Optimization of a two-step desolvation method for preparing gelatin nanoparticles and cell uptake studies in 143B osteosarcoma cancer cells
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ABSTRACT

PURPOSE: To establish a matrix of parameters to synthesize nanoparticles of different sizes and to investigate the cellular uptake of these nanoparticles by osteosarcoma cancer cells in order to investigate their potential as therapeutic drug-delivery carriers.

METHODS: Gelatin A and B were used to synthesize nanoparticles by a two-step desolvation process. Different parameters were investigated, including temperature, pH, concentration of glutaraldehyde, type of desolvating agent and nature of gelatin. For cell uptake studies, Texas Red labeled nanoparticles were incubated with 143B osteosarcoma cells and then evaluated using confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM).

RESULTS: The systematic investigation of the synthesis parameters showed that it is possible to prepare gelatin-based nanoparticles with different particle sizes and a narrow size distribution. Temperature and nature of the gelatin were the most important synthesis factors. Bioimaging using CLSM showed uptake of the nanoparticles by 143B osteosarcoma cancer cells.

CONCLUSIONS: Osteosarcoma cancer cells take up gelatin nanoparticles. This might improve the clinical effectiveness of anti-cancer treatments if nanoparticles are used as a drug delivery system and has important implications for future cancer treatment strategies.

INTRODUCTION

Drug targeting technology represents one of the frontier areas of science, which involves a multidisciplinary scientific approach with great potential to positively impact our health care. Drug targeting of a therapeutic moiety to the site of drug action within the body offers numerous advantages compared to the use of conventional dosage forms, including improved efficacy, reduced toxicity and overcoming drug resistance (1, 2).

Different nano-sized carriers, such as nanoparticles (3-7), polymeric micelles (8), liposomes (9), surface-modified nanoparticles (10, 11) and solid lipid nanoparticles (12), have been developed and suggested for achieving these goals.

Biodegradable nanoparticles can be synthesized from selected natural or synthetic macromolecules, such as serum albumin, polycyanoacrylates, polyactic-co-glycolic acid, and recently chitosan. Several researchers have investigated the use of gelatin as biomaterial to synthesize drug delivery systems. Gelatin nanoparticles have been used for delivery of different drugs (13-15), gene delivery (16-18), as carriers to deliver drug to lungs (19), and recently antibody modified gelatin nanoparticles were used to target lymphocytes (20), leukemic cells and primary T-lymphocytes (21).

It has been shown that particle size is an important factor for the tissue and organ distribution of nanoparticles. For example, body distribution studies have shown that nanoparticles larger than 230 nm accumulate in the spleen due to the capillary size in this organ (22). Different in vitro studies indicate that the particle size also influences the cellular uptake of nanoparticles (23-25).

The formation of gelatin-based nanoparticles has not been extensively investigated even though its first use as a base for nanoparticles was described more than 25 years ago (26). One reason for this might be that the use of native gelatin generally produces large particles with a wide size range. However, using a two-step desolvation process has been shown to be more efficient in the formation of smaller nanoparticles (27).
protein, collagen, which is widely found as the major component of skin, bones and connective tissue (29). Two different gelatins, A and B with different isoelectric points (IEP), are formed following either acid or base hydrolysis, respectively. The different IEP of gelatin A and B can be used to form stable microparticles using a pH induced coacervation process (31).

Characteristic features of gelatin are the high content of the amino acids glycine, proline (mainly as hydroxyproline) and alanine. Gelatin molecules contain repeating sequences of glycine, proline and alanine amino acid triplets, which are responsible for the triple helical structure of gelatin. Commercial gelatins are heterogeneous protein mixtures of polypeptide chains and have a wide range of molecular weight ranging from a few thousand up to several hundred thousand Daltons (32). The primary structure of gelatin offers many possibilities for chemical modification and covalent drug attachment. This can be done either within the matrix of the particles or on the particle surface only. In the first case, chemical modifications have to be done to the gelatin macromolecules before nanoparticles are formed, while in the latter case the particle surface is used (33). These properties, combined with the high potential of nano-sized delivery systems make gelatin-based nanoparticles a promising carrier system for drug delivery.

In this work we studied the effect of different preparative techniques used to synthesize gelatin-based nanoparticles. Our goal was to establish a matrix of parameters to synthesize nanoparticles of different sizes and then to investigate the cellular uptake of these nanoparticles by osteosarcoma cancer cells in order to investigate their potential in a therapeutic drug-delivery role.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials
Gelatin type A from porcine skin (175 Bloom), gelatin type B from bovine skin (225 Bloom), glutaraldehyde grade I 25% aqueous solution, sulforhodamine 101 acid chloride (Texas Red), and 2,4,6-trinitrobenzenesulfonic acid (TNBS) were obtained from Sigma Chemical Co (St Louis, MO, USA). Acetone, ethyl alcohol and acetonitrile were purchased from Caleda (Georgetown, ON, Canada). Minimum essential medium (Eagle) in Earle’s BSS (EMEM), 4’-6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (DNA staining dye) and Trypsin-EDTA were purchased from Gibco (New York, NY, USA) and fetal bovine serum (FBS), was purchased from Sigma (St Louis, MO, USA). All chemicals were of analytical grade and used as received.

Preparation of nanoparticles
Gelatin nanoparticles were prepared by a two-step desolvation method, previously described by Coester et al (27). In brief: 1.25 g gelatin was dissolved in 25 mL distilled water under constant heating temperature range. 25 mL acetone or ethanol was added to the gelatin solution as a desolvating agent to precipitate the high molecular weight (HMW) gelatin. The supernatant was discarded and the HMW gelatin re-dissolved by adding 25 mL distilled water and stirring at 600 rpm under constant heating. The pH of the gelatin solution was adjusted to values between 2.5 and 12. Acetone or ethanol (75 mL) were added drop-wise to form nanoparticles. At the end of the process, 250 μL of 25% glutaraldehyde solution was used for preparing nanoparticles as a cross-linking agent, and stirred for 12h at 600 rpm. Different amounts of glutaraldehyde solution (100-500 μL) were used to determine the impact of the cross-linker on the particle size. The remaining organic solvent was evaporated using a rotary evaporator (IKA, Staufen, Germany) and the resultant nanoparticles were stored at 2-8°C for further experiments. The following parameters were changed to study their effect on the characteristics of the nanoparticles: temperature, type of gelatin, type of desolvating agent and concentration of cross-linker.

Fluorescence labeling of nanoparticles
After the second desolvation step (see 2.2), 250 μL of a 1 mg/mL solution of Texas Red (sulforhodamine 101 acid chloride) in acetonitrile was added to the nanoparticle suspension and stirred at 600 rpm for 1 hr at 40°C. Then, the particles were cross-linked and purified as previously described.

Purification of nanoparticles
The particles were purified from synthesis residue and unbound dyes using a size exclusion method. 1 mL of nanoparticle suspension was injected onto a 20 mm x 35 cm Sephadex G50 column (Pharmacia LKB, Uppsala, Sweden) and different fractions were collected using a fraction collector (Gradi Frac, Pharmacia LKB, Uppsala, Sweden). Distilled water was used as the eluent with a flow rate of 5 mL/min.
Characterization of nanoparticles

The particle size of the nanoparticles was determined by photon correlation spectroscopy using a Zetasizer, model HSA 3000 (Malvern, Worcestershire, England). For particle size analysis, 100 μL of the nanoparticle suspension was dispersed in 4 mL de-ionized water. Measurements were carried out at 25°C at a light-scattering angle of 90°. The mean particle size and polydispersity index were determined.

The surface charge of the nanoparticles was determined by laser Doppler anemometry using a Zetasizer, model HSA 3000. For the measurement, 100 μL of nanoparticle suspension was diluted to 4 mL with 10 mM NaCl solution followed by adjusting the pH to values between 5.0 and 8.0, using 0.25 N HCl or 0.25 N NaOH. An electric field of 150 mV was applied to observe the electrophoretic velocity of the particles. The NaCl solution compensated for the conductivity effect resulting from the addition of HCl or NaOH. All the measurements were made at room temperature, in triplicate.

Quantification of free amino groups after cross-linking

Free amino groups were determined using the reaction of 2, 4, 6-trinitrobenzenesulfonic acid (TNBS) with amino groups of the particles as described by Fields (34). The nanoparticles were washed and centrifuged four times (Airfuge, Beckman, Fullerton, CA, USA) at 100,000 g for 5 minutes, followed by re-dispersion in water. The nanoparticle content of the dispersion was determined gravimetrically after freeze-drying for 48 hours (Freeze Dryer 3, LABCONCO, Kansas City, Missouri, USA). Two-hundred μL of the nanoparticle dispersion was diluted with water to a final volume of 400 μL. 400 μL of 4% sodium hydrogen carbonate solution (pH 8.5) and 400 μL aqueous 0.1% TNBS solution were added. The mixture was stirred at 500 rpm for 2 h at 40°C. The nanoparticles were separated from the supernatant by centrifugation at 100,000 g for 5 minutes and 125 μL of the supernatant was diluted with 500 μL of water. The samples were assayed at 349 nm for un-reacted TNBS using an UV/VIS spectrophotometer (Milton Roy Spectronic, USA). The free amino group content of the nanoparticles was calculated relative to a TNBS control, which was treated in the same manner as described above: using water instead of the nanoparticle dispersion.

Cell culture

143B osteosarcoma cancer cell line (ATCC CRL-8303) was used for the cell uptake studies. These cells are epithelial-like adherent cells, which grow as a monolayer. ATCC complete growth medium, minimum essential medium (Eagle) in Earle's BSS with 0.015 mg/ml 5-bromo-2'-deoxyuridine, 90%; fetal bovine serum, 10%, was used as the growth medium. The cells were grown in 75mL flasks (Corning, Acton, MA, USA) in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂ and 100% relative humidity and subcultured 2-3 times per week.

143B cell uptake

Approximately 10⁴ cells were grown in a Lab-Tek II Chamber Slide™ System (Nalge Nunc, USA). After 24 hours, the cells were attached to the surface of the slides as a monolayer. The cells were incubated with 400 μL of 1 mg/mL suspension of Texas Red labeled nanoparticles for 1 hour, then the nanoparticles were rinsed out using PBS. The cells were fixed by adding 0.5 mL of 2% solution of glutaraldehyde in PBS for 30 minutes. This solution was then removed and a glass cover slip was directly mounted onto the surface of the cells using 20 μL of 1:9 PBS-glycerol containing 1 μL of 1mg/mL solution of DAPI in PBS. Control samples were prepared by incubating cells with 400 μL of a 5 µg/mL solution of Texas Red in PBS under the same conditions. The Texas Red concentration used was equal to the amount, which was used to label the nanoparticles.

Statistical analysis

A paired t-test was used to compare the different nanoparticle batches. The zeta potential graphs were compared using the Chi-square test with significance deemed at p=0.05.

Confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM)

For imaging the cells, a Zeiss LSM 510 confocal microscope (Oberkochen, Germany) was used. This model can collect 12 bit images using 4 detectors for fluorescent signals and a transmission detector for bright field images. It has 4 lasers with multiple laser lines for excitation of fluorophores. The LSM 510 Software, version 2.01 was used to control the microscope and to analyze the data.

Measurement of the intensity of fluorescence in CLSM images

The cell uptake of nanoparticles (gelatin B) with different particle sizes (190, 283 and 330 nm) was determined and the intensity of fluorescence in CLSM images was measured using Metamorph
software version 6.2.6 (Universal Imaging Corp., USA). Average gray value was used as a criterion to compare the intensity in different images.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A method of preparing gelatin nanoparticles by two-step desolvation method has been described by Coester et al (27). In our experiments, we studied the effects of varying production parameters on the nanoparticle properties. Different synthesis parameters were changed, including temperature, pH, concentration of glutaraldehyde, type of desolvating agent and nature of gelatin. The goal was to prepare small nanoparticles with a narrow size distribution. It has been shown that particle size has a great impact on the uptake of nanoparticles. Desai and co-workers (23) showed that 100 nm size nanoparticles had 2.5 fold greater uptake compared to 1 µm and 6 fold higher uptake compared to 10 µm microparticles in a Caco-2 cell line.

The results of other researchers also showed that particle size significantly affects cellular and tissue uptake, and, in some cell lines, only the submicron size particles are taken up efficiently in lieu of the larger size microparticles (24, 25).

We investigated the effect of these different parameters on the particle size and the polydispersity index, where the polydispersity index measures the second moment of the size distribution of the nanoparticle population. A lower polydispersity index indicates a narrower size distribution.

To study the effect of temperature on the particle size of the nanoparticles, only the temperature was changed in the experiments and all other parameters were kept constant. Acetone was used as desolvating agent (75 mL) and glutaraldehyde (250 µL) as cross-linker. The results are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Influence of the temperature and gelatin type on nanoparticle size (n=3). (Acetone was used as desolvating agent and 250 µL of glutaraldehyde as cross-linking agent)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size (nm)</th>
<th>Polydispersity Index</th>
<th>Type of gelatin</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>163±24</td>
<td>0.061±0.007</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112±21</td>
<td>0.0915±0.031</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>252±53</td>
<td>0.0202±0.012</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>214±31</td>
<td>0.026±0.015</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>306±54</td>
<td>0.067±0.042</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>303±52</td>
<td>0.0695±0.048</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

During these investigations, it was found that the preparation of nanoparticles at ambient temperature (25 °C) was not possible because the gelatin formed a highly viscous gel at this temperature. The results at 40, 50 and 60°C showed that temperature has an impact on the particles size. The smallest nanoparticles were prepared at 40°C with gelatin A or B. Increasing the temperature to 50 and 60 °C increased the particle size. This might be explained by the gelling properties of gelatin. In solution, the triple helical structure begins to uncoil when the temperature increases. The viscosity simultaneously decreases. At 40°C, the chains seem to be sufficiently uncoiled and the addition of the desolvating agent causes a better controlled precipitation of the macromolecules compared to higher temperatures.

The nature of gelatin also had an effect on the particle characteristics. At 40 and 50°C nanoparticles made from gelatin A were generally larger compared to nanoparticles made from gelatin B (Table 1) but were of similar size when prepared at 60°C. In addition the polydispersity of the particle size distribution was narrower for gelatin A compared to gelatin B.

To study the effect of the concentration of glutaraldehyde as a cross-linking agent, 200, 300, 400 and 500 µL aliquots of a 25% v/v aqueous glutaraldehyde solution were added to the nanoparticles. The results using gelatin A and B showed that pH 2.5 was the optimum pH for preparing the nanoparticles. Increasing the pH to 4 or higher caused early agglomeration of the gelatin when the desolvating agent was added. A possible explanation of this observation is that at pH 2.5 or 12 protein chains are highly positively or negatively charged. The electrostatic repulsion prevents the polymer chains from uncontrolled agglomeration. After the nanoparticles are formed, their surface has a sufficient zeta potential to prevent further agglomeration of the particles.

To study the effect of the concentration of glutaraldehyde as a cross-linking agent, 200, 300, 400 and 500 µL aliquots of a 25% v/v aqueous glutaraldehyde solution were added to the nanoparticles. The nanoparticles were prepared at 50°C using gelatin B under the conditions described above. In these experiments, acetone was used as the desolvating agent.
These amounts of the cross-linker were sufficient to stabilize the particles. Lower amounts were not sufficient to cross link the nanoparticles because a steep increase in the particle size was observed upon storage (data not shown). This can be attributed to the swelling of the gelatin in aqueous media after the organic solvent was removed. Increasing the concentration of glutaraldehyde from 200 to 500 μL did not show any significant effect on the particle size of the nanoparticles (p>0.05), as shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Influence of the cross-linker concentration on the particle size (n=3). (Acetone was used as desolvating agent for gelatin B at 50°C temperature)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glutaraldehyde volume (μL)</th>
<th>Size (nm)</th>
<th>Polydispersity Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>197±15</td>
<td>0.0477±0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>219±20</td>
<td>0.0785±0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>182±11</td>
<td>0.0121±0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>197±8</td>
<td>0.0671±0.024</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The determination of free amino groups on the surface of nanoparticles cross-linked using 250 μL of glutaraldehyde showed that about 12% of the amino groups were still available. This is in accordance with results reported by Weber et al. and Rubino et al (33, 35). The narrow size distribution of the nanoparticles indicates that the glutaraldehyde reacted with amino groups on the same particle rather than linking two particles together. This might be due to the zeta potential of the particles, which prevents them from coming close together. The degree of cross-linking and therefore the degree of free amino groups are important factors because they have an impact on the biodegradability of the particles as described by various groups (36-38). Additionally, free amino groups can be used to link drugs or other active principals like antibodies to the nanoparticle surface. Further studies on the degradation kinetics of the gelatin nanoparticles are necessary in order to estimate their intracellular half-life.

To evaluate the effects of desolvating agent type, acetone and ethyl alcohol were employed. In these experiments the temperature was kept constant at 50 °C and 250 μL of glutaraldehyde was added as cross-linking agent. The results are shown in Table 3.

The results show that acetone was the preferred desolvating agent as the nanoparticles prepared with acetone were generally smaller and had a lower polydispersity index compared to those prepared with ethyl alcohol. The zeta potential of the gelatin A and B nanoparticles was measured in a pH range of 2 to 12 using a zeta sizer as shown in Figure 1.

Table 3. Influence of type of desolvating agent on the particle size (n=3). (250 μL of glutaraldehyde was used as cross-linking agent at 50°C temperature)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gelatin</th>
<th>Desolvating agent</th>
<th>Size (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>228 ±11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>386 ±8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>185 ±13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>286 ±10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. The effect of pH on the zeta potential of gelatin A and gelatin B nanoparticles.

Increasing the pH of the nanoparticle suspension led to a decrease in the zeta potential. As shown in Figure 1, the zeta potential was a function of the nature of the gelatin used and the pH of the medium. Positively or negatively charged particles can be obtained between pH 4 and 6. However, the zeta potential of gelatin B reached a plateau, after passing pH 6.5 where the change in the zeta potential became less pronounced relative to the change between pH 4 to pH 6. This plateau was not as pronounced with gelatin A nanoparticles. Labeling the nanoparticles with Texas red had no statistically significant effect on the zeta potential (data not shown) of nanoparticles. Cellular uptake of fluorescent labeled nanoparticles was demonstrated by confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM, Figure 2) using 143B osteosarcoma cancer cells. We chose this cell line because of availability and its ability to form an adherent cell monolayer.

Figure 2 shows the cellular uptake and distribution of nanoparticles with an average particle size of 190 nm by 143B cells. In this figure, A shows the detection of Texas red (Red channel), B shows bright field, part C is the detection of DAPI a nucleus staining dye and part D is the overlay of all three images. As shown in Figure 2 A, the labeled nanoparticles were found mainly in the cytoplasm of cancer cells.
As a control, Texas Red solution was incubated with the cells under the same conditions (Figure 3).

No Texas Red was detected inside of the cells. Our results show that nanoparticles can be accumulated in the cytoplasm of osteosarcoma cells, whereas free dye (not attached to the nanoparticles) was not detected in these cells. It has been shown that uptake of particulate systems can occur through various processes including phagocytosis, fluid phase pinocytosis or by receptor-mediated endocytosis, (20, 21, 39-41)). The actual mechanism of internalization of our nanoparticles has to be determined. To evaluate the effect of particle size on the uptake of nanoparticles, cell uptake studies were done using three different particle sizes (190, 283 and 330 nm).

The results of measuring fluorescence intensity in different pictures based on average gray value showed that decreasing the particle size increases the cellular uptake. The highest uptake was observed with 190 nm nanoparticles. This is in accordance with the results of other researchers (23-25).
Figure 3. CLSM image of uptake of Texas Red control solution containing DAPI by 143B osteosarcoma cells. A) detection of Texas Red, B) bright field, C) detection of DAPI and D) overlap of these three images.

CONCLUSION

Our systematic investigation of the synthesis parameters shows that it is possible to prepare nanoparticles with different particle sizes and a small size distribution. Temperature and nature of the gelatin were the most important factors. The smallest particles were prepared using gelatin B at 40 °C. Particles could not be prepared at temperatures lower than 40°C due to the high viscosity of the gelatin solution.

Bioimaging using CLSM showed accumulation of the nanoparticles by 143B osteosarcoma cancer cells. The labeled nanoparticles were found in the cytoplasm of the cells. Our results showed that smaller particles have a higher uptake. These might have important implications for future cancer staging and treatment strategies, which might utilize nanoparticles as carriers to deliver drugs to tumor cells.
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