



NAME OF AUTHOR NOM DE L'AUTEUR JACQUERLINE MARGARET ANDERSON

TITLE OF THESIS TITRE DE LA THÈSE A ROAD MAP STUDY BASED ON THE 1974 OFFICIAL ALBERTA ROAD MAP

UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

DEGREE FOR WHICH THE THESIS WAS PRESENTED / GRADUÉ POUR LEQUEL CETTE THÈSE FUT PRÉSENTÉE MASTER OF SCIENCE

YEAR THIS DEGREE CONFERRED / ANNÉE D'OBTENTION DE CE GRADUÉ 1975

NAME OF SUPERVISOR NOM DU DIRECTEUR DE THÈSE J. J. KLAWE

Permission is hereby granted to the NATIONAL LIBRARY OF CANADA to microfilm this thesis and to lend or sell copies of the film.

L'autorisation est, par la présente, accordée à la BIBLIOTHÈQUE NATIONALE DU CANADA de microfilmer cette thèse et de prêter ou de vendre des exemplaires de film.

The Author reserves other publication rights, and neither the thesis nor extensive extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without the author's written permission.

L'auteur se réserve les autres droits de publication et ni la thèse ni de longs extraits de celle-ci ne doivent être imprimés ou autrement reproduits sans l'autorisation écrite de l'auteur.

DATED DATE 21 August 75 SIGNED SIGNÉ J. M. Anderson

PERMANENT ADDRESS RÉSIDENCE L'INÉ Dept. of Geography
Concordia University
7142 Sherbrooke Street West
Montreal
Quebec

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

A ROAD MAP STUDY

BASED ON THE 1974 OFFICIAL ALBERTA ROAD MAP

by



JACQUELINE MARGARET ANDERSON

A THESIS

SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES AND RESEARCH IN
PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE
OF MASTER OF SCIENCE

DEPARTMENT OF GEOGRAPHY

EDMONTON, ALBERTA

FALL, 1975

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA
FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES AND RESEARCH

The undersigned certify that they have read, and recommend to the Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research, for acceptance, a thesis entitled A ROAD MAP STUDY BASED ON THE 1974 OFFICIAL ALBERTA ROAD MAP submitted by JACQUELINE MARGARET ANDERSON in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science.

William A. ...
SUPERVISOR
[Signature]

Date *1 August* 1975

ABSTRACT

Within cartography the realization of the need to understand the whole process of map communication is a relatively recent development. Many of the problems associated with map production have been studied but very little information is available on map usage. This study examines a small but significant aspect of map usage, the map user's information requirements. Road maps were selected as the subject of study because, although widely used by the general public, very little is known about their use.

Most of the study is concerned with the 1974 Official Alberta Road Map. The history and development of this map is examined since 1928. This provides background for the informational content and the design of the current map. Data on the current use of road maps were gathered by a questionnaire survey. Specific information on the use of the 1974 Official Alberta Road Map together with general information on each respondent's demographic background, motoring habits, and familiarity with maps was collected.

The most important results of the data analysis is that the 1974 road map seems to be used primarily for road information. Respondents tended to agree on what additional information was desired on this map. However, there were significant differences of opinion between Albertans and

visitors to the province, concerning the importance of the current map information. On the basis of these findings recommendations for the improvement of the 1974 road map are discussed.

Together with these survey findings and a brief examination of the information content and design of 80 other comparable road maps, suggestions are made for the improvement of road maps in general. Several major conclusions are drawn. Among these are, that a decision must be made with regard to the priority of the information presented on a road map, and that much of the tourist oriented information currently found on road maps can be presented more successfully elsewhere.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my great appreciation to the many people whose efforts made this thesis a reality. Unfortunately it is not possible here to acknowledge each person individually. I am indebted to Professor J. J. Klama, my thesis supervisor, for his patient guidance and encouragement over the last two years. Special thanks also to Dr. D. B. Johnson for his aid and encouragement. Professor M. Jungkand's assistance as the external examiner is also gratefully acknowledged.

My appreciation is extended to Travel Alberta for their financial assistance in the preparation, distribution, and analysis of the questionnaire. Particular thanks to Mrs. M. Póly for her constant encouragement and Mrs. J. Póly for her invaluable assistance in the organization of the questionnaire distribution. Mr. H. Boyd of the Department of Highway Planning Research made many helpful suggestions and was particularly helpful in assisting me with questionnaire construction. The Official Alberta Road Map.

Without the support of the major oil companies and the individual gas station licensees, the successful distribution of the questionnaire to visitors of Alberta would have been difficult. I am therefore grateful to all these people.

The financial support from the Geography Department, and the help given by departmental staff in the production and printing of the questionnaire, computer programming, and reproduction of the plates and figures should also be recognized.

Last, but not least, I would like to thank all the respondents to my questionnaire. Without their cooperation in providing basic data, this thesis would not have been possible.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	IV
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	VI
LIST OF TABLES	XII
LIST OF FIGURES	XIV
LIST OF PLATES	XV

CHAPTER

I INTRODUCTION	1
MAP COMMUNICATION	1
ROAD MAPS	3
AIMS OF THE RESEARCH	4
RESEARCH TECHNIQUES	6
LITERATURE REVIEW	6
STUDY OF AVAILABLE ROAD MAPS	7
LETTERS FROM MAP USERS 1945-1974	7
QUESTIONNAIRES	8
ORGANIZATION OF THE THESIS	10
II MAP USER RESEARCH	11
PROBLEM OF MAP USER RESEARCH	11
METHODS FOR OBTAINING MAP USERS' OPINIONS	13
AN INVESTIGATION FOR COMMONLY	14
INSTALLED, DESIGNED MAP-USERS AND MAP PRODUCTION	15
SURVEYS OF MAP USERS	16
ROLE OF THE MAP USER IN INFLUENCING	
THE CARTOGRAPHIC PRODUCT	18
RESEARCH INTO THE USES OF ROAD MAPS	19
SUMMARY	28
FOOTNOTES	29
III THE HISTORY AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE OFFICIAL ALBERTA ROAD MAP	30
HISTORY AND PRODUCTION OF THE ROAD MAP	32
FORMAT, MAP SCALE, AND METHOD OF FOLDING	36

Period of Production 1924-1960	58
Period of Production 1961-1966	54
Period of Production 1967 to Present	56
MAP INFORMATION CONTENT OF THE ROAD MAP	54
Physiography	58
Settlement Information	60
Transport Information	61
Tourist Information	65
Miscellaneous Information	66
Reference Location System	66
PRESENTATION OF THE MAP INFORMATION CONTENT	67
Color	67
Physiography	70
Settlement Information	71
Administrative Names	73
Transport Information	73
Tourist Information	76
Legend	76
Reference Location System	79
MAP USER COMPLAINTS	80
SUMMARY	81
FOOTNOTES	84
IV THE QUESTIONNAIRE DESIGN, DISTRIBUTION, AND METHOD OF ANALYSIS	85
RESEARCH METHOD	85
THE QUESTIONNAIRE	86
Production	86
Pilot Surveys	87
Design	90
Comments	90
QUESTIONNAIRE DISTRIBUTION	93
ALBERTA (Sample Formulation A)	93
ALBERTA (Sample Formulation AT)	94
YAMICOCA (Sample Formulation B)	95
QUESTIONNAIRE RESPONSE	99
QUESTIONNAIRE ANALYSIS	103
SUMMARY	105
V ANALYSIS OF DATA FROM THE 1974 OFFICIAL ALBERTA MAP USER SURVEY	106
CHARACTERISTICS OF RESPONDENTS	107
Demographic Characteristics	107
General Motoring Habits	116
Familiarity with Maps	120
USE OF THE OFFICIAL ALBERTA ROAD MAP	128

Map Preference	128
Non Use of 1974 Map	130
Use of 1974 Map	131
Prediction of Map Use	132
General Information on Use of 1974 Map	134
Use of Alberta Travel Guide	134
ASSESSMENT OF THE 1974 ROAD MAP	136
General Assessment of the Map	136
Assessment of Information Content	136
Mileage Information	143
Legend	143
RESPONDENTS COMMENTS ON THE 1974 ROAD MAP	145
Road Map	145
Vacation Planner	147
CITY INDEX	147
SUMMARY	148

VI A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF SOME ROAD MAPS AVAILABLE IN NORTH AMERICA	150
---	-----

FORMAT, MAP SCALE AND FOLDINGS	151
Format	151
Map Scale	151
Foldings	153
INFORMATION CONTENT AND PRESENTATION	154
PHΥΓΕΙΟΓΡΑΦΪΑ	154
ΤΕΜΠΕΡΟΤΗΤΑΙΟΝ	156
ΤΟΥΤΑΙΕ ΙΔΙΟΤΗΤΑΙΟΝ	164
ΑΔΑΜΑΙΜΕΚΑΤΑΙΟΝ ΒΟΜΒΟΔΑΙΟΝ	166
ΟΙΜΑΚ ΙΔΙΟΤΗΤΑΙΟΝ	167
ΣΟΛΟΜΕ	171
SUMMARY	172

VII CONCLUSIONS	174
-----------------------	-----

1974 OFFICIAL ALBERTA ROAD MAP	174
RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ROAD MAPS IN GENERAL	185
MAP-USER RESEARCH	187
FOOTNOTES	189

BIBLIOGRAPHY	190
--------------------	-----

A	MINUTES FROM I.C.A. COMMISSION V 197.	196
B	1974 OFFICIAL ALBERTA ROAD MAP. FOR LOCATION SEE BACK POCKET.	198
C	ROAD CATEGORIES PRESENTED ON THE OFFICIAL ALBERTA ROAD MAP, 1964-1974.	199
D	1973 OFFICIAL ALBERTA ROAD MAP. FOR LOCATION SEE BACK POCKET.	200
E	QUESTIONS IN THE 1974 OFFICIAL ALBERTA MAP USER SURVEY	203
F	QUESTIONNAIRE DISTRIBUTED TO ALBERTANS (POPULATION A). FOR LOCATION SEE BACK POCKET.	208
G	QUESTIONNAIRE DISTRIBUTED TO VISITORS TO ALBERTA (POPULATION B). FOR LOCATION SEE BACK POCKET.	209
H	FOLLOW UP QUESTIONNAIRE DISTRIBUTED TO ALBERTANS (POPULATION A). FOR LOCATION SEE BACK POCKET.	210
I	QUESTIONNAIRE DISTRIBUTED TO ALBERTANS (POPULATION A1). FOR LOCATION SEE BACK POCKET.	211
J	LOCATION OF QUESTIONS IN APPENDIX K ON THE ACTUAL QUESTIONNAIRES (APPENDICES F, G, H, I)	212
K	LETTER OF INTRODUCTION SENT TO ALBERTANS (POPULATION A)	214
L	LETTER OF INTRODUCTION SENT WITH THE FOLLOW UP QUESTIONNAIRE TO ALBERTANS (POPULATION A)	216
M	LETTER OF INTRODUCTION SENT TO ALBERTANS (POPULATION A1)	218
N	POST CARD REMINDER SENT TO ALBERTANS (POPULATION A1)	220
O	MAPS SELECTED FOR THE GENERAL ANALYSIS OF ROAD MAPS	222

LIST OF TABLES.

Table	Description	Page
3-1	Years when Official Alberta Road Maps were Issued, and Producing Authority	35
3-2	Map Scale and Format of Official Alberta Road Map, 1924-1974	40
3-3	Page 1 from booklet Accompanying 1924 Official Alberta Road Map	43
3-4	Information Content of Official Alberta Road Map, 1924-1974	59
3-5	Colour of Information Content on Official Alberta Road Map, 1924-1974	60
3-6	Some Type Characteristics of Official Alberta Road Map, 1924-1974	69
3-7	Users' Complaints from Letters Received, 1945-1974	82
4-1	Types of Questions	91
4-2	Classification of Questions According to Information Content	92
4-3	Number of Questionnaires Returned	100
4-4	Reasons for Discrepancies between Number of Questionnaires Returned and Number Analyzed	101
4-5	Questionnaire Data Measurement	104
5-1	Duration of Visits Stayed in Alberta	105
5-2	Percentage of Respondents who Used Selected Travel Aids in a 12 Month Period	124
5-3	Respondents Satisfaction (Percent) with Information Content of Selected Travel Aids	126
5-4	Percentage of Respondents who Used: An Official Alberta Road Map; 1973 and 1974 Map	129

5-5	Respondents' Preferences Between 1973 and 1974 Official Alberta Road Maps	139
5-6	Importance of Purposes for which 1974 Official Alberta Road Map was used	141
5-7	Importance of Selected Information Symbolized on 1974 Official Alberta Road Map	148
5-8	Ranking of Selected Information Symbolized on 1974 Official Alberta Road Map	140
5-9	Importance of Including Additional Specified Information on Official Alberta Road Map	142
5-10	Importance of Itinerary Information on 1974 Official Alberta Road Map	144
6-1	Number of Road Categories Symbolized on Selected Road Maps	158
6-2	Columns used to show 3 most important road categories on Selected Maps	160
6-3	Number of Settlement Classes, According to Size of Population, Designated on Selected Maps	166
6-4	Number of Additional Items of Information Included on Selected Maps	170

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
3-1	NUMBER OF OFFICIAL ALBERTA ROAD MAPS PRODUCED, 1956-1974	36
3-2	FOLDING METHOD USED FOR OFFICIAL ALBERTA ROAD MAP, 1956-1974	39
4-1	DISTRIBUTION OF VISITOR QUESTIONNAIRES	97
4-2	QUESTIONNAIRE RESPONSE RATE	102
5-1	SEX OF RESPONDENT	108
5-2	AGE OF RESPONDENT	109
5-3	EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT	112
5-4	ORIGIN OF VISITORS TO ALBERTA	114
5-5	NUMBER OF MOTOR VEHICLES OWNED BY RESPONDENT	117
5-6	REASON FOR MILEAGE TRAVELLED OUTSIDE ALBERTA DURING A 12 MONTH PERIOD	119
5-7	MAP READING EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT	121
5-8	DAILY USE OF 1974 OFFICIAL ALBERTA ROAD MAP	135

LIST OF PLATES

Plate	DESCRIPTION	Page
301	Taken from the 1954 map	43
302	Taken from the 1952 map	46
303	Taken from the 1940 map	49
304	Taken from the 1946 map	51
305	Taken from the 1966 map	53
306	Taken from the 1967 map	55

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

"Cartography, derived from the needs of Man's life, is meant to be to his advantage. Therefore both the making and utilization of maps, which have been closely interconnected from the very beginning of their joint history, should be of equal interest to the cartographer." (Kolarow, 1909, p. 47)

Cartography has been defined as "the art, science and technology of making maps together with their study as scientific documents and works of art" (International Cartographic Association Dictionary, 1973, p. 1). The concepts of maps as a means of visual communication, especially early internationalism, comparison and analysis of spatial data, is illustrated by the use of maps as a wide variety of descriptions. The very nature of geographic data has made maps a particularly important tool for the geographer.

MAP COMMUNICATION

The concepts of a map may be simple or complex. A simple map presents the spatial distribution of a single phenomenon, whereas a complex map shows the relationships between a number of spatial phenomena. Maps also differ in

scale, format, availability, price, and so on. However, all maps have two major phases: production and use. Since these two processes do not occur simultaneously, it is not surprising that they have as a rule been investigated separately. An investigation of the available cartographic literature reveals that very little research has been conducted into the usage of maps. Instead, most cartographic research has been directed towards the problems relevant to their creation and production.

Only since 1968 has there been a recognition of the need to understand the whole of the cartographic communication process. During the fourth technical conference of the International Cartographic Association (I.C.A.) in New Delhi, it was recommended that a working group should be organized to consider "the communication of cartographic information" (Kolasny, 1977, p. 65):

"If the cartographer wants to create a map which will have a maximum effect he should not only make an effort to objectively interpret and represent the projected reality, but he must at the same time and with the same concern consider the conditions of the map users, their needs, interests, abilities, skills, personal characteristics, etc." (Kolasny, 1977, p. 65).

This quotation demonstrates that just as the map

creation and production is a complex procedure, so there are also many facets to map usage. A statement of the need for research on map users is included in the aims of the I.C.A. Commission V. "Cartographic Communication", established in 1972 (Appendix A).

ROAD MAPS

A road map is a special purpose map. It has been defined by the author as "a thematic map showing the conditions of transport by road, with an emphasis on related additional features such as road classification and mileage distances". Other elements useful to orientation are also generally included; e.g., hydrography, settlements, railways, and so on.

The known existence of road maps can be traced from the famous Roman road map, Tabula Peutingeriana (500 A.D.). However, it was not until the early twentieth century, with the arrival of the motor car, that road maps began to appear with some abundance. Today in the developed countries the ownership of a motor vehicle is the rule rather than the exception. This factor, coupled with increased leisure time, has created an increased demand for road maps.

Dependence on the car for transport, by the general

public, is peculiarly characteristic of the North American way of life. This is reflected in the fact that each Canadian province, and many states of the United States of America (U.S.A.), produce official road maps which are issued to the public free of charge. In addition, numerous other road maps are published for oil companies, and motoring organizations by private mapping companies. Road atlases are also readily available to the general public.

AIMS OF THE RESEARCH

Each year the Official Alberta Road Map is produced by the Department of Highways Mapping Branch in conjunction with Travel Alberta. This map is available free of charge to the public. Over 750,000 maps were printed in 1974, but nothing is known about the characteristics of the map users and their information requirements. Part of this study was therefore undertaken to provide such information about the users of the 1974 map (Appendix B). The major objectives of the study were to:

1. Find out the general characteristics (demographic, motoring habits, familiarity with maps) of road map users, particularly those who have used the 1974 Official Alberta Road Map.
2. Determine and evaluate the major purpose(s) for which

the 1974 map was used.

3. Analyze the users' assessments concerning the design and information content of the 1974 map.
4. Propose improvements to the official Alberta Road Map based on the information obtained from the study.
5. Make suggestions for the general improvement of road maps based on the findings of the 1974 Official Alberta Road Map Survey and the general analysis of 80 other official provincial and state road maps.

The writer realizes that this study deals with only a very small element of map usage, the information requirements of the user. It does not consider the ability of the map maker to use the map information. However this study is considered by the writer as a useful starting point. There is a major difference between a map which fails to communicate the information contained, and a map which fails to communicate because the right kind of information is not there. Logically, the definition of the user and his information requirements should come first, and the designing of the map to satisfy these parameters should come second.

The research approach taken was mainly exploratory, cartographic, and empirical. No other approach was possible because little other relevant map user research is

available. Nevertheless, this approach seems to have significant practical value. One should be able to apply the findings obtained from the Alberta survey to areas which, though geographically different, have a similar socio-economic situation. The principles should remain the same, although the features presented on the road map may be different. For example, roads would always be shown, but the number of road classes might vary from area to area.

RESEARCH TECHNIQUES

Literature Review

The major material reviewed in the literature available on paratour research, emphasis has been placed on several areas of this literature. The first area concerns the different attitudes of researchers to paratour studies. Available research techniques are the second area of study. This is followed by detailed accounts of the literature available on road-map map surveys.

Reference is also made to literature on map design, where it is relevant to the comments on design which emerge from the results of the study.

Study of Available Road Maps

Two studies of road maps were made. The first was concerned with the history and development of the Official Alberta Road Map. Very little documented information is available on this map. Thus the knowledge about method of production, information content and methods of information presentation was obtained through casual study of copies of the road map for every year the map was produced, and interviews with people involved in the map's production.

The second study involved a comparison of other official Canadian road maps, oil company maps of Alberta and other official state road maps. The features studied include format, map scale, method of coloring, information content, and information presentation.

Letters from Map Users 1945-1974

Since 1945 letters from users of the Official Alberta Road Map have been filed by the Department of Highways. Such letters might seem a good source of opinion; however, a brief examination of these letters reveals some problems encountered when using them to assess the map user's

opinions. (See Chapter III).

Questionnaire

A questionnaire was the major technique used for the purposes of this thesis. This questionnaire was justified as a tool of investigation because there seemed to be no other way of objectively obtaining the required information. No statistics existed; that is, there was neither qualitative nor quantitative information on users' opinions or map characteristics available on the scale proposed.

The questionnaire on the 1974 Official Alberta Road Map covered the following:

1. The characteristics of the map users (age, sex, educational background, mobility, and familiarity with maps in general).
2. The purposes for which the map was used.
3. The adequacy of the map's overall design and information content.

Initially it was proposed that the questionnaire would be composed of two parts. The first part was to be a short postcard questionnaire. This was to contain questions on age, address (visitor or Albertan), possession and use of Official Alberta Road Maps, and expected use of the 1974

road map (if possessed). It was then proposed to follow this questionnaire with a longer, more detailed one concerning the actual use of the 1974 map.

Problems relating to the identification of the population and the distribution of the questionnaire forced a modification of this approach. Eventually it was decided to distribute the questionnaires to three different sample populations. These were:

1. Population A. Albertans who were known to have obtained copies of the 1974 official Alberta road map. This population provided information on the use of the map by Albertans.

2. Population A1. A random sample of Albertans in general. This sub-sample from this population provided the "ability" to make a statement concerning the correspondence of Population A.

3. Population B. People who were known to be visitors to the province of Alberta. As the road map is primarily produced to attract visitors to the province it was necessary to sample this population.

Since the questionnaire forms are an important part of

the study it is treated in some detail in the relevant chapter (Chapter IV).

ORGANIZATION OF THE THESIS

The thesis is organized into seven chapters. Chapter I identifies the purpose of the investigation and provides necessary background information. Chapter II reviews the literature on methods available for map usage research and the studies that have been conducted into road map usage. Chapter III follows with a description of the history and development of the Official Alberta Road Map since its first issue in 1924. Chapter IV considers the compilation, production, pilot testing, distribution, and evaluation phases of the questionnaire used to obtain the opinions of the 1974 road map users. Chapter V contains the analysis of the questionnaire responses. Chapter VI investigates briefly the format, scale, symbols, design, map content, and information presentation of some of the other road maps available to the general public within North America. Finally, in Chapter VII, conclusions from all these studies are drawn, and recommendations for improvement of the Official Alberta Road Map and road maps in general are made.

CHAPTER II

MAP USER RESEARCH

"...there is a known market for all kinds of cartographic material. But although approximate figures are available there is surprisingly little information on the number and types of different map categories people possess, what maps are used for and what opinions people have about them." (Kilby, 1970, p. 31)

PROBLEM OF MAP USER RESEARCH

Information on map user research forms a small part of the available cartographic literature. Perhaps one reason for the lack of literature is that the ability to utilize maps has been regarded as a natural power than a common possession. Another reason could be the complacent nature of cartographers' perceptions and opinions concerning what the user requires from a map and what information the user is capable of collecting.

"There prevails the false assumption that the user will simply learn to work with any map which a cartographer makes." (Kilby, 1969, p. 47)

It is also probable that publisher studies have not been conducted because the map producer believes that users do

not actually know what they want. The following statement, in which the Director General of the Ordnance Survey (United Kingdom (U.K.)) summarizes his findings on "the public", illustrates this point:

"It (the public) is completely satisfied but complains bitterly. It knows exactly what it wants but is not sure of its requirements. It regards us as a most efficient organization but feels that we are completely incompetent. It feels that our products are vital but wonders why we bother to make them. It thinks that our maps are excellent value for the money but they ought not to be so expensive. It knows nothing about the science of map reading but is always ready to advise a department which obviously does not know it's own mind and of course it always looks at the junction of some streets!" (MILLER, 1959, p. 150).

From such a paradoxical summary it might easily be argued that the needs of the map user should be regarded as an unambiguous part of map communication. However, mapping organizations must know whether or not the maps they produce are answering these "obvious" needs (MORRIS and KILBY, 1969).

Most large producers of maps fall into one of two groups. They are either commercial map producers or government mapping agencies. It has been stated that commercial map producers can judge from their sales whether the maps they produce answer the user's needs (MORRIS and

Kirby, 1969). This hypothesis should be questioned. Fullard (1965) considered the question of atlas sales as an indication of the success of the product. He concluded there were not a valid index, because sales seem to be greatly influenced by factors not related to the actual design and content of the atlas; for example, the efficiency of the sales organization, or the quality of the binding. A further argument against the importance of sales statistics is that the value of a map can only be assessed by its purchaser after he/she has had the opportunity to use it.

Even if map sales were a reliable indication of the success of the commercial product, they still could not be used as an index of satisfaction for many of the map products available, since many governmental maps have a monopoly of the market. These are also maps which are essential, such as numerous road maps. Therefore, measures or indicators of these map products at least must be obtained by other methods. Several advantages as well as disadvantages and deficiencies of these methods exist.

METHODS AVAILABLE FOR OBTAINING MAP USERS' OPINIONS

The methods available have been well documented by McDermott and Kirby 1969 in their article Survey Methods on the Use of Maps and Atlases. They describe the methods under

three general headings, as indicated below.

1. An invitation to the user to inform the map publisher of comments, criticisms or suggestions concerning the publication. (McGrath and Kirby, 1969, p. 133)

Within this approach two different methods can be identified. The first is illustrated by the practice of the Canadian Hydrographic Service. They attempted to find out the views of users of particular hydrographic charts of the Trent-Severn Waterway. A card requesting comments was enclosed with each map sold. However, in the example quoted (Chart 202B), only 2.3% returns were received (Haines, 1970).

Some maps contain, in the marginal information, a "note" requesting the map user to inform the map publisher of errors or omissions. These "notes" are invariably associated with topographic maps.

Another system is available in various other map users in the form of marginal notes or cards (Kilmer, 1970), such as the approach known only a limited number of the map users by the user makes of the comments. This user is associated with a special comment card. The marginal classification of a road. There is no involvement of the map user in the "note" marginal request of the map's marginal information comment card. The number of road classes which should be shown.

Sometimes map users volunteer their opinions without invitation. However, the examination of the letters received by Alberta's Department of Highways, in connection with the official Alberta Road Map, reveals some of the limitations of this approach (see Chapter III).

Meetings Between Map Users and Map Producers

Within North America, meetings of this nature have taken the form of sporadic conferences. These conferences, which have been held in both Canada and the U.S.A., have been predominately concerned with the map user and map requirements of government departments as opposed to the public.

In the U.K. the Ordnance Survey has a long standing Liaison Committee. These meet annually. Some committees bring together representatives of various levels of government. The title committee ("Map Users") represents users from non-governmental organizations. This committee presents to the Ordnance Survey the opinions of teachers, professional, and recreation bodies, as well as other users.

Sometimes a special meeting of map producers and map users is organized. The meeting held at the Royal Aircraft Establishment (Farnborough, England) in October 1967 is one

such example. Here representatives from government and commercial bodies met to examine aviators' requirements for aeronautical charts and other forms of map display.

A similar meeting was held by the U.S. (Departments of the Army and Navy) in Washington, D.C., between November 18-20 in 1969. The justifications for this meeting included the fact that between 1958-1962, 82 aircraft had been destroyed and 122 people killed because of navigational disorientation; and comments from pilots that, "if the chart presents the information that is the most useful to the pilot it hardly matters how badly it is portrayed" (McGrath, 1971, p. 79).

1. SURVEYS OF MAP USERS

McGrath and Kirby (1969, p. 133) distinguish three categories of harmonic surveys:

1. "Those undertaken by the responsible mapping agency, civilian or military. Such surveys of military users have been designed to cover a wide range of products at varying scales, or specific maps and map substitutes."

2. Those undertaken by an independent organization or

behalf of a mapping survey.

2. Those undertaken by private investigators. (The present study of the 1974 Official Alberta Road Map illustrates this category.)

Although all map user surveys can be easily classified into one of these three general categories, a problem often arises when an attempt is made to describe the purpose of a particular survey. This is generally associated with defining the characteristics of the population surveyed.

In an attempt to alleviate this problem, McGrath and Kirby (1969) state that the objectives of any survey can be defined in terms of the "heterogeneity" or "homogeneity" of its interests. Using this terminology, a survey of users of any topographic map would be classified as having a homogeneous interest. That is, the survey is designed to supply information only on this one type of mapping. A survey of users employing different types of maps would be described as one having heterogeneous interests. If the existing surveys are classified using these terms it can be concluded that the majority are homogeneous, and have been conducted by non-commercial producers to test their products (primarily topographic maps). In addition, within the homogeneous surveys, the users surveyed have been

particularly specified, e.g., geographers, map librarians, etc. (Klanc, 1970).

THE ROLE OF THE MAP USER IN INFLUENCING THE CARTOGRAPHIC PRODUCT

Two views can be distinguished with reference to the role of the map user in influencing the cartographic product. The first view is that the user should be consulted by a survey and his requests summarized and implemented within the existing cartographic constraints. The survey may refer to an existing map product (as in the case of this study of the 1974 Official Alberta Road Map) or to potential users of an anticipated map product (as in McGrath, 1970). This view may be termed the deductive approach.

The second view is the inductive approach. In this approach the opinions of the map user are sought only after one or several experimental maps have been produced. This approach is favoured by some researchers (Keates, 1970 and Harrison, 1966), because they argue that the map user is often not aware of the possibilities and limitations existing for that product.

RESEARCH INTO THE USERS OF ROAD MAPS

"Over 50 different versions of road maps and atlases have been available during the past few years to drivers in Great Britain, but very little direct information exists about the way they are used or the information that drivers require on them to find their way about." (Sheppard and Adams, 1972, p. 105).

Although made in reference to Great Britain, this statement is certainly applicable to North America as well. The writer's correspondence in December of 1973 with all the highway departments in Canada and the U.S.A. revealed that no user surveys of official road maps had ever been made.

Several surveys however, have been conducted by private marketing agencies for some of the large commercial firms producing road maps. Detailed results of these surveys are not available, although it is known that a survey for Rand McNally (date unknown) produced general comments such as "users thought atlases 'dull'" (Rand McNally, 1974). In the early 1960's two surveys were conducted in the U.S.A. for Esso. These surveys, conducted primarily by phone with some in-person interviews, found that "their maps were preferred in general over those of the nearest competitor" (General Drafting, 1973).

From these surveys it is evident that there has been no very detailed examination of road-map users. Nevertheless a number of firm general assumptions about road map users are current. For example, it is generally believed that few road-map users ever read the legend (Morrison, 1966).

Questions relating to the kinds of road information required have appeared in several map user surveys (McGrath, 1968; McGrath, 1970 a.). There have, however, been very few surveys specifically concerned with the detailed use of particular road maps. Also, those which do exist have been carried out in Great Britain. Thus caution must be used in applying the findings to North America. For example, there are many differences in geography, kinds of maps available, and map reading education between Great Britain and Alberta.

Two recent surveys in Great Britain have revealed general information about road maps and road-map users. Kirby's survey (1970) was concerned with the possession and use of selected maps and atlases by members of certain societies. From his results he concluded that the most important "leisure use" of atlases was "route planning and motoring". But he also found that the road atlases, containing coloured maps at topographic scales, served many other functions. With regard to the information content of road maps, he found that they appeared to lack "landmark

information" and "specialist information" required by the drivers. These findings support the predictions of Morrison (1966) and the conclusions of Astley (1969).

The 1970 market research conducted on The Changing Profile of the Map User confirmed that motoring was the principle factor in map usage in Britain (Drewitt, 1973). Of the people surveyed, 58% listed motoring as an outdoor activity. Eighteen percent of the non-map users also stated that motoring was an outdoor activity in which they participated. It was also predicted that, due to the present trend toward increased interest in outdoor recreation and travelling, the importance of road maps will continue to grow.

The earliest specific survey on road maps in Great Britain was made in 1963 by the Consumers' Association. A similar survey was repeated by them in 1971. The 1963 survey investigated 20 road maps and 23 road atlases. An attempt was made to discover, for both long and short journeys, the informativeness, legibility, and folding convenience of these maps. Both surveys were subjective. For example, in the 1963 survey, a total of six people judged the maps and atlases. Three were experienced motorists, two were geographers, and one was a typographer. Their opinions were assessed by means of a detailed questionnaire followed by

field tests of their use of the map products in 1,500 miles of road tests. In their conclusions the three motorists stated that they were well served by the available road maps. The typographer stated that, "geography apart, the maps were graphically amateur and mostly low grade when compared with other types of graphic work" (Consumers' Association, 1963, p. 202). The geographers concluded that there had been little improvement to motoring maps since the Michelin Maps of the 1920's.

Twenty nine maps and 10 atlases were tested in the 1971 survey. Similar techniques were used; that is, a panel of experts (8), a detailed questionnaire, and field tests. The panel found that on many route-planning maps (maps with a maximum scale of 1 inch to 6 miles (1:500,000)) there was insufficient contrast between the different road classes. They also concluded that touring maps contain a lot of useful information, but were generally difficult to read. Atlas maps were found to be clearer than the individual sheet maps published by the same firm. In addition, some maps were found to be significantly more legible for night driving or for colour-blind people.

A more objective investigation was carried out again in Britain, by Astley (1969). This study was designed to find out what types of road maps were used; the reasons for

preferences between maps; and what kinds of information the user wanted from his maps. Three hundred people were interviewed in cafeterias at a motorway (M1) service station. Forty percent of the respondents were truck drivers, and the majority of the other 60% were professional drivers (travelling salesmen, etc.).

Concerning the type of road map favoured, Ability found a strong preference for folded maps over road atlases. The most frequent reasons given for this were the low cost of folded maps and the continuity offered by the large area of the sheet. In addition, the survey showed that nearly half of the respondents used a marked route guide of some description, the majority marking their own road maps.

The map users indicated that an increase in the kind of information shown on the map would be useful. The features desired included ZHOUM service stations, eating places, and public toilets. Other map features were marked as undesirable by many respondents. Among these were rivers, railways, churches, and ancient monuments. Many map users also desired some indication of the "trafficking" of roads, say, whether a specific road is liable to congestion, flooding and so on. This finding supported the prediction of specialized road maps made by Morrison (1966).

In the investigation undertaken by Sheppard and Adams

(1972), the survey included an experiment involving map reading tasks. The maps used, [produced by Phillips (Shell Motoring Maps) and the Ordnance Survey,] were at a scale of 1/4 inch to 1 mile (1:253,400). This survey of "DRIVERS' ORIENTATIONS ON MAPS FOR ROUTE FINDING" was part of a larger survey undertaken to determine the effects of publicity about drinking and driving. The sample randomly selected was 120 male owners of vehicles classified as "private" driving within a 10-mile radius of Reading.

The questions relating to the use of road maps confirmed all previous research that most drivers expect to need a road map at some time. Similarly, the survey supported MOKKELSON'S (1966) assumption that the general standard of map reading in Great Britain is high.

In the choice of route finding, the route selected was influenced by the research conditions expected. It is, however, interesting to note the importance placed on other factors such as clarity for identifying particular points of a route.

The differences in the presentation of relief, road information, and names on the maps employed for the tests, were reflected in the drivers' map preferences. The Phillips map had been specially designed for the motorist, with relief represented only by spot heights, and with more

emphasis placed on the road and names information. By contrast, the Ordnance Survey map placed a greater emphasis on relief, which was indicated by spot heights, hypsometric tints, and hill shading.

Although the survey found that users' preferences for maps appeared to depend somewhat on their familiarity with a particular map, conclusions on drivers' requirements in general were made. The survey showed that 57% of the total population wanted some indication of relief. Nevertheless, the majority of the respondents preferred the Phillips map because it was easier to read. Ninety-one percent of those preferring the Ordnance Survey map said this was because they wanted relief to be shown in some detail.

The majority of respondents indicated amongst other things a desire that every small village with a name should be included. This illustrates the cartographer's mythology, that is, the common tendency for people to say they want everything without realizing the implications (Ogilvie, 1971).

All the previously cited research on road-map user surveys has been deductive in its methods. Morrison (1966, 1970 & 1974), on the other hand, has used the inductive approach to this problem. He believes that there are often many factors involved in choosing a "route" which the

available map information does not allow the user to consider.

Morrison (1966) recognizes that the user of a road map may require information on where roads exist; what types of traffic can, and may use them; what is the best route, and what is meant by the "best"; and how to follow the specific route chosen. With these points in mind, Morrison's article (PRINCIPLES OF ROAD CLASSIFICATION FOR ROAD MAPS) considers over thirty possible road classification criteria. Among these are journey cost, journey time, and route parallelity. Unfortunately, many of the criteria considered could not be presented on a road map because of the difficulty in obtaining the data. In any case, he concluded that journey time, although it is not the only factor that drivers consider, is probably the main factor in selecting a route.

Based on this observation, experimental maps of road traffic speed were designed for a very specific population (a British business man with an above-average mathematical ability). These maps are significantly different from the conventional road map (Morrison, 1970 p.). Since road speed maps have a single purpose, it is relatively easy to devise tests for their efficiency. In testing these maps, Morrison (1974) concluded that they gave results superior to conventional road maps in every combination of circumstances.

where the minimum-time route was sought. If used by motorists in general, they would presumably have resulted in a significant saving of total travel time. Although Morrison concluded (when considering scale and cost of production) that the most favorable scale would be 1:250,000 (1 inch to nearly 20 miles), it is doubtful whether such maps would be applicable to many areas of Canada. Due to the large geographic areas involved, there is often only one route between centers.

FOR RESEARCH PROJECTS are currently being conducted concerning road-way maps. In the United States, the Federal Highway Administration and most Virginia Department of Highways are presently promoting a "travel" project to determine the kinds of errors made by motorists and the types of problems encountered by the motorists while planning their travel and while actually on the road. Several questions on the questionnaire associated with this project relate to the delay of road conditions and to the participation which is required both before and during travel. No delay, however, no results of this research are available.

ESPECIALLY, no details are available on the subject of road conditions. For information, however, provided by Dr. K. M. ...

SUMMARY

Several suggestions have been made by the writer to account for the lack of research into map users. The examination of the techniques that have been used by other researchers to obtain map users' opinions has illuminated some of the problems which face a researcher in this field. Similarly, the detailed description of the user-roadmap user surveys which have been completed should demonstrate how little is really known of the particular users' personal characteristics and information requirements. In addition, it has been shown that what little is known applies primarily to Great Britain and not North America.

FOOTNOTES

1 "Map Use Research. Final Report of Map Use Research Section, Office of Programs Development." Washington, D.C.: U.S. Geological Survey, Topographic Division. November 1960. pp. iii and 45

2 For example, J. Skope, "Investigations of user requirements pertinent to cartographics. Map scales required for tactical use." Washington, D.C.: Army Map Service, User Requirements Project No. 24. Final Interim Report. May 1959. pp. 11 and 13, with Annexures

3 Questionnaires were used in the evaluation of the following products:

- (i) Pictoline Tomb Plan as a substitute for the conventional Tomb Plan. Directorate of Military Survey, Ministry of Defence London.
- (ii) Low Level Piloting Chart. Mapping and Charting Establishment, Canadian Forces, Ottawa.
- (iii) Joint Operations Graphic, 1:250,000, Series 1501 and 1501 A1c. NATO.

4 For example, a study was conducted in 1960 by the Systems Development Corporation, Santa Monica, California, on behalf of the U.S. Geological Survey, mailing the questionnaires circulated to some 3,500 users of Federal, State, County, City and private organizations, and to 1,500 users in the fields of education and recreation.

CHAPTER III

THE HISTORY AND DEVELOPMENT
OF THE OFFICIAL ALBERTA ROAD MAP

"They (road maps) are simple maps to show how to go from here to there, and that's about all they do - they rarely have other kinds of information on them. Sometimes they put on state boundaries that can be confused as highways". (McLure, 1970 p. 51)

"I wish the road map was as simple as he (McLure) described it. I wish we were able to leave off compasses, points of interest, historical points, names and numbers". (Ogilvie, 1970 p. 51)

Despite the paradox illustrated in the above statements, the road map is widely used by a large sector of today's population. This is a very different situation from the one which existed in Western Canada during the 1920's and 1930's. In that period motor vehicle ownership was confined to a relatively small percentage of the population, therefore few road maps were produced. Nowadays it is the minority of the population who do not possess or have access to a motor vehicle. This factor, coupled with the user's desire for accurate up-to-date information in times of very

rapid change, makes the annual revision of road maps a common practice.

The annual production of road maps makes an evolutionary study interesting. This examination is further enhanced by the element of competition involved in road map production. Road maps remain one of the few map products produced by private industry in the largely government dominated field of map making. In addition to revealing the history and development of the transportation network and associated features (e.g., settlement location and growth), an evolutionary approach provides an opportunity both to study the application of different cartographic techniques and to account for numerous aspects of the present edition.

This chapter considers the history and development of the official Alberta Road Map in an attempt to offer an explanation for the current format, map scale, method of folding, information content, symbolization and map design. Such an examination, in addition to revealing and accounting for current content and design, provides information on phenomena previously included and subsequently omitted. It must be remembered that the examination of content in this section reflects the development of the province. Also included in this chapter is a brief examination of user's complaints received prior to September 1974 by the

Department of Highways.

Numerous problems were encountered in obtaining data relevant to the production of the road map. There are no official records. Therefore the information had to be obtained by reference to the original cartographic compilations where available, printed maps and personal communication with people involved in the production of the map.

HISTORY OF THE PRODUCTION OF THE ROAD MAP

ALBERTA'S FIRST official road map was produced in 1924 (Plate 3-7). In the early 1920's several road maps were available to the general public. One of the major map agencies was the Alberta Motor Association. They were responsible for numerous maps each showing a different section of important highways in Alberta e.g., Edmonton to Lloydminster. Other maps available included "Motor Roads to the Rockies", published in 1920, at the scale 1 inch to 18 miles (1:225,720). This map was issued by the Calgary Good Roads Association. It would appear, however, that no map showing the road network of the whole of Alberta, except on an extremely small scale, preceded the official map of 1924.

Table 3-1 shows that the official map was not initially produced annually. In the early years this may be explained by the small number of cars in existence and the few roads suitable for motor travel. During and immediately after the war years, the continued trend of infrequent production stemmed from the problem of obtaining spare parts and rubber tires for motor cars. Only after 1948 was there a map produced annually.

Since then there has been an almost continuous increase in the number of maps printed. Figure 3-1 illustrates the dramatic increase in the numbers printed over the last ten years. In the eight years between 1966 and 1974 the number of official road maps issued has nearly doubled from 450,000 to 754,200. Since 911,600 maps were published in 1975 it would appear that this trend will continue.

Reference to Table 3-1 shows that since the first appearance of the map three distinct periods of production can be identified. The first era is from 1924 to 1960 inclusive. Initially during this period the producer (indicated on the map) was the Department of Public Works. From 1952 however, the Department of Highways appears on the map as the producer. In reality no change in producer occurred. Expansion in the Department of Public Works led to the creation of the section "Highways Construction Branch".

Table 3-1. YEARS WHEN OFFICIAL ALBERTA ROAD MAPS WERE ISSUED, AND PRODUCING AUTHORITY

Year	Producing Authority	
1924	Department of Public Works	Period 1
1930		
1932		
1934		
1938		
1939		
1940		
1942		
1946		
1948		
1949 - 1952	Department of Highways	Period 2
1953 - 1960		
1961 - 1966	Gausha	Period 2
1967 - Present day	Department of Highways Mapping Branch	Period 3

Source: Research Data, 1974.





Figure 3-1. NUMBER OF OFFICIAL ALBERTA ROAD MAPS PRODUCED, 1956-1973



SOURCE: RESENDER DATE 1975

which was given the task of producing the map until 1960. Then the decision was taken to subcontract the production of the map to Gousha. The production of the map by Gousha from 1961 to 1966 can be recognized as the second distinct phase. At that time this commercial firm had a virtual monopoly of road map production in Western North America, publishing road atlases, automobile association material, oil company maps and official state road maps. It was believed that because Gousha produced such a diversity of material used by the motorist, an Alberta Official Road Map designed along similar lines would be advantageous. The motorist would have little problem accepting the content, symbolization, and map design. In addition this map would compare favorably with other road maps available.

1960, semi-annual year in Canada, was the beginning of the third period of production. Then the complete cartographic production of the road map was in the hands of the Department of Highways, Mapping Branch. Several reasons account for this change in policy. Although Gousha had produced the cartographic map image between 1961 and 1966, all the compilation and revision information required by them had been supplied by the Department of Highways in conjunction with other government departments. The revision material supplied was also used by Gousha to update their

other products. Thus the government of Alberta has been paying to have a map produced for which they had been doing a large part of the work. As the Department of Highways Mapping had the personnel and the equipment necessary to carry out all the cartographic work, the production of the provincial official road map was undertaken as a central project.

Each of the three periods of organization exerted its own influence on format, map scale, folding, information content, symbolization and map design.


FORMAT, MAP SCALE, AND METHOD OF FOLDING OF THE ROAD MAP

Logically, when considering the map area only, an examination of these three items should reveal a relationship. A scale change should be accompanied by a change in format dimensions which may or may not produce a change in folding method.

Period of Production 1924-1960

An examination of Figure 3-2, and Table 3-2 shows there were many changes in format, map scale and folding in this period. The same map area and map scale appears on two different formats (1924, 1930). There are also maps which have the same map scale, map area and similar formats but

Figure 3.2 FOLDING METHOD USED FOR OFFICIAL ALBERTA ROAD MAP, 1924-1974



Source: Official Alberta Road Map, 1924-1974

Table 3.2 MAP SCALE AND FORMAT OF OFFICIAL ALBERTA ROAD MAP, 1924-1974

EDITION AND YEAR	FORMAT, SIZE IN CENTIMETERS		MAP SCALE
	Width	Height	
Edition 1	59.5 x 43.25	62.5 x 46.75	1:1,000,000
1924			
1930			1:1,000,000
Edition 2			1:1,500,000
1932-1934			
Edition 3			1:1,400,000
1938			
Edition 4			1:1,500,000
1939			
Edition 5			1:1,500,000
1940			
1941			1:1,500,000
Edition 6			1:1,500,000
1942			
Edition 7			1:1,500,000
1944			
1948-1954			1:1,500,000
Edition 8			1:1,800,000
1955-1956			
1957-1960			1:1,800,000
Edition 9			1:1,700,000
1961-1966			
Edition 10			1:1,500,000
1967-1971			
1972-1974			1:1,500,000
Source: Official Road Map, 1974			

receive different folding treatments (1940, 1941). Therefore, for this period the relationships between these elements are considered in connection with the different map editions printed. The number of editions produced during 1924-1960 can be related to the nature and number of revision corrections which it was necessary to incorporate since minor corrections (such as the reclassification of an existing road, or the inclusion of a new minor road) could be incorporated directly to the map base, the same edition of the map appeared for several successive years. To incorporate a large number of revisions or a major change, such as an increase in the line width of major roads, necessitated the construction of a completely new cartographic base. Thus a new map edition appeared.

The type of map produced was directly related to the cartographic technology available, and the extent to which it was possible to improve the cartographic base. There is also a direct correlation of cartographic improvement on the various maps of the road map series. It would appear that there occurred a major improvement in the cartographic base during the period.

1. 1924-1930 Edition. Although the 1924 and 1930 maps have the same map base (scale 1:250,000) they differ slightly in

format, and folding (Figure 3-2). It is unlikely that the map used for this edition was produced in Alberta. Prior to 1952 Ottawa was responsible for supplying all the maps depicting the province. These were printed in Winnipeg. From an examination of the original drafts it would appear that the scale of the original drafted copy was also that of the printed maps. Evidence supporting this statement is that the maps carry a verbal scale only, and the hand printed lettering does not appear to have been reduced, although the necessary equipment was available at that time.

The differences in format and folding between the two maps may be attributed to the inclusion of additional information. Each map failed to incorporate information important to the user, the motorists. The omission of mileage distances between the settlements is a good example. The 1924 map was revised with a small eight page booklet. This contained the information on mileage in a tabular form together with information relating to gas stations, mail agents and telephone. Page 7 of the booklet has been reproduced as Table 3-2. On the 1950 map these tables (printed) were placed on the reverse side of the map.

No information was contained on the reverse side of the

Table 33. PAGE 1 FROM THE BOOKLET ACCOMPANYING THE 1924 OFFICIAL ALBERTA ROAD MAP

GOVERNMENT OF THE PROVINCE OF ALBERTA
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

THE direction signs are usually painted on telephone poles in the color of the route on a white background. All routes shown in the following detail are painted at each turn in the road and at all road intersections.

In the preparation of the following tables, the population, number of garages, gasoline filling stations and camp sites are only estimated and should not be taken as absolutely correct, but are considered sufficiently accurate for the purpose for which the information is supplied.

First Issue, June, 1924

TABLE NO. 1. CALGARY-EDMONTON: Black Routes

URBAN CENTERS	Population	MILES		GARAGES		GAS STATIONS		CAMP SITES
		Down	Up	Day Service Only	Day and Night Service	Day Service Only	Day and Night Service	
Calgary	64,300	0	207	13	19	5	0	1
Airfile	150	20	187	1	0	2	0	0
Crossfield	275	30	177	2	0	2	0	0
Carstairs	350	42	165	2	0	3	0	0
Delburne	850	50	157	2	0	4	0	1
Okla	1,000	62	145	1	1	0	0	1
(Camp Site)		67	140	0	0	0	0	1
Bowden	200	75	132	1	0	0	0	0
Timbait	1,000	83	124	1	0	0	0	1
Red Deer	2,300	103	101	2	3	0	0	1
Blackfalds	150	113	94	1	0	0	0	0
Lacombe	1,300	120	87	2	1	0	0	0
Ponoka	1,594	138	69	3	0	0	0	1
Hobbema	10	150	57	1	0	1	0	0
Wetaskiwin	2,100	161	46	1	2	0	0	1
Millar	200	172	35	1	0	2	0	0
Leduc	1,000	184	23	2	1	3	0	0
Edmonton	58,820	207	0	25	14	6	9	1

Original Scale 7.5 ins. X 9 ins.

1924 map and therefore it could be folded in any manner desired by the user. The 1924 map which was examined had been folded using the concertina method, to produce eight panels (Figure 3-2). This made the map a comparable size to the booklet, enabling the map to be slipped inside the booklet. Incorporating the tables in addition to "some rules which every motorist should observe" (1930 Map) in an easy and legible manner on the 1930 map dictated the small modification in format dimensions. The direction modification of the concertina fold, exhibited in the 1930 version (Figure 3-2), produced a neat, easily handled booklet.

2. 1932-1934 Edition. The changes in format and map scale exhibited by this edition when compared to the earlier road maps may be explained in part by reference to the map content. In accordance with earlier practices Ottawa probably supplied a base containing the more recent hydrographic and road information available for the whole province. However a decision was made with the province committee in the omission of the northern area, the cut-off point being around the 50°N parallel. Thus a larger scale could be used for the area of Alberta represented, with only a slight increase in format.

The 1932 map was the first to be printed in colour (Plate 3-2). Three colours were used: red, blue and black. This map was probably produced on linen, as were the previous ones. The drafted map was sent to the printer who photographically reduced it. Three negatives were produced. Each negative corresponded to one of the colours of the image after the redundant information had been opaque'd out. From these negatives the plates were made.

The 1932 map was also the first to be issued by a publicity commission. It was distributed in an envelope which carried the inscription, "Includes a brief description of the province and its resources and general information for the tourist" (Reference 1932 Map). In addition to this information on the map there was a mileage table and information on, "Hints on the acquisition of the map". The following statement appears: "May be purchased on main stands, in hotels etc., in the province of Alberta or may be ordered from motor associations, boards of trade and other organizations authorized to handle the same." (Additional information 1932 Map).

The modification in the folding of the 1934 map (decrease in the number of panels) may be accounted for by a modification in content and arrangement of the additional information included (Figure 3-2).



Platb 3-2. Taken from 1932 map

3. 1938 Edition. This new edition was probably necessary because of the difficulty of adequately incorporating in the previous edition completely new information such as the mileage distances between centres. There is, however, no reason for the change in map scale. The slight decrease in the amount of the northern area of the province does not explain the change in map scale, or format dimensions. Once again the complete change in folding may be ascribed to the incorporation of the additional information. City insets appear for the first time, the city insets of Edmonton and Calgary being included.

4. 1939 Edition. A new edition was produced in 1939 which was not in accordance with the pattern of map production in previous years. An examination of all previous editions shows that the same edition provided road maps for several successive years. The production of a new edition may have resulted from the availability of more recent information. There is an increase in the amount of drainage detail presented. Perhaps a more plausible reason may be found in the cartographic techniques used to produce the lettering on the map. On the previous maps, except for the legend of the 1938 map, all the lettering was freehand. On this map however, it would appear that some form of stencil was employed for all but the very large lettering, such as the

province names, which were still done by hand. This map was the first official road map to be issued ^{to} ~~by~~ to the public.

5. 1940/1941 Edition. The creation of another edition in 1940 may again have been influenced by a change in cartographic techniques (Plate 3-3). The 1940 map exhibits the first extensive use of "stick on type". Previously the use of press type had been confined to small areas only e.g., legends. The use of press type and design changes, such as the introduction of an arbitrary index, does not however, explain the differences in map scale and format existing between this and the previous edition.

The differences in format, map scale and folding between the 1940 and 1941 maps may again be assigned to the inclusion of additional information. It appears that the information on the reverse of the 1940 map, even with the inclusion of two more city insets, could be rearranged and reproduced successfully on a smaller format. The reduction of the format in 1941 resulted in the smaller scale map (Table 3-2). Easy access to this additional information may once again be indicated as the deciding factor influencing the method of folding (Figure 3-2).

6. 1942 Edition. Producing another edition identical in



Plate 3-3. Taken from 1940 map

format, map scale folding to the previous one, can be attributed to the inability to incorporate the required revisions to the former edition. When compared to the prior edition, the 1942 map has a greater density of settlement names and local roads. Similarly there is an increase in drainage detail presented. This edition also appeared as a "map issue" (without a date), no revisions having been made.

7. 1946, 1946-1954 Edition. The loss of the cartographic material, in transit from the printers in Calgary, has been stated as the reason for the appearance of a new edition in 1946 (Plate 3-4). There are noticeable similarities between the 1942 and 1946 maps. Therefore it is probable that the 1942 edition provided much of the compilation material for the 1946 map, although more detail, particularly in the U.S.A., was included.

Modifications in the content and arrangement of the additional information caused the changes in format, map scale and folding of the maps produced between 1946-1954. Included in the additional information was an inset of Highway 35, from Williams to Great Slave Lake. The ability to include this information on a smaller format, which was simpler to fold, initiated the changes exhibited by the 1948 and subsequent maps (Figure 3-2, Table 3-2).



Plate 3-4. Taken from 1946 map

The reasons why this edition served as a source of road maps for many years may be explained by several factors. Name collections were easy to make, the names being simply removed and placed elsewhere. New names of the same type face were obtained by simply ordering them from the Monotype Company. During this period only minor revisions were made. Thus there was no reason to produce a new edition.

B. 1954-1966 Edition. (Plate 3-5). A new edition was produced in 1955 to celebrate the 50th anniversary of the province. Many new design aspects were incorporated. The reason why there was a reduction in format, and therefore in map scale can be attributed to the process used for printing the map. It is noticeable that the method of coloring remained unaltered, as did the content and arrangement of the additional information (Figure 3-2). Despite the differences in design between this and the previous edition, there are remarkably little differences in the map information content presented.

It is interesting to note that this edition was produced so that the colour separation of the maps could be prepared by the Department of Printing, and not the printers. Instead of one original drawing being produced on linen, separate maps were produced for each printing




Plate 3.5. Taken from 1960 map

colour. This was done by producing one complete image from which several blue line images were made. These were produced in Ottawa from a base map drafted in Alberta. The blue line images printed on hammer paper were mounted on aluminium sheets 110 cm by 92.5 cm. Each sheet corresponded to one of the printing colours and therefore only the information to be printed in that particular colour was inked in or added. Having the complete image in pale blue enabled a check to be made ensuring no overlap or conflict of information on the different plates. These sheets were produced corresponding to the red, black and blue printing plates. Since yellow, the fourth colour, was used solely for extensions it is probable that the corresponding printing plate was made from a photographically produced mark.

The long use of this edition may again be explained by the fact that no major changes were required. Thus the necessary corrections were made directly to the corresponding blue line images.

REVISION OF PRODUCTION 1967-1968 (FIGURE 3, PLATE 304)

This complete change in format, map scale and colouring can be attributed to a new producer (FIGURE 3-2, PLATE 302). The changes in format however cannot be accounted for by

the increase in map scale. For the first time the index of place names was printed on the same side as the road map.

Few details are available on how the map was produced. It would appear that the map was drafted as colour separated images, which were then reduced to printing size. It would also seem probable that the format was dictated by the printing press, and map folding machinery available. The additional information was then fitted to this format.

It was expected that there would be no alterations in the layout and dimension because the initial cost of production would have to be covered. This is borne out by the evidence on this map.

PLANS OF PASSENGER TRAFFIC IN THE PASSENGER BUS (SECTION 10)

Although the road map scales stated on both the Goumra and Highway Department atlases are identical, the scale of approximately 25 miles is the scale of the Goumra map at 1:1,700,000 (1 inch to 27.8 miles) whereas on the Highway Department map at 1:1,600,000 (1 inch to 24.7 miles). This discrepancy is attributed to account for the differences in format dimensions. An examination of the 1967 map reveals that the scale of the Goumra is increased for the first time since 1940. There is also the possibility of the place names index to

the long axis of the road map. Figure 3-17 and Table 3-1 show that there were format and folding changes in 1972. These were the result of a change in the location of the index, by placing it at the top of the road map and having a concealing fold with only one other fold, easier access was possible to the complete index. Previously it was necessary to open the map to consult the index.

When the Department of Highways Mapping Branch took over the production of the road map they became responsible for all the stages of its preparation, excluding plate making and printing. They introduced procedures, producing all cartographic material at a scale of 1 inch to 20 miles (1:207,000), and thus the final product approximates to a 20% reduction. All the images were produced, colour separated so that corrections could be easily carried out. Each year the essential information is obtained by correspondence with numerous departments, and individual personnel. These people are asked to produce changes they expect to occur, or a situation of a comparison of a highway, within the next year, so that changes can be incorporated into the road map for the following year.

MAP INFORMATION CONTENT OF THE ROAD MAP

Table 3-4 summarizes the content of the 1974 map and indicates the various other phenomena which at some time have made an appearance. A brief examination of this table reveals that the content of the map increased between 1942 and 1949. There was little change until 1967, when Goussha introduced numerous features oriented towards the use of the map by the tourist. Since 1967 however, there has been a movement to limit information to improve the legibility and design of the map.

To facilitate a study of the information content, the content is reviewed under the headings listed in the table.

PHYSIOGRAPHY

1. Height An examination of contour and drainage is revealing. Prior to 1967 contour played a major role. No contour lines were indicated before 1950 when several contour heights were shown. These were somewhat poorly related to the heights of the peak major rivers in the country. Under Goussha, contour and drainage were eliminated completely. This general abandonment of contour was mainly for hill shading. Also contour lines were excluded to include less heights of numerous mountains and major cities. The Department of Hydrography Paris has considerable experience

this information with the exception of the hill shading which was omitted after 1968. Noticeable differences may be discerned between the information given on the Gouzha and Highway maps after 1967. Gouzha gives Calgary an elevation of 5472 (there was no indication of unit of measure), whereas on the Highway map Calgary drops by 32 feet to 5440 feet.

2. Drainage. When compared with relief, the representation of drainage during the period 1949-1960, illustrates the other extremes. Each successive edition portrays a denser network of rivers, lakes, creeks and streams. The drainage network was particularly developed in the northern area of the province where there was an absence of other features. Many of these features were unshaded. In 1967 Gouzha reduced the number of rivers and creeks, and annotated all those remaining. There was, however, no noticeable reduction in the number of lakes. Drainage information was continued to be an important part of the map since 1967, all features remaining being annotated.

Settlement Information

The representation of settlements on the road map illustrates the development of the province. Initially only two classes were shown, city and village. With the

expansion of the population more classes were represented although these were generally not explained in the legend. Settlements classified by population number first appeared in 1961. The classes introduced in 1961 continue to be shown today. From the smallest category, less than 250 people, it could be assumed that all Alberta's settlements qualify for representation. In reality only incorporated settlements (cities, towns, villages, hamlets) are shown, although an exception is made in isolated areas where small centres with a post office exist offering services important to travellers such as a food store, gas pumps.

Transportation Information

Reference to Table 304 shows that in addition to the road data, the map contains information relevant to other forms of transportation.

The road data of the most prominent feature shown on the map is the number of classes (see Appendix C). On the first edition, the legend indicated eight road classes, each with a detailed description of expected quality of the surface under different weather conditions. From 1932 these descriptions disappeared. Between 1932 and 1946 two classes were shown although the exact terms applied to the classes varied over the years. In addition to these classes, roads

on the map were annotated "under construction", "summer road only" etc.

The increase to seven classes in 1948, and eight in 1958 resulted from the ability to apply a more rigid breakdown. This was possible because of road surface improvements, in 1948 district roads were subdivided into "gravelled" and those simply "graded". In 1958 paved roads with four lanes were distinguished from those with two lanes. Throughout the period 1924-1960, accompanying the increase in road classes, there was also the tendency to include on the map as many roads as possible, both inside and outside the province.

Both these trends were reversed in 1967, when six classes were shown and many of the district roads were omitted. Somewhat less classes, however, are being shown with the class of those different symbolization is used to distinguish between major, secondary and district roads.

For the reduction in the number of road classes occurred in 1967, although the number of roads shown remained comparable despite the fact that the maps have six classes, introduced in 1967, appear on the 1974 map, there has been a decrease in the amount of road information shown. An explanation for this may be offered by the decision in 1971

to reclassify all Alberta's roads. From 1972 the road map showed only primary highways, secondary roads and other roads leading to campsites, parks or communities not served by secondary roads. The removal of all other roads resulted in the noticeable differences in the number of roads shown on the 1972 and 1973 maps. (For 1973 map see Appendix B).

Associated with road classes, there should also be a consideration of complementary data. Included in these are mileage distances, and route identification. The importance of location must also receive mention as in the past the location was essential to successful route planning.

Mileage information is presented in these maps on the current edition. What is now classified as "distance route and junctions", has the same type of mileage to appear on the map. Initially however the mileage indicated was only those "distance route junctions". This information has always been given exclusively for major roads. In 1972, this method was superseded by "mileage route distance mileage". On the current road map superseded by this method, are total mileage distance routes in the provincial and major communities and the provincial. The mileage routes of various mileage, "accumulated mileage" appeared in 1955.

The mileage chart is another means of presenting

Tourist Information

A comparison of the contents of the various maps, shows that between 1961 and 1967 the map was dominated by information of prime interest to the tourist. Since then, there has been a move to reduce this information.

Between 1924 and 1967 numerous items of interest to the tourist were included. Few of these remain today. Only the National and Provincial Parks and Provincial Campgrounds can be traced. Other features such as "historical sites" and "summer resorts" appeared for short periods of time.

The "specialized" increase in "tourist orientated information" displayed, occurred in 1967. This took the form of increased campsite information, and the introduction of "routes of interest". The increase in campsite information is well explained (Table 1-4). Several types of "routes of interest" may be categorized. These were: 1) "routes of interest". These were indicated by a line with a wavy line indicating on the map, and the additional information contained detailed descriptions of them. Many of these "routes of interest" appeared as annotations on the map. 2) "Αλυσίδα Μουσείων Κορινθίας, Επισκεπτική Ημερήσια Ομάδα". "Routes of interest" were symbolized, included in the map and indicated by a line with a wavy line.

Since 1967 there has been a period of experimentation and gradual change in the tourist information content of the road map. Reduction of information characterized this period. In 1974 the points of information were transferred to the Vacation Planner, a map which replaced the additional information on the reverse of the road map, and maps in the Alberta Travel Guide. Similarly all symbolized points of interest were removed by 1974. Nearly all the annotated points of interest were removed in 1967. It is interesting to note that several still remain, e.g. ROBERT HOUSE (J-4). Also, in 1972 another annotation "THORNHURST LAKE" appeared. This would seem contrary to the trend of information removal.

PLACENAME INFORMATION

Of these items the indication of non-rail locations, time zones, and location and status of "points of entry" may be regarded as the most useful to the map user. The inclusion of "railway signs" makes people aware of these dangerous areas which are not open to the public.

PLACENAME LOCATION

Various combinations existed in the early years of the road map (Table 3-4). The large small index of places which appeared on the map assumed their locations on the map were



known. Only from 1940 was it possible to locate unknown places, by reference to an alpha numerical index. Over the years there has been a great increase in the number of names appearing in the index. In addition there has also been the inclusion of accurate population figures for many settlements.

PRESENTATION OF THE MAP INFORMATION CONTENT

Two tables have been compiled to show how the major elements of the road map have been portrayed. Table 105 is concerned with the colour of the symbols, whereas Table 106 presents some characteristics of the type employed.

COLOUR

The use of colour has exercised a great influence on the presentation of the information content. There has been variety in both the number and combinations of printing inks employed (Table 105). Initially only solid colours were used, and although a hatched and broken line was used for the 1940 map, this was not experimentally used until after 1954. Various shades of appearance became available in 1955 and 1957. From 1955 to 1960 it was used to describe the boundaries of the National Parks. Some of the most interesting examples for the larger lakes. The use of a half tone dot pattern experimentally made a

direct appearance on the map. From 1967 to 1969 it was used to portray an impression of the general relief.

THEORETICALLY

1. Relief. Other than on the Vacation Planner hill shading has only appeared on the map between 1961 and 1966. On the Goudeau map the hill shading was given. This was transferred to the black plate on the 1967 map. Presenting relief on this manner was discontinued because of the problem associated with the legibility of the other information.

Spot heights and the axial placement of names partially compensate for the lack of hill shading. Before 1967, the top spot heights were in red. After this date, because of the great increase in number of elevations given, the presentation of this information was transferred to the black plate. Upright type was used for the elevations of the peaks and axial type for the mountain elevations. Between 1967 and 1972, this information was transferred to the type of symbols used to indicate settlement names and physical features. Since 1973 all mountain peaks and elevations have been shown by upright type.

2. Boundaries. On the 1964 and 1966 maps an interesting comparison may be made between the representation of the

was used. In reality, as Plates 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, illustrate, upper case lettering dominated the map. Upper and lower case lettering was confined to the smallest class of settlement represented.

Both the number of settlement classes and type face associated with them changed on the 1967 map. A comparison of all Gough's maps in the U.S.A., reveals that these nine categories used were standard. However although the same nine classes have appeared in the legend of the road map since 1967, Alberta still has no settlement which falls into the second class, 50,000-100,000.

The introduction of the nine classes presented a problem of design, since only a limited number of variations in size and weight may be employed before the point symbol begins to dominate the map. Gough overcame this by the introduction of another column, yellow, which provided a background colour for the same three symbols. These symbols could then be arranged, as in the case of the yellow column, exploited more readily to red. It is interesting to note that the colour of the symbols remains as the same legend (black) does not correspond to those appearing on the map (red and black). An explanation for this may be found in an examination of the road symbolization. All settlements along a road which has a black casing are black. Those

settlements along roads without a black casing are red. Until 1972, the Department of Highways Mapping Branch continued to use red and black symbols on the map. The red symbols denoted settlements having hospitals. Since then the use of red has been discontinued, because it was considered that the symbol was difficult to distinguish due to the proximity and amount of red of the roads. Table 3-6 indicates that from 1961, there has been no upper case type used for settlement names.

Administrative Names

The type employed to indicate administrative names has undergone numerous changes. Since the introduction of the map, prior to 1961, the type was contained almost entirely in the black or equivalent colour. Similarly the use of small type predominated. It was used for the administration of the Province and National and Provincial Parks. Since 1961, most of the administrative names have been shown in red and black small type.

Translation Information

1. Roads. Owing to the numerous editions and length of time over which the map has been produced, it is not surprising that road information has received different treatments. On the first edition, where the roads were

represented by parallel lines, the classes were distinguished by the different patterns employed as infill. 1942 saw the introduction of colour and variation in line widths as aids to identification. Before 1955, however, colour remained the major method of road identification. Similarly, solid colour was used to present road information until 1960, when the lowest class was represented by a screened black. Gouha reverted back to the use of solid colours, a trend which was rejected by the Department of Highways, Marriyag Branch.

Until 1961 roads were always represented without a canopy of a different colour, because of the difficulty of identification. Therefore, since its introduction, the use of canopies have been confined to the highest class of roads which only a few have. The general use of a black canopy and solid yellow overprinted with a black screen for the infill, reduces the "noise" which would occur with a lack of identification.

Today a road map has become a normalised map of roads, also made and infill to symbolize the different classes.

Other important information in road transportation must also be mentioned, "Highway markers" along the primary

highways and secondary roads appear on the road map. The symbolization of these route markers on the map follows the designs appearing on the highway road signs. Thus there has been attempt for close correlation between reality and graphic presentation.

Actual mileage distances shown on the map, as mentioned previously can be of three kinds. The first to appear on the map, distances between centers of population, were portrayed in red. From 1955 these were accompanied by accumulated distances in black. In 1967 the use of these had been replaced and was employed for accumulated mileage and black for short distances. Long distance distances, which have only been of significance since 1967, have always been symbolized in red.

Although the symbolization of a ferry was declined to the holder, because of bridge construction, it has appeared on all the road maps. On the 1924 and 1930 maps it was symbolized by a red line symbol. This was replaced on later editions by a small circle containing a cross. A further change occurred when this symbol was replaced by the letter "F". This in turn underwent various size and colour changes. Goussis indicated a ferry by either the letters "FX" or the word "FERRY". This has been standardized since 1970 by the adoption of "FERRY".

2. Railways. The symbolization employed for railways during their period of presentation indicates well how the importance of a feature may change. When first shown in black as a line with short ticks, they tended to dominate the map. On the 1939 map this situation was reversed, the railways being represented by a fine black line. The absence of railways since 1940 on the road map is contrary to the majority of other published maps.

3. Αλλοιότητα. A pictorial symbol has always been used to depict αλλοιότητα. Red has been the dominant colour used although a black symbol was also used by Gomara who distinguished two classes of αλλοιότητα. From 1972 black has been used for the symbol in an attempt to decrease the prominence of this information.

Τοπικά Πληροφορίες

Of all the information portrayed before 1967, the National and Provincial Parks and Provincial Campsites are the most abundant. The preservation of the National Parks have constituted several major researches, although the map of Greece has been modified.

Provincial Parks, because of their relatively small areas have been shown in numerous maps. Never, at least shown

they were represented by an open circle containing an open triangle. In 1946 this was changed to a pictorial symbol, a black tree, when the map offered information on "Provincial Parks offering camping facilities" the tree image was still employed. A campsite image in a light blue circle was used. The Provincial Parks without camping facilities still continued to be represented by the black tree symbol. The large size of these symbols presented a problem of accurate location. Small crosses and dots were introduced in an attempt to overcome this problem.

Gougeon's solution to the problem was more successful. A simplified symbol representing a tree was employed. This symbol was used only for campsites in the National or Provincial Parks, and was only applied if there were camping facilities. All other provincial campsites on the 1947 map were shown by a red triangle. However, since 1962 the column of red triangles has been given. The continued use of this symbol has not met with only one other problem, the large number of campsites which must be represented. Thus in other the problem of positioning them. This was resolved simply, in a reduction of the size of symbol used and secondly, in certain locations the use of a symbol smaller in size than that appearing in the legend.

As Table 3-4 illustrated numerous features of interest

to the tourist have appeared on the map. The symbols used to present these were predominantly pictorial. For example, although the summer resort was initially symbolized by a solid blue triangle for most of its duration it was shown by an umbrella and then a red sun. Historical sites, in addition to being symbolized by a geometric symbol were also symbolized by a crown, and then a castle.

Goussha's presentation of tourist-oriented information was very different. The 35 selected "points of interest" were numbered by a cameo image in a red circle. The introduction of the vacation planner changed this. The vacation planner contained pictorial representations of locations selected by the individual tourist zones. The annotated points of interest, as characteristic of the Goussha map, were in red type. Each label was accompanied by a small red square for location, and labels still appear on the Goussha map for the same reason. The pictorial symbols for the various points of interest, however, were in black type. The Goussha map was published in 1974, and also in 1975.

Legend

The legend as part of the map which of course receives very little attention. The first legends contained only

information relating directly to the roads. From 1932, however, the importance of tourist information began to be recognized. Until 1940 the legend always appeared in the lower left of the map and until 1939 was unboxed. In 1940 the legend was moved to the top of the map. This enabled the detailed presentation of roads in the included areas of British Columbia and the U.S.A.. Accompanying this movement was the introduction of very decorative cartouches surrounding the legend. These were modified by Gouha, and removed when the Highway Department Planning Branch took over production. With the introduction of the whole province on the map, the position of the legend again reverted to the bottom left-hand corner of the map.

It is interesting to note that there has always been information portrayed on the map that has not appeared in the legend. The problem posed is, "What information should be included?" It is commonly agreed that on a road map features such as lakes, rivers etc. should be excluded, but this does not provide an answer to what should be included.

REFERENCE LOCATION SYSTEM

Although an alpha numerical system has been used on the map since 1940 no associated grid lines appeared on the map until 1967. Gouha introduced an index of small areas,

with a grid in red.

No changes, other than the addition of more geographic ticks (black) inside the headline have been made by the Department of Highways Mapping Branch. This was possible because for the first time an accurate polyconic projection was introduced.

MAP USERS COMPLAINTS

Prior to the 1974 survey of 1974, the only recorded source of what users felt about the Alberta Official Road Map was the letters received by the Department of Highways. Many of the letters received, particularly those since 1967, were complimentary. They contained general comments on the map's publication with the road map and the reasons for their publication were often material, generally specific statements relating to the improvement content of the road map was compared to letters of complaints.

An examination reveals that in the 2nd year of 1974, there have been 41 recorded complaints and 145 compliments for additional information. There was a suggestion that Calgary's many roads should appear as one of the roads of importance on the map, a comparison of the road map's

appeared on the 1971 map.

Only three of the 20 complaints came from non-Albertans. Two of these were collected with road classification, the other with an incorrect place-name spelling.

The 41 complaints have been categorized in Table 7. It is interesting to note that the inaccuracy of road information is the major complaint in the first group, whereas the omission of settlement names forms the largest component in the second group.

SUMMARY

Chapter III examined the development of the Official Alberta Road Map from 1880 to 1960. This examination revealed that since 1924 the road map has shown many changes in road type, map scale, method of coloring, information content, and information presentation.

The number and nature of the road map users' complaints showed the inaccuracy of descriptions on the map as the only approach to the study of the road map maker and the user.

Each of the three methods of presentation were characterized by different assumptions. Before 1900 the

Table 3.7. CATEGORIES COMPILED FROM LETTERS RECEIVED,
1965-1974

<u>Accuracy of Information</u>	
Road Information	8
Mileage Information	3
Names	1
Population Information	1
Provincial Park Location	1
Settlement Information	1
<u>Organization of Information</u>	
Settlements	14
Road Information	4
Lake Information	2
Campsites	1
Tourist Information	1
<u>Other</u>	
Inclusion of the whole province	1
Incompatibility of information on map and in guide	3
Problem of presenting road junctions on the map	1
TOTAL	41

Source: Alberta Department of Highways Mapping Branch, 1975.

prominent feature associated with the road map was the numerous editions which appeared. This was the result of the cartographic technology available during the period. Other features included the large number of changes of format, map scale, and method of folding. These have been attributed to the great importance placed on the additional information presented on the reverse of the road map.

The current road map produced in 1967 was the true origin of the official Alberta Road Map as it appears today. This map was characterized by the great amount of information presented. Information directly orientated to the tourist was given the greatest attention.

The features associated with the production of the road map by the Department of Highways, Planning, and Research, the removal of information from the road map to be published in the Alberta Vacation Planner, January 1967, in an attempt to produce a better map, was a direct result of experimentation and modification of the map content and symbology. This was reflected in the 1974 road map, which was a major modification of the 1967 road map, and was a direct result of the maximum amount of information to be presented.

INCLOSURE

FOR COPY WITH G. AMERY, OCTOBER 1971

CHAPTER IV

THE QUESTIONNAIRE DESIGN,
DISTRIBUTION, AND METHOD OF ANALYSIS

In 1974, 1975, and 1976 official Alberta road maps were produced, but it was unknown who used them, for what purpose(s) they were used, and how satisfactory they were. One of the aims of this study was to provide answers to these questions.

RESEARCH METHOD

Chapter 21 illustrated the research techniques available to study the use of maps - i.e., interviews and questionnaires. Of all these methods the questionnaire was chosen as the most suitable for collecting the data needed. Several reasons can be given for this decision.

One of the greatest problems facing the highway map is the large number of municipalities, municipalities, and the large number of maps to be prepared for various purposes, including municipal, provincial, and federal maps. It is difficult to obtain a representative sample of municipalities, and this makes the use of interviews for this purpose very costly. The use of questionnaires, however, makes this method very suitable for this purpose. The number of Alberta municipalities could be estimated from

telephone directories, no calculation could be made regarding the number of visitors to Alberta, because of these unknowns. It was decided that the survey should cover as many people as possible, both Albertans and visitors. A mailed questionnaire for an identified population was therefore selected as the logical research method. This method also provided the most uniform and reliable results within the additional imposed limitations of finance, time, and geographical distances during the execution of the survey. However, every opportunity was taken to increase the present or potential users of the map.

THE QUESTIONNAIRE

ΕΛΛΗΝΙΣΤΑ

The formulation of the questionnaire took three months. One of the principal considerations was that no personal study among travellers should be available to act as a guide. Initially a rough questionnaire was circulated among staff and students of the Geography Department of the University of Alberta for general comments on simplicity and content. A copy of this questionnaire was also given to members of the Travel Agency, the Department of Information, Parliament Buildings and other persons who had had direct experience in questionnaire

production of map-reading studies. The content of the
questionnaires were modified accordingly. Items for colour
blindness and questions relating to the map-viewing
conditions were excluded as impractical. Several other
questions were added at the request of Travel Alberta and
the Department of Highway Mapping Branch. Among these were
a request for information on preference between the 1974
and 1976 road maps (Appendix 1, question 11); use of the
travel guide (Appendix 1, question 20); the importance of
mileage in the use of the map (Appendix 1, question 22); and
who read the map and how it was read (Appendix 1, questions
26, 27).

ΑΑΑΑΑ ΒΒΒΒΒ

These pilot surveys were conducted in the latter half of
the month of questions which were to be found only with
copies of the 1974 road map were received. Thirty-three
questionnaires were distributed and completed at the
Education City Information Bureau on 23 and 24 May 1976. The
majority of these were completed by ΑΑΑΑΑ ΒΒΒΒΒ. One male
subject was also interviewed with the female subject to
the characteristics of the road map (Appendix 1, question 12).
Only one questionnaire was completed by a male subject
ΑΑΑΑΑ ΒΒΒΒΒ. It was decided not to change the

question as its purpose was to see whether users could predict for what purpose they expected to use the 1974 map. therefore, the only modification made to this questionnaire concerned the question relating to the level of education (Appendix E, question 4b). To reduce the number of categories, all classes below grade 12 were combined. The questionnaires in their final, modified form are given here as Appendix F.

The second pilot test was concerned with all those questions relevant to visits to the Province, between July 1 and 10 (1974), 4 questionnaires were handed out. This distribution was planned to coincide with the Calgary Stampede.

Several alternative methods of data collection were tried on Sunday, the 11th, various maps approached in the Calgary area and 400 copies of the questionnaire were distributed. Only two of these maps, completed on the spot, and the remainder of the results approached (a) were given appropriate addresses. Some of the questionnaires were later returned. The alternative questionnaire (2c) was also sent at the same address, in Calgary. Some were returned, some approached. The latter method was also approached in various other areas would not be considered for publication. All other questionnaires were distributed to various locations.

permitted only when the customer ~~is~~ away from the gas pump. The third station, which was visited in the early afternoon, had very few customers. None of these problems were encountered at the fourth station.

Almost one third of the pilot questionnaire returned (60) were completed at the gas stations. From an examination of the attitudes of the people approached during this pilot survey the survey concluded that the majority of people were more willing to consider completing the questionnaire if they had had to stop for a particular reason - i.e., gas. Therefore, it was decided that all subsequent questionnaires for this study should be distributed from gas stations.

On the basis of the reaction experienced to the pilot questionnaire and the survey's personal observations, numerous modifications were made regarding questionnaires and administration. The final questionnaire distributed to the stations is Appendix G.

The three pilot tests were conducted with the following questionnaires for Alabama: the first known to have received a copy of the 1974 local newspaper questionnaire was a data collector as a questionnaire administrator on 20 July 1974. As a result, only a few people were able to complete questionnaires (Appendixes H and I).

RESULTS

Appendix E contains a comprehensive list of all the questions appearing on the various questionnaires. The questions distributed to Albertans and visitors were essentially the same (Appendix D). To reduce bias, all the questions were presented, whenever possible, in the same sequence. The question order was to some extent influenced by the questions relevant to those respondents who had not used the 1974 Official Alberta Road Map. To avoid making the respondents' time and patience, these questions appeared at the beginning of the questionnaires. Similarly, due to the large number of questions, each questionnaire was divided into simple and quick responses. Therefore, most of the questions were closed (Tables 4-7), which in many produced responses that could be easily coded for computer handling.

CONCLUSIONS

As Table 4-2 indicates, many questionnaire items in Appendix E may be classified into one of four categories. The first three categories represent the most common types of questions used in the survey and are the most important. The fourth category, which represents a small number of questions, is the most complex group and is the most difficult to analyze. The use of the 1974 Official

Table 4.1

TYPES OF QUESTIONS

Type	Question Number (Appendix I)
Open	11(11), 16, 19, 21, 33(11), 36, 37, 38
Closed	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11(1), 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 20, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33(1)(1), 34, 35, 37, 39

Source: Research Data, 1974.

Table 4.2 CLASSIFICATION OF QUESTIONS ACCORDING TO INFORMATION CONTENT

Group	Content	Question Number (Appendix 1)
1.	Demographic	13, 14, 15, 37, 38, 39
2.	General Motoring Information	1, 2, 3, 4, 16, 17
3.	Familiarity with Maps	5, 6, 7, 18, 19
4.	General Use of Alberta Road Map	8, 9, 10, 12, 20, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28
5.	Assessment of 1974 Alberta Road Map	11, 21, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36

Source: Research Data, 1974.

Alberta Road Map. All questions relating to a detailed assessment of the actual information contained in the 1974 road map appear in the fifth group.

QUESTIONNAIRE DISTRIBUTION

The major problem related to the distribution of the final version of the questionnaire was that the questions relating to use of the 1974 road map could not be sent out with copies of the 1974 road map. There would probably have been a tendency to complete the questionnaire before the map was used, thus defeating some of the proposed aims of the survey. Therefore, to obtain accurate data two different methods of distribution were necessary, one for Alberta and the other for Manitoba.

1. ALBERTA (Sample Population A)

As the prime target of the survey was those people who actually used the 1974 road map, the bulk of questionnaires was distributed to Alberta who were known to have received a copy of the 1974 road map. One of the most important outlets of Official Alberta Road Maps in Travel Alberta part of the Department of Tourism and Industry. Travel Alberta record the names and addresses of all people who contact them by telephone or letter to request road maps or

1
contact information between 1 June and 15 July 1974, 1,000
short questionnaires (Appendix 1), were distributed by
Travel Alberta with copies of the 1974 road map. The
questions on this questionnaire were related primarily to
the general demographic characteristics of the person
contacted. Each questionnaire was accompanied by the
writer's personally signed letter of introduction (Appendix
A) and a franchised, addressed envelope.

Forty-four percent (54) of these questionnaires were
returned. Every respondent whose questionnaire was received
before September 5 received the follow-up questionnaire
(Appendix B), which related in detail to the actual use of
the 1974 map. As before, each questionnaire was accompanied
by a personally addressed and signed letter of introduction
(Appendix A) and a franchised, addressed envelope.

2. ADDITIONAL SAMPLE POPULATION

The additional population was an initial random sample
of people who were known to have obtained a copy of the 1974
road map. To find out whether Alberta residents who had
the 1974 road map it was considered necessary to approach a
diverse sample population of Alberta. The questionnaires
were mailed to the homes (Appendix 1) selected from
the address list of the 1974 road map as they would be

provided by population "A".

A stratified random sample, based on the area distribution of Alberta's population, was selected for this generalized questionnaire. The number of households in Alberta was estimated from the latest telephone books available. On 9 September 1974, 27 questionnaires were mailed, with each area of Alberta receiving the percentage relevant to its proportion of the estimated households. Within each area the addresses were chosen using random number tables. Again a letter of introduction (Appendix B) together with a stamped, addressed envelope accompanied each questionnaire.

Initially the response was very poor. This was partially due to the large number of questionnaires which were returned unanswered, and many of the responses had boxes marked leaving a forwarding address. Therefore, the postal area of the initial questionnaire was distributed a postal card reminder sheet (Appendix N).

ΑΛΛΗΛΕΓΓΡΑΦΕΣ (Πομπή Κομμολόγιου B)

Αλλήλογραφή είναι η ανταλλαγή επιστολών μεταξύ αλλοδαπών ή άλλων κρατών. Η ανταλλαγή αυτή γίνεται με τη μορφή αλληλογραμμάτων ή άλλων αλληλογραμμάτων. Η ανταλλαγή αυτή γίνεται με τη μορφή αλληλογραμμάτων ή άλλων αλληλογραμμάτων.

the map might not visit the province, or a follow-up might be received long after the visit had been made. The responses might also be inaccurate if the visitors had disposed of the road map. This fear was in fact confirmed by the writer, who observed numerous people disposing of tourist material and road maps when they left the province.

It was felt that many visitors would be more likely to obtain copies of the 1974 road map upon entering the province. These map copies could be obtained from travel information centers, chambers of commerce, and so on. To have sampled visitors at these locations would have introduced bias, because the majority of people would have a 1974 map, but would not have had the opportunity to use it. These problems were overcome by approaching visitors (identified by gas license plates) at gas stations at various of the major thorough routes. The selection for each of the 10 stations selected (Figure 4-1) was that it was the most likely place where people would stop for gas before leaving the province.

Five major oil companies (Shell, Esso, Texaco, Pacific, and Amoco) were approached for permission to distribute questionnaires at their stations in the selected counties. Letters of recommendation from these oil companies were then sent to managers of the major stations in the various

center. In all, eighty-five stations were selected, since it seemed better to visit a large number of stations each for a short duration only, so as to avoid causing any inconvenience.

The questionnaires (650) were distributed between 27 July and 26 August 1974. All questionnaires were distributed personally by the writer, to ensure a minimum amount of bias in the explanation of the purpose of the research, center on the east and west, and north and south boundaries of the province were sampled on alternate days of the week to reduce the bias in the respondent sample. For the same reason, there was also an attempt to ensure that gas stations selling the same brand of gas were not visited at the same time of day on successive days.

Initially it was proposed to distribute a fixed number of questionnaires at each station, partly to avoid inconvenience at any one station, but some stations were always busier than others, and the number of people buying gas fluctuated considerably on the time of day. The writer also selected a significant number on the number of people buying gas. For these reasons, these were unavoidable variations in the length of time a station was visited, and the number of questionnaires distributed at each station.

RESPONSE QUESTIONNAIRE

Prior to conducting the survey the writer was repeatedly warned that a very low questionnaire response rate was to be expected. It was for this reason that so many questionnaires were distributed to the sample populations of "A" and "B". In addition, a careful record was kept of the distribution and return dates of all the questionnaires. In fact, as Table 4-3 shows, the response rates were generally high. For this reason no attempt was made to determine the bias produced by nonrespondents. However, information is available on the nonrespondents of sample population "A" who answered the first three questionnaires, but failed to complete the following questionnaires.

In addition to the high return rates, the majority of questionnaires were fully completed. These were, however, some disadvantages because the number returned and the number used in the analysis were extremely low. For this reason also given in Table 4-4.

An explanation of the failure of response to all the mailed questionnaires should be given. Several factors are given (Table 4-2). Besides the different lengths of the various questionnaires, 50% of all those returned appeared within 14 days. The tendency for visitors to reply more rapidly

Table 4-3. NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES RETURNED

Population	Number Distributed		Number Returned		Number Analyzed	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
A (Part 1)	1294	100	574	44.3	573	44.0
A (Follow up)	547	100	342	62.5	326	59.6
A1	250	100	117	46.8	93	37.0
B	650	100	391	60.0	390	60.0

Source: Questionnaire Survey, 1974.

The discrepancy between these numbers is explained in Table 4-4.


Table 4.4.

REASONS FOR DISCREPANCIES BETWEEN NUMBER OF
QUESTIONNAIRES RETURNED AND NUMBER ANALYSED

Reason	Population A		Population A1	Population B
	Part 1	Follow up		
Sent to relative out of province	1			
Arrived too late for follow up questionnaire	25			
Moved, no forwarding address available		8	18	
Identification removed		6		
Could not answer questionnaire		1		
Lost questionnaire		1		
Never received questionnaire			1	
Not interested			1	
Husband died			1	
Not alive in 25 years			1	
Old age pensioner			1	
Unknown			1	
	26	16	24	

Source: Questionnaire Survey, 1974.

Figure 4 2 QUESTIONNAIRE RESPONSE RATE



than Algerians may in fact be explained by the fact that the visitors' copies could be distributed and mailed back the same day. After the 14th day there was a fall-off in returns. This is fairly gradual for all the populations except "A7". The change in this curve after the 20th day can be attributed to replies received as a result of the following procedure. Ninety percent of all the responses were received within 20 days, obtaining the remaining 10% of the responses varied with the respective sample from a further 70 days (sample population "A7") to 60 days (sample population "A", the responses to the following questionnaire).

QUESTIONNAIRE ANALYSIS

Table 405 illustrates that the majority of the questionnaire provided data on a nominal scale of measurement. Thus the amount of statistical analysis which can be done is limited. For many questionnaire measurements and scores tabulations (contingency tables) are the only methods available. Nevertheless, the frequency and percentage patterns of the data must be compared, and make direct conclusions and hypothesis possible.

When the chi-square test was used, the significance level of 0.05 was taken as the cut off criterion.

Table 4-5. QUESTIONNAIRE DATA MEASUREMENT

Type	Question Number (Appendix 1)
Nominal	1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 39
Ordinal	5
Interval	14
Ratio	6, 16, 19, 23, 38

SOURCE: Research Data, 1974.

significance levels lower than that brought a failure to reject the null hypothesis of independence between the two variables being related.

SUMMARY

The concern of this chapter has been with detailed aspects of the questionnaire used in the survey. Problems encountered in its production and design have been stated, with reasons for the policies adopted. There has been a brief introduction to the nature and content of the questions included in the survey. The various methods of distribution have been examined, and some mention has been made of the limitations of the data collected and the methods of analysis adopted.

CHAPTER V

ANALYSIS OF THE DATA FROM THE MAP USER SURVEY

FROM THE RESULTS OF THE SURVEY IT IS POSSIBLE TO DETERMINE DIFFERENT GROUPS OF RESPONDENTS WITHIN THE ALBERTAN AND VISITOR POPULATIONS.

THREE GROUPS OF RESPONDENTS CAN BE DETERMINED WITHIN THE 571 RESPONDENTS OF POPULATION A, ALBERTANS WHO WERE KNOWN TO HAVE RECEIVED A COPY OF THE 1974 OFFICIAL ALBERTA ROAD MAP. THESE THREE GROUPS ARE: THE 209 WHO STATED THAT THEY HAD USED THE 1974 MAP, THE 37 WHO STATED THEY DID NOT USE THIS MAP, AND THE 297 WHO DID NOT ANSWER TO THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS.

WITHIN POPULATION A, ALBERTANS WHO WERE QUESTIONED SEPARATELY ABOUT POSSESSION OF A ROAD MAP OR MAPS BOOKS (TOTAL 27 RESPONDENTS), TWO GROUPS CAN BE DETERMINED. THE 27 RESPONDENTS WHO STATED THAT THEY HAD USED THE 1974 MAP WERE IN ONE GROUP, AND THE 60 RESPONDENTS WHO STATED THEY HAD NOT USED THIS MAP CONSTITUTE THE OTHER GROUP.

TWO SIMILAR GROUPS CAN BE DETERMINED WITHIN THE RESPONDENTS (270) OF POPULATION B, VISITORS TO THE PROVINCE OF ALBERTA. THE FIRST GROUP (USERS) IS COMPOSED OF 106 PEOPLE, AND THE SECOND GROUP (NON-USERS) OF 204.


CHARACTERISTICS OF RESPONDENTS

This section considers the respondents' demographic background, motoring habits, and familiarity with maps in general.


1. SEX: There are significant differences among the three populations (Figure 5-1). Whereas the respondents in A were nearly 50% male and 50% female, in both A1 and B the majority of the respondents were male. For B this can be attributed to the method of questionnaire distribution. Many of the vehicles approached were comprised of family groups with the husband driving. Similarly, the sampling method used for population A1 accounts for the very high percentage of male respondents (87%). Since the man is generally regarded as the head of the household, the telephone is normally listed under his name. For each population the differences in sex distribution were noted as of the 1974 map were nearly insignificant as they reflected the same characteristics as the total population.

2. AGE: Figure 5-2 presents the frequency distribution for the major groups of respondents. There are similarities and differences within each population, but between the

Figure 5-2 AGE OF RESPONDENT



SOURCE: QUESTIONNAIRE SURVEY, 1974



populations. A comparison of the total populations of A, A1, and B reveals that with the exception of A1, respondents from all age groups are represented. The absence of a response within the age group 0-18 years, in A1 can be attributed to the nature of the sampling used for this population.


The significant age group in each of A, A1 and B is different. In A it is the 30-39 age group while in A1 it is the 40-49 age group. No predominant age group can be distinguished in B, as there are similar numbers of respondents in four age groups (18-24, 30-39, 40-49, 50-59). A distinctive feature of B is the large number of respondents (17%) aged between 18-24 years.

Some age distribution can be made between males and females. In the 1974 total population A the males tend to be over 30, as males predominantly are found in the younger age groups. Within population A1 males predominate in the 30-39 age group. Non-users are associated with the 40-49 age group. In population B the majority of males are between 25 and 49 years of age and non-users are found in the age group 18-24 and among those aged between 39 and 64 years. When considering all users, it is interesting to note the relatively large number of respondents belonging to age groups over 60.

Education. The striking feature of all the populations, when considering the level of education achieved, is the large number of respondents who have completed grade 7, or less (Figure 5-3). More visitors than Albertans had completed some higher education (particularly a university degree). For populations A and B contingency tables revealed that age and the amount of education completed were statistically significant. In A the greatest amount of higher education was received by those in the 30-39 age group. The three age groups in B, 30-39, 40-49, and 50-59, were associated with the completion of the greatest amount of education. Statistically, sex and level of education were also significant for A. Although it appears that similar percentages of both sexes had completed some higher education more women than men had finished their grade 7 education.

Nearly 50% of all males of the 1974 pop had not completed any higher education. Non-users of this pop, however, exhibited the general characteristics of their respective populations. Therefore, although the use of the 1974 road pop by Albertans may be influenced by the completion of some higher education, this does not seem to be the case for visitors.

Figure 5.3 EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT



Santa Clara Questionnaire Survey, 1974

4. Origin of visitors and duration of stay. The origin of visitor respondents are shown in Figure 5-4. Nearly equal numbers of respondents came from Canada and the U.S.A. Within each of the countries, certain provinces and states can be singled out as major contributors. Many of the Canadian visitors were from the adjacent provinces of British Columbia and Saskatchewan. Ontario was the most frequent place of origin in eastern Canada. A similar trend is visible in the origins of visitors from the U.S.A. Montana, Idaho, Washington and Oregon each provided more than 2% of the visitors. Although there were respondents from all but 14 of the American states, the only other states providing responses of over 2% were Illinois, Michigan and California. No statistically significant relationship is evident between the origin of the visitor and his/her use of the 1974 road map.

Some distance time periods can be used to describe the visitors' duration of stay in Alberta (Table 5-7). Although 69.4% of all visitors planned to stay for less than 15 days, the majority (77.9%) remained for less than 8 days. Canadians form the majority of the few visitors who remained for more than 15 days. A comparison of users and non-users of the 1974 map reveals that users tended to remain for longer periods.




Figure 5-4. ORIGIN OF VISITORS TO ALBERTA

Table 5-1. DURATION OF VISITORS' STAY IN ALBERTA

Days	Total Population		Users of 1974 map		Non-Users of 1974 map	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
1-3	156	39.7	29	27.3	127	44.7
4-7	122	31.2	43	40.6	79	27.8
8-14	53	13.5	18	17.0	35	12.3
15+	33	8.5	9	8.5	24	8.5
No Response	26	7.1	7	6.6	19	6.7
	390	100	106	100	284	100

Source: Questionnaire Survey, 1974.


General Motoring Habits

1. DRIVING LICENCE and MOTOR VEHICLES OWNERSHIP. The data collected indicated that over 90% of the Albertan respondents possessed a driving licence. It was assumed that population B had a similar percentage, since the questionnaire was handed specifically to the drivers of the motor vehicles.

The question on motor vehicle ownership revealed that the majority of all respondents owned at least one motor vehicle. A car was the most frequent vehicle owned. There were, however, differences among the three populations in the number of vehicles owned. Although a higher percentage of vehicles owned cars, an F1500 5-5 illustrates a greater number of Albertan owners possessed more than one motor vehicle. These trends were evident among both users and non-users of the 1974 map, because of the large percentage of car ownership in all groups, the question concerned with the remaining of vehicles became insignificant.

2. Membership in a motoring association. Despite the large number of car owners in each population, a minority of respondents belonged to a motoring association (A, 27.0%;

Figure 5-5. NUMBER OF MOTOR VEHICLES OWNED BY RESPONDENT



SOURCE: QUESTIONNAIRE SURVEY, 1974


17, 31.2%; b, 35.4%). For all populations there were no significant differences between users and non-users, with regard to membership in a motoring association.

3. Mileage driven. An analysis of the answers given regarding mileage driven outside of cities in a twelve-month period reveals that, whereas nearly 50% of Albertans drove less than 5,000 miles, only 30% of visitors could be satisfactorily categorized. Visitors dominated the 5-15,000 mile class. All the remaining classes contained similar percentages of Albertans and visitors. The greatest mileage (130,000 miles) was recorded by a truck driver from Saskatchewan. Within all populations the mileage differences between users and non-users of the 1974 map appeared to be insignificant.


Figure 5-6 presents the explanation given by respondents for the mileage travelled outside cities. Overall the relative differences in the percentages between various populations there is a marked hierarchy as the most frequent single reason given is vacation which is followed by business and general travel respectively. However, as Figure 5-6 shows this hierarchy is more prominent for users of the 1974 road map than non-users.




Figure 5.6 REASON FOR MILEAGE TRAVELLED OUTSIDE CITIES DURING A 12 MONTH PERIOD*

Users of 1974 Map



Non-User of 1974 Map



Population A 
 Population A1 
 Population B 


* Excludes category "Vacation and General Travel" (Appendix E, Q.17)

Familiarity with Maps

1. Map-reading education. In each of the populations more than 40% of the respondents had had no map-reading training (Figure 5-7). From the data collected it would appear that school was an important source of map education. However, for most of the Albertan population, who received their education within Alberta, the relevance of school to map-reading training is doubtful. Often the Alberta school curriculum incorporates little more than an awareness of the existence of maps. One striking feature evident in Figure 5-7 is the large contribution to map education made by the armed forces. Once again there are few differences between the characteristics of the total populations and those of the urban and non-urban of the 1974 map.

Age and sex were statistically significant for population A. More women stated they had obtained some map-reading training at school. This probably reflects the level of education they had completed. Similarly for population A it was found that, excluding those respondents under 18 years of age, 30% of each of the other age groups had received no map-reading training. A university training in map reading was found exclusively in the age groups 18-24 and 25-34. Similarly, training in the armed forces was

Figure 5-7. MAP READING EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT



Source: Questionnaire Survey, ST-2

primarily associated with people between the ages of 40-49 years.

Use of Maps. Two different approaches were employed in an attempt to find out how often respondents used maps in a one-month period. Population A was asked to choose one of four ordinal responses (Appendix E, question 5). For populations A1 and B, actual figures were requested because it was felt that this method would provide more meaningful results. Sixty percent of population A described their use of maps as often or very often. Comparing males and females generally, 65% of the respondents who had used the 1974 Alaska map also described their use of maps as often or very often, only 20% of respondents fell into these groups. Unfortunately, the approach adopted for populations A1 and B gave a large number of responses which could not be used. Some of the results obtained were as expected, namely, females had generally used maps more than Alaska males. It also appeared that, in nearly all populations, respondents of the 1974 Alaska map had used maps in general more than males had.

The number of times respondents had used maps was cross-tabulated with the variables of sex, education completed, and marital status. Statistically, maps

reading training appeared to be the only significant variable. For Albertans (Population A) who had used the 1974 Alberta road map the amount of map-reading training seemed definitely associated with the number of times maps were used.

1. Travel aids used. Official provincial/state maps and oil company maps were the most popular travel aids for all populations. Most of the other travel aids mentioned by the questionnaire proved insignificant (Table 5-2).

The number of different travel aids used by the population varied. Visitors appeared to have generally used both more and a greater variety of the travel aids listed than did Albertans. To populations A and B more oil company maps had been used than official provincial or state maps. Other aids favored by visitors were road atlases and motorist-association materials. Alberta preferred motorcycle-association material and topographic maps. The results confirmed what had been expressed of people who used the 1974 road map. That is, they preferred to use official maps. There had, however, been no indication that the use of oil company maps would be comparable to the use of oil company maps by the non-users of the 1974 official Alberta road map.

To most of the users of the 1974 Official Alberta Road Map, the information content of all the travel aids they had used was "satisfactory" (Table 5-3). The map generally considered the most successful was the triptic. This was followed, for most populations, by topographical maps. However, since few respondents stated that they used triptics and topographic maps, the value of these maps as travel aids to the majority of the general public is minimal. When comparing all company and official provincial/state road maps, it would appear that the latter were considered to be the more satisfactory type of map. Similarly, motor association material is ranked above the road atlas by most groups.

To discover exactly which specific travel aids respondents had used, they were asked to give examples. All respondents who had used federal maps gave only one example. The most frequently quoted map by these respondents was the Canada Highway Map. Many respondents gave more than one example of an official provincial/state map. The examples quoted by Albertans would appear to confirm the statements regarding maps (p. 121) about Alberta motorists having always used the map holding examples quoted were Canadian. The "largest" examples tended to reflect the diversity of the population.

respondents using oil company maps rarely used the maps of one particular company. One company, however, supplied an average of 15% of the maps used by all respondents. Three other companies each provided between 5-10% of the other examples given. Similarly, one particular road atlas accounted for 44% of the holdings quoted.

Although requested, the date of publication accompanied few of the examples given by the respondents. Therefore, only a broad generalization can be made from the data collected. It would appear that the travel aids cited were generally less than three years of age or more than seven years old, and the oldest category atlases accounted for the highest percentage.

An examination of the aids used while travelling in Alberta agrees with many of the findings previously mentioned. The official Alberta road map and oil company maps were considered the most important travel aids. Of these, oil company maps were preferred by both populations. A1 and B and were the maps most commonly used by the non-users of the 1974 road map. Motorist association material was the next most commonly quoted travel aid for all respondents. The use of the remaining aids varied according to the different groups of respondents.

Some interesting results arose in response to the question on how much respondents were prepared to pay for a map specially designed for tourism. More visitors than Albertans stated that they would never pay for such a map. In addition the figures given by those visitors prepared to pay were less than those quoted by Albertans. Five dollars was the maximum amount visitors were prepared to pay compared with several Albertans who said they would pay up to ten dollars. An amount between 25¢-\$.75 was the charge favoured by most respondents. These findings, however, should be interpreted with caution, as there is a distinct difference between asking what someone would be prepared to pay for such a map and then charging them that price. A good example of this dilemma is offered by the tourist map of the Hamiltonian National Parks. Although the charge for this map was 50¢, the company responsible could differently in selling it as of course, the method of distribution rather than the published price could have been as follows.

USE OF THE OFFICIAL ALBERTA ROAD MAP

Map Persistence

Table 5-4 indicates that a high percentage of all respondents had used an Alberta road map. As was expected,

Table 5-4. PERCENTAGE OF RESPONDENTS WHO USED AN OFFICIAL ALBERTA ROAD MAP; 1973 AND 1974 MAP

	Population A n=573 (100%)		Population A1 n=390 (100%)		Population B n=93 (100%)	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Used an Alberta Road Map	476	81.1	185	47.4	51	54.8
Used both the 1973 and 1974 maps	364	63.5	17	4.4	25	27.0

Source: Questionnaire Survey, 1974.

Table 5-5. RESPONDENTS' PREFERENCES BETWEEN 1973 AND 1974 OFFICIAL ALBERTA ROAD MAPS

Map Preference	Population A		Population A1		Population B	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
1973	58	15.9	3	12.0	4	23.6
1974	174	47.8	4	16.0	3	17.6
No Preference	126	34.6	16	64.0	9	53.0
No Response	6	1.7	2	8.0	1	5.6
	364	100	25	100	17	100

Source: Questionnaire Survey, 1974.

Albertans, particularly population A, were more familiar with the 1973 and 1974 maps than the visitors. When questioned about their preferences between the 1973 and 1974 maps a large percentage of all respondents stated they had "no preference" (Table 5-5). Where a preference was stated, Albertans preferred the 1974 map and visitors the 1973 map. These preferences were illustrated by various reasons. Albertans favoured the improved design of the 1974 map - the removal of the tourist information to the vacation planner. The visitors tended to prefer the 1973 map because it included city insets.

Non-Use of 1974 Map

Lack of knowledge of the existence of the Alberta map was the most frequent reason quoted by non-users of the map in populations A1 (61.9%) and B (29.8%), although it is somewhat surprising that so many Albertans should be unaware of the existence of the map. The second most frequent reason given by visitors was that they preferred other maps of the province. Oil company maps were preferred because of their easy availability. Several motorists stated they favoured road atlases because they intended to travel long distances quickly. Difficulty in obtaining the Alberta map was given by 21.5% of the visitors as the third most common reason.

After lack of knowledge of the map's existence, sufficient familiarity with the area in which they were travelling was the most frequently stated reason why Albertans did not use the 1974 map.

Use of 1974 Map

"A map of any scale which could be used to choose or follow a route by road, or path has been called a road map, even though this might not be its primary purpose" (Morrison, 1966, p. 17).

Although the types of purposes for which the map was used varied with the different populations, all groups of users stated that the most important use of the map was for road information (Table 2-6). The unimportant order of the other information was tourist information, general reference, and the calculation of mileage. This order was generally followed in the purposes ranked in second and third place by all the populations. However, from the results it would appear that general reference is more important to Albertans than to the visitor, who favoured tourist information after road information. Of course, it could be argued that this observation is insignificant, since there was no definition on the questionnaire as to what was meant by the term "tourist information" and

TABLE 5-6. IMPORTANCE OF PURPOSES FOR WHICH 1974 OFFICIAL ALBERTA ROAD MAP WAS USED.

Purpose	FIRST						Second			Third		
	A	B	C	D	E	F	A	B	C	A	B	C
Read Information	56.7	66.7	66.1	23.7	23.8	23.8	7.4	9.0	4.8			
Tourist Information	18.7	14.8	18.9	25.2	34.3	38.9	24.4	36.4	23.2			
General Reference	12.8	14.8	5.7	31.5	52.3	23.7	47.3	27.3	47.3			
Calculation of Mileages	6.9	3.7	4.7	13.3	4.8	74.8	20.1	17.3	18.3			
Other	9.7	0.0	2.8	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	1.6			
No Response	1.2	3.0	1.8	4.4	4.8	3.2	6.3	0.0	4.8			
	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100			

Source: Questionnaire Survey, 1974.

"general reference". Thus the process of locating campsites might be considered by an Albertan as general reference because he knows of their existence. To a visitor, however, campsite information would probably be interpreted as tourist information.

Prediction of Map Use

Distributing two questionnaires to population A provided an opportunity to see how accurately people could predict the purposes for which they would use the 1974 map. The results showed that the largest percentage of respondents (30.7%) expected to use the map primarily for road information. These people stated later that they had in fact used the map for road information. The statistical significance of these results was confirmed by the fact that those respondents who stated they used the map for road information considered that "road classification" was the most important information on the map. However, due to the largest number of respondents who were familiar with the Alberta road map (Table 5-4), the apparent ability to accurately predict their expected use should be interpreted with caution.

General Information on Use of 1974 Map

Several questions asked for information relevant to the general use of the Official Alberta Road Map.

Figure 5-B indicates that the map was predominantly used, while travelling from 1 to 5 times a day by both Albertans and visitors. As expected, because of the nature of the population, visitors tended to use the map more than Albertans.


The 1974 map was used for route planning, for visitors "vacation" was the most important reason given by Albertans "vacation" and general travel" was listed as the prime reason, followed by "vacation".

For both Albertans and visitors it would seem that the map is used primarily by a percentage of the vehicle which road by the driver. There was a general tendency for the Albertan driver (77.0%) to stop before reading. Although a large percentage of visitors (48.7%) also stated that they stopped to read the map, many (28.2%) glanced at it while driving.

Use of Alberta Travel Guide

Each year Travel Alberta produces an Alberta Travel

Figure 3-8. DAILY USE OF 1972 OFFICIAL ALBERTA ROAD MAP



Number of Times per Day

Source: Questionnaire Survey, 1974

guide. This booklet contains a detailed comprehensive list of information on many items of interest to a visitor. In addition to various points of general tourist information such as lodger information, approved accommodation is listed and campground facilities are tabulated. Numerous maps and descriptions of "towns" are also presented. This travel guide contained much of the additional information which respondents wanted shown on the road map. The results revealed that 69.2% of Albertans (population A) and 45.3% of the visitors used this Travel Guide in conjunction with the 1974 road map.

ASSESSMENT OF THE 1974 ROAD MAP

General Assessment of the Map

Ninety percent of all the respondents who had used the 1974 road map were satisfied with the format, method of coloring, quality of paper, and clarity of type and symbols. However 25% of both Albertans and visitors stated that they wanted a larger map scale. Nobody said they wanted a smaller scale map.

Assessment of Map Information Content

This problem was approached in two ways. In question 37

(Appendix 1), respondents were asked to indicate what information contained in the 1974 road map was important to them. A second part to this question requested the respondents to rank the three most important items they had marked. The second approach adopted was question 30 (Appendix 1). This question contained a list of carefully chosen features not presented on the 1974 road map. Respondents were asked to state whether they felt a need to include them on the road map.

Of the information contained in the map both Albertans and Visitors considered "road classification" the most important (Table 5-6). In addition, as previously stated, the chi-square test revealed that respondents who said that they had used the map primarily for road information listed road classification as the most important map information. These results confirm that the map is used primarily as a road map.

An analysis of the importance of the remaining 1974 road map information revealed differences between Albertans and Visitors (Table 5-7). To Albertans, Federal and Provincial highways were almost as important as road classification information. The significance of city names and lake names probably reflects the importance of business and water routes to Albertans.

Table 1. IMPORTANCE OF SELECTED INFORMATION SYMBOLIZED ON 1974 SELECTAL ALBERTA ROAD MAP

Feature	IMPORTANCE (Percent)	
	Population A n 289 (100%)	Population B n 106 (100%)
Road Classification	93.4	92.5
Federal and Provincial Corporates	90.7	73.6
Lake Names	86.5	77.4
River Names	84.8	78.3
Provincial Parks Without Campsites	67.5	60.4
Population of Cities	61.2	73.6
Grid System of Location	60.9	63.4
Height of Mountains	51.9	71.7
Dams	50.9	48.1
Forest Trails in the National Parks	49.1	57.7
Height of Cities	38.4	55.7

Source: Questionnaire Survey, 1974.

To visitors, river and lake names were more useful than complete information, city population, and complete information were of equal importance.

The ranking of the 3 most important items did not completely correspond with those previous results (Table 5-6). After road classification, complete information and the population of cities were considered by all respondents to be more important than river and lake names. However, the importance of the population of cities shown in Table 5-7, because it gives an indication of the facilities of a city (e.g., motels, hotel accommodation) was collected in Table 5-6. An indication of mountain heights was also regarded as more valuable information by visitors than by Albanians.

Information presented on the 1974 road map which all respondents felt was most important included dams, elevations of cities, and total length in the national parks.

The items selected for information in question 10 (Appendix F) were chosen for the following reasons. An indication of a city's total population was considered the most important item because it provides an indication of a city's facilities such as gas, telephone facilities, and restaurants. Railways and administrative boundaries were included in this question because they

Table 5.8. RANKING OF SELECTED INFORMATION SYMBOLIZED ON 1974 OFFICIAL ALBERTA ROAD MAP

Feature	Population:	RANK POSITION					
		First		Second		Third	
		A	B	A	B	A	B
	%	%	%	%	%	%	
Road Classification		41.0	53.8	26.6	16.7	11.7	13.0
Federal and Provincial Campsite		39.6	32.2	23.8	26.6	9.4	5.0
Lake Names		2.1	0.9	3.9	3.9	14.9	7.0
River Names		2.1	1.9	7.0	3.9	9.4	7.0
Provincial Parks Without Campsites		3.5	0.9	10.7	13.7	8.3	13.0
Population of Cities		1.8	3.8	5.0	14.7	13.5	14.0
Grid system of Location		5.7	4.7	10.0	9.8	15.2	10.0
Height of Mountains		0.4	0.0	1.4	4.9	1.8	16.0
Dams		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.3	0.0
Forest Trails in the National Parks		1.2	0.9	7.1	2.0	7.2	4.0
Height of Cities		0.4	0.9	1.4	2.0	1.8	3.0
No Response		2.2	0.0	3.1	0.9	6.5	8.0
		100	100	100	100	100	100

Source: Questionnaire Survey, 1974.

appear on many other road maps. The importance of some indication of danger spots was included because it was one of the topics most frequently mentioned by people interviewed during the pilot surveys. The information most requested by people interviewed in the pilot surveys was some indication of stretches of roads having a high accident rate. Although the locations of "illegal waste-disposal sites" are listed in the Alberta Travel Guide, this was included in question 30, since their location was the question most frequently asked of gas attendants (Pilot Survey, Calgary).

Very similar responses to this question (30) were obtained from both Albertans and visitors (Table 5-9). Illegal waste disposal was considered to be important, particularly by Albertans. Similarly, administrative boundaries, railways, and other landmarks, and illegal waste-disposal sites were felt to be relatively unimportant. The importance of and discussion regarding waste disposal was considered to be important to very important, especially by visitors. The importance of information on danger spots, as indicated by the pilot survey, again revealed in the survey, since it was considered by both Albertans and visitors to be the most important item in question 30.

Very few responses were given to the second part of

TABLE 5-5. IMPORTANCE OF INCLUDING ADDITIONAL SPECIFIED INFORMATION ON OFFICIAL LICENSES FOR ALL

Information	Population 1 (n=239)			Population 2 (n=166)					
	1	2	3	1	2	3			
Danger Spots	28.4	47.5	25.3	4.8	36.8	35.8	18.9	18.5	100
24-Hour Gas Stations	18.7	40.8	38.7	2.4	29.2	34.9	31.2	4.7	100
24-Hour Breakdown Services	19.4	41.1	36.3	3.5	31.1	39.7	24.5	4.7	100
Transfer Waste Disposal Sites	7.3	29.7	58.4	5.1	8.5	24.5	61.3	5.7	100
24-Hour Restrooms	5.9	34.8	53.3	4.2	12.3	35.8	46.2	5.7	100
Presentation of Belief	4.8	19.8	65.3	3.5	11.3	30.2	52.8	5.7	100
Restrooms	3.8	27.5	64.7	4.8	1.9	23.6	67.0	7.5	100

Source: Questionnaire Survey, 1974.

1 = Very Important
 2 = Important
 3 = Not Important

question 10 (Appendix E). These received are examined later in the chapter, along with the various other comments given by respondents.

Mileage Information

The relative importance of the four different methods used to show mileage on the 1974 road map is expressed in Table 5-10. To both populations, "between dots" mileage was the most useful, although long-written distances were useful to visitors and short distances to Albertans. The mileage "between towns and junctions" was regarded as the second most important kind of mileage information, despite the fact that a large percentage of respondents, particularly visitors did not use it. Mileage presented in chart form and as written distances were ranked in third and fourth places.

Legend

More than 80% of all respondents stated that they had used the legend on the 1974 map. Of these respondents, the great majority were satisfied with both the legend's location and its information. An examination of the statements given for nonuse of the legend reveals the major reason to be insufficient familiarity with the map's contents. The complaints about legend location were related to the

TABLE 3-10. EXPERIENCE OF RELEASE INFORMATION CONTAINED ON 1974
 OFFICIAL ALBERTA FOLS MAP

Release	Back Position			Used but not Racked	D.I. Not Use	No Response	Total Percent
	1	2	3				
<u>Beaver Folds</u>							
Population A	48.4	24.9	28.7	4.2	10.4	1.0	100
Population B	44.4	23.6	27.3	3.7	11.3	2.8	100
<u>Fort and Junction</u>							
Population A	28.4	33.3	15.3	4.2	17.0	1.0	100
Population B	11.3	28.3	18.9	3.6	24.5	2.8	100
<u>Crete</u>							
Population A	15.6	16.4	33.4	4.2	15.2	1.0	100
Population B	12.5	17.1	26.4	5.7	16.0	2.8	100
<u>Wilder-Dismal</u>							
Population A	9.7	10.7	19.0	3.1	19.4	1.0	100
Population B	21.8	13.2	29.3	6.6	16.0	2.8	100

Source: Questionnaire Surveys, 1974.

Most Important.

fact that important routes in Washington and British Columbia were thereby omitted.

RESPONDENTS' COMMENTS ON THE 1974 ROAD MAP

Road Map

The writer was disappointed at the general apathy of respondents in failing to take advantage of the opportunity offered to them to express their own opinions concerning the road map. More than 90% of all respondents made no comments. This silence, however, might be interpreted as an indication of the users' general satisfaction with the map.

The general comment made by several respondents was that they had been forced to obtain more than one copy of the map, as it had failed to withstand extensive use. The other comments received in connection with the road map may be described briefly under the heading of "road" and "tourist" information. These comments relating to the vacation planner and to city indexes are studied separately.

Tourist Road comments given by the respondents revolved around the amount of road information presented. Numerous people wanted minor roads included. There were also requests for presentation of scenic routes. Some respondents found

the terminology used to describe the roads misleading (e.g., what is an improved road?). Among the request for additional detailed road information were statements that major interchanges should be numbered and roads under construction added.

Several comments were made with regard to the presentation of mileage information. The 1974 map was complimented for the ease of access to its mileage chart. Other respondents, however, complained that this chart was too small, and should include certain routes outside the province. A few respondents also said they had noted discrepancies between mileages on the map and those actually driven.

2.1) Routes. The most frequent "route" comment was related to complete information. Several respondents said mileage information should be shown on the map, together with some indication of the route character. Respondents noted that they had found discrepancies between the information listed in the travel guide and that shown on the road map information on historic sites, numbers and naming areas, and radio station frequencies were other features respondents mentioned for inclusion on the road map, although all of this information is contained in the Alberta Travel Guide.

Vacation Planner

An average of only 21.6% of Albertans and 17.9% of visitors stated they had used the Vacation Planner. Equal percentages of each population said they either liked or disliked it. Several respondents said they felt there was insufficient information on the Vacation Planner map, and that the location of the information included was "too vague". One respondent described the Vacation Planner as "Aesthetic but lacks practical details". This reflected many users' opinions. Several other respondents offered constructive suggestions as to what information should be included (e.g., Calgary Zoo).

CITY MAPS

Although the city maps were not included on the 1974 road map, many respondents (population A, 37.7%; population A1, 14.0%; population B, 24.0%) had used them than the Vacation Planner. These appeared on the reverse side of the 1973 maps but were printed in 1974 as a separate hand out, and also as part of the Travel Guide. The only comments concerning the design of the city maps were that their scale was too small, and therefore the amount of detail portrayed was insufficient. Many comments, particularly from

visitors, included a statement that city insets should appear in a prominent place on the road map. Of the respondents who commented on city insets, 51.9% of Albertans and 79% of visitors felt that they should be included in the road map.

SUMMARY

This chapter is an attempt to provide answers to many of the questions posed in Chapter 1. The data collected shows that a number of differences exist between the various populations and groups of respondents surveyed. Nevertheless, some broad generalizations were possible with regard to the respondents' demographic background, and similarly with regard to the data collected. It would appear that, with the exception of knowledge of the existence of the official Alberta Road Map, respondents A and A1 have many similarities.

Some of the general information collected enabled respondents to be made about several aspects of the map, and knowledge of the 1974 road map.

It was established that road information was the most important part of the contents of the road map for the respondents who had used the 1974 map. Both Albertans and

VISITORS WERE SATISFIED WITH MOST OF THE MAP'S DESIGN ASPECTS, SINCE THE ONLY GENERAL COMMENT OFFERED WAS THAT THE SCALE OF THE MAP WAS TOO SMALL.

CONCERNING THE ASSESSMENT OF THE USER'S INFORMATION REQUIREMENTS, THE STUDY REVEALED THAT SOME OF THE CURRENT MAP INFORMATION WAS REGARDED AS INSIGNIFICANT. THE RELATIVE IMPORTANCE OF OTHER INFORMATION WAS FOUND TO VARY WITH THE DIFFERENT GROUPS OF RESPONDENTS, BOTH ALBERTANS AND VISITORS, HOWEVER, GENERALLY AGREED AS TO WHAT ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WAS DESIRED.

THE SURVEY TESTS THAT THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT SUMMARIZES THE OPINIONS OF MANY ALBERTANS ABOUT THE 1974 OFFICIAL ALBERTA ROAD MAP, ONE IS ~~REMARKED~~ THAT VISITORS' REACTIONS TO THIS WOULD BE

"THE TITLE OF THE MAP IS THE ALBERTA ROAD MAP AND IT SHOULD BE KEPT AS SUCH. IT SHOULD BE A RELIABLE GUIDE TO THE ROADS AND PLACES OF ALBERTA, NOT A SUPERFICIAL TOURIST CONVENIENCE FOR FAST DRIVING VACATIONERS MAKING BEWEEN MAIN CENTRES IN SEARCH OF 'DAYS AND AIR CONDITIONED HOTELS (RESEARCH DATA, 1974)."

CHAPTER VI

A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF SOME
ROAD MAPS AVAILABLE IN NORTH AMERICA

In this chapter a brief examination is made of 80 road maps (Appendix O) available to the North American public. All these maps were classified as either "official" (70.5%) or "commercial" (29.5%) maps. The term "official" was assigned to those maps recognized as the government sponsored publication for the respective country, province or state. Thus, unless otherwise stated, wherever the name of a province or state is given this refers to the official maps. Included in the category "commercial" were maps produced for all companies or societies associations. With the exception of several commercial maps, all these publications were available free of charge to the public.

The map companies considered were Dornier, Map Service, Leitch, Eschmayer, Lithograph, Geometric, and Information Research, Inc. These letters were mailed to the respective companies for the 1974 Official Alberta Road Map (Chart 111), commercial maps of these maps and the 1974 map were received.

FORMAT, MAP SCALE, AND FOLDING

Format

The prominent feature associated with the format of road maps is the great variety in dimension. In the long dimension of the selected maps, which ranged between 45.5 cm and 77.5 cm, 42 different lengths were encountered. However, 25 maps (67.3%) were between 75.7 cm and 95 cm, and all the commercial maps (10.7%) had a long dimension within the range of 75.7 cm - 95.0 cm. A similar variety existed in the short dimension, which ranged from 39.75 cm - 70.0 cm. Many of the oil company maps, however, had a standard short length of 45.5 cm. Since the format of the 1974 Alaska map is 90.5 cm by 107.0 cm, this map has a greater length than most of the selected maps.

Map Scale

As with format, there is a great variety in the scales of road maps. Among the maps examined the largest scale map is 1:120,000 (Rhode Island); the smallest recorded map is 1:2,000,000 (Alaska). However, it is interesting to note that many of the 1:2,000,000 maps are derived from 1:1,000,000 maps.

one of three classes: 1:50,000; 1:50,000-1:100,000; and 1:1,000,000 and over. It was significant that more than 70% of the maps fell into the latter group (for example, 80% of the commercial maps were at this scale). It was considered that, excluding the difference in the local densities of settlement, the map scale would significantly influence the information content of each map. This hypothesis was borne out by examination of some 100 maps of various content symbolized information. These results are discussed in the appropriate sections in this chapter.

On most of the maps, the road map occupied one side of the printed sheet and additional information such as general content information on the other. Some maps, however, placed the road map, representing part of the province on each side of the sheet. This was particularly characteristic of oil company maps although it was also exhibited by some official Canadian maps (Ontario, Manitoba, Quebec). Employing this technique provided a larger map scale, and presumably the association of exclusive oilfield areas, such as Northern Ontario. Generally the map format was immediately used by the scale of the map, the map at the chosen scale occupying most of at least one side of the map sheet. However, one notable exception to this was the 1:50,000 oilfield map which occupied less than half the area of one side of the map sheet.

FOLDING

Associated with map format is the problem of map folding. All the maps examined had between 4 and 10 folds, with 9 folds; the 1974 Alberta map is characteristic of the majority of the 60 maps since 1948 exhibited 6 to 10 folds.

The conventional method of folding was used by 64% of the maps to produce a final format suitable for car glove compartments and pockets. Generally, the remaining maps, because of their smaller formats, had longer leads. These were folded, like a booklet, with a succession of single folds.

Several complications related to map folding were noted by the writer during the study. First, since unfolded many of the maps were difficult to unfold. Good examples of these were the official map of British Columbia and several oil company maps. Second, it was difficult to obtain any or much access to the legend or reference index without completely unfolding the majority of maps. Third, the dissemination of copies of these maps was hampered since it was difficult to make copies of them. Fourth, the maps examined were usually not a simple leaf, but were made up of several sheets. This was especially true of the oil company maps which were usually made up of several sheets of paper and were folded in a complex manner. Finally, the maps were usually not completely unfolded.

Unfortunately, for two reasons, this method cannot readily be applied to the Alberta map. First, since the current dimensions are not suitable the orientation of the province would have to be changed, or the map scale greatly reduced. Second, the machinery capable of folding maps in this particular manner is not available in Western Canada. Nevertheless, it should be noted that the conventional folding employed for the present Alberta Map does give easy access to both the place name index and legend.


INFORMATION CONTENT AND PRESENTATION

Although the sequence of features discussed below is similar to that which has been considered for the official Alberta Road Map, the approach is somewhat different. Here, the content and presentation of information are considered together, not separately as in Chapter III.

APPENDIX

In addition, for the map examined showed all details in full. This might be attributed to the absence of significant relative relief in some of the provinces and states, or might have been a deliberate decision to display more detail than was shown to advantage in the study of relative relief in the province of Alberta (1972). There was great variety in the degree of success achieved by the

hill shading which was present on the map considered. On the Washington State map the unsuccessful use of black for the hill shading made much of the content difficult to read. Despite the use of a different colour, a similar statement can be made regarding British Columbia's map. However, Nevada's map can be cited as an example of the other extreme as it successfully presented hill shading without reducing the legibility of the other map information. Generally the map examination revealed that hill shading was most satisfactory where the scale was small (e.g., Alaska) or where little road information was presented (e.g., Hawaii (Texas), and Wyoming).

On several maps the presence of relief was indicated by the use of a hachure symbol representing a single mountain . This was presented with other map detail there was often a problem of "background noise".

The elevation data of the maps showed spot elevations, which appeared more frequently on commercial maps than official maps. In fact this may be attributed to the particular oil company maps shown, of the maps showing elevations (49), 91.8% gave mountain elevations and 26.5% city elevations. When all 10 maps were combined, 73.7% represented both city and mountain elevations and a combination of spot elevations and hill shading was present

on 10% of the maps.

Unlike the 1974 official Alberta road map, many of the maps examined failed to indicate, either on the map or in the legend, the unit of measurement used for the elevation.

The type face most commonly associated with the aerial placement of physical names was italics sans serif type.

Diagrams. While this information was presented in blue on all the maps examined, the degree of detail varied. In addition to the expected rivers and lakes, features such as canals (ΝΟΜ. ΧΩΡΟΚ. (ΟΔΟΥ)) were symbolized.

Italics sans serif was the predominant (90%) type face associated with water features. The traditional use of blue for these elements was altered by 73.7% of the maps, the balance (26.3%) using black.

TRADITIONAL MAP SYMBOLS

While most of the maps examined contained aerial imagery on various parts of the province, they represented the Alberta map.

The Diagrams were classified as one of the most significant elements of road information on a road map.

Examining the number of categories symbolized on the maps revealed some interesting results. Four was the smallest number recorded (Northwest Territories) and 29 the largest (Tennessee). Some of these large numbers were attributable to the more numerous classes of roads (such as toll roads) in the U.S.A. Another reason was the presentation of proposed roads and roads under construction. These 2 classes appeared on 28% of the maps and were generally associated with maps symbolizing between 11-15 road categories. Table 6-1 groups the maps according to the number of categories they displayed.

Although official road map symbolization 7 categories of roads. This would place it in the group 5-7-10 which characterizes nearly 50% of the maps examined. As previously stated (Chapter III), no proposed roads, or roads under construction appear on the 1974 map.

Most of the maps analyzed displayed roads on the basis of their physical characteristics (e.g., paved or unpaved). For the most part a further qualification was frequently employed, such as "toll or 2-lane roads". However, some of the road descriptions which appeared in the legend were not well characterized (for example "arterial roads", since the symbols characterizing a road with this classification in some instances are more similar to those of a

Table 6-1. NUMBER OF ROAD CATEGORIES SYMBOLIZED ON SELECTED ROAD MAPS

Number of Road Categories	Total Maps		Official Maps		Commercial Maps	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
1-5	5	6.2	5	8.6	0	0.0
5.1-10	37	46.3	26	44.9	11	50.0
10.1-15	26	32.5	17	29.3	9	41.0
15.1-20	10	12.5	9	15.5	1	4.5
20.1	2	2.5	1	1.7	1	4.5
	80	100	58	100	22	100

Source: Research Data, 1975.

load with the same title in another province or state). Similarly, it could be argued that classification terms such as interstate, state, and local road, which belong to an essentially administrative classification system, are not of great value to the motorist. These terms do not precisely define the actual types of road conditions which the motorist can expect.

On the maps examined, the variables of colour, line width and design (length of line within cartilage) were utilized to distinguish the different road classes. A study of the colours chosen to represent the three most important road categories revealed the dominance of red in each class (Table 6-2). Black and green stood out as the significant colours in the first class; yellow and green were prominent in the second class; and black was the prominent colour in the third class of roads. It was also notable that many maps provided with an approximation in blue (e.g., yellow). This clearly indicates the variability of the road information since different countries use also in blue.

With the large number of road categories shown on many of the maps, it was not surprising to observe that "orange" was exclusively used to identify the most important roads. However, the presence of the main medium cartilage which was usually black (or red), to compare with the red used to

Table 6.2. COLOURS USED TO SHOW 3 MOST IMPORTANT ROAD CATEGORIES ON SELECTED MAPS

Colour	Road Categories					
	First		Second		Third	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Red	57	71.3	47	58.7	46	57.6
Green	17	21.3	12	15.0	7	8.7
Yellow	3	3.8	11	13.8	7	8.7
Black	1	1.2	5	6.3	16	20.0
Blue	1	1.2	3	3.7	2	2.5
Orange	1	1.2	2	2.5	2	2.5
	80	100	80	100	80	100

Source: Research Data, 1975.

present the major roads. In addition to these castings, several maps distinguished "scenic routes" by adding a wide band of a different colour, often green, to the designated stretch of road.

The 1974 Official Alberta Road Map identifies and labels two types of roads, primary highways and secondary roads. All the maps examined labeled roads, however the number shown varied. It is noted that primary highways were excluded (it was found that most (50.0%) maps only showed one label, although several maps included as many as three).

mileage information is shown in some maps on the Alberta map, but only 2 of the 50 maps examined included these road methods. Short-distance mileage was the most frequently (50.0%) used method and this was generally (70.0%) presented in black. This was followed by accumulated mileage, which the most common for this study indicated to be more appropriate than short-distance mileage. This method appeared on 75% of the maps and was the most frequent (67.5%) colour used for this information. Long-distance mileage was a secondary method of mileage and appeared on 20% of the maps. This type of mileage appeared on only 10 of the maps. Again, red was the dominant colour employed for this information. Only 7.5% of the maps examined included all

with official maps rather than commercial maps. Railways were found on all scales of maps, their alignments paralleling the major auto routes. This must create a distinct difficulty in accurately placing the roads. Generally the conventional black symbolization was employed, notable exceptions to this were red on the official Yukon map and blue on the official Saskatchewan map. Normally there was no distinction between the different types of railways, New Jersey's official map being an exception since it distinguished "light railroads" from "heavy railroads."

4. ΑΔΕΛΦΟΤΗΤΑ. A majority (80%) of the road maps contained a special symbolization. This was generally represented pictorially by a small set of black coloration symbols. The symbolization of all symbols on a road map was in general the same as on the official map. The official map of the Province of Saskatchewan contained a special symbolization of roads. This was on the official Province of Saskatchewan map, which was "official", "commercial", and "other" maps. It would be assumed that there would be

airport information is justified if the map is being used by the pilot of an aircraft. However, this should not be the case with a road map.

The map examined, North Dakota, indicated the air routes of the major air carriers. Again, the justification for this is difficult to find.

Tourist Information

Only 3/8 of the maps analyzed did not contain tourist information. These maps of obviously such information were used.

1. Annotations. This was the most common (57%) method of presenting specifically tourist-oriented information. It appeared as the most dominant system for these annotations, although other systems (such as black, green, orange, and blue) were also used.

Historical points of interest were one of the most frequently occurring annotations. Most maps of local maps are similar to annotations which have been associated to various historical places. Another common annotation was "Lakes and Rivers". The official road map of Washington indicated

National Parks, National Forests, and Provincial or State Parks also appeared as annotations on many of the maps.

Symbolization. Although Alberta removed the symbolic presentation of specifically tourist-oriented information from the 1974 official Alberta Road Map, this is still a popular feature employed on many road maps in general. Seventy-four percent of the maps examined (especially provincial maps) presented tourist information in this manner. Red was again the dominant colour used for the large variety of primarily pictorial symbols. A tree symbol appeared on many of the maps. The location of universities and colleges was another frequent feature. Many other symbols were unique to particular areas. Among these are: the "Protein Vision" of Saskatchewan; the "Hunting Camps" of Lakeland and Northeastern Alberta; and the "Public Snowmobile Routes" of Yukon.

It is significant that the symbolization of tourist information was related to the type and scale of a map. Symbolization diminished on official or general maps with a scale of less than 1:1,000,000.

Although symbols can be considered as symbolized tourist information, such symbols were found on 53.7% of the maps examined. Only

unlike the Alberta map which used a single title, the symbolization was often used (0.27) of the maps examined. The location of the symbols varied from map to map. Many of the road maps of the U.S.A. excluded completely from the information presented in the road map. Instead, such information was frequently included in the additional information printed on the reverse side of the road map, and was then presented in the form of a comprehensive table which listed the facilities offered at each campsite.

TABLE 1. This method of presentation was a feature of the Alberta map before 1974 (Appendix B). The presentation of tourist information in this manner was observed on both official and commercial maps, but only a small percentage (1.5%) of the total. This tabulation was used in much the presentation of other tourist information, but was the predominant feature.

ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Initial provision of state boundaries appeared on 75% of the maps examined. The provision of a grid of north-south lines was also used. Although a number of all maps and all scales, administrative boundaries were generally associated with official maps with scales of less than 1:1,000,000.

In addition to these boundaries, other boundaries were shown. One good example is "Indian Reservation", which appeared on numerous official maps.

CLASSIFICATION

1. CLASSIFICATION BASED ON THE SIZE OF POPULATION. Since some indication of the population size of settlements was generally derived by the map user employed for this study, it is noteworthy that many of the maps examined did not distinguish settlement by population (Table 6-1). Table 6-1 illustrates that the number of classes shown varied from 2 to 9. The 1974 official Alberta Road Map, with 9 classes, would fall into the last group.

Although many maps did not classically distinguish on the basis of total population, with the exception of some official maps, all population maps were in an upright form, both types. The population map employed upright map type.

2. CLASS. Most of the maps analyzed had a classification based on the location of settlements on the map as alphanumeric grid was provided. Most of these grids were based on a geographic grid, but were analyzed separately. Many road maps did not have

Table 6.3 NUMBER OF SETTLEMENT CLASSES, ACCORDING TO SIZE OF THE POPULATION, DISTINGUISHED ON SELECTED MAPS

Number of Categories	Total Maps		Official Maps		Commercial Map	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
0	22	27.6	12	20.8	10	45.5
2	1	1.2	1	1.7	0	0
3	1	1.2	1	1.7	0	0
4	6	7.5	6	10.3	0	0
5	12	15.0	10	17.3	2	9.1
6	11	13.8	9	15.5	2	9.1
7	9	11.2	6	10.3	3	13.6
8	14	17.5	10	17.2	4	18.2
9	4	5.0	3	5.2	1	4.5
	80	100	58	100	22	100

Source: Research Data, 1975.

an indication of orientation. The maps examined illustrated both extremes; i.e., from no grid system (Canada Highway Map) to four different grids on one map (Vermont included a general location grid (blue); the Universal Transverse Mercator (red); and a geographical grid (black)).

Additional Information. This information appeared on all but 1 of the maps examined. Four classes of information were distinguished: those were city insets, illustrative drawings, photographs, and tourist text (general notes on amenities and customs of the province or state). Table 6-4 lists the percentage of maps showing one or more of these features. There was a noticeable difference between the number of features presented on official and commercial maps; the commercial maps showing fewer features.

City insets which varied greatly according to the number of insets per map and the amount of detail presented, were the most prominent additional features on the maps studied (47.5%). This was followed by tourist text (42.5%) and photographic material (7%). Drawings were the least common feature of additional information appearing on 44% of the maps analyzed.

Information included in the tourist text were a table of comparative listings their facilities (e.g., existence

Table 6.4. NUMBER OF ADDITIONAL ITEMS OF INFORMATION INCLUDED ON SELECTED MAPS

Number of Items	Total Maps		Official Maps		Commercial Maps	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
0	2	2.5	1	1.7	1	4.5
1	9	11.2	1 ⁰	1.7	8	36.4
2	18	22.5	12	20.7	6	27.3
3	21	26.2	15	25.9	6 ^m	27.3
4	30	37.6	29	50.0	1	4.5
	80	100	58 ⁰⁰	100	22	100

Source: Research Data, 1975.

employed for the hill shading.

SUMMARY

Variety is the key word which emerges from this analysis of the 30 maps studied. When it is considered that these maps are advertised primarily as road maps it is surprising that very few common features can be found. The only common features are the classification and labelling of roads, the use of the colour yellow and the symbolization of additional information in blue.

The examples cited in this chapter have revealed that the variety is not only in the map content but also in the techniques of representing the information. A feature symbolized in one map or one map is often symbolized differently on another map. Cartographers are a good example of this phenomenon. Their reactions must be very conforming to the map maker traditionally as a number of reasons and reasons.

Another interesting feature which is derived from a visual study of the maps is that very few maps give an overall impression of clarity and careful design. The legibility of many maps was decreased due to the very prominent type and the use of heavy lines for roads. The extensive use of red, not only for road information, but also for tourist information (symbols and annotations) made it difficult to

decide which of these was the most important information. Travel Alberta's recognition that tourist information can be successfully presented elsewhere than a road map has aided the production of a well-designed, legible Alberta map.

CHAPTER VII

CONCLUSIONS

The purpose of this chapter is to draw together the results of the survey of the 1974 Official Alberta Road Map and the analysis of the BC road maps. These findings are discussed on three different levels. First, the findings are applied to a specific map, the 1974 Official Alberta Road Map. Second, the results are discussed in the general context of road maps, and last, the significance of the findings are considered within the topic of cartographic research.

1974 OFFICIAL ALBERTA ROAD MAP

While the analysis of the survey data revealed some interesting background information on the respondents, the most important results are related to the purposes of the 1974 map users and the amount of use and information content by the map users. As a result, it is considered that the main reason for not using the 1974 map should be that from the data it can be concluded that the restricted use of the map by the public, particularly Albertans, is primarily attributable to an unawareness of the map's existence. Therefore, it is possible that formulation A, because of its

familiarity with the Alberta Road Map, did not include the most typical of Albertans.

One of the most important findings from the survey was that the 1974 map was used primarily for road information. In addition, most respondents were generally satisfied with the design of the symbols and type, and the format, folding and quality of the paper. However, a large percentage of respondents were not happy with the map scale & these preferred the inclusion of more tourist and road information. From this it could be inferred that the current map scale is too small.

The questionnaire analysis revealed that although road information was the most important map feature identified by all respondents, there were differences between groups in the prioritization of other map items. For Albertans, commercial locations, and rivers and lakes names were important, however, for visitors, the size of commercial map symbols was an important factor. The survey also revealed that visitors and other groups of Albertans valued road information to a lesser degree than road information. This could account for the importance of commercial information. By contrast, visitors ranked the size of commercial signs, the number and quality of amenities available e.g., motels, restaurants, other information, though, such as camps, forest trails and the elevations of

cities were considered unimportant by most respondents.

An assessment of additional information derived on the 1974 road map revealed some interesting facts. First, although administrative boundaries and railways are found on many maps, this information was generally considered as unimportant. Second, identification of dangerous stretches of road was the most frequent suggestion.

Other significant findings were the existence of a hierarchy in the mileage information, and fragment use of the legend.

Based on these findings the following recommendations for the improvement of the official Alberta Road Map are proposed:

1. The most of the additional tourist information recommended, such as radio stations, recreation and cultural activities, are unimportantly presented in the Alberta Travel Guide. Map makers should be made aware of this problem by a note in the map legend. The note should include a comprehensive list of the contents of the Alberta Travel Guide; an explanation that it is issued free of charge; and an address as to where it may be obtained. This legend modification would increase the amount of road information of adjacent

PROVINCE. HOWEVER, SINCE THE MAP IS PRIMARILY CONCERNED WITH ALBERTA THIS INFORMATION CAN BE OBTAINED FROM OTHER SOURCES.

IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE ANNOTATION IN THE MAP LEGEND, A CONSPICUOUS NOTE COULD BE PLACED IN THE ALBERTA TRAVEL GUIDE INFORMING THE USER OF THE AVAILABILITY OF SPECIAL PURPOSE MAPS SUCH AS THE CANOE MAP, AND SUGGESTING THE USE OF TOPOGRAPHIC MAPS FOR MORE DETAILED ROAD INFORMATION.

MOST OF THE REQUESTS FOR ADDITIONAL ROAD INFORMATION CAN BE CLASSIFIED INTO THREE CATEGORIES: THE INCLUSION OF MINOR ROADS; THE PRESENTATION OF SCENIC ROADS; AND AN INDICATION OF ROADS UNDER CONSTRUCTION.

MINOR ROAD INFORMATION IS BEST UNDERSTOOD WITHIN THE FRAMEWORK OF CURRENT PROVINCIAL POLICY WHICH TENDS TO BE ECONOMICALLY CONSERVING. IN 1972 AN PART OF THE PROVINCIAL PAYING PROGRAMME MAINTAIN HIGHWAYS AND SECONDARY ROAD DEVELOPMENT, AND ONLY THESE WERE INDICATED ON THE MAP. CONSIDERATION SHOULD BE GIVEN TO DISCOVERING THAT SOME ROADS ARE INDICATED AS SECONDARY EVEN THOUGH IMPROVEMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN COMPLETED. AS A RESULT THERE ARE ROADS OF SIMILAR QUALITY ADJACENT TO THOSE CLASSIFIED AS SECONDARY WHICH DO NOT APPEAR ON THE

road map. Due to the policy of introducing secondary
road identification it would not be possible to add
minor roads at the existing map scale, and maintain the
legibility of the map. Several solutions can be
presented to solve this problem. A larger map scale
could be used by representing half the province on one
side of the map sheet, and the rest of the province on
the other side. This approach, though, is not
considered a suitable solution, since large areas of
the province have no roads; relative accuracy would be
difficult between places on different sides of the map
sheet; and city sheets could not be included on the
road map. A second solution is to produce separate maps
at a larger scale of those areas containing many minor
roads, e.g., Edmonton and Calgary areas, and include
them in the Alberta Travel Guide. A third solution is
to leave the road map as it is and refer to the
maps, by name, in the Legend and in the Alberta Travel
Guide, the use of larger scale and more detailed
topographic maps available from the Federal
Government. This appears to be the best solution.
It is noted and added to Appendix A of the map series
to allow identification of the road map, and
this information is given.

Although identification of roads is not possible

For, it does not appear wise to include it on the official Alberta road map. "Solic" is a term which is subject to the individual interpretation. Furthermore, "Solic" routes can also be classified as tourist information which is available in the Alberta Travel Guide. For example, several "Solics" are illustrated in the guide.

Even though there were the "requests" for the identification of roads under construction on the map, it is the policy of the Highway Department Branch to show such roads as completed if it is expected that construction will be completed by June 1 of that year. If it is expected that the road will be completed during that year, but after the June deadline, the road would be shown but with the annotation "under construction." This approach is the logical solution to the problem as the "Solic" is gradually completed with what roads are available and not what might be there. Nevertheless, it is interesting that the note "under construction" has never appeared on the map produced by the Department of Highway Branch. For general reference it would be argued that the identification of "Solic" roads as "under construction" is not a long-term matter as the road would be shown as completed on the map.

3. The policy of including city insets on the reverse side of the 1974 Official Alberta Road Map should be continued. As mentioned previously, in 1974 the Vacation Planner was included in place of city insets. From the questionnaire it was discovered that many users preferred city insets. Nevertheless, it is felt that there is a need for a separate map similar to the Vacation Planner. This map could also be produced as an addition to the Alberta Travel Guide. Care, though, should have to be exercised in the design of such a map since the use of pictorial symbols on the Vacation Planner was strongly criticized in the questionnaire survey. The exact locations of the features included were considered "vague" by many respondents, and the amount of information presented insufficient.

4. It is recommended that the information currently available on the road map be retained, and that the city locations shown on the map be retained because they provide some indication of relative height and aid in the selection of recreational routes. The other information provided does not conflict with any of

The road information contained on the map. It is, however, suggested that the symbol for dams should be presented in the legend. Then, the word DAM and its name could be removed from the map provided that the name was the same as the name of the lake. A similar treatment could be applied to ferries. The word FERRY could be replaced on the map by a black "F", and an explanation of the symbol provided in the legend. Both of these words take up more map area than many settlement names. It could be argued that placing these annotations directly on the map increases the content of the legend. It however, additional information in the legend results in a more legible map and at the same time does not reduce the essential map information. This solution should be considered.

5. Because of the abbreviations placed by users on many features, it would be useful, space permitting, to include the names of major lakes and rivers in the index of place names of Alaska.

9. Although many road maps contain mill shading, railroads and administrative boundaries, the results of the questionnaire survey revealed that this information was not considered important. Therefore, it is recommended

that this information should continue to be omitted from the current official Alberta Road Map. The introduction of this information, particularly hill shading would provide too much information and thus reduce the legibility of the present map.

7. In Chapter V it was stated that identification of danger areas and certain where 24 hour service facilities are available were considered important by road map users. These features would not be difficult to incorporate into the present road map. Danger areas, for example could be symbolized with an explanation appearing in the legend. Since careful records of all road accidents are kept by the provincial government, danger areas can be identified and thus symbolized on the map. However, according to the Provincial Planning Branch (Transportation Department) no such areas exist within Alberta. Hence, government officials reason that when the number of road accidents increases significantly on certain sections of road, steps are taken to reduce the accident rate. For example, the speed limit may be reduced or warning signs erected. This approach would seem to be inadequate as many respondents thought dangerous areas should appear on the road map.

Similarly, an indication of centres offering 24 hour facilities could be presented by placing a red dot adjacent to the name of the centre in the index of place names. Reference to this information could also appear as a note in the legend. Despite the ease with which this information could be placed on the map obtaining reliable information is a major limitation. The permanency of 24 hour facilities are subject to change since their service is associated with their management. Furthermore, it could be argued that the size of settlements will indicate the presence of such facilities.

u. Although the policy of removing tourist oriented information from the Official Alberta Road Map and including it in other publications has been adopted by Travel Alberta and the Department of Highway Planning Branch since 1967 (Chapter III), some of this type of information still remains on the map. For example, TROPHY LAKES are shown even though they are not accessible by road. Since the map is primarily a road map, some policy should be developed to identify what additional tourist information, if any, should be included.

9. An analysis of 80 maps revealed that "long written mileage distances" appeared infrequently on road maps. From the questionnaire survey, it was discovered that this method was considered, by most respondents, to be the least important way of presenting mileage information. Therefore, it is suggested that a more suitable approach is to include the information presented in this manner in the mileage chart. This would then provide a comprehensive list of mileages between major centres within the province and between important cities in the adjacent provinces.

10. Two sizes of symbols for Federal and Provincial capitals appear on the 1974 Official Alberta Road Map. The size used is dictated by the amount of space available on the map. To avoid the conclusion that the largest symbol represents a more important capital, two alternative solutions are proposed. The small symbol could be used throughout the map, or both symbols could be employed. However, if the second approach is taken, both symbols should appear in the legend with an explanation. This is in contrast to the current policy where the large symbol only is shown. Because of its simplicity and the fact that road information should be the most important map feature, the first solution is

recommended.

11. Several respondents noted that they had not found the 1974 map durable. Therefore it is recommended that some experimentation be carried out to find a more satisfactory paper.

GENERAL RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ROAD MAPS

Variety in the information content and presentation of this information was the most noticeable feature of the 80 maps examined. Many of these maps can best be described as general purpose maps, since extensive use of red made it difficult to distinguish which was the most important, road or tourist information. If the major conclusions of the 1974 Official Alberta are accepted the following recommendations for road maps in general can be made:

1. A priority of map information must be established. Road information should dominate with tourist information subdued or removed from the map and placed in the additional information, or in a separate booklet, like the Alberta Travel Guide.

2. Information currently shown on many road maps should be removed where possible. Included here are internal

administrative boundaries and railway lines. However, since the location of railway lines crossing roads is regarded as important for motorist orientation, it is suggested that features such as railway overpasses and crossings should be symbolized.

3. In several of the road maps examined too many classes of roads were symbolized. By reducing the number presented the legibility of these maps would improve. This could be done by eliminating the presentation of all but the most important proposed roads or roads under construction.

It is also suggested that a road classification system based on quality of the road such as divided, undivided, paved or gravelled would be more meaningful to the map user than administrative descriptions such as interstate highway, state highway and local road.

4. It must be recognized that a large number of respondents used the legend. Therefore, map producers should recognize the importance of the legend to the user, and reassess its value as a convenient way of abbreviating certain map information, thus reducing map clutter.

5. The fact that the same symbol can appear on several maps and represent different phenomena must be confusing to the map user. Therefore, it is suggested that the symbols presented on a road map should reflect those used on the road signs. With the current movement towards the use of international road signs, adopting this policy would automatically introduce some symbol standardization on road maps, such as a triangle for campsites.

MAP-USER RESEARCH

Since the response rates to the 1974 Official Alberta Road Map User Survey was good, the writer feels that this research has made a contribution to the knowledge of road map usage. Information was provided where none existed. The results of the survey confirmed previous assumptions such as the existence of a mileage hierarchy, and in addition revealed new data. For example, the majority of people use the legend of a road map. Furthermore, an evaluation of the questionnaire survey results showed that the contents of the letters of complaint received by the Alberta Department of Highways did not completely reflect users' criticisms.

A common finding of all road map-user surveys using the deductive approach, is the request for more information. It

is however, surprising to note that despite the differences in the scales of maps examined, the information generally requested is related to amenities such as 24 hour facilities. A comparison of the 1974 Official Alberta Map User Survey with other surveys supports the statement that railway are generally considered redundant information on a road map. Similarly that drainage information was an important map item. In the case of the Official Alberta Road Map, however, the significance of drainage information could be related to recreation rather than physical orientation.

The research conducted in this study has considered only one small aspect of a particular user, his information requirements, and is only a first step. Further investigation is required in the North American context to confirm the needs of road-map users. Once this is done and the needs known, it should be possible, within cartographic constraints, to produce specific experimental maps which meet the users' information requirements. This may be regarded as the second stage in the process of map communication. The third stage would then be possible, testing the users' map-use ability. The fourth and final step is to apply the findings of such research to road maps for the general public.

FOOTNOTES

1. REI. COM. WITH A.D. CHEMENUK, Alberta Planning Branch
Transportation Department, June 1975.

2. REI. COM. WITH J.J. KLAME, Department of Geography,
August 1975.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Anderson, H.C. User Requirements for Modern Nautical Charts. Surveying and Mapping, 30 (2) (1970) 269-279.
- Anderson, J.M. Central Glasgow for the Motorist. Unpublished research paper, University of Glasgow (1973) 32.
- Arvetis, C. Commercial Map Design Concepts and Problems. Nachrichten Karten- und Vermessungswesen, 3 (1959) 14-16.
- Astley, H.W. A Note on the Requirement of Road Map Users. Cartographic Journal, 6 (2) (1969) 130.
- Balanubrahmanyam, V. Application of Information Theory to Maps. International Yearbook of Cartography, XI (1971) 177-181.
- Balchlin, M.C., Coleman, A. The Consultative Machinery of the Ordnance Survey. Canadian Cartographer, 3 (1) (1966) 48-51.
- Baldock, D.E. Cartographic Relief Portrayal. International Yearbook of Cartography, XI (1971) 75-78.
- Baldock, D.E. Multicoloured Road Map in Four Colours. Canadian Cartographer, 4 (1) (1967) 17-27.
- Bartholomew, J.C., Kinniburgh, A.E. The Factor of Amakness. Cartographic Journal, 10 (1) (1973) 59-63.
- Bartz, H. A. Experimental Use of the Search Task in an Analysis of Type Legibility in Cartography. Cartographic Journal, 7 (2) (1970) 103-112.
- Bartz, H. B. Analysis of the Typographic Legibility Literature. Cartographic Journal, 7 (1) (1970) 10-16.
- Bellak, H.K. Problems in Visual Search. International Yearbook of Cartography, XII (1972) 137-144.
- Board, C. Cartographic Communication and Standardization. International Yearbook of Cartography, XIII (1973) 229-236.
- Boysen, C. The Evolution of a New Route Oriented Travel Map System. Exhibit presented at the 5 International Conference on Cartography, May 1970, Stresa.

Consumers' Association. Road Maps. Motoring Which, April (1971) 75-79.

Consumers' Association. Motoring Maps. Which, July (1963) 196-203.

Copland, E.R. Typographic Size Control for Cartographers. Cartographic Journal, 11 (2), (1974) 91-93.

Dalucia, A. The Effects of Shaded Relief on Map Information Accessibility. Cartographic Journal, 9 (1) (1972) 14-19.

Dent, E.D. Visual Organization and Thematic Map Communication. Annals Association of American Geographers, 62 (1) (1972) 79-93.

Dennis, B. The Changing Profile of the Map User in Great Britain. Cartographic Journal, 10 1 (1973) 42-49.

Fullard, D. Problems of Communication between Editors and Users of Atlases. International Yearbook of Cartography, V (1965) 187-192.

Gardner, R.A. Cartography and the Mind of Man. Paper presented at the 6 International Conference on Cartography, August 1972, Montreal, Quebec.

Gauthier, M. La Couleur dans les Cartes Thematiques. International Yearbook of Cartography, IV (1964) 53-67.

Gylich, A.G. Visual Impact of Thematic Maps as a Communication Medium. Paper presented at the 5 International Conference on Cartography, May 1970, Moscow.

General Drafting. Personal correspondence between Wayne Fish and the writer, December 1973.

Grime, A.H. Teachers as Map Users. Paper presented at the Symposium on the Influence of the Map User on Map Design, September 1970, Kingston, Ontario.

Harrington, J.D. The Tourist Map of the United States needs a Great Designer. American Congress on Surveying and Mapping, 34 (1974) 553-559.

Marris, L.D. User Needs and their Effect on Design and

Programmes of Topographic Maps. American Congress on Surveying and Mapping, 26 (1966) 101-104.

Honyi, F. International Unification of Travel and Tourist Map Symbols. Paper presented at the 7 International Conference on Cartography, May 1970, Madrid.

International Cartographic Association. Multilingual Dictionary of Technical Terms in Cartography. Franz Steiner Verlag GmbH, Wiesbaden, 1973.

Iyobuziike M.O. The Africa Road Map. Cartographic Journal, 11, (2) (1974) 59-101.

Imhof, E. Die Anordnungsregeln Namen in der Karte. International Yearbook of Cartography, XII (1962) 93-129.

Imhof, E. Reliefdarstellung in Karten kleiner Maßstabe. International Yearbook of Cartography, I (1961) 50-62.

Jenks, G.F. Conceptual and Perceptual Errors in Thematic Mapping. American Congress on Surveying and Mapping, 30 (1970) 174-188.

Keates, J.S. a. A Recreational Map of the Central California. Paper presented at the Symposium on the Influence of the Map User on Map Design, September 1970, Kingston, Ontario.

Keates, J.S. b. Symbols and Meaning in Topographic Maps. Paper presented at the Symposium on the Influence of the Map User on Map Design, September 1970, Kingston, Ontario.

Keates, J.S. Perception of Colour in Cartography. Paper presented to the Cartographic Symposium 1962, Edinburgh.

Kilby, R.H. A Survey of Map User Practices and Requirements. Cartographic Journal, 7 (1) (1970) 30-38.

Kilby, R.H. A Survey of Canadian Topographic Map Users. Paper presented at the Symposium on the Influence of the Map User on Map Design, September 1970, Kingston, Ontario.

Kolaczny, A. Cartographic Information. International Yearbook of Cartography, XI (1971) 65-68.

Kolaczny, A. Cartographic Information - A Fundamental Concept

- and Term in Modern Cartography. Cartographic Journal, 6 (1) (1969) 47-49.
- Roeman, C. The Principle of Communication in Cartography. Paper presented at the 5 International Conference on Cartography, May 1970, Stresa.
- Makowski, A. Aesthetic and Utilitarian Aspects of Colour in Cartography. International Yearbook of Cartography, VII (1967) 62-87.
- McGrath, G. Astro Charts and their Users. Cartographic Journal, 9 (1) (1971) 79.
- McGrath, G. A Mapping of National Parks - A Methodological Approach. Paper presented at the Symposium on the Influence of the Map User on Map Design, September 1970, Kingston, Ontario.
- McGrath, G., Kirby, R.P. Survey Methods on the Use of Maps and Atlases. Canadian Cartographer, 6 (2) (1969) 132-148.
- McGrath, G. The Users and Uses of the Official Maps of Jamaica and User Requirements. Department of Geography, Kingston University, Ontario 1968, 1-190.
- McGrath, G. Proposal and Work Plan for a Study of Mapping and Route Planning. Amacora Science, 1972, California.
- Melville, S. Discussion on Maps presented at the Symposium on the Influence of Map User on Map Design, September, 1970 Kingston, Ontario. Cartographica, 8 (1971) 46-52.
- Mokkiam, A. Eye Notion and Map Design. Paper presented at the Symposium on the Influence of the Map User on Map Design, September 1970, Kingston, Ontario.
- Modany, K. Universal Symbols and Cartography. Paper presented at the Symposium on the Influence of the Map User on Map Design, September 1970, Kingston, Ontario.
- Mokkiam, A. Testing the Effectiveness of Road Speed Maps and Conventional Road Maps. Cartographic Journal, 11 (2) (1974) 102-117.
- Mokkiam, A. a. Methods of Evaluating Motorist Maps. Paper presented at 7 International Conference on Cartography, May 1970, Madrid.

- Morrison, A. B. Experimental Maps of Road Travel Speed. Cartographic Journal, 7 (2) (1970) 115-132.
- Morrison, A. Principles of Road Classification for Road Maps. Cartographic Journal, 3 (1) (1966) 17-30.
- Ogilvie, B. Discussion on Papers presented at the Symposium on the Influence of the Map User on Map Design, September, 1970, Kingston, Ontario. Cartographica, 2 (1971) 46-52.
- Rand McNally. Personal correspondence between Jon Leyschenk, General Manager, and the writer, January 1974.
- Ranton, W.H. A Half Century of Oil Company Road Maps. Συλλογισμὸς ἀπὸ Παρισίου, 24 (4) (1964) 617-637.
- Rodinson, A.H. Psychological Aspects of Colour in Cartography. International Yearbook of Cartography, VII (1967) 50-61.
- Sandor, K., Dudak, T. Some Problems of Standardization of Transportation Symbols in Thematic Cartography. International Yearbook of Cartography, XI (1971) 160-164.
- Sandor, K., Foldi, E. Map Lettering - An Important Element of Cartographic Communication. Hungarian Cartographic Studies, (1972), 47-49.
- Saunderlin, H.G.H. Design Emphasis on Special Purpose Maps. Cartography, 2 (3) (1958) 108-113.
- Sobel, I.M. Problems in Satisfying the Needs of the Canadian 1,500,000 Map User. Papers presented at the Symposium on the Influence of the Map User on Map Design, September 1970, Kingston, Ontario.
- Sheppard, D., Adams, J.M. A Survey on Drivers' Opinions on Maps for Route Finding. Cartographic Journal, 9 (2) (1972) 105-114.
- Sheppard, J.C. Terrain Representation and Map Function. International Yearbook of Cartography, IV (1964) 20-24.
- Southern, M.E. Map Use in Washington, District of Columbia. Papers presented at the Symposium on the Influence of the Map User on Map Design, September 1970, Kingston, Ontario.

- St. Arnaud, R. The Topographic Map and Map User. Paper presented at the Symposium on the Influence of The Map User on Map Design, September 1970, Kingston, Ontario.
- Traversi, C. Maps of the Future, New Styles and Types Needed. International Yearbook of Cartography, IX (1970) 124-128.
- Walsh, E.M. Small Craft Charting on the Trent-Seven Waterway. Paper presented at the Symposium on the Influence of the Map User on Map Design, September 1970, Kingston, Ontario.
- Warkentin, J. Random Thoughts on Manitoba Road Maps. Canadian Cartographer, 7 (2) (1970) 154-156.
- Willis, J.C.T. The Ordnance Survey and the Public. Geographic Journal, 122 (1) (1956) 145-55.
- Willcomb, J.C. Lettering on Maps. Geographic Journal, LXXXIII (1929) 429-447.
- Hood, M. Human Factors in Cartographic Communication. Cartographic Journal, 9 (2) (1972) 123-132.
- Hood, M. Visual Perception and Map Design. Cartographic Journal, 3 (1) (1966) 54-64.

APPENDIX A

MINUTES FROM I.C.A. COMMISSION V 1972

MINUTES FROM I.C.A. COMMISSION V 1972

Terms of Reference

1. Long-term task: The development of the theory of cartography. The first step to be undertaken should deal with the theory of cartographic communication, i.e., the transmission of information by means of maps.
2. Short-term tasks for the period '72 to '76 arising from the long term task should include the following:
 1. The elaboration of basic principles of map language
 2. The evaluation of both the efficiency of communication by means of maps with reference to the different groups of map users.

APPENDIX B

1974 OFFICIAL ALBERTA ROAD MAP

FOR LOCATION SEE BACK POCKET.

APPENDIX C

ROAD CATEGORIES PRESENTED
ON THE OFFICIAL ALBERTA ROAD MAP, 1924-1974

ROAD CATEGORIES PRESENTED

ON THE OFFICIAL ALBERTA ROAD MAP, 1924-1974

1924/1930Main Highway System

1. Surfaced with concrete
2. Surfaced with crushed rock, gravel or similar material
3. Sand Clay roads (normally good despite rains)
4. Heavy Clay or Loam Roads (good in dry weather, slippery after showers and heavy going after prolonged wet spells)
5. Sandy Roads (good during wet weather, heavy going after prolonged dry spells)
6. Projected Roads (not yet open for traffic)

The More Important Local Roads

7. Roads good under normal weather conditions.
8. Roads good only under the most favourable weather conditions.
9. Principle Connecting Highway Outside the Province

1932/1934

1. Paved and Improved Gravel Road
2. Gravelled Road
3. Improved Earth Road
4. Earth Road
5. Local Road

1938

1. Bituminous Surface Road
2. Gravelled Surface Road
3. Standard Earth Grade Road
4. Dirt Road (unimproved)
5. District Road

1939

1. Surfaced Road
2. Gravelled Road
3. Graded Road
4. Unimproved Road
5. District Road

1940, 1941-1946

1. Paved Road
2. Gravelled Road

3. Graded Road
4. Unimproved Road
5. District Road

1948-1957

1. Paved Road
2. Gravelled Road
3. Graded Road
4. Unimproved Road
5. Gravelled District Road
6. Graded District Road
7. U.S. Interstate Road

1958-1960

1. Paved Road, 4 lane
2. Paved Road, 2 lane
3. Gravelled Road
4. Gravelled District Road
5. Graded District Road
6. U.S. Interstate Road

1961-1966

1. Divided Highway
2. 4 lanes Undivided
3. Paved Road
4. Improved Road
5. Graded Road
6. Dist Road (unimproved)

} Each of these categories has three levels of symbols.

1967-1974

1. Divided Highway
2. 4 Lanes Undivided
3. Paved Road
4. Improved Road
5. Unimproved Road

} Within these categories, primary highways and secondary roads are symbolized.

Source: Official Alberta Road Maps, 1924-1974

APPENDIX D

1973 OFFICIAL ALBERTA ROAD MAP

FOR location see back pocket.

APPENDIX E

QUESTIONS IN THE 2174 OFFICIAL ALBERTA
A MAP USE SURVEY

QUESTIONS IN THE 1974 OFFICIAL ALBERTA ROAD MAP USE SURVEY

1. Do you have a driver's licence? YES NO
2. Do you own any of the following motor vehicles? Please circle all that are relevant.
 CAR MOTORCYCLE TRUCK TRAILER/CAMPER OTHER, please specify _____
3. Have you rented or borrowed any of the following motor vehicles during the last 12 months? Please circle all that are relevant.
 NONE CAR MOTORCYCLE TRUCK TRAILER/CAMPER OTHER, specify _____
4. Are you a member of a motor association, e.g., Alberta Motor Association (AMA)? YES NO
5. How often do you use paper (any kind)?
 VERY INFREQUENTLY OCCASIONALLY OFTEN VERY OFTEN
6. In the last month how many times have you used paper (any kind)?
 No. of times (please enumerate) _____
7. Have you had any training in map reading? Please mark the ONE most relevant.
 None School University Technical Institute Armed Forces Other Please specify _____
8. Have you ever used an Alberta Official Road Map? YES NO
9. Have you used the 1973 Alberta Official Road Map? YES NO
10. Have you used the 1974 Alberta Official Road Map? YES NO
11. There is a marked difference in content between the 1973 and 1974 Alberta Official Road Maps. Which map do you prefer?
 1. 1973 2. 1974 3. NO PREFERENCE
 If you have a preference, please explain your reason for this preference _____
12. For what major reasons do you expect to use the 1974 Alberta Official Road Map? Please mark ONE answer only.
 To get additional information re: _____
 Road information re: _____
 General information (checking places names etc.)
 Calculation of mileage for mileage plans
 Other, please specify _____
13. Sex: FEMALE MALE
14. Please indicate your age by checking ONE of the following groups.
 Under 18 18 - 24 25 - 29 30 - 39 40 - 49 50 - 59 60 - 64 65 and over

15. Please indicate which of the following you have completed by checking the most relevant box.

- Lower than grade 12
- Grade 12 or equivalent
- Technical Institute or College diploma
- A University degree

16. 1) How many miles did you drive in the last twelve months? Approx. miles _____
 2) How many of these miles did you drive outside cities? Approx. miles _____

17. Which ONE of the following categories best describes your motor travel, outside cities, in the last twelve months?

- Business
- Vacation primarily
- General travel primarily
- Vacation and general travel
- Other, please specify _____

18. During your motor travel, in the past twelve months, which of the following travel aids did you use? Please indicate your satisfaction of the information they contained for your requirements by checking ONE of the boxes, such as 'most satisfactory', for each travel aid which you used. Where possible please give examples such as, the map name, ONTARIO, and the year, 1971.

	Unsatisfactory	Satisfactory	Most Satisfactory	Map Name	Year
A) Federal (Government) Road Map	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
B) Official Provincial/State Road Map	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
C) Oil Company Road Maps	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
D) Motor Association Road Maps	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
E) Road Atlas	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
F) Topographic Sheets	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
G) Motor Association 'TripIt's'	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
H) I did not travel last year	<input type="checkbox"/>				

19. Within Alberta, which of the following travel aids listed above, which you used, were the most useful? Please rank those that you used, listing them in descending order of importance, such as 1, 2, 3 (most important).

- 1. _____
- 2. _____
- 3. _____

19. What is the most that you would pay for a map especially designed for tourists? _____
 Would never pay _____ Maximum would pay _____

20. Why have you not used an official Alberta Road Map? Please check as many as apply.

- You do not use road maps
- You preferred other maps
- You knew the area in which you were travelling
- You use road signs in preference to maps
- You ask people for information
- You did not know of the map's existence
- You had difficulty in obtaining the map

21. From your use of an Alberta Official Road Map is there:

1) Any additional information that you would like to see included? _____

2) Any criticism that you would like to make? _____

22. For what major purpose(s) did you use the 1976 Alberta Road Map? Please mark the relevant box(es) with an (X)
- () A) Tourist information primarily
 - () B) Road information primarily
 - () C) General reference (checking place names etc.)
 - () D) Calculation of mileage for mileage claims
 - () E) Other, please specify _____
- (1) Please rank those that you have marked in decreasing order of their importance to you, such as 1 - A) (most important).
1. _____ 2. _____

23. About how many times did you refer to the 1976 Alberta Road Map during an average day of travel?

No. of times (please enumerate)

24. Did you use the 1976 Alberta Road Map for planning your routes?

YES [] NO []

25. When you planned your routes, which ONE of the following was the most important?
- () Vacation primarily
 - () General travel primarily
 - () Business
 - () Vacation and general travel

26. During your travel in Alberta who generally read the Alberta Road Map?

YOU (DRIVER) []

YOUR PASSENGER []

27. In general, if the driver was reading the map did he/she:

stop to read the map []

glance at it quickly while driving []

28. Have you used the information in the 1976 Alberta Travel Guide? YES [] NO []

29. Do you find the 1976 Alberta Road Map satisfactory in the following respects?

- Clarity of symbols YES [] NO []
- Size of map sheet YES [] NO []
- Folding of the map YES [] NO []
- Quality of the paper YES [] NO []
- Clarity of the type YES [] NO []
- Scale: Want more detail [] Present scale okay [] Want less detail []

Scale refers to the area covered by a map. A large scale map covers a small area but has great detail. A small scale map covers a wider area but has less detail.

30. The following information does not appear on the 1976 Alberta Road Map. How important to you is it that these be included?

	NOT IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	VERY IMPORTANT
Representation of relief (elevation) as shown on the vacation planner	[]	[]	[]
24 hour bus stations	[]	[]	[]
Administrative boundaries	[]	[]	[]
Rest areas	[]	[]	[]
24 hour breakdown services	[]	[]	[]
Danger spots	[]	[]	[]
24 hour restaurants	[]	[]	[]
Facilities for the handicapped	[]	[]	[]



10. Are there any more things that would make the map more useful to you and which are not listed above?

11. The following information is contained in the 1976 Alberta Road Map. If you find the information important to you please check with an (X)

- (1) A) River names
- B) Lake names
- C) Dam
- D) Height of cities
- E) Height of mountains
- F) Population of cities
- G) Federal and Provincial Capitals
- H) Provincial Parks without Capitals
- I) Forest trails in National Parks
- J) Road classification
- K) M & A grid system of location

12. Please indicate the three you find the most important, ranking them in descending order of importance, such as 1 - 3 (most important)

1. 2. 3.

13. Which mileage information on the 1976 Alberta Road Map did you find the most useful? Please rank all those that you have used in their descending order of importance to you, such as 1 - most important, 2 - the next most important.

- Between towns and junctions
- Between towns
- Mileage data
- Between distances

14. In the lower left corner of the 1976 Alberta Road Map there is an explanation (legend) of many of the symbols appearing on the map.

- (1) Did you use it? YES NO
- (2) Are you satisfied with its location? YES NO
- (3) Did you find it satisfactory? YES NO If NO, explain why

15. Did you use the Vacation Planner, that is on the reverse side of the 1976 Alberta Road Map? YES NO

16. Did you use the 1976 City Plans of Alberta's major centres? YES NO

17. Do you have any criticisms of:

- (1) The 1976 Alberta Road Map
- (2) The Vacation Planner
- (3) The City Plans

18. Where do you live? CITY _____ PROVINCE/STATE _____

19. How long do they visit in Alberta? Days _____

20. Have you previously travelled in Alberta? YES NO

APPENDIX F

QUESTIONNAIRE DISTRIBUTED TO ALBERTANS

(POPULATION A)

FOR location see back pocket.

APPENDIX G

QUESTIONNAIRES DISTRIBUTED TO VISITORS TO ALBERTA
(POPULATION B)

for location see back pocket.

APPENDIX H

FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONNAIRE DISTRIBUTED TO ALBERTANS

(POPULATION A)

For location see back pocket.

APPENDIX I

QUESTIONNAIRE DISTRIBUTED TO ALBERTANS

(POPULATION AT)

For location see back pocket.

APPENDIX J

LOCATION OF QUESTIONS IN APPENDIX E ON
THE ACTUAL QUESTIONNAIRES (APPENDICES F, G, H, I).

LOCATION OF QUESTIONS IN
APPENDIX E ON THE ACTUAL QUESTIONNAIRES (APPENDICES F, G, H, I)

Appendix E	Question Numbers			
	Population A (Appendix F)	Population A (Appendix H)	Population A I (Appendix I)	Population B (Appendix G)
1	1	-	1	-
2	2	-	2	4
3	-	2	3	5
4	3	-	4	6
5	4	-	-	-
6	-	1	5	7
7	5	-	6	8
8	6	-	14	16
9	7	-	16	18
10	-	7	17	19
11	8	-	19	21
12	9	-	-	-
13	10	-	7	9
14	11	-	8	10
15	12	-	9	11
16	-	3	10	12
17	-	4	11	13
18	-	5	12	14
19	-	6	13	15
20	-	8	15	17
21	-	9	18	20
22	-	10	20	22
23	-	11	-	23
24	-	12	-	24
25	-	13	-	25
26	-	14	-	26
27	-	15	-	27
28	-	16	-	28
29	-	17	-	29
30	-	18	-	30
31	-	19	-	31
32	-	20	-	32
33	-	21	-	33
34	-	22	21	34
35	-	23	22	35
36	-	24	23	1
37	-	-	-	2
38	-	-	-	3
39	-	-	-	-

Source: Research Data, 1975.

APPENDIX K

LETTER OF INTRODUCTION SENT TO ALBERTANS
(POPULATION A)

DEPARTMENT OF GEOGRAPHY
Telephone (403) 432-4158



THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA
EDMONTON 7, CANADA

MAP USER SURVEY

I am a graduate student at the University of Alberta and in partial fulfillment of my Master's degree in the Department of Geography, I am carrying out a map user survey of the (enclosed) 1974 Alberta Official Road Map. The purpose of this project, which has not been carried out anywhere to date in Canada, is to find out who uses the map and for what purpose it is used. Most important I would like to know how satisfactory the information contained in the map is for your purposes. Even if you do not use the map I would still appreciate your filling out the enclosed questionnaire. The aim of the research, which has the full support of both the Department of Highways and Travel Alberta, is to lead to the improvement of the map.

The questionnaire which is part of this research is composed of two parts. The short questionnaire (enclosed) is to be filled out before any use is made of the 1974 Alberta Official Road Map. In early September of this year a longer, more detailed questionnaire will be sent to a selected sample of people who have received this short questionnaire. The longer questionnaire will ask map users about their use of the 1974 Alberta Official Road Map.

All the names and replies from these questionnaires will be treated as confidential, the results being processed in a general manner.

Accurate meaningful results on a survey of this kind depend on a high response rate and careful attention to the answers given to the questionnaire. Therefore, I would be very grateful if you would complete and return the attached questionnaire as quickly as possible. Should you have any problems or require further information about this research, please feel free to contact me at Travel Alberta, 10255 - 104 Street, Edmonton, Alberta T5J 1B1, (Telephone) 424-0474. An addressed, postage-paid envelope is enclosed for your convenience.

Thank you for your assistance

J. M. Anderson

Jacqueline M. Anderson

APPENDIX I

LETTER OF INTRODUCTION SENT
WITH THE FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONNAIRE TO
ALBERTANS (POPULATION A)

DEPARTMENT OF GEOGRAPHY
TELEPHONE (403) 432-4158



THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA
EDMONTON CANADA T6G 2H4

MAP USER SURVEY

4th September 1974

Dear

Thank you for completing and returning the questionnaire which you received with your copy of the 1974 Alberta Official Road Map. In my initial introductory letter I explained that this map user survey, which is part of my Master's degree in Geography, is to find out who uses the map and for what purpose it is used. More important I would like to know how satisfactory the information contained in the map is for your purposes.

The initial letter mentioned that the questionnaire, which is part of this research, was composed of two parts. The first part, the short questionnaire, which you kindly completed could be filled out before any use was made of the 1974 Alberta Official Road Map. The second part of the questionnaire (enclosed) deals with your use of this map and gives you the opportunity to give your opinions on the information contained in the map. Even if you did not use the map I would still appreciate your filling out the enclosed questionnaire.

All the names and replies from these questionnaires will be treated as confidential, the results being processed in a general manner.

Accurate meaningful results on a survey of this kind depend on a high response rate and careful attention to the answers given to the questionnaire. Therefore, I would be very grateful if you would complete and return the attached questionnaire as quickly as possible. Should you have any problems or require further information about this research, please feel free to contact me at the Department of Geography, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2H4 (telephone) 432-4158. An addressed, postage paid envelope is enclosed for your convenience.

Again thank you for your assistance

J. M. Anderson

Jacqueline M. Anderson

APPENDIX M

LETTER OF INTRODUCTION SENT TO ALBERTANS
(POPULATION A1)

DEPARTMENT OF GEOGRAPHY
TELEPHONE (403) 432-4158



THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA
EDMONTON, CANADA T6G 2H4

MAP USER SURVEY

4th September 1974

Dear

I am a graduate student at the University of Alberta and in partial fulfillment of my Master's degree in the Department of Geography, I am carrying out a map user survey of the 1974 Alberta Official Road Map. The purpose of this project, which has not been carried out anywhere to date in Canada, is to find out who uses the map and for what purpose it is used. More important I would like to know how satisfactory the information contained in the map is for your purposes. Even if you have not used the 1974 Alberta Official Road Map I would still appreciate your filling out the enclosed questionnaire. The aim of the research, which has the full support of both the Department of Highways and Travel Alberta, is to lead to the improvement of the map.

All the names and replies from the questionnaires will be treated as confidential, the results being processed in a general manner.

Accurate meaningful results on a survey of this kind depend on a high response rate and careful attention to the answers given to the questionnaires. Therefore, I would be very grateful if you would complete and return the attached questionnaire as quickly as possible. Should you have any problems or require further information about this research, please feel free to contact me at the Department of Geography, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2H4 (telephone) 432-4158. An addressed postage paid envelope is enclosed for your convenience.

Thank you for your assistance

J. M. Anderson
Jacqueline M. Anderson

APPENDIX N

POST CARD REMINDER
SENT TO ALBERTANS (POPULATION A1)

POST CARD REMINDER
SENT TO ALBERTANS (POPULATION 1)

Dear

On September 4th, you received a questionnaire relating to a Map User Survey of the 1974 Alberta Road Map.

Would you kindly complete this questionnaire as your reply is important to my work.

I look forward to hearing from you.

J. M. Anderson
Dept. of Geography Univ. of Alberta
Edmonton, Alberta

APPENDIX O

MAPS SELECTED FOR THE
GENERAL ANALYSIS OF ROAD MAPS

MAPS SELECTED FOR
THE GENERAL ANALYSIS OF ROAD MAPS

OFFICIAL MAPS		
Map	Year	Scale (R.F.) 1/to
<u>CANADA</u>		
1. British Columbia	1973/1974	1,254,240
2. Manitoba	1974	892,394
3. New Brunswick	1974	731,000
4. Newfoundland & Labrador	1974	950,400
5. Northwest Territories	1974	1,393,920
6. Nova Scotia	1974	576,000
7. Ontario	1974	1,070,873
8. Ontario, Northern	1974	1,397,647
9. Prince Edward Island	1974	243,692
10. Quebec	1974	768,000
11. Saskatchewan	1974	1,348,085
12. Yukon	1974	2,880,000
13. Canada Highway Map	1974	2,011,428
<u>U.S.A.</u>		
14. Alabama	1973	905,142
15. Alaska	1973	1,357,714
16. Arizona	1973	1,357,714
17. Arkansas	1974	792,000
18. California	1972	267,200
19. Colorado	1970	950,400
20. Connecticut	1974	211,200
21. Delaware	1971	211,200
22. Florida	1973/1974	1,056,000
23. Georgia	1974/1975	887,040
24. Idaho	1974	1,584,000
25. Illinois	1973	792,000
26. Indiana	1973/1974	633,600
27. Iowa	1973	905,142
28. Louisiana	1974	633,600
29. Maine	1974	633,600
30. Maryland	1974	380,160
31. Massachusetts	1973/1974	372,706
32. Michigan	1973	905,142
33. Minnesota	1973/1974	1,408,000
34. Mississippi	1973	792,000
35. Missouri	1974	792,000
36. Montana	1971	1,408,000
37. Nebraska	1974	1,408,000

continued...

continued:

OFFICIAL MAPS		
Map	Year	Scale (R.F.) 1/to
38. Nevada	1973	1,584,000
39. New Hampshire	1973/1974	422,400
40. New Jersey	1972	281,600
41. New Mexico	1974	1,426,156
42. North Carolina	1973/1974	844,800
43. North Dakota	1973	267,200
44. Ohio	1973	633,600
45. Oklahoma	1973	792,000
46. Oregon	1974	905,142
47. Pennsylvania	1973	570,240
48. Rhode Island	1973/1974	126,720
49. South Carolina	1974	591,200
50. Tennessee	1972/1973	1,267,200
51. Texas	1974	1,490,824
52. Utah	1973	1,267,200
53. Vermont	1974	352,000
54. Virginia	1974	452,571
55. Washington	1974	1,044,395
56. West Virginia	1973	772,682
57. Wisconsin	1974	833,684
58. Wyoming	1974	1,152,000

COMMERCIAL MAPS

Map	Year	Scale (R.F.) 1/to	Company
CANADA			
59. Alberta, British Columbia	1973	1,584,000	Esso
60. Alberta, British Columbia	1974	2,475,000	Gulf
61. Alberta, British Columbia	1972	2,501,052	Shell
62. Alberta, British Columbia, Alaska	1971	3,105,882	American Oil
63. Alberta, British Columbia, Manitoba, Saskatchewan	1973	2,475,000	Mobile
64. Alberta, British Columbia, Manitoba, Saskatchewan	1973	1,980,000	Texaco
65. Manitoba, Saskatchewan	1973	1,775,000	Esso

continued.

continued:

COMMERCIAL MAPS			
Map	Year	Scale (R.F.) 1/to	Company
66. Ontario	1974	1,873,931	Esso
67. Saskatchewan	1971	1,532,903	Saskatch- ewan Motor Association
68. Yellowhead	n.a.	2,862,650	-
U.S.A.			
69. California	1973	1,293,061	Mobile
70. Georgia	1973	1,092,413	Texaco
71. Hawaii	1973	633,600	Texaco
72. Kansas	1966	1,118,117	Conoco
73. New York	1972	140,800	Getty
74. Central States	1971	2,534,000	A.A.A.
75. Central and Western States	1973	3,126,315	Marathon
76. Eastern U.S.A.	1973	2,200,000	Gulf
77. Eastern U.S.A.	1974	2,956,800	Shell
78. Eastern U.S.A.	1973	2,230,985	Texaco
79. Western U.S.A.	1974	3,620,571	Esso
80. Western U.S.A.	1973	3,673,043	Exxon

Please answer all the questions to the best of your ability, marking only ONE answer unless otherwise stated. All answers will be treated as strictly confidential.

Thank you for your help.

1. Do you have a driver's licence? YES NO

2. Do you own any of the following motor vehicles? Please circle all those that are relevant.
CAR MOTORCYCLE TRUCK TRAILER/CAMPER OTHER, please specify.....

3. Are you a member of a motor association, eg., Alberta Motor Association (AMA)?
YES NO

4. How often do you use maps? (any kind)
VERY INFREQUENTLY OCCASIONALLY OFTEN VERY OFTEN

5. Have you had any training in map reading? Please mark the ONE most relevant.
None
School
University
Technical Institute
Armed Forces
Other Please specify.....

6. Have you ever used an Alberta Official Road Map? YES NO If NO, go to Question 9.

7. Have you used the 1973 Alberta Official Road Map? YES NO If NO, go to Question 9.

8. There is a marked difference in content between the 1973 and 1974 Alberta Official Road Maps. Which map do you prefer?
1) 1973 1974 NO PREFERENCE
11) If you have a preference, please explain your reason for this preference.....

9. For what major purposes do you expect to use the 1974 Alberta Official Road Map? Please mark ONE answer only.

- Tourist information primarily
- Road information primarily
- General reference (checking place names etc.)
- Calculation of mileage for mileage claims
- Other, please specify.....

10. Sex, FEMALE MALE

11. Please indicate your age by checking ONE of the following groups.

- Under 18 30 - 39 60 - 64
- 18 - 24 40 - 49 65 and over
- 25 - 29 50 - 59

12. Please indicate which of the following you have completed by checking the most relevant box.

- Lower than grade 12
- Grade 12 or equivalent
- Technical Institute or College diploma
- A University degree

Thank you for your assistance.

Please answer all the questions, marking only ONE answer unless otherwise stated. Questions requiring your comments may be answered in the spaces provided after those questions. All answers will be treated as confidential.

Thank you for your help.

Miss J. M. Anderson
Department of Geography
University of Alberta
Edmonton, Alberta, Canada
T6G 2H4

1. Where do you live? City _____ Province/State _____
2. How long is this visit to Alberta? Days _____
3. Have you previously travelled in Alberta? YES NO
4. Do you own any of the following motor vehicles? Please circle all that are relevant.
CAR MOTORCYCLE TRUCK TRAILER/CAMPER OTHER, please specify _____
5. Have you rented or borrowed any of the following motor vehicles during the last three months? Please circle all that are relevant.
NONE CAR MOTORCYCLE TRUCK TRAILER/CAMPER OTHER, specify _____
6. Are you a member of a motor association (auto club) such as the Canadian/American Automobile Association (CAA, AAA)?
YES NO
7. In the past month how many times have you used maps? (any kind)
No. of times (please enumerate) _____
8. Have you had any training in map reading? Please mark the ONE most relevant.
None
School
University
Technical Institute
Armed Forces
Other Please specify _____
9. Sex: MALE FEMALE
10. Please indicate your age by checking ONE of the following groups:
Under 18 30 - 39 60 - 64
18 - 24 40 - 49 65 and over
25 - 29 50 - 59
11. Please indicate which of the following you have completed by checking the most relevant box.
Lower than grade 12
Grade 12 or equivalent
Technical Institute or College diploma
A University degree
12. 1) How many miles did you drive in the last twelve months? Approx. miles _____

4. Do you own any of the following motor vehicles? Please circle all that are relevant.

CAR MOTORCYCLE TRUCK TRAILER/CAMPER OTHER, please specify _____

5. Have you rented or borrowed any of the following motor vehicles during the last three months? Please circle all that are relevant.

NONE CAR MOTORCYCLE TRUCK TRAILER/CAMPER OTHER, specify _____

6. Are you a member of a motor association (auto club) such as the Canadian/American Automobile Association (CAA; AAA)?

YES NO

7. In the past month how many times have you used maps? (any kind)

No. of times (please enumerate) _____

8. Have you had any training in map reading? Please mark the ONE most relevant.

None

School

University

Technical Institute

Armed Forces

Other Please specify _____

2 of

9. Sex. MALE FEMALE

10. Please indicate your age by checking ONE of the following groups:

Under 18 30 - 39 60 - 64

18 - 24 40 - 49 65 and over

25 - 29 50 - 59

11. Please indicate which of the following you have completed by checking the most relevant box.

Lower than grade 12

Grade 12 or equivalent

Technical Institute or College diploma

A University degree

12. (I) How many miles did you drive in the last twelve months? Approx. miles _____

(II) How many of these miles did you drive outside cities? Approx. miles _____

13. Which ONE of the following categories best describes your motor travel, outside cities, in the last twelve months?

Business

Vacation primarily

General travel primarily

Vacation and general travel

Other, please specify _____

in the past twelve months, which of the following travel aids did you use? Please indicate your satisfaction of the information they contained for your requirements by checking ONE of the boxes, such as 'most satisfactory', for each travel aid which you used. Where possible please give examples such as, the map name - ONTARIO, and the year, 1971.

3 of 1

	Unsatis- factory	Satis- factory	Most Satis- factory	Map Name	Year
A) Federal (Government) Road Map				_____	_____
B) Official Provincial/State Road Map				_____	_____
C) Oil Company Road Maps				_____	_____
D) Motor Association Road Maps				_____	_____
E) Road Atlas				_____	_____
F) Topographic Sheet				_____	_____
G) Motor Association 'Triptik'					
H) I did not travel last year					

11) Within Alberta, which of the relevant travel aids listed above, which you used, were the most useful? Please rank those that you used, listing them in decreasing order of importance, such as 1, - 8) (most important).

1. _____ 2. _____ 3. _____

15. What is the most that you would pay for a map especially designed for tourism?
 Would never pay _____ Maximum would pay _____

16. Have you ever used an Alberta Official Road Map? YES NO If YES, go to question 18.

17. Why was your answer NO? Please check as many as apply.

- You do not use road maps
- preferred other maps
- You know the area in which you were travelling
- you use road signs in preference to maps
- You ask people for information
- you did not know of the map's existence
- You had difficulty in obtaining the map

IF YOU ANSWERED "NO" IN QUESTION 16, YOU HAVE NOW COMPLETED THE QUESTIONNAIRE.

Thank you for your assistance.

18. Have you used the 1973 Alberta Official Road Map? YES NO

19. Have you used the 1974 Alberta Official Road Map? YES NO If YES, go to Question 21.

20. From your use of an Alberta Official Road Map is there:

(1) Any additional information that you would like to see included? _____

(2) Any criticism that you would like to make? _____

IF YOU ANSWERED "NO" IN QUESTION 19, YOU HAVE NOW COMPLETED THE QUESTIONNAIRE.

of Motor Agencies in the 'Triptik'

10. I did not travel last year

11. Within Alberta, which of the relevant travel aids listed above, which you used, were the most useful? Please rank those that you used, listing them in decreasing order of importance, such as 1, - 10 (most important).

15. What is the cost that you would pay for a map specially designed for tourism?

Would I never pay

Maximum would pay

16. Have you ever used an Alberta Official Road Map? YES NO If YES, go to question 18.

17. Why was your answer NO? Please check as many as apply.

You do not use road maps

You prefer other maps

You knew the area to which you were travelling

You use road signs in preference to maps

You and people get information

You did not know of the map's existence

You had difficulty in obtaining the map

IF YOU ANSWERED "NO" IN QUESTION 16, YOU HAVE NOW COMPLETED THE QUESTIONNAIRE.

Thank you for your assistance.

18. Have you used the 1973 Alberta Official Road Map? YES NO

19. Have you used the 1974 Alberta Official Road Map? YES NO If YES, go to Question 21.

20. From your use of an Alberta Official Road Map is there:

(1) Any additional information that you would like to see included?

(2) Any criticism that you would like to make?

IF YOU ANSWERED "NO" IN QUESTION 19, YOU HAVE NOW COMPLETED THE QUESTIONNAIRE.

Thank you for your assistance.

NOTE: The following questions are to be answered ONLY by those who answered YES to Question 19.

21. There is a marked difference in content between the 1973 and 1974 Alberta Official Road Maps. If you have used both maps, which do you prefer?

(1) 1973 (2) 1974 (3) NO PREFERENCE

(4) If you have a preference, please explain your reason for this preference

22. For what major purpose(s) did you use the 1974 Alberta Road Map? Please mark the relevant box(es) with an (X).

- 1) A) Tourist information primarily []
B) Road information primarily []
C) General reference (checking place names etc.) []
D) Calculation of mileages for mileage claims []
E) Other, please specify _____

5 of

1) Please rank those that you have marked in decreasing order of their importance to you, such as 1. - A) (most important).

1. _____ 2. _____ 3. _____

23. About how many times did you refer to the 1974 Alberta Road Map during an average day of travel?

No. of times (please enumerate) _____

24. Did you use the 1974 Alberta Road Map for planning your routes?

YES [] NO [] If NO, go to Question 26.

25. When you planned your routes, which ONE of the following was the most important?

- Vacation primarily []
General travel primarily []
Business []
Vacation and general travel []

26. DURING YOUR TRAVEL IN ALBERTA WHO GENERALLY READ THE ALBERTA ROAD MAP?

- YOU (DRIVER) []
YOUR PASSENGER []

27. In general, if the driver was reading the map did he/she:

- Stop to read the map []
Glance at it quickly while driving []

28. Have you used the information in the 1974 Alberta Travel Guide? YES [] NO []

29. Do you find the 1974 Alberta Road Map satisfactory in the following respects?

- Clarity of symbols YES [] NO []
Size of map sheet YES [] NO []
Folding of the map YES [] NO []
Quality of the paper YES [] NO []
Clarity of the type YES [] NO []
Scale: Want more detail [] Present scale Okay [] Want less detail []

Scale refers to the area covered by a map. A large scale map covers a small area but has great detail. A small scale map covers a wider area but has less detail.

30. The following information does not appear on the 1974 Alberta Road Map. How important to you is it that these be included?

1) NOT IMPORTANT IMPORTANT VERY IMPORTANT

Presentation of relief (elevation) as shown on the vacation planner [] [] []

of travel? _____

No. of times (please enumerate) _____

24. Did you use the 1974 Alberta Road Map for planning your routes?

YES NO If NO, go to Question 26.

25. When you planned your routes, which ONE of the following was the most important?

- Vacation primarily
- General travel primarily
- Business
- Vacation and general

26. During your travel in Alberta, did you generally read the Alberta Road Map?

- YOU (DRIVER)
- YOUR PASSENGER

27. In general, if the driver was reading the map did he(she):

- Stop to read the map
- Glance at it occasionally while driving

6 #

28. Have you used the information in the 1974 Alberta Travel Guide? YES NO

29. Do you find the 1974 Alberta Road Map satisfactory in the following respects?

- Clarity of symbols YES NO
- Size of map sheets YES NO
- Folding of the map YES NO
- Quality of the paper YES NO
- Clarity of the type YES NO
- Scale: Want more detail Present scale Okay Want less detail









Scale refers to the area covered by a map. A large scale map covers a small area but has great detail. A small scale map covers a wider area but has less detail.

30. The following information does not appear on the 1974 Alberta Road Map. How important to you is it that these be included?

1)	NOT IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	VERY IMPORTANT
Presentation of relief (elevation) as shown on the vacation planner	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
24 hour gas stations	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Administrative boundaries	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Railways	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
24 hour breakdown services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Danger spots	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
24 hour restaurants	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Trailer waste disposal sites	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

10) Are there any more things that would make the map more useful to you and which are not listed above?

11. The following information is contained in the 1974 Alberta Road Map. If you find the information important to you please check with an (X).



- A) River names
- B) Lake names
- C)  Dams
- D)  Height of cities
- E)  Height of mountains
- F)  Population of cities
- G)  Federal and Provincial Campsites
- H)  Provincial Parks without Campsites
- I)  Forest (FMI) in National Parks
- J)  Road classification
- K) M 5 Grid system of location

7 of


12) Please indicate the three you find the most important, ranking them in decreasing order of importance, such as 1 - 3 (most important)

1. _____ 2. _____ 3. _____

13. Which mileage information on the 1974 Alberta Road Map did you find the most useful? Please rank all those that you have used in their decreasing order of importance to you, such as 1 - most important, 2 - the next most important.

- Between Towns and Junctions 
- Between Dots 
- Mileage chart
- Written distances (through some miles around base)

14. In the lower left corner of the 1974 Alberta Road Map there is an explanation (legend) of many of the symbols appearing on the map.

-  Did you use it? YES NO
- 1) Are you satisfied with its location? YES NO
- 11) Did you find it satisfactory? YES NO If NO, explain why

15. Did you use the Vacation Planner, that is on the reverse side of the 1974 Alberta Road Map?

YES NO

16. Did you use the 1974 City Plans of Alberta's major centres? YES NO

17. Do you have any criticisms of:

1) The 1974 Alberta Road Map

11) The Vacation Planner



111) The City Plans

- c) ▲ Federal and Provincial Campsites
 - d) ⚡ Provincial Parks without Campsites
 - e) / Forest Trails in National Parks
 - f) — Road Classification
- FORM 5 Grid system of location

10. Please indicate the three you find the most important, ranking them in decreasing order of importance, such as 1 - 3 (most important)

1. _____ 2. _____ 3. _____

11. Which symbols on the 1974 Alberta Road Map did you find the most useful? Please rank all those that you have used in their decreasing order of importance to you, such as 1 - most important, 2 - the next most important.

- I) Between Towns and Junctions 
- II) Between Dots 
- III) Mileage chart
- IV) Written distances (EDMONTON 100 MILES REGINA 550)

12. In the lower left corner of the 1974 Alberta Road Map there is an explanation (legend) of many of the symbols appearing on the map.

- I) Did you use it? YES [] NO []
- II) Are you satisfied with its location? YES [] NO []
- III) Did you find it satisfactory? YES [] NO [] If NO, explain why

13. Did you use the Vacation Planner, that is on the reverse side of the 1974 Alberta Road Map?

YES [] NO []

14. Did you use the 1974 City Plans of Alberta's major centres? YES [] NO []

15. Do you have any criticisms of:

- I) The 1974 Alberta Road Map _____
- II) The Vacation Planner _____
- III) The City Plans _____

Thank you for all your assistance.

Miss J. M. Anderson
 Department of Geography
 University of Alberta
 Edmonton, Alberta, Canada
 T6G 2H4

8 of 8

... information will be treated as confidential.

Thank you for your help

1 of

In the past month have made three or more motor trips (any kind) ... (please enumerate)

Have you rented or borrowed any of the following motor vehicles during the last three months? Please circle all that are relevant.

None, Car, Motorcycle, Truck, Trailer-Camper, Other, specify

(1) How many miles did you drive in the last twelve months? Approx. miles

(2) How many of the miles did you drive outside cities? Approx. miles

Which of the following categories best describes your motor travel, outside cities, in the last twelve months.

- Business
Vacation primarily
General travel primarily
Vacation and general travel
Other, please specify

During your motor travel, in the past twelve months, which of the following travel aids did you use? Please indicate your satisfaction of the information they contained for your requirements by checking ONE of the boxes, such as 'most satisfactory', for each travel aid which you used. Where possible please give examples, such as, the map name ONTARIO, and the year, 1971.

Table with columns: (1) Description, (2) Most Satisfactory, (3) Satisfactory, (4) Not Satisfactory, (5) Map Name, (6) Year. Rows include: (A) Federal (Government) Road Map, (B) Official Provincially Issued Road Map, (C) Oil Company Road Map, (D) Motor Association Road Maps, (E) Road Atlas, (F) Topographic sheet, (G) Motor Association 'Pliptik', (H) I did not travel last year.

(1) Within Alberta, which of the relevant travel aids listed above, which you used, were the most useful? Please rank those that you used, listing them in decreasing order of importance, such as 1, 2, 3, 4 (most important).

What is the most that you would pay for a map especially designed for tourism? Would never pay / Maximum would pay

Have you used the 1974 Alberta Official Road Map, which you received earlier this year? YES / NO. If YES, go to Question 10.

PLEASE TURN OVER

- You do not use road maps.
- You preferred other maps.
- You knew the area in which you were travelling.
- You use road signs in preference to maps.
- You ask people for information.

9. If you have used an Alberta Official Road Map, is there:
- (1) Any additional information that you would like to see included?
 - (2) Any criticism that you would like to make?

I have not used an Alberta Official Road Map.

IF YOU ANSWERED "NO" TO QUESTION 7, YOU HAVE NOW COMPLETED THE QUESTIONNAIRE.

Thank you for your assistance.

10. For what major purpose(s) did you use the 1974 Alberta Official Road Map? Please mark the relevant box(es) with an (X).

- (A) Tourist information primarily
- (B) Road information primarily
- (C) General reference (checking place names etc.)
- (D) Calculation of mileage for mileage claims
- (E) Other, please specify _____

- (1) Please rank those that you have marked in decreasing order of their importance to you, such as E, D, A (most important).

1. _____ 2. _____ 3. _____

11. About how many times did you refer to the 1974 Alberta Road Map during an average day of travel?

No. of times (please enumerate) _____

12. Did you use the 1974 Alberta Road Map for planning your routes?

YES NO If NO, go to Question 14.

13. When you planned your routes, which ONE of the following was the most important?

- Vacation primarily
- General travel primarily
- Business
- Vacation and general travel

14. During your travel in Alberta who generally read the Alberta Road Map?

- YOU (DRIVER)
- YOUR PASSENGER

15. In general, if the driver was reading the map did he/she:

- Stop to read the map
- Glance at it quickly while driving

16. Have you ever used the 1974 Alberta Travel Guide? YES NO

17. Do you find the 1974 Alberta Travel Guide satisfactory in the following respects:

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|---|
| (A) Clarity of information | YES <input type="checkbox"/> | NO <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (B) Size of map sheets | YES <input type="checkbox"/> | NO <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (C) Degree of detail | YES <input type="checkbox"/> | NO <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (D) Accuracy of information | YES <input type="checkbox"/> | NO <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (E) Ability to use map sheets | YES <input type="checkbox"/> | NO <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (F) Ability to use map sheets | Present scale Okay <input type="checkbox"/> | Want less detail <input type="checkbox"/> |

3 of

(F) Why do you prefer a large scale map over a small scale map? A large scale map covers a small area but has more detail. A small scale map covers a wider area but has less detail.

18. Do you find the following items listed on the 1974 Alberta Road Map? How Important are they to you? (Circle one)

(A) NOT IMPORTANT IMPORTANT VERY IMPORTANT

(1) City of Edmonton (in red) (city of Edmonton)

(2) City of Calgary (in red)

(3) City of Lethbridge (in red)

(4) City of Regina (in red)

(5) City of Saskatoon (in red)

(6) City of Vancouver (in red)

(7) City of Toronto (in red)

(8) City of Montreal (in red)


19. Are there any more things that would make the map more useful to you which are not listed above?

20. Do you know any symbols not contained in the 1974 Alberta Road Map? If you find the following symbols useful to you, please check with an (X).

- (A) City of Edmonton
- (B) City of Calgary
- (C) Dams
- (D) Height of cities
- (E) Height of mountains
- (F) Population of cities
- (G) Federal and Provincial Campsites
- (H) Provincial Parks without Campsites
- (I) Forest Trails in National Parks
- (J) Road construction
- (K) M. S. Grid system of location

21. Please indicate the three you find the most important, ranking them in descending order of importance, such as 1, 2, 3 (most important).

of the 1974 Alberta Road Map did you find the most useful?
 Please rank all those that you used in their decreasing order of importance to you, such as 1 - most important, 2 - the next most important.

Between Towns and Junctions 

Between Dots 

Mileage chart

Written distances LETHBRIDGE 390 Miles REGINA SASK

4 of 4

21. In the lower left corner of the 1974 Alberta Road Map there is an explanation (legend) of many of the symbols appearing on the map.

- (I) Did you use it? YES NO
- (II) Are you satisfied with its location? YES NO
- (III) Did you find it satisfactory? YES NO If NO, explain why

22. Did you use the Vacation Planner, that is on the reverse side of the 1974 Alberta Road Map?

YES NO

23. Did you use the 1974 City Plans of Alberta's major centres? YES NO

24. Do you have any criticisms of:

- (I) The 1974 Alberta Road Map
- (II) The Vacation Planner
- (III) The City Plans

Thank you for your assistance.

Miss J. M. Anderson
 Department of Geography
 University of Alberta
 Edmonton, Alberta, Canada
 T6G 2H6



those questions. All answers will be treated as confidential.

102

Thank you for your help.

1. Do you have a driver's licence? YES NO
2. Do you own any of the following motor vehicles? Please circle all that are relevant.
 CAR MOTORCYCLE TRUCK TRAILER/CAMPER OTHER, please specify _____
3. Have you rented or borrowed any of the following motor vehicles during the last three months? Please circle all that are relevant.
 NONE CAR MOTORCYCLE TRUCK TRAILER/CAMPER OTHER, specify _____

4. Are you a member of a motor association, e.g., Alberta Motor Association (AMA)?
 YES NO

5. In the past month how many times have you used maps? (any kind)
 No. of times (please enumerate) _____

6. Have you had any training in map reading? Please mark the ONE most relevant.
- None
 - School
 - University
 - Technical Institute
 - Armed Forces
 - Other Please specify _____

7. Sex. MALE FEMALE

8. Please indicate your age by checking ONE of the following groups:
- | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Under 18 <input type="checkbox"/> | 20 - 29 <input type="checkbox"/> | 60 - 64 <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 18 - 24 <input type="checkbox"/> | 40 - 49 <input type="checkbox"/> | 65 and over <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 25 - 29 <input type="checkbox"/> | 50 - 59 <input type="checkbox"/> | |

9. Please indicate which of the following you have completed by checking the most relevant box:
- Lower than grade 12
 - Grade 12 or equivalent
 - Technical Institute or College diploma
 - A University degree

10. 1) How many miles did you drive in the last twelve months? Approx. miles _____
 11) How many of these miles did you drive outside Alberta? Approx. miles _____

11. Which ONE of the following categories best describes your motor travel, outside Alberta, in the last twelve months?
- Business
 - Vacation primarily
 - General travel primarily
 - Vacation and general travel
 - Other, please specify _____

12. During your motor travel, in the past twelve months, which of the following travel aids did you use? Please indicate your satisfaction of the information they contained for your requirements by checking ONE of the boxes, such as 'most satisfactory', for each travel aid which you used. Where possible please give examples such as, the map name ONTARIO, and the year, 1971.

1)	Unsatis- factory	Satis- factory	Most Satis- satisfactory	Map Name	Year
A) Federal (Government) Road Map	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
B) Official Provincial/State Road Map	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
C) Oil Company Road Maps	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		

6. Have you had any training in map reading? Please mark the ONE most relevant.

- None
- School
- University
- Technical Institute
- Armed Forces
- Other Please specify _____

7. Sex. MALE FEMALE

8. Please indicate your age by checking ONE of the following groups:

- Under 18
- 18 - 24
- 25 - 29
- 30 - 39
- 40 - 49
- 50 - 59
- 60 - 64
- 65 - and over

9. Please indicate which of the following you have completed by checking the most relevant box:

- Lower than grade 12
- Grade 12 or equivalent
- Technical Institute or College diploma
- A University degree

12 of

10. 1) How many miles did you drive in the last twelve months? Approx. miles _____

1) How many of these miles did you drive outside cities? Approx. miles _____

11. Which ONE of the following categories best describes your motor travel, outside cities, in the last twelve months?

- Business
- Vacation primarily
- General travel primarily
- Vacation and general travel
- Other, please specify _____

12. During your motor travel, in the past twelve months, which of the following travel aids did you use? Please indicate your satisfaction of the information they contained for your requirements by checking ONE of the boxes, such as 'most satisfactory', for each travel aid which you used. Where possible please give examples such as, the map name - ONTARIO, and the year, 1971.

1)	Unsatisfactory	Satisfactory	Most Satisfactory	Map Name	Year
A) Federal (Government) Road Map	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	_____
B) Official Provincial/State Road Map	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	_____
C) Oil Company Road Maps	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	_____
D) Motor Association Road Maps	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	_____
E) Road Atlas	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	_____
F) Topographic Sheet	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	_____
G) Motor Association "Tripak"	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	_____
H) I did not travel last year	<input type="checkbox"/>				

1) Within Alberta, which of the following travel aids listed above, which you used, were the most useful? Please rank those that you used, listing them in decreasing order of importance, such as 1, - H) (most important).

2. _____ 3. _____

PLEASE TURN OVER

Would never pay

Maximum would pay _____

14. Have you ever used an Alberta Official Road Map? YES NO If YES, go to Question 16.

15. Why was your answer NO? Please check as many as apply.

- You do not use road maps
- You preferred other maps
- You knew the area in which you were travelling
- You use road signs in preference to maps
- You ask people for information
- You did not know of the maps existence
- You had difficulty in obtaining the map

3 of 1

IF YOU ANSWERED "NO" IN QUESTION 14, YOU HAVE NOW COMPLETED THE QUESTIONNAIRE

Thank you for your assistance.

16. Have you used the 1973 Alberta Official Road Map? YES NO

17. Have you used the 1974 Alberta Official Road Map? YES NO If YES, go to Question 19.

18. From your use of an Alberta Official Road Map is there:

i) Any additional information that you would like to see included? _____

ii) Any criticism that you would like to make? _____

IF YOU ANSWERED "NO" TO QUESTION 17, YOU HAVE NOW COMPLETED THE QUESTIONNAIRE

Thank you for your assistance.

19. There is a marked difference in content between the 1973 and 1974 Alberta Official Road Maps. If you have used both maps, which do you prefer?

i) 1973 1974 NO PREFERENCE

ii) If you have a preference, please explain your reason for this preference _____

20. For what major purpose(s) did you use the 1974 Alberta Road Map? Please mark the relevant box(es) with an (X).

- A) Tourist information primarily
- B) Road information primarily
- C) General reference (checking place names etc.)
- D) Calculation of mileage for mileage claims
- E) Other, please specify _____

ii) Please rank those that you have marked in decreasing order of their importance to you, such as 1. - A) (most important).

1. _____ 2. _____ 3. _____

21. Did you use the Vacation Planner, that is on the reverse side of the 1974 Alberta Road Map?

YES NO

22. Did you use the 1974 City Plans of Alberta's major centres? YES NO

23. Do you have any criticisms of:

i) The 1974 Alberta Road Map _____

ii) The Vacation Planner _____

iii) The City Plans _____

16. Have you used the 1973 Alberta Official Road Map? YES NO
17. Have you used the 1974 Alberta Official Road Map? YES NO If YES, go to Question 19.

18. From your use of an Alberta Official Road Map is there:

- i) Any additional information that you would like to see included? _____
- ii) Any criticism that you would like to make? _____

IF YOU ANSWERED "NO" TO QUESTION 17, YOU HAVE NOW COMPLETED THE QUESTIONNAIRE

Thank you for your assistance.

19. There is a marked difference in content between the 1973 and 1974 Alberta Official Road Maps. If you have used both maps, which do you prefer?

- i) 1973 1974 NO PREFERENCE
- ii) If you have a preference, please explain your reason for this preference _____

20. For what major purpose(s) did you use the 1974 Alberta Road Map? Please mark the relevant box(es) with an (X).

- i) A) Tourist information primarily
- ii) B) Road information primarily
- iii) C) General reference (checking place names etc.)
- iv) D) Calculation of mileage for mileage claims
- v) E) Other, please specify. _____

ii) Please rank those that you have marked in decreasing order of their importance to you, such as 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 (most important).

1. _____ 2. _____ 3. _____

21. Did you use the Vacation Planner, that is on the reverse side of the 1974 Alberta Road Map?

YES NO

22. Did you use the 1974 City Plans of Alberta's major centres? YES NO

23. Do you have any criticisms of:


- i) The 1974 Alberta Road Map _____
- ii) The Vacation Planner _____
- iii) The City Plans _____

Thank you for your assistance.

Miss J. M. Anderson
 Department of Geography
 University of Alberta
 Edmonton, Alberta, Canada
 T6G 2H4


4 of 4

Acadia Valley 166	L 8	Bear Canyon	F 1	Bragg Creek 203	M 5	Carstara 904	L 5	Cooking Lake 196	16	Duchess 237	M 7	Jawcett 141	H 5	Goodfish Lake	H 7
Acme 320	L 6	Beaumont 337	J 6	Brant	M 6	Carway	O 6	Coronado	16	Duffield 63	L 5	Jain	K 6	Goodridge	H 7
Aden	O 7	Beauvallon 57	H 7	Breton 447	J 5	Castan 117	H 6	Coronation 1050	K 7	Dunmore 64	N 8	Jenintosh 150	N 7	Gooddale	H 7
Aelna	O 6	Beaver Crossing	H 8	Brocket	N 6	Castor 1,103	K 7	Countess	M 7	Dunstable	15	Jincoast	N 7	Guarada	H 7
Airdrie 1,160	L 5	Beaverdam	H 8	Brooks 3,858	M 7	Cayley 133	M 5	Coultas 429	O 7	Duverney	F 2	Finnegan	L 7	(P.O. Northville)	L 4
Alberta Beach 319	L 5	Beaverfodge 1,138	G 1	Brosseau	N 6	Ceres 219	L 8	Cowley 231	N 5	Dunvegan 52	17	Fisher Home	J 5	Grand Centre 2,380	H 8
Alcomple	L 5	Beaver Mines	N 5	Brownfield	K 7	Cessford	L 7	Craigmye 72	N 7	Edmonton 490,641	16	Fitzgerald	A 7	Grande Cache 3,417	L 2
Alcurve	L 8	Beazer	O 6	Brownvale 161	J 7	Champion 380	M 6	Cranford	N 7	Edmonton Beach 147	15	Flatbush 70	H 5	Grande Prairie	H 7
Alder Hills 133	J 5	Beiseker 407	L 6	Bruce 110	J 7	Chancellor	L 6	Cremona 181	L 5	Edson 4,060	13	Fleet	K 7	13,444	G 2
Alderville 88	M 3	Bellevue 1,174	N 3	Bruce 110	J 7	Chapel	F 7	Crushed Creek	G 3	Edward	H 6	Foley	N 7	Gazam 314	N 6
Alhambra 908	K 6	Bella 80	H 7	Brodbeck 359	L 5	Chateau	G 2	Crossfield 618	N 5	Egbert 167	J 6	Foremost 686	N 7	Grassland 66	H 6
Alta 582	K 6	Benlito 94	K 5	Broil 104	L 5	Chauvin 292	J 6	Crowneast	N 5	Edmonton 296	J 8	Forestburg 710	J 7	Grassy Lake 209	N 7
Alliance 291	K 7	Bentley 647	K 5	Buck Creek	J 5	Chaudin	L 6	Cynthia 82	L 4	Edmonton 490,641	16	Fort Lake	H 7	Green Court 51	L 4
Alpen Siding	H 6	Bergen	L 5	Buck Lake 109	J 5	Cherhill 62	L 5	Czar 217	K 8	Edmonton Beach 147	15	Forshaw	K 6	Grimshaw 1,747	H 7
Alstaka	J 5	Berrymoor	J 5	Butte	M 8	Cherry Grove 76	H 8			Edson 4,060	13	Fort Saskatchewan	H 5	Grupard 320	G 4
Altona 64	K 8	Berryn 470	F 3	Buffalo Head Prairie	D 4	Cherry Point	F 1	Delemead	M 6	Egmont 92	16	Fort Vermilion 140	G 4	Grovedale	G 7
Amisk 123	J 7	Bezanson 94	G 2	Buffalo Lake	J 5	Chief Mountain	O 6	Dapp 62	H 5	EIA Point 171	17	Fort Kent 113	H 8	Gull Lake (P.O. Aspen Beach)	H 5
Andrew 908	L 6	Big Coulee	H 6	Burford	N 7	Chigwell	K 6	Darwell	L 5	Elkton	L 5	Fort MacKay 200	F 7	Gunn 59	H 7
Anzac 114	L 7	Big Valley 378	K 6	Burdett 219	N 7	Chin	N 6	Deaswood 330	L 3	Elkwater 98	N 8	Fort Macleod 2,750	N 6	Guineville	H 7
Ardenode	L 6	Birdsall	M 8	Burnia	N 5	Chinook 100	L 8	Delacour	L 5	Elphinstone	16	Fort McMurray 7,147	F 7	Guy 63	L 8
Ardmore 230	H 8	Bittern Lake 90	J 6	Bushy 85	J 5	Chinook Valley	F 3	Deloitte 79	G 2	Elphinstone	16	Fort Saskatchewan	H 5	Gwynne 70	L 2
Ardrossan 127	L 6	Black Diamond 988	M 5	Butte	K 5	Chipewyan Lake 118	L 6	Delacour	L 5	Elphinstone	16	Fort Vermilion 140	G 4	Habay	L 4
Armenia 55	J 6	Blackfalds 877	K 8	Byemoor 60	K 7	Chipewyan Lake 118	L 6	Del Bonita	O 6	Elmore 230	K 6	Fort Kent 113	H 8	Haddock	L 4
Arrowwood 169	M 6	Blackfoot 175	L 8	Cadogan 90	K 8	Chipewyan Lake 118	L 6	Delburne 291	M 6	Empress 360	L 8	Fort Kent 113	H 8	Haight	L 4
Asimont 150	H 7	Blackfoot 175	L 8	Cadomin 109	K 8	Chisholm Mills 88	G 2	Delta 286	G 1	Enchant 54	N 7	Fort Kent 113	H 8	Hairy Hill 136	L 7
Aspen Beach (see Gull)	H 6	Blairmore 2,013	N 5	Cadotte Lake 192	F 4	Clarendon 119	N 8	Denmark 225	L 7	Endiang	K 7	Frug Lake	18	Hairy Hill 136	L 7
Athabasca 1,831	L 4	Bloomersburg	H 5	Celalua 62	L 5	Clarendon 119	N 8	Devils	L 6	Ensign 201	G 4	Frug Lake	18	Hamilin	L 7
Athabasca 117	L 4	Blueberry Mountain	F 2	Celata	G 3	Clear Hills	F 3	Devils	L 6	Ensign 201	G 4	Frug Lake	18	Hanna 2,039	L 7
Athabasca	H 6	Blue Ridge 239	L 4	Calgary A12, 777, 1,0	M 5	Clear Hills	F 3	Devils	L 6	Ensign 201	G 4	Frug Lake	18	Hardisty 601	L 7
Bataac	L 5	Blue Ridge 239	L 4	Callina Lake	G 6	Chony 28	M 6	Devon 1,446	J 5	Entwistle 17	L 3	Gadsby 62	N 6	Hartell	M 1
Benfil 3,222	L 4	Bluffton 98	J 5	Celling Lake	G 6	Chony 28	M 6	Dewberry 198	L 8	Entwistle 17	L 3	Gairford 174	K 7	Hay Lakes 211	L 4
Bernwell 341	N 7	Bonds	L 8	Cellar 791	J 6	Clyde 243	L 6	De Winton 51	M 3	Erskine 329	K 6	Garden Creek 154	G 5	Haynes	N 7
Berona 254	N 6	Bon Accord 341	L 6	Cambridge	L 6	Coaldale 2,739	N 6	Dickson	N 5	Eskine 329	K 6	Gem	M 7	Hayes 47	N 7
Berthoud 2,718	L 5	Bonanza	L 1	Camp Creek	H 6	Coathurst 426	N 6	Didsbury 1,859	L 5	Eskine 329	K 6	Genesee	J 5	Hayter	N 7
Beshan 777	J 6	Bonnyville 2,588	H 7	Cannors 1,604	L 4	Cochrane 1,101	L 5	Dickson	N 5	Eskine 329	K 6	Gibbons 696	L 6	Hazelton	L 8
Bessasin 553	M 6	Botha 115	K 6	Canyon Creek 203	G 4	Cold Lake 1,242	H 8	Didsbury 1,859	L 5	Eskine 329	K 6	Gil Lake 278	T 4	Heath	L 8
Battle Bond	K 7	Buttre	L 2	Carbon 401	L 6	Coleman 1,400	N 5	Dickson	N 5	Eskine 329	K 6	Glenora 302	L 3	Heinburg (N.W.)	L 7
Bawill 191	L 4	Boy City	M 7	Carleton	D 3	Colinton 125	H 6	Donalds 247	J 6	Eskine 329	K 6	Glenora 302	L 3	Heister 199	L 7
Bay Tree	L 1	Boy Island 1,162	N 7	Carleton Place 721	O 6	College Heights 311	K 8	Donnelly 292	F 3	Father 271	F 3	Glenora 302	L 3	Heister 199	L 7
Beach Currier	L 5	Boyle 607	H 6	Carleton Place 721	O 6	Compost 61	K 8	Dorothy	L 7	Father 271	F 3	Glenora 302	L 3	Heister 199	L 7
				Carleton Place 721	O 6	Dundorf 82	K 8					Glenora 302	L 3	Heister 199	L 7



N.R.	Leitch 150	J.6	Granddane	F.1	High River 2,621	M.5	Keephills	1.5	Lodgepole 144	J.4	Miller 546	J.6	North Cooking	1.7	Polkchville	1.7
1.2	Lincastle	N.7	Grand	1.4	Hilda 82	M.8	Keg River 49	D.3	Lomond 175	M.6	Milwest	M.7	Lake 96	1.6	Porokka 4,554	1.6
1.7	Lincroan	1.7	(P.O. Northville)	1.4	Hillcrest Mines 613	N.3	Kelley	1.6	Lone Pine	H.4	Milo 126	M.6	North Star 87	1.3	Priddy	M.5
1.7	Lisher Home	J.5	Grand Centre 2,380	H.8	Hillard 64	1.6	Keoma	1.6	Longview 185	M.5	Minburn 119	J.7	Northville/see	1.4	Propost 1,502	K.8
1.3	Litgered	A.7	Grande Cache 3,417	1.2	Hill Spring 190	O.6	Kelino 202	H.7	Loma	J.6	Mieur 419	K.6	Granada	1.4	Purple Springs	N.7
1.3	Litbush 70	H.5	Grande Prairie	1.2	Hines Creek 428	F.2	Killam 867	J.7	Loon Lake 115	1.4	Majors Beach	J.5	Northwin 70	1.3	Queenstown	M.6
1.3	Lit	N.7	11,444	O.2	Hinton 4,690	1.3	Kingman 81	J.6	Lothghead 252	J.7	Molotch 102	N.6	Obad	J.3		
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassland 314	N.6	Hinsey	J.5	Kinsella 81	J.7	Lousena	N.6	Monter 81	K.8	Obad	J.3		
1.8	Lit	N.7	Grassland 668	H.6	Hobbs 51	1.6	Kinsua 376	N.5	Morcambe	1.7	Morcambe	1.7	Obaton 68	J.3	Radway 128	1.6
1.1	Lit	N.7	Grassy Lake 209	N.7	Holden 501	J.7	Kitchy	M.6	Mountain 1,251	1.6	Motley	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainbow Lake 580	G.2
1.3	Lit	N.7	Green Court 51	1.4	Honda	O.3	Kittimou	N.8	Motley	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Greenish 1,747	1.3	Hutchins	O.3	Kittimou	N.8	Morningdale 52	K.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Ground 120	O.4	Hualien	O.2	Kittimou	N.8	Morrin 270	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Gravelle	O.2	Hughesville 265	K.7	Kittimou	N.8	Mossleigh	M.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Gull Lake (P.O.)	N.5	Husky 149	1.6	Kittimou	N.8	Mountain View 02	O.4	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Aspen Beach	N.5	Husky 149	1.6	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassy 35	1.5	Husky 149	1.6	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	H.7	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5	Rainey	M.7
1.3	Lit	N.7	Grassyville	O.1	Hythe 480	O.1	Kittimou	N.8	Murray 485	1.6	Murray 485	1.6	Photaka 1,243	M.5		

omond 175	M.6	Milkent	M.7	North Looking	1.0	Conk River	1.7	Round Hill 97	11.6	Spoon 71	H.7	Thorby 582	J.5	Wardlow	M.7
ona Pine	0.4	Milo 126	M.6	Lake 96	1.0	Poncha 4,554	1.6	Rumley	1.6	Spirit River 1,130	F.2	Three Creeks	F.3	Warner 446	0.7
ongview 185	M.5	Morbin 119	M.7	North Star 67	1.1	Prussia	M.5	Royal Park	1.7	Spondin	K.7	Three Hills 1,385	1.6	Warrensburg	F.3
orma	1.4	Morja 419	M.6	Northville (see		Provat 1,502	K.8	Rumsey 94	K.6	Spring Coulee 56	O.6	Thrupe	K.7	Wapato 119	1.6
ospi Lake 135	1.4	Moscow Beach	J.5	Granada)	1.4	Purple Springs	N.7	Rutherford 528	F.2	Sprucefield	H.6	Tiger Lily	H.6	Warwick	1.7
oughred 252	1.7	Moscow 107	M.6	Notikewin 70	1.3	Queenstown	M.6	Ryckoff 469	1.6	Spruce Grove 3,320	F.2	Tilly 254	M.7	Wasee	1.7
ouston	0.4	Murder 81	M.7					St Albert 17,617	1.6	Spruce View 104	H.5	Lutfield 1,078	H.5	Washatenau 254	1.6
outhbrook 113	0.4	Murphy 18	M.8	Oked	1.3			St Brades	1.7	Standard 267	L.6	Lulland	J.6	Waterton Park 236	0.5
yella	1.4	Murphyville 1,291	1.6	Opaton 68	M.6	Opelway 128	1.6	St Francis	J.2	Stand Off	L.6	Tomahawk 132	1.5	Water Valley	L.6
ymbum	1.4	Murphyville 1,291	1.6	Opata 3,459	M.5	Opata 3,459	1.9	St Isidore	M.7	Stargog	F.2	Torrington 132	1.6	Water 68	F.3
ies Hay	1.4	Murphyville 1,291	1.6	Opel	0.8	Opel	0.8	St James 57	M.7	Starnore	L.6	Towers	M.6	Wayne 16	1.6
ladden	1.6	Murphyville 1,291	1.6	Opelway 485	1.6	Opelway 485	1.6	St Michael 72	1.6	Star	J.6	Tracy	K.3	Wayne 55	1.6
agath 1,226	1.6	Murphyville 1,291	1.6	Opel	1.6	Opel	1.6	St Paul 4,131	1.6	Stauff	K.6	Trochu 73	K.6	Wayne Creek	H.6
alen	0.7	Murphyville 1,291	1.6	Opel	1.6	Opel	1.6	St Vincent	H.7	Stavery 338	M.6	Trou Lake 162	F.3	Welling 64	M.6
aling 190	0.7	Murphyville 1,291	1.6	Opel	1.6	Opel	1.6	Saddle Lake	H.6	Steen River	M.3	Tully Lake	1.8	Wembley 360	0.2
a Me Q Beach 109	1.6	Murphyville 1,291	1.6	Opel	1.6	Opel	1.6	Sandy Beach	1.5	Stettler 4,261	K.6	Turn 102	M.6	Westcott	1.5
anning 1,204	1.6	Murphyville 1,291	1.6	Opel	1.6	Opel	1.6	Sandy Lake 50	1.5	Stirling 436	M.6	Turner Valley 88	M.6	West Cove	1.5
anville 681	1.6	Murphyville 1,291	1.6	Opel	1.6	Opel	1.6	Sandy Lake 50	1.5	Stony Plain 1,688	1.5	Turner Valley 88	M.6	Westrose	J.6
ania 51-	1.6	Murphyville 1,291	1.6	Opel	1.6	Opel	1.6	Sandy Lake 50	1.5	Streamline 1,109	L.4	Turner Valley 88	M.6	Westloch 3,422	1.6
anyberia 81	0.8	Murphyville 1,291	1.6	Opel	1.6	Opel	1.6	Sandy Lake 50	1.5	Streamtown	1.8	Turner Valley 88	M.6	Westloch Ho 104	1.6
arie Ring 81	0.7	Murphyville 1,291	1.6	Opel	1.6	Opel	1.6	Sandy Lake 50	1.5	Strome 216	M.7	Turner Valley 88	M.6	Westmin 6,010	1.6
arberville	1.6	Murphyville 1,291	1.6	Opel	1.6	Opel	1.6	Sandy Lake 50	1.5	Sturgeon Heights	J.3	Turner Valley 88	M.6	Whiteburn 3,103	1.4
arbons 156	1.6	Murphyville 1,291	1.6	Opel	1.6	Opel	1.6	Sandy Lake 50	1.5	Suffield 70	M.7	Turner Valley 88	M.6	Whiteley 192	1.6
arveyne 251	1.6	Murphyville 1,291	1.6	Opel	1.6	Opel	1.6	Sandy Lake 50	1.5	Sundance Beach	J.3	Turner Valley 88	M.6	Whitford	1.6
aythorpe 1,042	1.6	Murphyville 1,291	1.6	Opel	1.6	Opel	1.6	Sandy Lake 50	1.5	Sundance 262	L.6	Turner Valley 88	M.6	Wildwater 125	0.4
raughlin 54	1.6	Murphyville 1,291	1.6	Opel	1.6	Opel	1.6	Sandy Lake 50	1.5	Sunnybrook 50	J.2	Turner Valley 88	M.6	Wild Horse	0.4
Lenion 1,197	1.6	Murphyville 1,291	1.6	Opel	1.6	Opel	1.6	Sandy Lake 50	1.5	Sunnybrook 50	J.2	Turner Valley 88	M.6	Wildwood 403	1.6
le	1.6	Murphyville 1,291	1.6	Opel	1.6	Opel	1.6	Sandy Lake 50	1.5	Sunnyvale	L.6	Turner Valley 88	M.6	Willington 387	1.6
lebanion	1.6	Murphyville 1,291	1.6	Opel	1.6	Opel	1.6	Sandy Lake 50	1.5	Sunset House	M.3	Turner Valley 88	M.6	Wimbora 59	K.6
leider River 71	1.6	Murphyville 1,291	1.6	Opel	1.6	Opel	1.6	Sandy Lake 50	1.5	Swallow 11	L.4	Turner Valley 88	M.6	Winfield 209	J.6
lemon	1.6	Murphyville 1,291	1.6	Opel	1.6	Opel	1.6	Sandy Lake 50	1.5	Swan Hills 1,804	H.4	Turner Valley 88	M.6	Winifred	M.7
lema	1.6	Murphyville 1,291	1.6	Opel	1.6	Opel	1.6	Sandy Lake 50	1.5	Sweetgrass Landing	H.6	Turner Valley 88	M.6	Woking 25	0.2
lemon 184, 16, 220	1.6	Murphyville 1,291	1.6	Opel	1.6	Opel	1.6	Sandy Lake 50	1.5	Sheepscrag	M.6	Turner Valley 88	M.6	Woodhouse	M.6
lely 1,080	1.6	Murphyville 1,291	1.6	Opel	1.6	Opel	1.6	Sandy Lake 50	1.5	Shepherd	L.7	Turner Valley 88	M.6	Woodstock	0.4
lewing Creek	1.6	Murphyville 1,291	1.6	Opel	1.6	Opel	1.6	Sandy Lake 50	1.5	Shepherd Park	1.6	Turner Valley 88	M.6	Worley 88	F.2
lewh	1.6	Murphyville 1,291	1.6	Opel	1.6	Opel	1.6	Sandy Lake 50	1.5	Shoal Creek	1.6	Turner Valley 88	M.6	Worley 88	F.2
		Murphyville 1,291	1.6	Opel	1.6	Opel	1.6	Sandy Lake 50	1.5	Shubert	M.6	Turner Valley 88	M.6	Worley 88	F.2
		Murphyville 1,291	1.6	Opel	1.6	Opel	1.6	Sandy Lake 50	1.5	Sibbald 61	L.8	Turner Valley 88	M.6	Worley 88	F.2
		Murphyville 1,291	1.6	Opel	1.6	Opel	1.6	Sandy Lake 50	1.5	Silver Beach	J.2	Turner Valley 88	M.6	Worley 88	F.2



D

4871


BRITISH COLUMBIA

HEAD HILLS



EDMONTON 368 Miles DAWSON CREEK, B.C.

Whitecourt



BICHE MOUNTAINS

SASKATCHEWAN


D

E

F

G

H



Fort McMurray

La Loche

MEALAN MOUNTAINS

PRIMROSA LAKE

AIR WEAPONS RANGE

PRIMROSA LAKE

MEADOW LAKE PROVINCIAL PARK

Westlock

Clyde

Waskateau

Smoky A. Lake

Smoky A. Lake

Smoky A. Lake


Smoky A. Lake

Smoky A. Lake

Smoky A. Lake

Smoky A. Lake

741



Scale 0 100 Miles

SWAN

Whitecourt


Hinton


Rocky

Mounta

60

NATIONAL






PROVINCE OF Alberta

CANADA



OFFICIAL ROAD MAP 1973



Notes: One Inch Equals Approximately 25 Miles

HIGHWAY MARKERS

- (A) Provincial Highway
- (B) Provincial Road
- (C) Trans-Canada Highway
- (D) Tollroad Highway

ROAD CLASSIFICATIONS

- Divided Highway
- Asphalt Paved Highway
- Paved Road
- Improved Road
- Unimproved Road

MILEAGES

- Mileage between Towns and Junctions
- Total Mileage between Data


POPULATION SYMBOLS


- 11 0 to 200
- 12 200 to 1,000
- 13 1,000 to 2,000
- 14 2,000 to 5,000
- 15 5,000 to 10,000
- 16 10,000 to 25,000
- 17 25,000 to 50,000
- 18 50,000 to 100,000
- 19 100,000 and over

SPECIAL FEATURES

- Provincial Parks with American National Company
- Ned and Day, Companies
- PAN Area
- Point of Interest
- Ned History
- Subsided Airline Stop
- Ports of Entry Open 24 Hours Limited Hours
- Trans-Canada Boundary
- Hospital
- Provincial Travel Information Centre

PROBABLE BY AIRWAYS BOARD PARLIAMENT OF HIGHWAYS AND TRANSFERRED ARRESTION
 SUPPLEMENT ALL RIGHTS RESERVED (1973) BY PUBLISHER COMMERCIAL PRINTING





RESERVE

PARK

Calgary

ROCKY

BRITISH COLUMBIA
MOUNTAINS
FOREST

Pincher Creek

RESERVE

MONTANA

GLACIERS


Columbia Falls

Kalispell

Cut Bank

Conrad

116° 4 5 6 112° To Great Falls



L

M

N

O

P

6


7

8


To Great Falls 112° To Great Falls

To Great Falls 111° To Great Falls 110°


Abasco	1.8	Deer River	11.5	Drayton	1.7	Glasgow	1.7	Label Home	5	Grande Cache 1580	1
Aberdeen	1.5	Deer	0.6	Drewhold	8.7	Clayford	1.7	Harstead	8.7	Grande Prairie 14 150	1
Adair	1.5	Doran	1.6	Dromedary 101	1.7	Chancellor	1.6	Hatch	8.7	Grouse 324	1
Adairville	M.5	Belleve 1 247	N.5	Drua 110	1.7	Chard	1.6	Hept	8.6	Gratland 66	1
Adams	8.5	Bella 30	N.7	Drudheim 361	1.8	Chate	1.7	High	1.7	Grassy Lake 196	1
Adair	8.5	Donella 94	N.5	Drift 104	1.7	Chawin 340	J.8	Edgemoor 568	1.7	Green Coult 51	1
Adams	8.7	Dorley 521	N.5	Buck Creek	1.8	Chenoweth	N.5	Edgemoor 281	1.7	Grain 370	1
Adams	8.5	Dorley	4.6	Buck Lake 110	1.5	Cherhill 82	N.5	Edmonton 441 530	1.6	Gravel 1 247	1
Adams	8.5	Dorley	1.5	Bullea	M.8	Cherry Grove 16	M.8	Edson 4.065	1.6	Gravel 370	1
Adams	8.8	Dorley 470	1.3	Bullea Road Frame	D.4	Cherry Point	1.1	Edward	1.7	Gravel 370	1
Adams	8.7	Dorley 54	1.2	Bullea Lake	G.2	Chert Mountain	0.8	Edwards	M.8	Gull Lake 170	1
Adams	8.6	Dorley 100	1.2	Bullea	J.5	Cheswell	8.6	Edwards	1.7	Aspen Beach 1 57	1
Adams	8.7	Dorley 100	1.2	Bullea	N.7	Chen	N.6	Edwards	1.7	Gravel 370	1
Adams	8.7	Dorley 100	1.2	Bullea	N.5	Chenoweth 50	1.8	Edwards	1.7	Gravel 370	1
Adams	8.7	Dorley 100	1.2	Bullea	N.5	Chenoweth Valley	1.8	Edwards	1.7	Gravel 370	1
Adams	8.7	Dorley 100	1.2	Bullea	N.5	Chenoweth Valley	1.8	Edwards	1.7	Gravel 370	1
Adams	8.7	Dorley 100	1.2	Bullea	N.5	Chenoweth Valley	1.8	Edwards	1.7	Gravel 370	1
Adams	8.7	Dorley 100	1.2	Bullea	N.5	Chenoweth Valley	1.8	Edwards	1.7	Gravel 370	1
Adams	8.7	Dorley 100	1.2	Bullea	N.5	Chenoweth Valley	1.8	Edwards	1.7	Gravel 370	1
Adams	8.7	Dorley 100	1.2	Bullea	N.5	Chenoweth Valley	1.8	Edwards	1.7	Gravel 370	1




Agitation 110	1	1	Alameda	A	Arroyo Grande 14 120	6	Atascadero 124	8	Atascadero 50	6	Avenal 106	4	Avenal 178	12	Avenal 220	8	Bakersfield 108	4	Bakersfield 120	6	Bakersfield 132	6	Bakersfield 144	6	Bakersfield 156	6	Bakersfield 168	6	Bakersfield 180	6	Bakersfield 192	6	Bakersfield 204	6	Bakersfield 216	6	Bakersfield 228	6	Bakersfield 240	6	Bakersfield 252	6	Bakersfield 264	6	Bakersfield 276	6	Bakersfield 288	6	Bakersfield 300	6	Bakersfield 312	6	Bakersfield 324	6	Bakersfield 336	6	Bakersfield 348	6	Bakersfield 360	6	Bakersfield 372	6	Bakersfield 384	6	Bakersfield 396	6	Bakersfield 408	6	Bakersfield 420	6	Bakersfield 432	6	Bakersfield 444	6	Bakersfield 456	6	Bakersfield 468	6	Bakersfield 480	6	Bakersfield 492	6	Bakersfield 504	6	Bakersfield 516	6	Bakersfield 528	6	Bakersfield 540	6	Bakersfield 552	6	Bakersfield 564	6	Bakersfield 576	6	Bakersfield 588	6	Bakersfield 600	6	Bakersfield 612	6	Bakersfield 624	6	Bakersfield 636	6	Bakersfield 648	6	Bakersfield 660	6	Bakersfield 672	6	Bakersfield 684	6	Bakersfield 696	6	Bakersfield 708	6	Bakersfield 720	6	Bakersfield 732	6	Bakersfield 744	6	Bakersfield 756	6	Bakersfield 768	6	Bakersfield 780	6	Bakersfield 792	6	Bakersfield 804	6	Bakersfield 816	6	Bakersfield 828	6	Bakersfield 840	6	Bakersfield 852	6	Bakersfield 864	6	Bakersfield 876	6	Bakersfield 888	6	Bakersfield 900	6	Bakersfield 912	6	Bakersfield 924	6	Bakersfield 936	6	Bakersfield 948	6	Bakersfield 960	6	Bakersfield 972	6	Bakersfield 984	6	Bakersfield 996	6	Bakersfield 1008	6	Bakersfield 1020	6	Bakersfield 1032	6	Bakersfield 1044	6	Bakersfield 1056	6	Bakersfield 1068	6	Bakersfield 1080	6	Bakersfield 1092	6	Bakersfield 1104	6	Bakersfield 1116	6	Bakersfield 1128	6	Bakersfield 1140	6	Bakersfield 1152	6	Bakersfield 1164	6	Bakersfield 1176	6	Bakersfield 1188	6	Bakersfield 1200	6
---------------	---	---	---------	---	----------------------	---	----------------	---	---------------	---	------------	---	------------	----	------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	------------------	---	------------------	---	------------------	---	------------------	---	------------------	---	------------------	---	------------------	---	------------------	---	------------------	---	------------------	---	------------------	---	------------------	---	------------------	---	------------------	---	------------------	---	------------------	---	------------------	---









ATHABASCA MOUNTAINS

Fort McMurray

La Loche

PRIMROSE LAKE

WILKINS

GRANDE PRAIRIE

D

E

F


G


74

H

J

K





9 of 1

ROCKY
MOUNTAINS

FOREST

