Elsevier Editorial System(tm) for Cryobiology Manuscript Draft

Manuscript Number: CRYO-D-11-00101R2

Title: Cryoprotective agent toxicity interactions in human articular chondrocytes

Article Type: Research Paper

Keywords: cryopreservation; vitrification; chondrocytes; toxicity; cryoprotectant agents; interactions

Corresponding Author: Dr. Nadr M Jomha, PhD, MD, FRCS(C)

Corresponding Author's Institution: University of Alberta

First Author: Khaled A Almansoori, MBBCh

Order of Authors: Khaled A Almansoori, MBBCh; Vinay Prasad, PhD; Fraser Forbes, PhD; Garson K Law, MSc; Locksley E McGann, PhD; Janet A W Elliott, PhD; Nadr M Jomha, MD, PhD, FRCS(C)

Abstract: Background: Vitrification is a method of cryopreservation by which cells and tissues can be preserved at low temperatures using cryoprotective agents (CPAs) at high concentrations (typically \geq 6M) to limit the harmful effects of ice crystals that can form during cooling processes. However, at these concentrations CPAs are significantly cytotoxic and an understanding of their toxicity characteristics and interactions is important. Therefore, single-CPA and multiple-CPA solutions were evaluated for their direct and indirect toxicities on chondrocytes. Methods: Chondrocytes were isolated from human articular cartilage samples and exposed to various single-CPA and multiple-CPA solutions of five common CPAs (dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), ethylene glycol (EG), propylene glycol (PG), glycerol (Gy) and formamide (Fm) at both 6.0M and 8.1M concentrations at 0°C for 30 minutes. Chondrocyte survival was determined using a fluorescent cell membrane integrity assay. The data obtained was statistically analyzed and regression coefficients were used to represent the indirect toxicity effect which a specific combination of CPAs exerted on the final solution's toxicity. Results: Multiple-CPA solutions were significantly less toxic than single-CPA solutions (P<0.01). The indirect toxicity effects between CPAs were quantifiable using regression analysis. Cell survival rates of approximately 40% were obtained with the four-CPA combination solution DMSO-EG-Gy-Fm. In the multiple-CPA combinations, PG demonstrated the greatest degree of toxicity and its presence within a combination solution negated any benefits of using multiple lower concentration CPAs. Conclusions: Multiple-CPA solutions are less cytotoxic than single-CPA solutions of the same total concentration. PG was the most toxic CPA when used in combinations. The highest chondrocyte survival rates were obtained with the 6.0M DMSO-EG-Gy-Fm combination solution.

NOTICE: this is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in *Cryobiology*. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in *Cryobiology*, Volume 64, Issue 3, June 2012, Pages 185-191. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cryobiol.2012.01.006.

1 Cryoprotective agent toxicity interactions in human

articular chondrocytes

- 3 KA Almansoori MBBCh^a, (almansoori@ualberta.ca)
- 4 *V Prasad PhD^b*,(*vprasad@ualberta.ca*)
- 5 JF Forbes PhD^b, (Fraser.Forbes@ualberta.ca)
- 6 *GK Law MSc^a*,(gklaw@ualberta.ca)
- 7 *LE McGann PhD^c*, (locksly.mcgann@ualberta.ca)
- 8 *JAW Elliott PhD^b*, (*janet.elliott@ualberta.ca*)
- 9 NM Jomha MD FRCS(C) PhD^a (njomha@ualberta.ca)
- 10

- 11 a. Division of Orthopaedic Surgery, Department of Surgery, University of Alberta,
- 12 Edmonton, AB T6G 2B7
- 13 b. Department of Chemical and Materials Engineering, University of Alberta,
- 14 Edmonton, AB T6G 2V4
- 15 c. Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, University of Alberta,
- 16 Edmonton, AB T6G 2R7
- 17
- 18 Corresponding author:
- 19 NM Jomha
- 20 2D2.28 WMC, Department of Surgery
- 21 University of Alberta Hospital
- 22 Edmonton Alberta
- 23 Canada
- 24 T6G 2B7
- 25 Ph: 1 780 407 2816
- 26 Fax: 1 780 407 2819
- 27 Email: njomha@ualberta.ca

28 Abstract

29 **Background**: Vitrification is a method of cryopreservation by which cells and 30 tissues can be preserved at low temperatures using cryoprotective agents (CPAs) at high 31 concentrations (typically $\geq 6M$) to limit the harmful effects of ice crystals that can form 32 during cooling processes. However, at these concentrations CPAs are significantly 33 cytotoxic and an understanding of their toxicity characteristics and interactions is 34 important. Therefore, single-CPA and multiple-CPA solutions were evaluated for their 35 direct and indirect toxicities on chondrocytes. Methods: Chondrocytes were isolated 36 from human articular cartilage samples and exposed to various single-CPA and multiple-37 CPA solutions of five common CPAs (dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), ethylene glycol (EG), propylene glycol (PG), glycerol (Gy) and formamide (Fm) at both 6.0M and 8.1M 38 39 concentrations at 0°C for 30 minutes. Chondrocyte survival was determined using a 40 fluorescent cell membrane integrity assay. The data obtained was statistically analyzed 41 and regression coefficients were used to represent the indirect toxicity effect which a 42 specific combination of CPAs exerted on the final solution's toxicity. *Results*: Multiple-43 CPA solutions were significantly less toxic than single-CPA solutions (P<0.01). The 44 *indirect* toxicity effects between CPAs were quantifiable using regression analysis. Cell 45 survival rates of approximately 40% were obtained with the four-CPA combination 46 solution DMSO-EG-Gy-Fm. In the multiple-CPA combinations, PG demonstrated the 47 greatest degree of toxicity and its presence within a combination solution negated any 48 benefits of using multiple lower concentration CPAs. *Conclusions*: Multiple-CPA 49 solutions are less cytotoxic than single-CPA solutions of the same total concentration. PG 50 was the most toxic CPA when used in combinations. The highest chondrocyte survival 51 rates were obtained with the 6.0M DMSO-EG-Gy-Fm combination solution.

- 53 Key words: cryopreservation, vitrification, chondrocytes, toxicity, cryoprotectant agents,
- 54 interactions

56 Introduction

57 Osteoarthritis (OA) results in a massive socioeconomic burden with significant 58 individual implications. Arthritis is the leading cause of work disability in Canada, with a 59 yearly economic cost of approximately \$4.4 billion in Canada[1; 2] and \$40 billion in the 60 USA[3]. Thus, prevention and treatment of OA are of paramount importance to society. 61 Although several methods are available to treat small articular cartilage (AC) injuries, 62 treatment options for larger defects have been challenging. Cartilage transplantation is a 63 potential treatment option for large cartilage defects, especially when considering the low 64 immunogenicity and avascularity of the tissue [4]. Hypothermic storage at 4° C has had 65 some success but the storage period is limited to 28-42 days because cell deterioration 66 and death begins after 7-14 days in this condition [5; 6] making it difficult to establish a 67 sufficient inventory of osteochondral tissue. Thus, before osteochondral transplantation 68 becomes widely available, an effective method of storage and preservation is necessary to 69 maintain the cartilage tissue's integrity and cellular viability. Cryopreservation offers a 70 solution to this problem by which sub-zero temperatures are used to arrest the 71 biochemical reactions responsible for the deterioration of stored cells and tissues. 72 Two common methods of cryopreservation are controlled freezing and 73 vitrification, and both have been successfully applied to single cell systems including 74 semen, stem cells, and oocytes [7; 8; 9]. However, successful long term results have been 75 limited when these techniques have been applied to more complex tissue like AC[10; 11; 76 12]. The primary obstacle of controlled freezing is the formation of ice crystals during the 77 cooling process which results in molecular, mechanical, and osmotic cellular and tissue 78 injuries[13; 14; 15]. Vitrification appears to exhibit greater survival rates for both

gonocytes[16; 17] and chondrocytes[18]. This process involves the use of cryoprotective
agents (CPAs) at high concentrations (typically ≥6M for human chondrocytes) with a
rapid cooling rate that limits the formation of ice crystals. Unfortunately, at the high
concentrations required to achieve vitrification, the CPAs are cytotoxic and so the
benefits of employing CPAs becomes undermined by their own toxicity[15; 19; 20; 21;
22].

85 In general, CPAs are categorized as *permeating* (eg. glycerol, ethylene glycol) or 86 *non-permeating* (eg. sucrose, trehalose) based on their ability to penetrate the cell 87 membrane layer. The mechanisms by which CPAs cross the cell membrane have not been 88 completely established, but studies have shown that factors which include temperature, 89 exposure time, solution agitation, and concentration can all affect their penetration into 90 cells[23; 24; 25; 26; 27; 28; 29; 30; 31]. Membrane permeation enhances a CPAs ability 91 to achieve successful cryopreservation but also makes them considerably more toxic 92 relative to non-permeating CPAs[32]. The extensive experience and relative success with 93 permeating CPAs were the reasons that this study focused exclusively on commonly used 94 permeating CPAs.

Different CPAs exhibit various degrees and mechanisms of toxicity. Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) has been investigated most thoroughly in different cell types and is shown to block Na⁺ and Ca²⁺ ion channels within cell membranes[33], alter cell membrane permeability[34], alter mitochondrial membrane potential[35; 36], induce cellular apoptosis[37], and inhibit telomerase activity[38]. Ethylene glycol (EG) has been shown to induce changes to the cell's metabolism by altering NAD/NADH ratios required for ATP production[39]; glycerol (Gy) is found to act on the glycerol phosphate

102	cycle to promote protein glycosylation and mitochondrial dysfunction[40]; and
103	formamide (Fm) is shown to disrupt the cell's membrane, cytoskeleton and mitotic
104	spindle architecture[41; 42]. Furthermore, several CPAs have also been found to exhibit
105	genotoxicity effects; DMSO and formamide can denature DNA in calf thymus cells,
106	while EG and propylene glycol (PG) can induce chromosomal injuries in hamster
107	oocytes[41; 43]. Because of the different modes of toxicity, multiple CPAs at low-
108	concentration are often combined into a 'cocktail' solution in order to limit their
109	individual toxicities while at the same time obtaining an adequate total cryoprotective
110	solution concentration needed for tissue vitrification[27; 44; 45; 46; 47].
111	When describing toxicity effects of a multiple-CPA solution, an important
112	distinction is required; direct toxicity is the primary genotoxic or cytotoxic effects that a
113	CPA has on a cell, while <i>indirect</i> toxicity describes the effect of the molecular
114	interactions between CPAs within a solution which either enhance or reduce the
115	respective individual CPA toxicities. An example of this indirect toxicity effect is
116	demonstrated by the reduction in formamide's toxicity when DMSO is combined in the
117	same solution[48]. Determination of CPA toxicity interactions is difficult due to the
118	multiple combinations possible. The only comprehensive attempt on articular
119	chondrocytes has been performed by our group using porcine chondrocytes. We used five
120	different permeating CPAs in combinations up to 3M[21]. It was shown that toxicity
121	interactions did occur and could be described using regression analysis. The current study
122	was designed to evaluate the direct and indirect toxicity effects of five commonly used
123	permeating CPAs [dimethyl sulfoxide, ethylene glycol, propylene glycol, glycerol and
124	formamide] in human articular chondrocytes when placed into various combinations at

125	sufficient concentrations to vitrify[49]. We hypothesized that CPA direct and indirect
126	toxicities of high concentrations of permeating CPAs could be determined and quantified
127	in human articular chondrocytes.
128	
129	Methods & Materials
130	Chondrocyte Isolation
131	After ethical approval from the University of Alberta's ethical review board, a
132	total of 10 AC samples were obtained from donor patients undergoing elective total knee
133	replacements and transported in a sterile phosphate-buffered saline solution (PBS, pH
134	7.1, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and tested within 24hrs. Only areas scored as

135 Outerbridge[50] grade I or 0 were included. Cartilage samples were digested in 1mg/ml

136 solution of collagenase-DMEM-antibiotic solution (Sigma, Cat# C-9891, Lot#

137 084K8613, 357 units/mg solid, St. Louis, MO: Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Media,

138 DMEM F-12, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA: 1% Penicillin/Streptomycin, Invitrogen,

139 Carlsbad, CA). This was performed over a period of 6 hours in an incubator at 37°C and

140 5% CO_2 . The digested solution was filtered through a 40µm cell strainer (Fisher

141 Scientific, Waltham, MA) and placed into a 50mL centrifuge tube and centrifuged for 6

142 minutes at 400x g and 20°C (Eppendorf 5810 R, Eppendorf, Hamburg, DE). The

143 supernatant was then removed and the pellet was re-centrifuged and re-suspended in both

144 sterile PBS and DMEM, eventually providing isolated chondrocytes without matrix. The

145 cell number was determined using a Coulter Counter Z2 (Beckman Coulter, Fullerton,

146 CA) and the chondrocytes were seeded onto a 96-well cell culture plate at a concentration

147 of 25,000 cells in 100µL suspension of solution (DMEM F-12, 1%

Penicillin/Streptomycin, 10% fetal bovine serum). The plates were then placed inside an
incubator for 48hrs (37°C, 5% CO₂) before they were exposed to the cryoprotective
solutions.

151

152 Cryoprotective Solutions and Chondrocyte Exposure

153 Five CPAs were used to create single-, three-, four- and five- combination 154 solutions (Table 1): dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), ethylene glycol (EG), propylene glycol 155 (PG), glycerol (Gy) and formamide (Fm). All twenty-one of the test solutions were 156 prepared as 6M and 9M stock solutions using the various CPAs in equal concentrations 157 and suspension media (DMEM F-12, 1% Penicillin/Streptomycin, and 10% fetal bovine 158 serum) and pre-cooled to $4C^{\circ}$ to decrease biochemical reactions[22]. The 96 well plates 159 were removed from the incubator and placed on ice. Half of the volume of the wells were 160 removed with a pipette and replaced with the 6M test solution on top of the adhered cells, 161 thus creating a 3M solution environment in each well. After 5 minutes, 50 µL (half of the 162 volume of the well) was removed and replaced with an equal volume of the 9M test 163 solution, creating a final 6M environment. This stepwise increase in concentration was 164 employed to minimize the potential osmotic effects on the cells. For the 8.1M treatment, 165 an additional 70µL (70% of the volume) was removed and replaced with an equal volume 166 of the 9M test solution, thus creating an 8.1M environment in each well. Wells containing 167 only suspension media (DMEM/F12) and no CPAs were used as a positive control, and 168 wells containing 100% DMSO (known to be cytotoxic to chondrocytes)[22] was taken as 169 a negative control.

170	After 30 minutes of exposure, the CPAs were removed from the cells by the
171	following process: 25% of the test solution was removed with a pipette and replaced with
172	an equal volume of suspension media (DMEM/F12) and held for 5 minutes, creating an
173	environmental concentration of 6M in the wells that were initially subjected to 8.1M CPA
174	solutions. To further reduce the CPA concentration of all 6M solutions in a stepwise
175	manner, 50% of the test solution was removed and replaced with an equal volume of
176	suspension media. This was followed by two additional dilution steps removing 75% of
177	the remaining CPA solution each time, resulting in a final CPA concentration of
178	0.1875M. There were 10 donors in total. Six donors were used for the 1- and 3-CPA
179	combinations (32 wells – five 1-CPA solutions at both 6M and 8.1M, ten 3-CPA
180	combinations at both 6M and 8.1M, one negative control and one positive control) and
181	four donors were used for the 4- and 5-CPA combinations (14 wells - five 4-CPA
182	combinations at both 6M and 8.1M and one 5-CPA combination at both 6M and 8.1M,
183	one negative control and one positive control). Each donor provided one complete run of
184	either 1- and 3-CPA combinations (32 wells) or 4- and 5- combinations (14 wells).
185	

186 Evaluation of "direct" CPA toxicities via cell viability assays

To assess the level of toxicity of each of the CPA solutions, a membrane integrity
assay was used to determine chondrocyte viability. A combination stain solution was
prepared using Syto13/ethidium bromide (0.86µM/8.6µM, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA /
Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) in 1x PBS (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Syto13 is a cell
membrane permeable nucleic acid specific stain which emits a green fluorescence upon
excitation and ethidium bromide is a cell membrane non-permeable stain which is

193	specific for nucleic acids and emits a red fluorescence upon excitation. Therefore, cells
194	with intact membranes block the ethidium bromide and emit a green fluorescence, while
195	those with defective membranes allow the penetration of ethidium bromide and emit a
196	red fluorescence. The wells of the 96-well plate were drained by inversion and $40\mu l$ of
197	the combination stain solution was added to each of the wells (thus further reducing the
198	CPA concentration in the wells to almost nil). The cells and stain were left to incubate
199	for 30 minutes at room temperature (~21°C) in a dark environment. Chondrocyte
200	membrane integrity was determined by fluorescent microscopy (Nikon Eclipse TE 2000-
201	U fluorescent microscope, NIS Elements software [v. F 2.30, Nikon, Tokyo, JP]) and
202	cell counts (live/dead) were made using a visual software program (Viability 3.2.0.0,
203	Cryolab, University of Alberta)[51]. The chondrocyte survival rates were normalized and
204	are reported as the number of intact (green-fluorescing) cells post-treatment divided by
205	the number of intact cells in the self-control. This calculation was chosen as a
206	conservative measure of cell survival that accounts for differences among samples in
207	initial cell health and cell attachment properties.

211

209 Evaluation of "indirect" toxicities via Statistical Analysis

210 The following mathematical model was used for cell recovery, y.

$$y = \alpha + \sum_{i=1}^{5} \beta_{i} x_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{5} \sum_{j=i+1}^{5} \gamma_{ij} x_{i} x_{j} + \sum_{i=1}^{5} \sum_{j=i+1}^{5} \sum_{k=j+1}^{5} \phi_{ijk} x_{i} x_{j} x_{k} + \sum_{i=1}^{5} \sum_{j=i+1}^{5} \sum_{k=j+1}^{5} \sum_{l=k+1}^{5} \delta_{ijkl} x_{i} x_{j} x_{k} x_{l}$$

$$(1)$$

where x_i is the molar concentration of the ith cryoprotective agent, α represents the expected control survival, and the symbols β , γ , \emptyset , and δ are the various regression

214 coefficients describing the strength of various direct and indirect toxicities of CPAs. The 215 β_i coefficients, assume a linear dose-toxicity relationship for each CPA and describe the 216 direct toxicity strength of each cryoprotectant i —that is, β_i indicates the amount that the 217 cell recovery is reduced per molar increase in that CPA's concentration. The β_i 218 coefficients are expected to have negative values as the presence of a single CPA 219 generally reduces cell survival. The last three terms in Equation 1 represent higher order 220 corrections to the control cell survival and direct toxicities, and are called "indirect 221 toxicities". The coefficients γ , \emptyset , and δ represent the strength of the indirect toxicities or 222 the additional contribution to cell recovery of a particular combination of CPAs above 223 that which would be expected by considering the effect of each CPA individually. The 224 last three terms in equation 1 involving these coefficients account for changes in solution 225 toxicity due to interactions between CPAs in solution. The coefficients γ , \emptyset , and δ can be 226 expected to be either negative or positive. Those combinations for which CPA-CPA 227 interactions caused an increased level of solution toxicity have negative coefficients, 228 while those combinations for which CPA-CPA interactions lead to a reduced level of 229 toxicity have positive coefficients.

230

Using the data from the cell viability assays, the coefficients were identified using linear least squares multiple regression. Various models were tested, including models without indirect effects (i.e., neglecting the last three terms on the right hand side of equation 1), and models that only included terms up to 2nd order and 3rd order, respectively. However, the model that included all the terms including 4th order terms in equation 1 best described the experimental data. After the regression was completed, hypotheses tests

were conducted to ensure that the estimated value of each coefficient was significant. The
null hypothesis was that the coefficient was indistinguishable from zero at the 95%
confidence level, and the tests were conducted using the standard deviation of each
coefficient obtained from the regression. The tests established that each coefficient was
significant and different from zero. The statistical analysis was performed using Matlab
R2009b software (The MathWorks, Inc., Natick, MA).

243

244 **Results**

245 Single and combination CPA toxicities

246 The positive controls showed a cell survival rate of 54.9 ± 2.7 (n=10) and the 247 negative controls had cell survival rates of 0% (Figure 1). Overall, the single-CPA 248 solutions were more toxic than the multiple-CPA solutions (Table 2). This finding was 249 consistent in both the 6.0M and 8.1M concentrations. Furthermore, between the two 250 concentrations, the 8.1M solutions resulted in significantly greater chondrocyte loss 251 compared to the 6.0M solutions (P<0.05). Among the *single*-CPA solutions, formamide 252 was the most toxic agent and DMSO was the least toxic. However, when used in 253 *multiple*-CPA solutions, propylene glycol was found to be the most toxic CPA. In 254 general, all solutions that contained PG resulted in a similar range of chondrocyte 255 survival of between 0.05-5.09% (6.0M = 0.18 - 5.09%; 8.1M = 0.05 - 2.85%). However, 256 CPA combination solutions where PG was excluded yielded significantly greater cell 257 membrane integrity rates (P < 0.001, Table 2). In fact, the average cell survival rates of the 258 PG-excluded combination solutions ranged from 7.93-39.78% for the 6.0M solutions and 259 1.05-7.20% for the 8.1M solutions. The greatest chondrocyte survival rates

260 (approximately 40%) were obtained with the DMSO-EG-Gy-Fm combination solution,

followed by the Gy-EG-Fm and Gy-DMSO-Fm solutions (Table 2).

262

263 Combination CPA "Indirect" Toxicity Effects

264 The interactions between the CPAs had measurable effects on a solution's toxicity; various CPA interactions resulted in either additive or subtractive effects on the 265 266 final solution toxicity (Table 3). Two-CPA interactions increased the final toxicity of the 267 solution above that expected from the single–CPA direct toxicities as evidenced by the 268 negative values of the γ_{ii} coefficients. Three–CPA interactions decreased the final toxicity 269 of the solution below that expected from the single–CPA direct toxicities and two–CPA 270 indirect toxicities as evidenced by the positive values of the $Ø_{ijk}$ coefficients. Four-CPA 271 interactions increased the final toxicity of the solution CPA above that expected from the 272 single-CPA direct toxicities and two- and three-CPA indirect toxicities as evidenced by 273 the negative values of the δ_{ijkl} coefficients. While some interactions resulted in 274 antagonistic toxicity effects which reduced the CPA solution's final toxicity (eg. the 3-275 combination CPA solutions), other combinations resulted in synergistic toxicity effects 276 which further increased the solution's toxicity (eg. the 2- and 4-combination CPA 277 solutions). Of note, the values of the coefficients are not as significant as their ordinality 278 in terms of grading the indirect toxicities of the different combinations in relation to one 279 another.

280

281 Discussion

282	The ideal CPA solution for cartilage vitrification depends on determining the least
283	toxic CPA solution as well as optimizing its tissue-permeability and efficacy in
284	vitrification. However, in order to achieve this, a clear understanding of the direct and
285	indirect toxicities is necessary to develop a safe and minimally toxic CPA solution. This
286	study has attempted to investigate both the direct toxicity effects of CPAs in various CPA
287	combinations, as well as the indirect toxicity effects of combination CPAs. The obtained
288	coefficients provide us with an understanding of the CPA toxicities so that we can
289	mathematically predict which combinations of CPAs will produce the lowest degree of
290	toxicity without having to empirically test all possible combinations.
291	Surprisingly, the chondrocyte survival rates for the positive controls were
292	approximately 60%. This suggests that environmental factors such as pre-existing
293	condition of the cartilage, harvesting method and tissue processing were important in
294	determining chondrocyte survival. Another important factor was chondrocyte exposure to
295	the CPA solutions. Previous studies have shown that even though CPAs all share the
296	similar function of preventing cryopreservation-induced injuries, their degree and
297	mechanism of toxicity varies among species[24; 52; 53]. However, when we compare
298	this data to our previous study which employed porcine chondrocytes, there are several
299	important similarities: multiple-CPA solutions are less toxic than single-CPA solutions of
300	the same concentration; higher concentration CPA solutions are more toxic; and PG is
301	one of the most toxic CPAs[21].
302	An important concept presented within this study is that of direct and indirect
303	toxicity effects. It has been shown that combining CPAs can result in lower toxicity when

304 compared to a single CPA at the same total concentration[54; 55]. It has not been

305 determined whether the overall lower toxicity is due to the actual reduction of the 306 concentration of each individual CPA or interactions between the CPAs or a combination 307 of both of these mechanisms. As noted here, multiple-CPA solution toxicities are 308 *nonlinear* and the final CPA solution's toxicity is not equal to the sum of its individual 309 CPA toxicities. This nonlinear pattern of toxicity is best explained by the indirect toxicity 310 concept arising from molecular interactions between CPAs and their biochemical targets 311 and between the CPAs themselves. These interactions alter the activities and toxicities of 312 the CPAs within a combination and produce either synergistic (i.e. enhanced) or 313 antagonistic (i.e. reduced) effects on the final CPA solution's toxicity. The exact 314 mechanism of this property is unknown, but we propose some plausible mechanisms. For 315 example, PG may polarize another CPA's molecular charges and render that CPA more 316 toxic or potent. Considering PG's toxicity to the cell's cytoskeleton, it may interfere with 317 the mobilization of organelles and compounds necessary for repair and adaptation. Other 318 possibilities include a CPA compounding the mitochondrial dysfunction induced by 319 glycerol by inhibiting alternative energy sources for the cell, or the CPA may prevent the 320 mobilization of new membrane proteins needed for survival when a cell's membrane ion 321 channels are injured by DMSO. Regardless of the mechanism, the presence of indirect 322 toxicities due to CPA interactions within a combination does play a quantifiable role 323 which has been elucidated in this research.

Previous methods of describing and predicting CPA toxicities have focused on quantifying the direct toxicities of CPAs based on their functional polar groups and vitrification concentrations[56]. While the coefficients determined in the current study are only meant to represent the indirect toxicity effects of a combination, their actual

328	values are not as significant as their ordinality in terms of grading a combination
329	solution's indirect toxicity in relation to other combinations. Interestingly, all the 3-CPA
330	combinations demonstrated positive coefficient values (i.e. interactions that reduce
331	toxicity), while the 2- and 4-CPA combinations had negative coefficients (i.e. interactions
332	which increased the solutions toxicity). One possible explanation is that the 3-CPA
333	combination solutions may represent a cross-over point between toxicity due to CPA-
334	CPA interactions (more likely to occur in the 2-CPA combinations due to the higher
335	concentrations of the 2 CPAs involved) and the toxicity due to increased mechanisms of
336	direct cellular injury (due to the greater overlap and number of cellular targets and
337	toxicity mechanisms with 4-CPA combinations). A second explanation involves the
338	toxicity relationships of the CPA's, which may be linear or non-linear (eg. curvi-linear or
339	sigmoidal). In fact, even though a CPA's toxicity-dose relationship may be linear in
340	isolation, when combined with other CPAs the relationship may become non-linear.
341	Therefore, because our indirect toxicity model assumes a linear dose-toxicity
342	relationship, by applying a linear least squares regression analysis to a 'curved' dose-
343	toxicity relationship we may be over- or under-estimating the contributions of the CPA's
344	indirect toxicity effects. That stated, other models were tested including models without
345	indirect effects and models that included terms up the 2 nd order and 3 rd order. However,
346	the model that included all terms including 4 th order terms in equation 1 best described
347	the experimental data. Nevertheless, until further studies become available which better
348	characterize the CPA-cell toxicity relationship, the current model can provide a
349	preliminary framework to describe the indirect toxicity effects based on the 1-, 3-, 4- and

350 5-CPA data obtained, and provide us with an effective method for predicting which CPA351 interactions will optimize chondrocyte survival rates.

The incorporation of the coefficients into a CPA's toxicity profile provides a more accurate framework for predicting and describing the toxicity characteristics of CPAs, so that as the data is expanded to include variations in concentrations and further combinations, the model will become more accurate. Nevertheless, considering that the regressions from the data are based on a 'best-fit' model, conclusions regarding physical or biochemical properties and reactions cannot be reliably inferred without first testing the accuracy of the model.

359 With regards to the single-CPA solution data, we found that formamide was the 360 most toxic of the single CPA solutions and this finding is similarly reported in other 361 studies [57; 58]. However, when analyzing the direct and indirect multiple-CPA toxicity 362 data, we find that PG was the most toxic CPA. In fact, propylene glycol has been found 363 to be a significantly toxic CPA across a variety of species [26; 44; 59], and when placed 364 in the context of our data, we find that combinations which excluded propylene glycol 365 had significantly better chondrocyte survival rates (P < 0.01). The mechanisms by which 366 PG exhibits its toxicity is still not completely established; however a few studies have 367 already demonstrated its harmful effects on cell membrane permeability, mitochondrial 368 activity, thymidine incorporation into DNA and lysosomal activation [42; 60; 61]. 369 Furthermore, when analyzing PG within the context of the indirect toxicities, we find that 370 all of the two-combination CPA solutions which included PG had the most negative 371 coefficients, suggesting that when compared to the other CPAs tested, PG significantly 372 enhances the toxicity of a combination solution. Therefore, its inclusion within a

373 multiple-CPA solution for isolated chondrocytes should be avoided. Nevertheless, the 374 reason for which formamide is found to be the most toxic CPA when in isolation and PG 375 the most toxic in combination is likely related to the indirect toxicity concept where PG 376 may not be the most toxic CPA, but its toxic properties enhance the toxic effects of 377 surrounding CPAs. For this reason, PG is a harmful CPA to isolated chondrocytes when 378 used in a multiple-CPA solution.

379 Overall, similar to other reports, we determined that multiple-CPA solutions are 380 less toxic than the single CPA solutions[21; 47; 48], and therefore a larger range of 381 combinations of CPA solutions should be tested. Of course, many other factors may also 382 be adjusted which include variations in individual CPA concentrations within the 383 solution, the role of the order of CPA addition, the temperature of introduction, and the 384 exposure time. Furthermore, considering the more toxic nature of permeable CPAs, there 385 may be benefit to employing a balanced combination of non-permeable and permeable 386 CPAs[23; 59; 61]. Importantly, toxicity effects may be altered by removing the cells from 387 their native tissue and results may differ with cells in situ[54]. This study used 388 chondrocytes isolated from the matrix to avoid permeation issues but cells *in situ* may 389 react differently than cells in solution. Thus, it is important to relate these results 390 specifically to isolated chondrocytes as opposed to those still in the matrix. It is possible 391 that 5 minutes exposure is insufficient time. We believe this is unlikely due to the 392 published permeation rates of DMSO, PG and glycerol into chondrocytes[62; 63] but it 393 should be noted that these rates were at 20°C. Only the permeation rate of EG into 394 chondrocytes has not been recorded. In many of the combinations, there was very little 395 cell recovery. Even more informative data may be achieved by using lower

396 concentrations (we used these high concentrations in order to relate the results to

397 vitrification) or to expose the cells for a shorter period of time. Nevertheless, the results

398 of this study have helped emphasize the possibility of reducing a CPA solution's toxicity

399 by using a selective combination of CPAs with good toxicity interactions.

400

401 Conclusions

402 Multiple-CPA solutions are less cytotoxic than single-CPA solutions of the same 403 total concentration. CPAs exhibit direct and indirect toxicities, where specific molecular 404 interactions can either enhance or reduce the toxicities of other CPAs within a 405 combination solution. For chondrocytes from human AC, formamide was the most toxic 406 CPA when only single-CPA solutions were used. Propylene glycol was the most toxic of 407 the permeating CPAs tested when included in multiple-CPA solutions and its inclusion 408 within a combination resulted in significantly higher indirect toxicities. Of the 409 combination solutions tested, the 6.0M DMSO-ethylene glycol-formamide-glycerol 410 combination solution resulted in the highest human AC chondrocyte survival of 411 approximately 40%. 412

413 Acknowledgements

414 This research was funded by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (MOP 93805).

415 JAWE holds a Canada Research Chair in Thermodynamics.

- 416 Figure Legend
- 417 **Figure 1**: Digitized images of plates containing, A: Positive control; B: Negative control;
- 418 and C: DMSO-EG-Gy-Fm solution that demonstrated the highest cell recovery of all
- 419 solutions tested. Fluorescent staining by Syto13 (green = intact membranes) and ethidium
- 420 bromide (red = disrupted membranes). Images were taken at 4x magnification. Scale bars
- 421 represent 100 μm.

423 Table 1. CPA solutions*:

Single-CPA solutio	<u>ns</u>			
1. DMSO	2. EG	3. PG	4.Gy	5.Fm

Three-CPA Combination solutions

10. EG-PG-Fm 11. EG-Gy-Fm 12. Gy-DMSO-PG 13. Gy-DMSO-Fm

14. Gy-PG-Fm 15. DMSO-PG-Fm

Four-CPA Combination solutions				
16. DMSO-PG-EG-Gy	17. DMSO-PG-EG-Fm	18. DMSO-PG-Gy-Fm		
19. DMSO-EG-Gy-Fm	20. PG-EG-Gy-Fm			

Five-CPA Combination solutions

21. DMSO-PG-EG-Gy-Fm

- 424 *DMSO (dimethyl sulfoxide), EG (ethylene glycol), PG (propylene glycol),
- 425 Gy (glycerol), Fm (formamide)
- 426

	<u>6.0M</u>		<u>8.1M</u>	
Solution	% Survival	SE	% Survival	SE
D-D-D	3.00	0.01	0.97	0.00
P-P-P	0.10	0.00	0.03	0.00
E-E-E	0.34	0.00	0.09	0.00
G-G-G	0.19	0.00	0.06	0.00
F-F-F	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.00
G-E-D	7.93	0.04	4.32	0.01
G-D-F	24.03	0.05	5.07	0.04
G-E-F	28.43	0.03	2.86	0.04
G-E-P	0.20	0.00	0.05	0.00
G-P-F	0.18	0.00	0.09	0.00
E-D-F	9.09	0.01	1.05	0.01
G-D-P	0.45	0.00	0.17	0.00
E-P-F	0.20	0.01	0.70	0.00
E-D-P	0.23	0.00	0.08	0.00
D-P-F	0.25	0.00	0.07	0.00
D-P-E-G	0.41	0.00	0.20	0.00
D-P-E-F	0.48	0.00	0.24	0.00
D-P-G-F	5.09	0.03	2.85	0.00
D-E-G-F	39.78	0.07	7.20	0.09
P-E-G-F	0.60	0.00	0.35	0.00
D-P-E-G-F	3.25	0.01	1.45	0.01
100% DMSO	0.03	0.00	0.02	0.00

427 <u>Table 2: CPA solutions and chondrocyte survival rates</u>

*D = dimethyl sulfoxide, P = propylene glycol, E = ethylene glycol, G = glycerol, F =

431 formamide

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline Coefficient & Agent / & Value & Std. & Deviation \\ \hline Combination^{\pm,1} & Value & Std. & Deviation \\ \hline Combination^{\pm,1} & 48.27 & .06072 & Positive control containing suspension media only. \\ \hline α & Control* & 48.27 & .06072 & Positive control containing suspension media only. \\ \hline β & D & -6.58 & .02582 & Single-CPA solution's direct \\ P & -6.97 & .02580 & toxicity effects \\ E & -6.80 & .02581 \\ \hline F & -6.81 & .02580 \\ \hline γ_{ij} & D + P & -0.8809 & 0.02301 & Multiple-CPA solution's \\ D + E & -0.6754 & 0.02300 & indirect toxicity effects; the \\ D + G & -0.2992 & 0.02303 & coefficient represents the \\ D + F & -0.6231 & 0.02303 & toxicity effects due to the \\ P + E & -1.0707 & 0.02319 & respective CPA interactions \\ P + G & -1.0333 & 0.02319 & within a corresponding \\ P + F & -0.9299 & 0.02322 & combination \\ E + G & -0.8731 & 0.02332 \\ E + F & -0.6976 & 0.02307 \\ G + F & -0.1326 & 0.02306 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$		chiefent toxicitie		~ .	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Coefficient	<u>Agent /</u>	<u>Value</u>	<u>Std.</u>	Notes
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Combination ^{±,1}		Deviation	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	α	Control*	48.27	.06072	Positive control containing
$ \beta \qquad D \qquad -6.58 \qquad .02582 \qquad Single-CPA \ solution's \ direct \\ P \qquad -6.97 \qquad .02580 \qquad toxicity \ effects \\ E \qquad -6.80 \qquad .02581 \\ G \qquad -6.83 \qquad .02581 \\ F \qquad -6.81 \qquad .02580 \\ \hline \gamma_{ij} \qquad D+P \qquad -0.8809 \qquad 0.02301 \qquad Multiple-CPA \ solution's \\ D+E \qquad -0.6754 \qquad 0.02300 \qquad indirect \ toxicity \ effects; \ the \\ D+G \qquad -0.2992 \qquad 0.02303 \qquad coefficient \ represents \ the \\ D+F \qquad -0.6231 \qquad 0.02303 \qquad toxicity \ effects \ due \ to \ the \\ P+E \qquad -1.0707 \qquad 0.02319 \qquad respective \ CPA \ interactions \\ P+G \qquad -1.0333 \qquad 0.02319 \qquad within \ a \ corresponding \\ P+F \qquad -0.9299 \qquad 0.02322 \qquad combination \\ E+G \qquad -0.8731 \qquad 0.02302 \\ E+F \qquad -0.6976 \qquad 0.02307 \\ G+F \qquad -0.1326 \qquad 0.02306 \\ \hline \hline \end{array} $					suspension media only.
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	β	D	-6.58	.02582	Single-CPA solution's direct
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Р	-6.97	.02580	toxicity effects
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		E	-6.80	.02581	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		G	-6.83	.02581	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		F	-6.81	.02580	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	γ_{ii}	D + P	-0.8809	0.02301	Multiple-CPA solution's
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 4)	D + E	-0.6754	0.02300	indirect toxicity effects; the
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		D + G	-0.2992	0.02303	coefficient represents the
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		D + F	-0.6231	0.02303	toxicity effects due to the
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		P + E	-1.0707	0.02319	respective CPA interactions
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		P + G	-1.0333	0.02319	within a corresponding
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		P + F	-0.9299	0.02322	combination
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		E + G	-0.8731	0.02332	
G + F -0.1326 0.02306		E + F	-0.6976	0.02307	
		G + F	-0.1326	0.02306	
$ \vec{Q}_{\text{int}} = D + P + E = 1.0787 = 0.02074 $	Ø	D+P+E	1.0787	0.02074	
D+P+G = 0.9308 = 0.02074	≈ijĸ	D+P+G	0.9308	0.02074	
D+P+F 0.1022 0.02074		D+P+F	0.1022	0.02074	
D+E+G 0.9966 0.02073		D+E+G	0.9966	0.02073	
D+E+F 1.1393 0.02074		D+E+F	1.1393	0.02074	
D+G+F 1.7797 0.02074		D+G+F	1.7797	0.02074	
P+E+G 1.2734 0.02074		P+E+G	1.2734	0.02074	
P+E+F 1.1535 0.02074		P+E+F	1.1535	0.02074	
P+G+F 0.9322 0.02074		P+G+F	0.9322	0.02074	
E+G+F 2.3360 0.02074		E+G+F	2.3360	0.02074	
δ_{max} D+P+E+G -0.5773 0.0167	δ	D+P+E+G	-0.5773	0.0167	
D_{ijkl} D+P+E+F -0.6301 0.0168	Uijkl	D+P+E+F	-0.6301	0.0168	
D+P+G+F -0.1047 0.0168		D+P+G+F	-0.1047	0.0168	
D+E+G+F -0.1185 0.0169		D+E+G+F	-0.1185	0.0169	
P+E+G+F -0.1358 0.0180		P+E+G+F	-0.1358	0.0180	

433 Table 3: Coefficient toxicities of CPAs

434 [±]Positive coefficients represent interactions which reduced the final solution's toxicity,

while those with negative coefficients represent interactions which increased the finalsolutions toxicity.

437 $^{\$}D =$ dimethyl sulfoxide, E = ethylene glycol, P = propylene glycol, G = glycerol, and F = 438 formamide

439 * DMEM F-12, 1% Penicillin/Streptomycin, and 10% fetal bovine serum

441 **References**

- 442 [1] Arthritis, Public Health Agency of Canada, 2010.
- [2] C. Health, Health Canada; Economic Impact of Illness in Canada 1998. Ottawa:
 Public Works and Government Services Canada Catalogue # H21-136/1998E,
 (2002).
- 446 [3] A.F. Praemer, and S. Rice, Musculoskeletal conditions in the United States, American
 447 Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons, Rosemont, IL, 1999.
- [4] D.W. Jackson, and T.M. Simon, Chondrocyte transplantation [see comments].
 Arthroscopy 12, (1996) 732-738.
- 450 [5] S.T. Ball, D. Amiel, S.K. Williams, W. Tontz, A.C. Chen, R.L. Sah, and W.D.
 451 Bugbee, The effects of storage on fresh human osteochondral allografts. Clin
 452 Orthop Relat Res, (2004) 246-52.
- [6] R.J. Williams, 3rd, J.C. Dreese, and C.T. Chen, Chondrocyte survival and material
 properties of hypothermically stored cartilage: an evaluation of tissue used for
 osteochondral allograft transplantation. Am J Sports Med 32, (2004) 132-9.
- [7] K.G. Brockbank, Effects of cryopreservation upon vein function in vivo. Cryobiology
 31, (1994) 71-81.
- [8] M.L. Check, D.J. Check, J.H. Check, R. Long, and M. Press, Effect of shortened
 exposure time to the critical period for ice crystal formation on subsequent postthaw semen parameters from cryopreserved sperm. Arch Androl 32, (1994) 6367.
- 462 [9] L.E. McGann, A.R. Turner, M.J. Allalunis, and J.M. Turc, Cryopreservation of
 463 human peripheral blood stem cells: optimal cooling and warming conditions.
 464 Cryobiology 18, (1981) 469-472.
- [10] N.M. Jomha, G. Lavoie, K. Muldrew, N.S. Schachar, and L.E. McGann,
 Cryopreservation of intact human articular cartilage. J Orthop Res 20, (2002)
 1253-5.
- 468 [11] T. Malinin, H.T. Temple, and B.E. Buck, Transplantation of osteochondral allografts
 469 after cold storage. J Bone Joint Surg Am 88, (2006) 762-70.
- [12] C. Ohlendorf, W.W. Tomford, and H.J. Mankin, Chondrocyte survival in cryopreserved osteochondral articular cartilage. J Orthop Res 14, (1996) 413-416.
- 472 [13] G.M. Fahy, T.H. Lilley, H. Linsdell, M.S. Douglas, and H.T. Meryman,
 473 Cryoprotectant toxicity and cryoprotectant toxicity reduction: in search of
 474 molecular mechanisms. Cryobiology 27, (1990) 247-68.
- [14] B. Han, and J.C. Bischof, Direct cell injury associated with eutectic crystallization
 during freezing. Cryobiology 48, (2004) 8-21.
- 477 [15] D.E. Pegg, Principles of cryopreservation. Methods Mol Biol 368, (2007) 39-57.
- 478 [16] E.M. Kolibianakis, C.A. Venetis, and B.C. Tarlatzis, Cryopreservation of human
 479 embryos by vitrification or slow freezing: which one is better? Curr Opin Obstet
 480 Gynecol 21, (2009) 270-4.
- [17] K.E. Loutradi, E.M. Kolibianakis, C.A. Venetis, E.G. Papanikolaou, G. Pados, I.
 Bontis, and B.C. Tarlatzis, Cryopreservation of human embryos by vitrification or slow freezing: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Fertil Steril 90, (2008) 186-93.

485	[18] K.G. Brockbank, Z.Z. Chen, and Y.C. Song, Vitrification of porcine articular
486	cartilage. Cryobiology 60, (2010) 217-21.
487	[19] G.M. Fahy, D.I. Levy, and S.E. Ali, Some emerging principles underlying the
488	physical properties, biological actions, and utility of vitrification solutions.
489	Cryobiology 24, (1987) 196-213.
490	[20] G.M. Fahy, B. Wowk, J. Wu, J. Phan, C. Rasch, A. Chang, and E. Zendejas,
491	Cryopreservation of organs by vitrification: perspectives and recent advances.
492	Cryobiology 48, (2004) 157-78.
493	[21] N.M. Jomha, A.D. Weiss, J. Fraser Forbes, G.K. Law, J.A. Elliott, and L.E.
494	McGann, Cryoprotectant agent toxicity in porcine articular chondrocytes.
495	Cryobiology 61, (2010) 297-302.
496	[22] H.Y. Elmoazzen, A. Poovadan, G.K. Law, J.A. Elliott, L.E. McGann, and N.M.
497	Jomha, Dimethyl sulfoxide toxicity kinetics in intact articular cartilage. Cell
498	Tissue Bank 8, (2007) 125-33.
499	[23] R.H. Hammerstedt, J.K. Graham, and J.P. Nolan, Cryopreservation of mammalian
500	sperm: what we ask them to survive. J Androl 11, (1990) 73-88.
501	[24] J.M. Blanco, G. Gee, D.E. Wildt, and A.M. Donoghue, Species variation in osmotic,
502	cryoprotectant, and cooling rate tolerance in poultry, eagle, and peregrine falcon
503	spermatozoa. Biol Reprod 63, (2000) 1164-71.
504	[25] J.M. Blanco, J.A. Long, G. Gee, A.M. Donoghue, and D.E. Wildt, Osmotic tolerance
505	of avian spermatozoa: influence of time, temperature, cryoprotectant and
506	membrane ion pump function on sperm viability. Cryobiology 56, (2008) 8-14.
507	[26] J.M. Blanco, J.A. Long, G. Gee, D.E. Wildt, and A.M. Donoghue, Comparative
508	cryopreservation of avian spermatozoa: benefits of non-permeating
509	osmoprotectants and ATP on turkey and crane sperm cryosurvival. Anim Reprod
510	Sci 123, (2011) 242-8.
511	[27] R. Fabbri, Cryopreservation of human oocytes and ovarian tissue. Cell Tissue Bank
512	7, (2006) 113-22.
513	[28] S.R. Lyu, W.T. Wu, C.C. Hou, and W.H. Hsieh, Study of cryopreservation of
514	articular chondrocytes using the Taguchi method. Cryobiology 60, (2010) 165-76.
515	[29] R. McClean, W.V. Holt, Y.P. Zee, A. Lisle, and S.D. Johnston, The effect of
516	cryoprotectant on kangaroo sperm ultrastructure and mitochondrial function.
517	Cryobiology 57, (2008) 297-303.
518	[30] Y. Yamaji, D.M. Valdez, Jr., S. Seki, K. Yazawa, C. Urakawa, B. Jin, M. Kasai,
519	F.W. Kleinhans, and K. Edashige, Cryoprotectant permeability of aquaporin-3
520	expressed in Xenopus oocytes. Cryobiology 53, (2006) 258-67.
521	[31] H.Y. Elmoazzen, J.A. Elliott, and L.E. McGann, The effect of temperature on
522	membrane hydraulic conductivity. Cryobiology 45, (2002) 68-79.
523	[32] M. Kasai, and T. Mukaida, Cryopreservation of animal and human embryos by
524	vitrification. Reprod Biomed Online 9, (2004) 164-70.
525	[33] S.W. Jacob, and J.C. de la Torre, Pharmacology of dimethyl sulfoxide in cardiac and
526	CNS damage. Pharmacol Rep 61, (2009) 225-35.
527	[34] B. Bakar, E.A. Kose, S. Sonal, A. Alhan, K. Kilinc, and I.S. Keskil, Evaluation of
528	the neurotoxicity of DMSO infused into the carotid artery of rat. Injury, (2011).
529	[35] J. Vondracek, K. Soucek, M.A. Sheard, K. Chramostova, Z. Andrysik, J.
530	Hofmanova, and A. Kozubik, Dimethyl sulfoxide potentiates death receptor-

531	mediated apoptosis in the human myeloid leukemia U937 cell line through
532	enhancement of mitochondrial membrane depolarization. Leuk Res 30, (2006) 81-
533	9.
534	[36] T. Zampolla, E. Spikings, T. Zhang, and D.M. Rawson, Effect of methanol and
535	Me2SO exposure on mitochondrial activity and distribution in stage III ovarian
536	follicles of zebrafish (Danio rerio). Cryobiology 59, (2009) 188-94.
537	[37] R.K. Koiri, and S.K. Trigun, Dimethyl sulfoxide activates tumor necrosis
538	factoralpha-p53 mediated apoptosis and down regulates D-fructose-6-phosphate-
539	2-kinase and lactate dehydrogenase-5 in Dalton's lymphoma in vivo. Leuk Res 35,
540	(2011) 950-6.
541	[38] S. Sharma, E. Raymond, H. Soda, E. Izbicka, K. Davidson, R. Lawrence, and D.D.
542	Von Hoff, Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) causes a reversible inhibition of
543	telomerase activity in a Burkitt lymphoma cell line. Leuk Res 22, (1998) 663-70.
544	[39] D. Jammalamadaka, and S. Raissi, Ethylene glycol, methanol and isopropyl alcohol
545	intoxication. Am J Med Sci 339, (2010) 276-81.
546	[40] A.R. Hipkiss, Proteotoxicity and the contrasting effects of oxaloacetate and glycerol
547	on Caenorhabditis elegans life span: a role for methylglyoxal? Rejuvenation Res
548	13, (2010) 547-51.
549	[41] M. Aye, C. Di Giorgio, M. De Mo, A. Botta, J. Perrin, and B. Courbiere, Assessment
550	of the genotoxicity of three cryoprotectants used for human oocyte vitrification:
551	dimethyl sulfoxide, ethylene glycol and propylene glycol. Food Chem Toxicol 48,
552	(2010) 1905-12.
553	[42] K.M. Morshed, S.K. Jain, and K.E. McMartin, Propylene glycol-mediated cell injury
554	in a primary culture of human proximal tubule cells. Toxicol Sci 46, (1998) 410-
555	7.
556	[43] G. Bonner, and A.M. Klibanov, Structural stability of DNA in nonaqueous solvents.
557	Biotechnol Bioeng 68, (2000) 339-44.
558	[44] J. Ali, and J.N. Shelton, Design of vitrification solutions for the cryopreservation of
559	embryos. J Reprod Fertil 99, (1993) 471-7.
560	[45] A.M. Dalimata, and J.K. Graham, Cryopreservation of rabbit spermatozoa using
561	acetamide in combination with trehalose and methyl cellulose. Theriogenology
562	48, (1997) 831-41.
563	[46] F. Lahnsteiner, The effect of internal and external cryoprotectants on zebrafish
564	(Danio rerio) embryos. Theriogenology 69, (2008) 384-96.
565	[47] A. Lawson, H. Ahmad, and A. Sambanis, Cytotoxicity effects of cryoprotectants as
566	single-component and cocktail vitrification solutions. Cryobiology 62, (2011)
567	115-22.
568	[48] G.M. Fahy, Cryoprotectant toxicity neutralization. Cryobiology 60, (2010) S45-53.
569	[49] A.D. Weiss, J.F. Forbes, A. Scheuerman, G.K. Law, J.A. Elliott, L.E. McGann, and
570	N.M. Jomha, Statistical prediction of the vitrifiability and glass stability of multi-
571	component cryoprotective agent solutions. Cryobiology 61, (2010) 123-7.
572	[50] E.C. Rodriguez-Merchan, and P. Gomez-Cardero, The outerbridge classification
573	predicts the need for patellar resurfacing in TKA. Clin Orthop Relat Res 468,
574	(2010) 1254-7.

- [51] N.M. Jomha, P.C. Anoop, J.A.W. Elliott, K. Bagnall, and L.E. McGann, Validation
 and reproducibility of computerised cell-viability analysis of tissue slices. BMC
 Musculoskelet Disord 4, (2003) 5.
- 578 [52] J.P. Barbas, and R.D. Mascarenhas, Cryopreservation of domestic animal sperm
 579 cells. Cell Tissue Bank 10, (2009) 49-62.
- [53] H. Yang, M. Norris, R. Winn, and T.R. Tiersch, Evaluation of cryoprotectant and
 cooling rate for sperm cryopreservation in the euryhaline fish medaka Oryzias
 latipes. Cryobiology 61, (2010) 211-9.
- [54] L.H. Campbell, and K.G.M. Brockbank, Cryopreservation of porcine aortic heart
 valve leaflet-derived myofibroblasts. Biopreservation and Biobanking 8, (2010)
 211-217.
- 586 [55] G.M. Fahy, Cryoprotectant toxicity neutralization. Cryobiology, (2009).
- 587 [56] G.M. Fahy, B. Wowk, J. Wu, and S. Paynter, Improved vitrification solutions based
 588 on the predictability of vitrification solution toxicity. Cryobiology 48, (2004) 22589 35.
- [57] T.H. Dresser, E.R. Rivera, F.J. Hoffmann, and R.A. Finch, Teratogenic assessment
 of four solvents using the Frog Embryo Teratogenesis Assay--Xenopus (FETAX).
 J Appl Toxicol 12, (1992) 49-56.
- 593 [58] V. Vuthiphandchai, S. Chomphuthawach, and S. Nimrat, Cryopreservation of red
 594 snapper (Lutjanus argentimaculatus) sperm: effect of cryoprotectants and cooling
 595 rates on sperm motility, sperm viability, and fertilization capacity.
 596 Theriogenology 72, (2009) 129-38.
- 597 [59] I.A. Nascimento, M.B. Leite, M.M. Sampaio de Araujo, G. Sansone, S.A. Pereira,
 598 and E.M. do Espirito Santo, Selection of cryoprotectants based on their toxic
 599 effects on oyster gametes and embryos. Cryobiology 51, (2005) 113-7.
- [60] M. Hagedorn, E. Hsu, F.W. Kleinhans, and D.E. Wildt, New approaches for
 studying the permeability of fish embryos: toward successful cryopreservation.
 Cryobiology 34, (1997) 335-47.
- [61] M. Hagedorn, F.W. Kleinhans, D.E. Wildt, and W.F. Rall, Chill sensitivity and
 cryoprotectant permeability of dechorionated zebrafish embryos, Brachydanio
 rerio. Cryobiology 34, (1997) 251-63.
- [62] X. Xu, Z. Cui, and J.P. Urban, Measurement of the chondrocyte membrane
 permeability to Me2SO, glycerol and 1,2-propanediol. Med Eng Phys 25, (2003)
 573-9.
- [63] L.E. McGann, M. Stevenson, K. Muldrew, and N. Schachar, Kinetics of osmotic
 water movement in chondrocytes isolated from articular cartilage and applications
 to cryopreservation. J Orthop Res 6, (1988) 109-115.
- 612
- 613



This research was funded by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research which did not have any role in the design and performance of this study.

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest.