(67) Haiduc, I. In *Inorganic Experiments*; Woollins, J. D., Ed.; VCH: New York; Basel; Cambridge; Tokyo, 1994, pp 145-149.

(68) Metz, G.; Wu, X.; Smith, S. O. J. Magn. Reson. Ser. A 1994, 110, 219-227.

(69) Bennett, A. E.; Rienstra, C. M.; Auger, M.; Lakshmi, K. V.; Griffin, R. G. J. Chem. Phys. **1995**, 103, 6951-6958.

(70) Bryce, D. L.; Bernard, G. M.; Gee, M.; Lumsden, M.; Eichele, K.; Wasylishen, R. E. Can. J. Anal. Sci. Spectrosc. 2001, 46, 46-82.

(71) Collins, M. J.; Ratcliffe, C. I.; Ripmeester, J. A. J. Magn. Reson. **1986**, 68, 172-179.

(72) Collins, M. J.; Ripmeester, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 4113-4115.

(73) Harris, R. K.; Sebald, A. Magn. Reson. Chem. 1987, 25, 1058-1062.

(74) Maricq, M. M.; Waugh, J. S. J. Chem. Phys. 1979, 70, 3300-3316.

(75) Herzfeld, J.; Berger, A. E. J. Chem. Phys. 1980, 73, 6021-6030.

(76) Eichele, K.; Wasylishen, R. E.; 1.17.30 ed., 2001.

(77) Mason, J. Solid State Nucl. Magn. Reson. 1993, 2, 285-288.

(78) Pulay, P. In *Calculation of NMR and EPR Parameters*; Kaupp, M., Bühl, M., Malkin, V. G., Eds.; Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA: Weinheim, 2004, p XIII.

(79) Schreckenbach, G.; Ziegler, T. J. Phys. Chem. A 1997, 101, 3388-3399.

(80) Schreckenbach, G.; Ziegler, T. J. Phys. Chem. 1995, 99, 606-616.

- (81) Schreckenbach, G.; Ziegler, T. Int. J. Quantum Chem. 1997, 61, 899-918.
- (82) Wolff, S. K.; Ziegler, T. J. Chem. Phys. 1998, 109, 895-905.
- (83) Baerends, E. J.; Ellis, D. E.; Ros, P. Chem. Phys. 1973, 2, 41-51.
- (84) Versluis, L.; Ziegler, T. J. Chem. Phys. 1988, 88, 322-328.
- (85) te Velde, G.; Baerends, E. J. J. Comput. Phys. 1992, 99, 84-98.

(86) Fonseca Guerra, C.; Snijders, J. G.; te Velde, G.; Baerends, E. J. *Theor. Chem. Acc.* **1998**, *99*, 391-403.

- (87) Theoretical Chemistry, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, http://www.scm.com.
- (88) Vosko, S. H.; Wilk, L.; Nusair, M. Can. J. Phys. 1980, 58, 1200-1211.
- (89) Becke, A. D. Phys. Rev. A 1988, 38, 3098-3100.
- (90) Perdew, J. P. Phys. Rev. B 1986, 33, 8822-8824.
- (91) Perdew, J. P. Phys. Rev. B 1986, 34, 7406.
- (92) Chang, C.; Pelissier, M.; Durand, P. Phys. Scr. 1986, 34, 394-404.

(93) van Lenthe, E.; Baerends, E. J.; Snijders, J. G. J. Chem. Phys. **1993**, *99*, 4597-4610.

(94) van Lenthe, E.; Baerends, E. J.; Snijders, J. G. J. Chem. Phys. **1994**, 101, 9783-9792.

(95) van Lenthe, E.; van Leeuwen, R.; Baerends, E. J.; Snijders, J. G. Int. J. Quantum Chem. **1996**, *57*, 281-293.

(96) Jameson, C. J.; de Dios, A.; Jameson, A. K. Chem. Phys. Lett. **1990**, 167, 575-582.

(97) Demko, B. A.; Eichele, K.; Wasylishen, R. E. J. Phys. Chem. A 2006, 110, 13537-13550.

(98) Ruiz-Morales, Y.; Schreckenbach, G.; Ziegler, T. J. Phys. Chem. A 1997, 101, 4121-4127.

(99) Demko, B. A.; Wasylishen, R. E. Dalton Trans. 2008, 481-490.

(100) Robert, J. B.; Wiesenfeld, L. Mol. Phys. 1981, 44, 319-327.

(101) Jameson, C. J. In *Multinuclear NMR*; Mason, J., Ed.; Plenum Press: New York, 1987, pp 89-131.

(102) Jameson, C. J. In *Phosphorus-31 NMR Spectroscopy in Stereochemical Analysis*. *Organic Compounds and Metal Complexes*; Verkade, J. G., Quin, L. D., Eds.; VCH Publishers, Inc.: Deerfield Beach, 1987; Vol. 8, pp 205-230.

(103) Olivieri, A. C. J. Magn. Reson. 1989, 81, 201-205.

(104) Zumbulyadis, N.; Henrichs, P. M.; Young, R. H. J. Chem. Phys. 1981, 75, 1603-1611.

(105) Hexem, J. G.; Frey, M. H.; Opella, S. J. J. Chem. Phys. 1982, 77, 3847-3856.

(106) Wasylishen, R. E. In *Encyclopedia of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance*; Grant, D. M., Harris, R. K., Eds.; John Wiley and Sons, Ltd.: Chichester, 1996, pp 1685-1695.

(107) Wasylishen, R. E. In *Encyclopedia of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance*; Grant, D. M., Harris, R. K., Eds.; John Wiley and Sons, Ltd.: Chichester, 2002; Vol. 9, pp 274-282.

(108) Wrackmeyer, B.; Garcia-Baez, E.; Zuno-Cruz, F. J.; Sanchez-Cabrera, G.; Rosales, M. J. Z. Naturforsch., B 2000, 55, 185-188.

(109) Power, W. P.; Wasylishen, R. E.; Curtis, R. D. Can. J. Chem. 1989, 67, 454-459.

(110) Duddeck, H. Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc. 1995, 27, 1-323.

(111) Duddeck, H. Annu. Rep. NMR Spectrosc. 2004, 52, 105-166.

(112) Mazaki, Y.; Kobayashi, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 2813-2816.

(113) Schrobilgen, G. J.; Burns, R. C.; Granger, P. J. Chem. Soc.-Chem. Commun. **1978**, 957-960.

(114) Björgvinsson, M.; Schrobilgen, G. J. Inorg. Chem. 1991, 30, 2540-2547.

(115) Björgvinsson, M.; Sawyer, J. F.; Schrobilgen, G. J. Inorg. Chem. 1991, 30, 4238-4245.

(116) Dreeskamp, H.; Stegmeier, G. Z. Naturforsch., A 1967, 22, 1458-1464.

(117) Kennedy, J. D.; McFarlane, W.; Pyne, G. S.; Wrackmeyer, B. *Dalton Trans.* **1975**, 386-390.

(118) Kennedy, J. D.; McFarlane, W.; Wrackmeyer, B. Inorg. Chem. 1976, 16, 1299-1302.

(119) Wrackmeyer, B.; Horchler, K. Magn. Reson. Chem. 1990, 28, 56-61.

(120) Wrackmeyer, B.; Zhou, H. Magn. Reson. Chem. 1990, 28, 1066-1069.

(121) Christendat, D.; Butler, I. S.; Gilson, D. F. R.; Morin, F. G. Can. J. Chem. 1999, 77, 1892-1898.

(122) Willans, M. J.; Demko, B. A.; Wasylishen, R. E. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2006, 8, 2733-2743.

(123) Grossmann, G.; Potrzebowski, M. J.; Fleischer, U.; Krüger, K.; Malkina, O. L.; Ciesielski, W. Solid State Nucl. Magn. Reson. 1998, 13, 71-85.

(124) Harris, R. K.; Becker, E. D.; Crabral de Menezes, S. M.; Goodfellow, R.; Granger, P. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **2001**, *73*, 1795-1818.

(125) Harris, R. K.; McNaught, I. J.; Reams, P.; Packer, K. J. Magn. Reson. Chem. **1991**, 29, S60-S72.

(126) Harris, R. K.; Reams, P.; Packer, K. J. Dalton Trans. 1986, 1015-1020.

(127) Austin, E. J.; Barrie, P. J.; Clark, R. J. H. Chem. Commun. 1993, 1404-1405.

(128) Duer, M. J.; Khan, M. S.; Kakkar, A. K. Solid State Nucl. Magn. Reson. 1992, 1, 13-16.

(129) Jameson, C. J.; Gutowsky, H. S. J. Chem. Phys. 1964, 40, 1714-1724.

(130) Grutzner, J. B. In *Recent Advances in Organic NMR Spectroscopy*; Lambert, J. B., Rittner, R., Eds.; Norell Press: Landsville, NJ, 1987, pp 17-42.

(131) Gilbert, T. M.; Ziegler, T. J. Phys. Chem. A 1999, 103, 7535-7543.

(132) Still, B. M.; Kumar, P. G. A.; Aldrich-Wright, J. R.; Prize, W. S. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2007, 36, 665-686.

(133) Dietzsch, W.; Olk, R.-M.; Hoyer, E.; Meiler, W.; Robien, W. Magn. Reson. Chem. **1988**, 26, 653-657.

(134) Luthra, N. P.; Odom, J. D. In *The Chemistry of Organic Selenium and Tellurium Compounds*; Patai, S., Rappoport, Z., Eds.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1986; Vol. 1, pp 189-241.

Chapter 8

Conclusions and Outlook

8.1 Conclusions

The research presented within this thesis was undertaken in order to improve the knowledge and understanding of ⁷⁷Se NMR parameters, predominantly the nuclear magnetic shielding and indirect spin-spin coupling interactions. The significant results and advances put forth in the preceding chapters are summarized below, highlighting three fundamental areas of impact. First, the additions to the known experimental solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR parameters obtained within Chapters 4 through 7 are discussed in terms of their contribution to the reported literature (Chapter 2). Second, insights into the theoretical calculation of NMR parameters involving selenium, particularly nuclear magnetic shielding, are reviewed from all of the experimental chapters. Finally, revisited is the chemical information extracted from the molecular systems focused upon; iminobis(dialkylphosphine selenide), $HN(R_2PSe)_2$, in Chapter 5, and the tetraalkyldiseleno-imidodiphosphinato complexes, $M[N(i^{i}Pr_2PSe)_2]_2$, from Chapters 6 and 7.

While the body of this thesis details the most significant research performed, particularly in regards to ⁷⁷Se NMR interaction tensors, there are a number of additional areas where contributions were made and research experience was gained during the course of my graduate program. The specific projects undertaken include additional ab initio computations of selenium chemical shift tensors, synthetic pursuits of substituted diphenyl diselenides, a solid-state NMR study of triphenyl-Group 14 chlorides, Ph₃XCl (X = C, Si, Sn, Pb), and hyperpolarized ¹²⁹Xe NMR of xenon within the porous

framework of AlPO₄-18. The role of the research I performed and the results yielded from the investigations are summarized in Appendix E.

8.1.1 Experimental Solid-State ⁷⁷Se NMR Parameters

The solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR investigations described in Chapter 4 covered a vast variety of selenium chemical environments. While some of the selenium-containing species had previously been investigated by solid-state NMR, the majority of the ⁷⁷Se NMR parameters for the compounds studied were characterized for the first time. Two new organoselenium compounds were reported; N-methylbenzothiazole-2-selone and diphenylselenium dichloride. The X-ray crystal structure investigation of *N*-methylbenzothiazole-2-selone was only able to characterize one of two crystalline forms,¹ indicating two molecular species in the asymmetric unit; whereas, the solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR investigation was capable of characterizing four selenium chemical shift tensors from a bulk sample of N-methylbenzothiazole-2-selone. The selenium chemical shift tensors were more deshielded than other seleno-carbonyl systems that have been investigated by solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR (Chapter 2), yet possess isotropic selenium chemical shifts that are consistent with similar C=Se environments where the carbon is bonded to one or more nitrogen atoms.^{2,3} That all four selenium chemical shift tensors are similar indicates comparable chemical environments and that the observed differences are likely a result of minor conformational differences and crystal packing forces. Diphenylselenium dichloride was investigated as a four coordinate organoselenium compound. The axially symmetric selenium chemical shift tensor may be evidence for significant motion of the phenyl ligands about the Cl-Se-Cl axis, as there are no

symmetry reasons from the crystal structure of Ph₂SeCl₂ for the attained axial symmetry.⁴ Motion could also be contributing to the relatively small span for the selenium chemical shift tensor, 160 ppm. Residual dipolar coupling from the quadrupolar ^{35/37}Cl nuclei permitted the extraction of the coupling parameters, R_{eff} and ¹J(⁷⁷Se,³⁵Cl)_{iso} from the simulation of the solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum, Figure 4.7. The magnitude of ¹J(⁷⁷Se,³⁵Cl)_{iso}, 110 Hz, was the first reported coupling constant between selenium and chlorine in either solution^{2,3} or solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR, see Table 2.5.

The organophosphine selenides studied in Chapter 4 add significantly to the known solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR literature of phosphine selone systems in general. Five novel organophosphine selenides were investigated along with two that had previously been studied using 70% enriched selenium-77. The selenium chemical shift tensors and indirect one-bond selenium-phosphorus coupling constants, ${}^{1}J({}^{77}Se, {}^{31}P)_{iso}$, for tris-(*tert*-butyl)phosphine selenide, tri-cyclohexylphosphine selenide, 5-phenyldibenzophosphine selenide, tri-*para*-tolylphosphine selenide, and tris-2,4,6-trimethoxyphenylphosphine selenide expanded the range of isotropic selenium chemical shifts from solid-state NMR for all phosphine selones to -437 ppm from (C₆H₁₁)₃PSe at the most shielded extreme, and to -30 ppm from [C₆H₂(OMe)₃]₃PSe as the most deshielded organophosphine selenide selenium environment. Similarly, the value of -768 Hz for one of the two ${}^{1}J({}^{77}Se, {}^{31}P)_{iso}$ for 5-phenyldibenzophosphine selenide is the largest coupling in magnitude observed for organophosphine selenides from solid-state ${}^{77}Se$ NMR.

The solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR investigation of ammonium selenotungstate yielded three inorganic selenium chemical shift tensors. The isotropic selenium chemical shifts were all relatively deshielded selenium environments, and the nearly axially symmetric

selenium chemical shift tensors were consistent with the terminal selenium moieties in $(NH_4)_2WSe_4$. In addition, the largest spans of any experimental selenium chemical shift tensor determined in this thesis were found for the three ammonium selenotungstate selenium sites.

The iminobis(dialkylphosphine selenide) systems, $HN(R_2PSe)_2$ (R = Ph, ⁱPr), studied by solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR in Chapter 5 display similar selenium chemical shift tensors and indirect one-bond selenium-phosphorus coupling constants, ¹ $J(^{77}Se,^{31}P)_{iso}$, to those of the phosphine selones reported in Chapters 2 and 4. Despite comparable values of ¹ $J(^{77}Se,^{31}P)_{iso}$, the selenium chemical shift tensors of $HN(^{i}Pr_2PSe)_2$ were found to be more shielded and possess larger spans than the corresponding iminobis(diphenylphosphine selenide).

The tetraisopropyldiselenoimidodiphosphinato complexes, $M[N({}^{i}Pr_2PSe)_2]_2$ (M = Zn, Se, Pd, Cd, Te, Pt, Hg) contain a novel environment for selenium that had not previously been investigated by solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR spectroscopy. All of the complexes studied in Chapters 6 and 7 contain M-Se-P moieties and, aside from $Se[N({}^{i}Pr_2PSe)_2]_2$, possess non-symmetric inorganic X-Se-Y linkages where neither X nor Y are another selenium atom. Previously, the only non-symmetric inorganic X-Se-Y systems that had been investigated by solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR were phosphorus diselenides, P-<u>Se</u>-Se, and metal polyselenides, M-<u>Se</u>-Se. The selenium chemical shift tensor for the central selenium in $Se[N({}^{i}Pr_2PSe)_2]_2$, despite possessing a square-planar $SeSe_4$ environment, has a smaller span than the bridging Se-<u>Se</u>-P sites. All of the indirect one-bond selenium-phosphorus coupling constants, ${}^{1}J({}^{77}Se, {}^{31}P)_{iso}$, for the tetraisopropyl-diselenoimidodiphosphinato complexes are smaller in magnitude than those for

 $HN({}^{1}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}$, but consistent with numerous other $[N(R_{2}PSe)_{2}]^{-}$ systems. The magnitudes of ${}^{1}J({}^{111/113}Cd, {}^{77}Se)_{iso}$ and ${}^{1}J({}^{199}Hg, {}^{77}Se)_{iso}$ from $Cd[N({}^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ and $Hg[N({}^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$, respectively, are within the known ranges of one-bond cadmium- and mercury-selenium couplings; however, the indirect one-bond selenium-selenium and tellurium-selenium coupling constants, ${}^{1}J({}^{77}Se, {}^{77}Se)_{iso}$ and ${}^{1}J({}^{125}Te, {}^{77}Se)_{iso}$, for $Se[N({}^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ and $Te[N({}^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$, respectively, were found to have the largest magnitudes of any such couplings from solution or solid-state NMR.

8.1.2 Theoretical Insight into ⁷⁷Se Nuclear Magnetic Shielding

The calculations of nuclear magnetic shielding tensors in the experimental chapters of this thesis have sought to provide one or more of three potential contributions. All computations yield the orientation of the nuclear magnetic shielding tensor with respect to the molecular frame, while some calculations are able to discern the difference between the nuclear magnetic shielding of one selenium site with respect to another within the solid state structure used for the computation. Still some other calculated nuclear magnetic shielding tensors, when converted to chemical shift tensors and compared to experimentally determined values, are able to highlight the importance of relativistic effects in the accurate reproduction of the corresponding experimental selenium chemical shift tensors.

The calculated selenium chemical shift tensors for the organoselenium compounds studied in Chapter 4 highlighted that different orientations are possible for seleno-carbonyls as demonstrated with *N*,*N*-dimethylselenourea and *N*-methylbenzo-thiazole-2-selone. The chemical shift tensor orientations of the bridging selenium

environments in seleno-DL-methionine and tetramethyltetraselenafulvalene showed similarities in that δ_{22} was always predicted to be perpendicular to the C-Se-C plane. The calculated orientations of the selenium chemical shift tensors for the organophosphine selenides investigated showed that nearly any orientation with respect to the P=Se vector is possible for these terminal selenium moieties. Additionally, the small deshieldings noted along the direction of the P-Se bond suggest that the bonding environment most closely resembles a polarized, R_3P^+ -Se⁻, form. The selenium chemical shift tensors for the selenate and selenotungstate anions were similarly oriented in that δ_{33} was calculated to be parallel with the O-Se vector of (NH₄)₂SeO₄, and with the Se-W vector of $(NH_4)_2$ WSe₄. The calculated orientations of the selenium chemical shift tensors of the iminobis(dialkylphosphine selenide) systems and tetraisopropyldiselenoimidodiphosphinato complexes studied in Chapters 5 through 7 were useful in comparing and contrasting the computed orientations with the known structures of the chemical systems investigated. These observations will be discussed in more detail in the following section.

Differentiation between selenium environments in a solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum based upon the calculation of the corresponding selenium chemical shift tensors is typically only reliable if the isotropic selenium chemical shifts of the sites being distinguished differ by more than 50 ppm for both the experimental and theoretical selenium chemical shift tensors. For the two selenium chemical shift tensors of iminobis(diphenylphosphine selenide), the calculations were able to discern that the selenium involved in hydrogen bonding between the dimer pair of HN(Ph₂PSe)₂ molecules is more deshielded than the selenium environment that is oriented *anti* with respect to the

hydrogen bonding selenium. Similarly, the diselenoimidodiphosphinate selenium environments of $M[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = Se, Te) were differentiated based upon their experimental and computed chemical shift tensors. In both complexes, the more deshielded selenium environment corresponded to the selenium with the smaller M-Se and Se-P distances as well as smaller M-Se-P angle, while the more shielded selenium site belonged to the selenium with larger M-Se and Se-P distances and larger M-Se-P angle.

The inclusion of relativistic effects in the calculation of selenium nuclear magnetic shieldings was investigated primarily in Chapter 4. The general conclusions found therein suggested that isotropic selenium chemical shifts as well as the orientations of the selenium chemical shift tensors were calculated generally equally well with and without applied relativistic corrections. When the selenium site being computed was located near a heavy atom, such as tungsten in $(NH_4)_2WSe_4$, the use of relativistic calculations was found to be necessary for the reproduction of the experimental selenium chemical shift tensor(s). Evaluation of the computed principal components of the respective chemical shift tensors indicated that, in comparison with experimentally determined values, scalar with spin-orbit relativistic calculations generally achieved the best results. Spin-orbit relativistic corrections were found to be very important in the accurate calculated selenium chemical shift tensor for the central selenium in $Se[N(^{h}Pr_2PSe)_2]_2$ (Chapter 7), where non-relativistic and scalar relativistic computations arrive at tensors with the wrong skew.

8.1.3 Specific Chemical Information

Relationships between solid-state NMR parameters and the local chemical structure of the nucleus under investigation are widely sought. In the process of investigating the iminobis(dialkylphosphine selenide) systems, $HN(R_2PSe)_2$ (R = Ph, ⁱPr), and numerous metal(II) complexes of the tetraisopropyldiselenoimidodiphosphinate anion, $M[N(^iPr_2PSe)_2]_2$, certain insights into the dependence of the solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR parameters and the chemical environment of the selenium nucleus have been obtained.

Unlike the corresponding phosphorus chemical shift tensors, the selenium chemical shift tensors of the $HN(R_2PSe)_2$ molecules were found to be sensitive to subtle differences in their local structures. This is likely due to a combination of the larger selenium chemical shift range and the fact that the selenium atoms lie on the periphery of the molecule while the phosphorus does not. The experimental and theoretical selenium chemical shift tensors, along with their calculated orientations, of iminobis(diphenyl-phosphine selenide) were found to be diagnostic with respect to whether or not the selenium atom was a participant in the hydrogen bonding between the dimer pairs of $HN(Ph_2PSe)_2$ units. Similarly, the selenium chemical shift tensors, and their orientations, for $HN(^iPr_2PSe)_2$ were susceptible to the presence of methyl disorder in one of the isopropyl groups noting a significant difference in ⁷⁷Se NMR line widths of the two selenium environments.

The indirect one-bond selenium-phosphorus coupling constants, ${}^{1}J({}^{77}\text{Se}, {}^{31}\text{P})_{iso}$, for the HN(R₂PSe)₂ (R = Ph, ⁱPr) and M[N(ⁱPr₂PSe)₂]₂ systems were found to be sensitive to the presence of the acidic N-H proton. The values of ${}^{1}J({}^{77}\text{Se}, {}^{31}\text{P})_{iso}$ for HN(R₂PSe)₂ (R = Ph, ⁱPr) are all less than -700 Hz, while those for the $M[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ complexes are all greater than -600 Hz.

The local structures of the selenium environments in the tetraisopropyldiselenoimidodiphosphinato complexes were able to be differentiated by their solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR parameters. Due to the flexibility of the SePNPSe backbone the six-membered MSe₂P₂N rings generally attain one of two conformations, pseudo-boat or distorted chair. The spans of the selenium chemical shift tensors for $M[N(Pr_2PSe)_2]_2$ (M = Zn, Pd, Cd, Pt, Hg), which possess pseudo-boat heterocyclic rings are on the order of 100-200 ppm larger than complexes with distorted chair MSe₂P₂N rings, such as for Se[N($^{i}Pr_{2}PSe$)₂]₂ and $Te[N(Pr_2PSe)_2]_2$. The calculated orientations for the selenium chemical shift tensors also depend on whether pseudo-boat or distorted chair six-membered rings are found in the structures of the metal(II) tetraisopropylimidodiphosphinate complexes. The pseudoboat heterocyclic rings found in $M[N(Pr_2PSe)_2]_2$ (M = Zn, Pd, Cd, Pt, Hg) all possess calculated selenium chemical shift tensors where δ_{11} is oriented nearly parallel to the M-Se vector, and δ_{33} approximately aligned with Se-P, with δ_{22} perpendicular to the local M-Se-P plane. The orientations of the distorted chair selenium chemical shift tensors of the diselenoimidodiphosphinate sites within $M[N(^{1}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = Se, Te), in contrast, place δ_{33} near parallel to M-Se and δ_{11} approximately along Se-P.

8.2 Outlook

Besides other interesting $M^{n+}[N(R_2PSe)_2]_n$ complexes, such as those involving lanthanide or actinide centers,⁵⁻⁸ there are a number of straightforward extensions of the work presented in this thesis available for research pursuits. Summarized below are the aims, concerns and interests of three such projects.

8.2.1 Lone Electron Pair Stereochemical Activity

The stereochemistry observed in AL_6X systems when L is a hard donor such as fluorine or oxygen produce complexes where the lone electron pair, X, appears stereoactive; however, when L is a soft donor such as sulfur or selenium the stereochemical activity of the lone pair is not expressed.^{9,10} The Bi[N(R₂PE)₂]₃ (E = O, S, Se) complexes have shown to be a good system to study the stereochemical impact of the lone electron pair on the bismuth as a function of the binding chalcogen atom.¹¹⁻¹⁷ As demonstrated in Chapters 5 through 7, the solid-state ³¹P and ⁷⁷Se NMR parameters for these complexes should be sensitive to the local phosphorus and selenium environments, respectively. The phosphorus and selenium chemical shift tensors, as well as their calculated orientations, are likely to be susceptible to the distortions of the sixmembered BiE₂P₂N rings caused by stereochemistry imposed by the activity of the lone electron pair on the BiE₆ pseudo-octahedron.

8.2.2 Paramagnetic Systems

Another extension to the work presented in this thesis would be to add an additional interaction to the ⁷⁷Se NMR Hamiltonian. One such interaction would involve the influence of unpaired electrons on the NMR spectra. Paramagnetic compounds have the benefit of rapid nuclear relaxation such that the delay between FID transient acquisition is significantly reduced; however, there are also a number of disadvantages.¹⁸

One such challenge in acquiring NMR spectra of paramagnetic systems involves considerable line broadening of the NMR signals in the vicinity of the paramagnetic center, while another impact of the presence of an unpaired electron involves the hyperfine shift to the nuclear magnetic shielding.¹⁸ The contribution of the hyperfine shift towards the chemical shift of heavier nuclear, such as selenium, can be on the order of thousands of parts per million.¹⁹ The influence of an unpaired electron on similar systems to those investigated in Chapters 6 and 7 may be sought from the diselenoimidodiphosphinato complexes of nickel(II), Ni[N(R₂PSe)₂]₂.²⁰ The squareplanar nickel(II) complexes, like those of the Pd(II) and Pt(II) studied in Chapter 7, are diamagnetic; however, there exists a tetrahedral form of Ni[N(R₂PSe)₂]₂ that is paramagnetic. The comparison of the 31 P and 77 Se solid-state NMR parameters. particularly the NMR line widths and chemical shift tensors, between the diamagnetic and paramagnetic forms of $Ni[N(R_2PSe)_2]_2$ would provide insight into the impact of unpaired electrons on the NMR spectra of nuclei that are one and two bonds away from a paramagnetic center.

8.2.3 Tellurium Analogues

Due to the presence of ¹²³Te ($I = \frac{1}{2}$, 0.89% N.A.) and ¹²⁵Te ($I = \frac{1}{2}$, 7.07% N.A.), tellurium analogues of the dichalcogenoimidodiphosphinate anions are of interest for solid-state NMR investigations as, similar to the $[N(R_2PSe)_2]^-$ systems, spectra for the chalcogen are readily obtained. However, $[N(R_2PTe)_2]^-$ systems have only recently been prepared as their synthesis is not as straightforward as the corresponding oxygen, sulfur and selenium derivatives. While $HN(R_2PE)_2$ (E = O, S, Se) may be obtained by facile

oxidation of HN(R₂P)₂ with hydrogen peroxide, elemental sulfur or elemental selenium, respectively, reaction of elemental tellurium with HN(R₂P)₂ produces only the monotelluride, R₂P(Te)NHPR₂, in low yields.²¹ Recently, the ditelluride has been prepared by the reaction of Te with Na[N(R₂P)₂] in the presence of tetramethylethylenediamine.²² As a result, metal complexes of the ditelluroimidodiphosphinate anion, $[N(R_2PTe)_2]$, have appeared in the literature. Solid-state ³¹P and ¹²⁵Te NMR investigations of analogous complexes to those studied in Chapter 6, M[N(ⁱPr₂PTe)₂]₂ (M = Zn, Cd, Hg),²³ which have been utilized as single source precursors for metal telluride materials,^{24,25} are now possible. Additionally, the investigation of lanthanide- and actinide-tellurium bonding by solid-state ¹²⁵Te NMR is also available for a number of reported structures.^{8,26}

8.3 References

(1) Husebye, S.; Lindeman, S. V.; Rudd, M. D. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. C-Cryst. Struct. Commun. **1997**, *53*, 809-811.

- (2) Duddeck, H. Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc. 1995, 27, 1-323.
- (3) Duddeck, H. Annu. Rep. NMR Spectrosc. 2004, 52, 105-166.
- (4) McCullough, J. D.; Hamburger, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1942, 64, 508-513.
- (5) Pernin, C. G.; Ibers, J. A. Inorg. Chem. 2000, 39, 1216-1221.
- (6) Gaunt, A. J.; Scott, B. L.; Neu, M. P. Chem. Commun. 2005, 3215-3217.
- (7) Sekar, P.; Ibers, J. A. Inorg. Chim. Acta 2006, 359, 2751-2755.

(8) Gaunt, A. J.; Reilly, S. D.; Enriquez, A. E.; Scott, B. L.; Ibers, J. A.; Sekar, P.; Ingram, K. I. M.; Kaltsoyannis, N.; Neu, M. P. *Inorg. Chem.* **2008**, *47*, 29-41.

- (9) Wynne, K. J. J. Chem. Educ. 1973, 50, 328-330.
- (10) Williams, D. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Letters 1980, 16, 189-193.

(11) Williams, D. J.; Quicksall, C. O.; Barkigia, K. M. Inorg. Chem. **1982**, *21*, 2097-2100.

(12) Cea-Olivares, R.; Toscano, R. A.; Carreón, G.; Valdés-Martínez, J. Monatsh. Chem. **1992**, 123, 391-396.

(13) Cea-Olivares, R.; Novosad, J.; Woollins, J. D.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; García-Montalvo, V.; Espinosa-Pérez, G.; García y García, P. *Chem. Commun.* **1996**, 519-520.

(14) Cea-Olivares, R.; García-Montalvo, V.; Novosad, J.; Woollins, J. D.; Toscano, R. A.; Espinosa-Pérez, G. *Chem. Ber.* **1996**, *129*, 919-923.

(15) Cea-Olivares, R.; García-Montalvo, V.; Novosad, J.; Kilian, P.; Woollins, J. D.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; García y García, P.; López-Cardoso, M.; Espinosa-Pérez, G.; Toscano, R. A.; Hernández, S.; Canseco-Melchor, G.; Lima-Montaño, L.; Rodríguez-Narváez, C. *Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem.* **1997**, *124*, 347-354.

(16) García-Montalvo, V.; Cea-Olivares, R.; Williams, D. J.; Espinosa-Pérez, G. *Inorg. Chem.* **1996**, *35*, 3948-3953.

(17) García-Montalvo, V.; Novosad, J.; Kilian, P.; Woollins, J. D.; Slawin, A. M. Z.;

García y García, P.; López-Cardoso, M.; Espinosa-Pérez, G.; Cea-Olivares, R. Dalton Trans. 1997, 1025-1029.

(18) Bertini, I.; Turano, P.; Vila, A. J. Chem. Rev. 1993, 93, 2833-2932.

(19) Mao, J.; Zhang, Y.; Oldfield, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 13911-13920.

(20) Papadimitriou, C.; Veltsistas, P.; Novosad, J.; Cea-Olivares, R.; Toscano, A.; García y García, P.; Lopez-Cardosa, M.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; Woollins, J. D. *Polyhedron* **1997**, *16*, 2727-2729.

(21) Chivers, T.; Konu, J.; Ritch, J. S.; Copsey, M. C.; Eisler, D. J.; Tuononen, H. M. J. Organomet. Chem. 2007, 692, 2658-2668.

(22) Briand, G. G.; Chivers, T.; Parvez, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 2002, 41, 3468-3470.

(23) Chivers, T.; Eisler, D. J.; Ritch, J. S. Dalton Trans. 2005, 2675-2677.

(24) Garje, S. S.; Ritch, J. S.; Eisler, D. J.; Afzaal, M.; O'Brien, P.; Chivers, T. J. Mater. Chem. 2006, 16, 966-969.

(25) Ritch, J. S.; Chivers, T.; Afzaal, M.; O'Brien, P. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2007, 36, 1622-1631.

(26) Gaunt, A. J.; Scott, B. L.; Neu, M. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 2006, 45, 1638-1641.

Appendix A

Table A1 Linear Fit Parameters for Experimental vs	s. Calculated Selenium Chemical
Shift Tensors.	

	NR	SR	SO
δίsο			
slope	1.12	1.08	1.07
intercept	-20	-7	-15
mean absolute deviation ^{a)}	91	71	66
ð ₁₁			
slope	1.15	1.07	1.07
intercept	52	98	50
mean absolute deviation ^{a)}	171	164	120
δ ₂₂			
slope	0.96	0.92	0.93
intercept	-4	14	2
mean absolute deviation ^{a)}	126	133	132
ð33			
slope	1.37	1.18	1.13
intercept	-15	-85	-67
mean absolute deviation ^{a)}	247	165	124
Ω			
slope	0.69	0.91	0.99
intercept	350	283	164
mean absolute deviation ^{a)}	276	240	164
I	$\left (x_i^{Expt.} - x_i^{Calc.}) \right $		
mean absolute deviation via	$\sum \frac{p}{n}$	L	

Appendix B

Е	R	δ _{iso} ppm	δ ₁₁ ppm	δ ₂₂ ppm	δ ₃₃ ppm	Ω ppm	к
0							
Ŭ	Ph	19.0	85.7	35.8	-64.6	150.3	0.34
		24.4	127.4	51.6	-105.9	233.3	0.35
	ⁱ Pr a	62.2	137.9	124.0	-75.2	213.1	0.87
		68.9	144.3	123.9	-61.4	205.7	0.80
	ⁱ Pr b	59.1	131.1	122.3	-76.2	207.3	0.92
		69.1	144.7	124.0	-61.4	206.1	0.80
S							
	Ph	75.4	203.9	129.2	-106.9	310.8	0.52
		76.5	195.3	118.0	-83.8	279.1	0.45
	ⁱ Pr	102.2	206.1	142.6	-41.9	248.0	0.49
		108.2	190.3	164.3	-29.9	220.2	0.76
Se							
	Ph	98.6	235.0	139.3	-78.6	313.6	0.39
		101.8	249.3	153.9	-97.8	347.1	0.45
	ⁱ Pr a	129.8	245.8	170.2	-26.5	272.3	0.44
		130.3	215.2	192.8	-17.1	232.3	0.81
	ⁱ Pr b	129.9	243.4	170.9	-24.6	268.0	0.46
		130.5	225.2	184.4	-18.3	243.5	0.66

Table B1 Theoretical phosphorus chemical shift tensors for $HN(R_2PE)_2$ (E = O, S, Se; R = Ph, ⁱPr).

R	δ _{iso} ppm	δ ₁₁ ppm	δ ₂₂ ppm	δ ₃₃ ppm	Ω ppm	κ
Ph	-201	-30	-248	-325	295	-0.48
	-115	97	-133	-331	408	-0.13
ⁱ Pr a	-495	-140	-609	-735	595	-0.58
	-436	-222	-512	-575	353	-0.64
ⁱ Pr b	-518	-307	-608	-638	331	-0.82
	-465	-265	-528	-603	337	-0.55

Table B2 Theoretical selenium chemical shift tensors for $HN(R_2PSe)_2$ (R = Ph, ⁱPr).

Appendix C

\mathbf{M}^{II}	δ _{iso}	δ_{11}	δ22	δ_{33}
	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm
Zn	98.7	137.8	103.1	55.3
	97.3	136.3	99.2	56.3
	95.3	132.6	97.3	56.0
	95.2	131.5	98.1	55.9
Cd	100.5	140.2	100.1	61.4
	96.8	137.7	94.3	58.6
	93.2	135.4	86.0	58.2
	88.6	128.3	89.0	48.4
Hg	96.5	138.3	90.9	60.2
Ũ	93.0	132.2	94.2	52,4
	92,4	131.0	91.0	55.1
	91.8	131.1	88.6	55.7

Table C1 Theoretical phosphorus chemical shift tensors for $M[N(^{i}Pr_2PSe)_2]_2$ (M = Zn, Cd, Hg).

Table C2	Theoretical	selenium	chemical	shift tensors	for M[N(ⁱ Pr ₂ PSe) ₂]	$_2$ (M = Zn,	Cd,
Hg).								

M ^{II}	δ _{iso} ppm	δ ₁₁ ppm	δ ₂₂ ppm	δ ₃₃ ppm
7	201	120		5//
Zn	-291	138	-444	-300
	-292	136	-434	-5/8
	-292	153	-445	-584
	-293	135	-443	-572
Cd	-320	161	-424	-696
	-337	153	-452	-712
	-341	133	-455	-702
	-348	117	-460	-703
Hg	-235	183	-375	-515
e	-243	183	-372	-540
	-246	188	-393	-535
	-249	175	-393	-530

Table C3 Theoretical	cadmium ar	nd mercury	magnetic	shielding	tensors	for
$M[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2} (M =$	Cd, Hg).					

М ^п	σ _{iso} ppm	σ ₁₁ ppm	σ ₂₂ ppm	σ ₃₃ ppm
Cd	2809	2798	2801	2828
Hg	5463	5417	5438	5534

M ^{II}	δ_{iso}	δ_{11}	δ_{22}	δ ₃₃
	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm
Zn				
Expt	0	20.3	5.7	-25.9
	0	20.4	6.6	-27.0
	0	24.0	5.7	-29.7
	0	22.1	5.9	-27.9
Calc	0	39.1	4.4	-43.4
-	0	39.0	1.9	-41.0
	0	37.3	2.0	-39.3
	0	36.3	2.9	-39.3
Cd				
Expt	0	20.3	4.4	24.7
	0	20.8	4.7	-25.4
	0	22.2	3.9	-26.2
	0	21.9	5.0	-26.9
Calc	0	39.7	-0.4	-39.1
	0	40.9	-2.5	-38.2
	0	42.2	-7.2	-35.0
	0	39.7	0.4	-40.2
Hg				
Expt	0	21.2	4.1	-25.2
	0	21.2	4.1	-25.3
	0	23.5	3.3	-26.9
	0	22.6	4.9	-27.6
Calc	0	41.8	-5.6	-36.3
	0	39.2	1.2	-40.6
	0	38.6	-1.4	-37.3
	0	39.3	-3.2	-36.1
•				

Table C4 Traceless phosphorus chemical shift tensors for $M[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = Zn, Cd, Hg).

M ^{II}	δ_{iso}	δ_{11}	δ_{22}	δ_{33}
	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm
Zn				
Expt	0	420	-174	-247
	0	420	-151	-269
	0	403	-155	-247
	0	439	-159	-279
Calc	0	429	-153	-275
	0	428	-142	-286
	0	445	-153	-292
	0	428	-150	-279
Cd	^	120	114	224
Expt	0	438	-114	-324
	0	436	-98	-338
	0	444	-101	-344
	0	444	-101	-344
Calc	0	481	-104	-376
	0	490	-115	-375
	0	474	-114	-361
	0	465	-112	-355
Hg				
Expt	0	405	-122	-283
-	0	407	-118	-290
	0	404	-121	-284
	0	426	-130	-295
Calc	0	418	-140	-280
	0	426	-129	-297
	0	434	-147	-289
	0	474	-144	-281

Table C5 Traceless selenium chemical shift tensors for $M[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = Zn, Cd, Hg).

M ⁱⁱ	δ_{iso}	δ_{11}	δ_{22}	δ_{33}
	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm
Cd				
Expt	0	54	34	-89
Calc	0	11	8	-19
Hg				
Expt	0	296	226	-522
Calc	0	46	25	-71

Table C6 Traceless cadmium and mercury chemical shift tensors for $M[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = Cd, Hg).
Appendix D

M ^{II}	σ _{iso} ppm	σ ₁₁ ppm	σ ₂₂ ppm	σ ₃₃ ppm	Ω ppm
Pd					
SR	222	178	218	269	91
	210	143	228	258	115
SO	261	239	246	299	60
	244	203	252	278	75
Pt					
SR	233	189	234	278	89
	217	151	247	253	102
SO	277	248	269	315	67
	255	220	255	291	71
Se					
SR	214	167	217	257	90
	210	170	209	252	82
SO	273	239	265	313	74
	270	240	266	305	65
Te					
SR	239	202	240	275	73
	237	194	239	278	84
SO	275	249	268	307	58
	273	243	264	313	70

Table D1 Theoretical phosphorus shielding tensors for $M[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = Pd, Pt; Se, Te).

M ^{II}	σ_{iso}	σ_{i1}	σ_{22}	σ_{33}	Ω	
	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	
Pd						
SR	1526	1140	1468	1968	828	
	1534	1187	1602	1812	625	
SO	1698	1331	1606	2159	828	
	1716	1395	1767	1985	590	
Pt						
SR	1607	1250	1540	2032	782	
	1604	1265	1631	1916	651	
SO	1986	1467	1717	2174	707	
	1793	1487	1815	2077	590	
Se						
SR	1404	1031	1395	1788	757	
	1471	1026	1450	1937	911	
SO	1628	1254	1665	1965	711	
	1688	1265	1712	2086	821	
Те						
SR	1597	1409	1557	1824	415	
	1657	1352	1676	1942	590	
SO	1763	1542	1751	1996	454	
	1825	1517	1866	2092	575	

Table D2 Theoretical selenium shielding tensors for the anion of $M[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = Pd, Pt; Se, Te).

M ^{II}	σ _{iso}	σ ₁₁ ppm	σ ₂₂ ppm	σ ₃₃ ppm	Ω ppm	κ
Pd						
SR	498	-1336	1309	1521	2837	-0.86
SO	1004	-909	1842	2079	2988	-0.84
Pt						
SR	3097	1726	3692	3872	2146	-0.83
SO	5700	3941	6453	6705	2764	-0.82
Se						
SR	1289	1065	1338	1463	398	-0.37
so	1378	1307	1353	1475	168	0.45
Te						
SR	2063	1554	2255	2382	828	-0.69
SO	2567	2127	2342	3234	1107	0.61

Table D3 Theoretical shielding tensors for nucleus M of $M[N(^{i}Pr_{2}PSe)_{2}]_{2}$ (M = ^{105}Pd , ^{195}Pt ; ^{77}Se , ^{125}Te).

Appendix E

Prior to the use of ADF for the computation of the selenium chemical shift tensors investigated in this thesis, Gaussian98 was employed in calculating the corresponding nuclear magnetic shielding tensors for many of the compounds studied in Chapter 4. Restricted Hartree-Fock, hybrid DFT utilizing the B3LYP exchange-correlation functional, and Møller-Plesset second order perturbation theory calculations were performed in order to assess the importance of electron correlation to the computations. Basis sets ranging from 6-31G to 6-311++G(3df, 2pd) were employed to provide an estimate on the minimum number of basis functions required to eliminate the effects of an incomplete basis set. The results of the above calculations were consistent with previous studies in their ability to reproduce isotropic selenium chemical shifts,¹⁻¹³ and led to the pursuit of accurate reproduction of the principal components, δ_{ii} , of the selenium chemical shift tensors in general, and the importance of relativistic effects on the computations carried out using ZORA DFT in Chapter 4.

While the theoretical basis for many of the calculations subsequently performed was being identified, synthetic approaches to the production of substituted diphenyl diselenides, Ar_2Se_2 , were being pursued. Initial attempts proceeded through an aryl selenocyanate, ArSeCN, intermediate that was subsequently oxidized to the Ar_2Se_2 . The ArSeCN species were arrived at via the diazotization reaction between KSeCN and the substituted aniline, $ArNH_2$,¹⁴ and subsequent oxidation was achieved via literature procedures.¹⁵ However, efforts at obtaining pure *ortho-*, *meta-*, and *para-*substituted XPh₂Se₂ (X = F, Cl, Br, NO₂) were unsuccessful. An alternate approach to Ar_2Se_2

304

species via the aryl selenol, ArSeH, was then attempted. The aryl selenols were synthesized via a modified Grignard reaction involving aryl bromides, magnesium turnings, and elemental selenium. While some halo-substituted diphenyl diselenides were prepared in this manner, the vast majority remained as liquids or oils thwarting the goal of characterizing the orientation dependent NMR interaction tensors via solid-state ⁷⁷Se NMR.

The pursuit of the NMR interaction tensors involving selenium was the focus of my graduate research; however, there were a couple of additional projects that I was involved with that did not involve selenium. Mathew Willans and I collaborated on an introductory project involving the study of triphenyl-Group 14 chlorides, Ph₃XCl (X = C, Si, Sn, Pb), via solid-state ¹³C, ²⁹Si, ^{117/119}Sn, and ²⁰⁷Pb NMR spectroscopy and ZORA DFT computations. This project introduced me to the concept of residual dipolar coupling between quadrupolar and spin-½ nuclei. A manuscript on this research entitled *An NMR and Relativistic DFT Study of Spin-Spin Coupling in Solid Triphenyl Group-14 Chlorides* was published in Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics.¹⁶ The role I provided in this project involved performing some of the solid-state ¹³C, ²⁹Si, ^{117/119}Sn, and ²⁰⁷Pb NMR spectroscopy, simulation of a number of the experimental spectra, calculation of the corresponding nuclear magnetic shielding and indirect spin-spin coupling tensors, and assistance with the preparation of the manuscript.

When I came to the University of Alberta, I brought samples of the intermediate formation of the molecular sieve AlPO₄-18 from my honours research project with me from Dr. Huang's lab at the University of Western Ontario. The purpose was to calcine the samples I had prepared, and flow hyperpolarized xenon through the microporous

material from which their porous nature could be investigated by ¹²⁹Xe NMR. The project gave me experience in the operation of a hyperpolarizer and the manipulation of gases in the preparation of sealed samples. The article, *Formation of Porous Aluminophosphate Frameworks Monitored by Hyperpolarized* ¹²⁹Xe NMR Spectroscopy was published in Chemistry of Materials.¹⁷ The research role I provided in this project involved preparation and calcination of the samples, preparation of sealed xenon samples, acquisition of hyperpolarized and thermally polarized ¹²⁹Xe NMR spectra, and assistance with the preparation of the published article.

References

(1) Sefzik, T. H.; Turco, D.; Iuliucci, R. J.; Facelli, J. C. J. Phys. Chem. A 2005, 109, 1180-1187.

(2) Yates, J. R.; Pickard, C. J.; Payne, M. C.; Mauri, F. J. Chem. Phys. 2003, 118, 5746-5753.

(3) Bayse, C. A. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2005, 1, 1119-1127.

(4) Magyarfalvi, G.; Pulay, P. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1994, 225, 280-284.

(5) Bühl, M.; Gauss, J.; Stanton, J. F. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1995, 241, 248-252.

(6) Bühl, M.; Thiel, W.; Fleischer, U.; Kutzelnigg, W. J. Phys. Chem. 1995, 99, 4000-4007.

(7) Schreckenbach, G.; Ruiz-Morales, Y.; Ziegler, T. J. Chem. Phys. **1996**, 104, 8605-8612.

(8) Nakanishi, W.; Hayashi, S. Chem. Lett. 1998, 523-524.

(9) Bayse, C. A. Inorg. Chem. 2004, 43, 1208-1210.

(10) Bernard, G. M.; Eichele, K.; Wu, G.; Kirby, C. W.; Wasylishen, R. E. Can. J. Chem. 2000, 78, 614-625.

(11) Chesnut, D. B. Chem. Phys. 2004, 305, 237-241.

(12) Tattershall, B. W.; Sandham, E. L. J. Chem. Soc.-Dalton Trans. 2001, 1834-1840.

(13) Wilson, P. J. Mol. Phys. 2001, 99, 363-367.

(14) Schmid, G. H.; Garratt, D. G. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 4169-4172.

(15) Krief, A.; Dumont, W.; Delmotte, C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 2000, 39, 1669-1672.

(16) Willans, M. J.; Demko, B. A.; Wasylishen, R. E. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2006, 8, 2733-2743.

(17) Sears, D. N.; Demko, B. A.; Ooms, K. J.; Wasylishen, R. E.; Huang, Y. Chem. Mat. 2005, 17, 5481-5488.